

**CFA EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING  
OCTOBER 6/7, 2018**

**Index to Minutes**

**Secretary's note:** This index is provided only as a courtesy to the readers and is not an official part of the CFA minutes. The numbers shown for each item in the index are keyed to similar numbers shown in the body of the minutes.

(1)	MEETING CALLED TO ORDER. ....	4
(2)	ADDITIONS/CORRECTIONS TO THE MINUTES. ....	5
(3)	ID-ASIA REPORT. ....	10
(4)	JUDGING PROGRAM. ....	12
(5)	PROTEST COMMITTEE. ....	41
(6)	RECOGNITION RECOMMENDATION. ....	42
(7)	DISASTER RELIEF. ....	43
(8)	REGIONAL ENTITY STATUS. ....	46
(9)	CITATION HEARING. ....	50
(10)	CLUB APPLICATIONS. ....	51
(11)	CFA FOUNDATION. ....	58
(12)	MENTORING COMMITTEE. ....	60
(13)	NEWBEE COMMITTEE. ....	62
(14)	APPEAL HEARING. ....	64
(15)	LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE/GROUP. ....	65
(16)	WINN FELINE FOUNDATION. ....	68
(17)	CLERKING COMMITTEE. ....	73
(18)	CFA CAT AGILITY. ....	74
(19)	EXPERIMENTAL SHOW FORMAT REPORT. ....	75
(20)	BREEDS AND STANDARDS. ....	79
(21)	YOUTH FELINE EDUCATION REPORT. ....	85
(22)	CALL MEETING TO ORDER. ....	89
(23)	SHOW RULES. ....	90
(24)	TREASURER'S REPORT. ....	114
(25)	BUDGET COMMITTEE. ....	120
(26)	FINANCE COMMITTEE. ....	122
(27)	CLUB MARKETING. ....	127
(28)	2018 CFA INTERNATIONAL SHOW REPORT. ....	135
(29)	SHOW ENTRY SURCHARGE. ....	137
(30)	CENTRAL OFFICE REPORT. ....	141
(31)	AWARDS COMMITTEE. ....	157
(32)	MARKETING COMMITTEE. ....	161
(33)	YEARBOOK. ....	163
(34)	UPDATED COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS. ....	164
(35)	AMBASSADOR CAT PROGRAM REPORT. ....	166
(36)	HISTORICAL DATA SCANNING PROJECT. ....	168

(37)	ANIMAL WELFARE.....	183
(38)	OLD BUSINESS.....	184
(39)	NEW BUSINESS.....	186
(40)	AUDIT COMMITTEE.....	190
(41)	ID-CHINA MONITOR REPORT.....	191
(42)	IT REPORT. ....	201
(43)	OMBUDSMAN REPORT.....	203
(44)	SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY.....	205
(45)	DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS AND SUSPENSIONS.....	208

**Secretary's Note:** The Officers and Board of Directors of the Cat Fanciers' Association, Inc. met on Saturday, October 6, 2018, in the CFA Foundation Museum, 260 East Main Street, Alliance, Ohio. President **Mark Hannon** called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. EDT with the following members present after a roll call:

**Mr. Mark Hannon (President)**  
**Mr. Richard Mastin (Vice President) – via teleconference**  
**Ms. Kathy Calhoun (Treasurer)**  
**Ms. Rachel Anger (Secretary)**  
**Ms. Sharon Roy (NAR Director)**  
**Mrs. Pam Moser (NWR Director)**  
**Ms. Kathy Black (GSR Director)**  
**Mr. John Colilla (GLR Director)**  
**Mr. Howard Webster (SWR Director)\***  
**Ms. Mary Auth (MWR Director)**  
**Mr. Kenny Currle (SOR Director)**  
**Mrs. Kayoko Koizumi (Japan Regional Director)**  
**Mr. Michael-Hans Schleissner (Europe Regional Director)**  
**Mrs. Carol Krzanowski (Director-at-Large)**  
**Ms. Melanie Morgan (Director-at-Large)**  
**Mr. Peter Vanwonderghem (Director-at-Large)**

**Also Present:**

**John M. Randolph, Esq., CFA Legal Counsel**  
**Allene Tartaglia, Interim Executive Director**  
**Verna Dobbins, Deputy Director**  
**Shino Wiley, Japanese Interpreter**

**Absent:**

**Mr. Richard Mastin (Vice President) – only during certain sections of the meeting**

**Secretary's Note:** For the ease of the reader, some items were discussed at different times but were included with their particular agenda item.

(1) **MEETING CALLED TO ORDER.**

**Hannon:** I'm going to call the meeting to order. Kathy has an announcement first.  
**Calhoun:** Yes, just a real quick announcement about expense reports. If you can get your expense report in today, I will be writing checks tonight. **Hannon:** At the Blue Fig? **Calhoun:** At the Fig, prior to or maybe post the Fig, who knows? Then, you can get your reimbursements first thing in the morning and we can get that bit of business out of the way. So, if you can get these to me today, that would be great. **Hannon:** We have three people calling in. We have Rich, who is going to call in from 9 to 12 both today and tomorrow. The wedding is this afternoon. And, we have Dick Kallmeyer and Wain Harding calling in to discuss the China agenda item with us. As far as I know, Rich is the only one that has called in yet.

**Hannon:** I want to start by saying how saddened we all were to hear about the death of Eve Russell. Eve has been a CFA champion for many years, been on the Credentials Committee and, more recently, Chair of the Credentials Committee. She has done the rosettes for the International Show for decades. She has been very active in the local cat fancy, was an integral part of Mid-Michigan Cat Fanciers that were holding a dozen shows a year at one point. So, our thoughts go out to her family and friends. **Colilla:** The Great Lakes Region sponsored one of the rings at the International Show. **Hannon:** Yes, for Eve. We sent flowers for the service last weekend for Eve.

**Eve Russell**

**February 24, 1937 – September 21, 2018**

(2) **ADDITIONS/CORRECTIONS TO THE MINUTES.**

**RATIFICATION OF ON-LINE MOTIONS**

	<b>Moved/ Seconded</b>	<b>Motion</b>	<b>Vote</b>
<b>1.</b>	Anger Mastin 07/11/18	Approve the joint show format proposal, as presented, between New Vision Cat Club and a TBA TICA club in November 2019 in Orlando, Florida (Region 7).	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>2.</b>	Anger Mastin 07/13/18	Allow the Ocicats International to hold an unscored “Top Ten Spotted Cats In Show Spotacular Spotacular” fun final officiated by Neil Quigley at their show on September 1, 2018, in Oakwood, Georgia (Region 7).	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>3.</b>	Anger Calhoun 07/16/18	For the Garden State Cat Club show in on July 21-22, 2018 in Edison, NJ (Region 1): (1) Grant an exception to Show Rule 11.29.b. and allow the Turkish Angora Breed Council to hold a breed specialty ring in one of the allbreed rings in the following manner: all classes (Kittens, Championship and Premiership) will be judged in the usual manner, which will include top five breed awards; then, a Turkish Angora breed specialty final will be held across all classes (highest scoring Kitten, Championship, and Premiership cats based on points earned in color classes, and highest placing Agility cat in show) in Brian Pearson’s AB ring. No points will be associated with these awards; and (2) Grant an exception to Show Rule 11.10 and allow the Turkish Angora Breed Council to hold a breed side class in the specialty ring where each participating cat will be reviewed, ranked and given a written report. No points will be associated with these awards.	<b>Motion Carried.</b> Krzanowski abstained. Auth did not vote.
<b>4.</b>	Anger Colilla 07/19/18	Adopt a show licensing policy in which one show co-sponsored by multiple clubs can be licensed with one show license application. In such case, the CLUB NAME box will say SEE ATTACHED, and the club names and officials will appear on the attachment.	<b>Motion Carried.</b> Schleissner did not vote.
<b>5.</b>	Anger Calhoun 07/20/18	That the seminar scheduled for the Pearl River Cat Fanciers show on July 28, 2018 (ID-China), be conducted by a judge who is not currently under suspension or be cancelled.	<b>Motion Carried.</b> Moser and Morgan abstained.
<b>6.</b>	Eigenhauser Anger 07/23/18	That CFA vacate the suspension imposed on Zhang Zheng Hao (Edison) due to nonpayment of the fine in protest 17-002 and restore any and all CFA services withheld or voided due to suspension including but not limited to any registrations, transfers, titles, points or awards earned.	<b>Motion Carried.</b> Schleissner and Vanwonderghem voting no. Roy and Currle did not vote.
<b>7.</b>	Vanwonderghem Roy 07/30/18	Ratify the appointment of Lydia Bohm and Kathy Black as Co-Chairs of the Marketing Committee.	<b>Motion Carried.</b> Moser and Black abstained. Currle did not vote.

	<b>Moved/ Seconded</b>	<b>Motion</b>	<b>Vote</b>
<b>8.</b>	Anger Vanwonderghem 08/14/18	Grant the Asia Pacific Cat Club an exemption to the CFA International Show black-out dates and allow them to hold a show for cats from Singapore only on October 14, 2018, in Singapore.	<b>Motion Carried.</b> Mastin, Calhoun, Morgan and Vanwonderghem voting no. Anger abstained.
<b>9.</b>	Anger Roy 08/15/18	Approve the request by the Ragdolls of America Group to change their name to Ragdolls Around The Globe, effective immediately.	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>10.</b>	Krzanowski Mastin 08/17/18	Accept Champ of the Champ, International Division – Asia.	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>11.</b>	Krzanowski Mastin 08/20/18	Accept Egypt Cat Club, International Division - Rest of World (ROW).	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>12.</b>	Krzanowski Mastin 08/21/18	Accept World Wide Feline Fanciers (Region 6).	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>13.</b>	Auth Anger 08/24/18	Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.06.b. and allow the Lincoln State Cat Club to add an 11th ring to their February 23/24, 2019 show, to allow Pam DeGolyer to judge a single specialty ring.	<b>Motion Failed.</b> Calhoun, Moser and Auth abstained.
<b>14.</b>	Auth Anger 08/27/18	For their show on May 19, 2019 in Fremont, Nebraska (Region 6), grant the Lincoln Cat Club permission to hold an in-conjunction show with an ACFA club's May 18, 2019 show on the condition that the club be informed that they should comply with the Guidelines (and enclose a copy with our approval).	<b>Motion Carried.</b> Koizumi did not vote.
<b>15.</b>	Anger Mastin 08/30/18	For its show scheduled the weekend of September 22/23, 2018, grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 and allow the King Kong China Cat Club to (1) changed its licensed show from a one-day show to a two-day show, and (2) to move the location 190 miles from Chengdu to Chongqing.	<b>Motion Carried.</b> Moser and Auth did not vote.
<b>16.</b>	Anger Calhoun 08/31/18	Adopt the change to Article XXXVI – Regional Definitions as presented, to restrict points earned for Divisional Wins in Israel, South/Central America, and Africa/Western Asia to shows in those respective areas only.	<b>Motion Carried.</b> Eigenhauser voting no.
<b>17.</b>	Anger Vanwonderghem 09/04/18	Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 and grant E-Cats permission to change its show license from 3 AB/1 SP to 2 AB/1 SP/1 SSP at its show on October 20, 2018 in Cairo, Egypt (ID-ROW).	<b>Motion Carried.</b>

	<b>Moved/ Seconded</b>	<b>Motion</b>	<b>Vote</b>
<b>18.</b>	Executive Committee 09/06/18	Due to an emergency caused by a weather situation, grant the Tianjin Feiming Cat Club permission to substitute John Webster (AB) for Wakaka Nagayama (AB) at its show in Beijing, China on September 8, 2018.	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>19.</b>	Anger Roy 09/12/18	For their show on November 10, 2018 in Malang, East Java, Indonesia (ID-ROW), grant the Borneo Cat Fanciers permission to hold an in-conjunction show with a TICA club's November 11, 2018 show on the condition that the club be informed that they should comply with the Guidelines (enclose a copy with approval).	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>20.</b>	Anger Colilla 09/14/18	Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.13 for the Cat-H-Art club to allow the use of an additional guest judge at its 2AB/5SSP/1SP (CH,KIT) 7AB/1SP(PR) Back-to-Back show (225 entry limit) to be held on September 15/16, 2018, in Brussels, Belgium (Region 9).	<b>Motion Carried.</b> Colilla, Auth and Morgan voting no. Calhoun and Vanwonterghem abstained.
<b>21.</b>	Executive Committee 09/14/18	For their show on September 15/16, 2019 in Brussels, Belgium (Region 9), grant the Cat-H-Art club permission to hold an in-conjunction show with a WCF club, on the condition that the club be informed that they should comply with the Guidelines (and enclose a copy with our approval).	<b>Motion Carried.</b> Calhoun abstained.
<b>22.</b>	Anger Mastin 09/19/18	Grant the Chatte Noir Club an exception to Show Rule 4.04 to allow a format change to its licensed show from 1AB, 5SSP (CH) 6AB (KIT/PR) to 1AB, 4SSP, 1 LH/SH (CH) 5 AB (KIT/PR), 1 LH/SH (KIT/PR) at its show on October 28, 2018 in Moscow, Russia (Region 9).	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>23.</b>	Anger Mastin 09/25/18	For their show on January 13, 2019 in Kowloon Bay, Hong Kong (ID), grant the United Feline Odyssey (UFO) club permission to hold an in-conjunction show with a TICA club's January 12, 2019 show on the condition that the club be informed that they should comply with the Guidelines (and enclose a copy with our approval).	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>24.</b>	Executive Committee 10/01/18	Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.12 and allow Nancy Dodds to judge for the King Kong China Cat Club on Saturday October 27, 2018 in Chengdu, China, and judge for the Nei Meng Mao Wang Club on Sunday, October 28, 2018 in Baotou, China.	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>25.</b>	Executive Committee 10/01/18	Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.13 for the CatFashion club to allow the use of a guest judge at its 2-ring, one day show to be held on October 21, 2018, in Israel (ID-Other).	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
<b>26.</b>	Executive Committee 10/01/18	Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.13 for the Chatte Noir club to allow the use of an additional shorthair specialty guest judge at its 6-ring, one day show to be held on October 28, 2018, in Moscow, Russia (Region 9).	<b>Motion Carried.</b>

	Moved/ Seconded	Motion	Vote
27.	Anger Vanwonderghem 10/01/18	Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.13 for the Tianjin Mao Yuan Love Cat Club to allow the use of an additional guest judge at its 5 AB/1 SP Back-to-Back show (225 entry limit) to be held on October 20/21, 2018, in Tianjin, China (ID-China).	<b>Motion Carried.</b>

**Hannon:** Rachel, do you want to take up the ratification of online? **Anger:** I would. We have the online motions. I would like to make a standing motion for ratification of the items listed on the report. **Eigenhauser:** I would like to make a standing second. **Hannon:** Who made the motion? Did you? **Anger:** I did. **Hannon:** OK, so it has been moved and seconded.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

### **RATIFICATION OF TELECONFERENCE MOTIONS**

	Moved/ Seconded	Motion	Vote
<b>• From August 28, 2018 Teleconference •</b>			
1.	Morgan Eigenhauser	Grant a medical leave of absence from judging to Irina Kharchenko until October 10, 2018.	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
2.	Colilla Morgan	That we will not allow CFA guest judges at TICA shows in the future.	<b>Motion Failed.</b> Calhoun, Morgan, Colilla, Moser and Schleissner voting yes.
3.	Eigenhauser	Accept the Protest Committee's recommendations on the protests not in dispute.	<b>Motion Carried.</b> [vote sealed]
4.	Eigenhauser Vanwonderghem	Ratify the following updated committee appointments as a block: <b>Ambassador Committee</b> ..... Candilee Jackson, Chair (Board Liaison Kathy Calhoun) <b>Budget Committee</b> ..... Kathy Calhoun, Chair <b>Credentials</b> ..... Eve Russell, Chair (Board Liaison Rachel Anger) <b>Mentor Program</b> ..... Kathi Hoos, Chair <b>NewBee Program</b> ..... Teresa Keiger, Chair (Board Liaison Kathy Black) <b>World Cat Congress Delegate</b> ..... Rachel Anger	<b>Motion Carried.</b>
5.	Mastin Eigenhauser	Ratify the following additional committee appointment: <b>Marketing Committee</b> ..... Kathy Black and Lydia Bohm, Co-Chairs	<b>Motion Carried.</b>



	Moved/ Seconded	Motion	Vote
6.	Mastin Krzanowski	At this time, suspend the in-conjunction event.	Motion Carried.
7.	Black Anger	That CFA endorse a policy that registration via pedigree certificates will be provided to the owner of record on the paperwork absent definitive transfer of ownership documents.	Motion Carried.

**Anger:** Then we have 7 motions that we dealt with during our August 28<sup>th</sup> teleconference, for which I would also like to move for ratification on my standing motion.

**Eigenhauser:** I would also like my standing second.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Anger:** I would also like to acknowledge that the American Cat Association lost its president in July of this year. Irene Gizzi passed away. She has been replaced by a man named Tom Corn, who is taking the association forward. Our sympathies to everyone at the American Cat Association.

### (3) **ID-ASIA REPORT.**

**Committee Co-Chairs:** Wain Harding & Dick Kallmeyer  
**Liaison to Board:** Carla Bizzell

---

#### **Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*The last month has been a major challenge for the CFA Executive Committee, Judging Program Chair, CFA Attorney, and the ID Chairs. I am sure that all of you are aware of what happened at the WuXi China show the first weekend in September. Four of our judges were detained and immediate action was required to get them home. It took almost a week for these judges to be allowed to return home, their Visas were canceled, and they had to get temporary deportation Visas. This brought up the issue of ensuring CFA is operating legally in China. There have been several conference calls with and without the Chinese attorney trying to solve this. I wish we had an elegant and simple solution to these problems, but at the present time we do not. CFA Attorney John Randolph will have more information on this.*

*It is very important that our judges travel to China with an “M” Visa. This is a business visa that allows judges’ expenses to be paid but judges cannot be paid for judging. At this time the Central Office is paying judging fees directly to the judges. There is a new judges’ expense statement to be used in China that eliminates the line for judging fees. Show rules have been changed to eliminate reference to judges being paid in Asia. There have been shows in China since the WuXi show and the police have visited several of them without incident. As the head of the Judging Program, Melanie Morgan, will be available to answer questions.*

#### **Current Happenings of Committee:**

*In an effort to make sure that our judges do not run into similar problems in other Asian countries, Dick Kallmeyer is looking into what is required for judges working there. Each country has unique laws; so it is not a matter of one size fits all.*

*Suki Lee has been assisting the Central office in shipping show packages to China from Hong Kong. In the past, show packages that were shipped from the United States were often delayed in customs. This is not a problem when they are shipped from Hong Kong. When the packages were shipped from the United States CFA paid for it. Now the club has to pay the shipping charges from Hong Kong and price varies depending on the location of the show. The ID Committee feels that CFA should pay the shipping from Hong Kong to the individual clubs.*

#### **Future Projections for Committee:**

*The ID Chairs have formed a team for shows and registrations in the rest of Asia (not China) and we will have a report at the next board meeting.*

**Board Action Items:**

***Proposed:*** CFA to pay for shipping show packages from Hong Kong to show secretaries in China.

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*Updates as needed.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Wain Harding and Dick Kallmeyer, Chairs*

In executive session discussions, the following motions were made:

**Ms. Black** made a motion that CFA will not pay for judge visas. Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser**, **Motion Carried**. Vanwonderghem and Schleissner abstained.

In a subsequent executive discussion, **Ms. Black** moved to reconsider the above motion. Seconded by **Mr. Vanwonderghem**, **Motion Carried**. Eigenhauser, Moser and Calhoun voting no.

The motion to reconsider having carried, **Ms. Black** made a new motion that Central Office pay for the visas for judges to judge in China. Seconded by **Mr. Vanwonderghem**, **Motion Failed**.

**Mr. Eigenhauser** moved to extend the grace period from September 2, 2018 until November 1, 2018, for judges to cancel any future show in China (contract signed before Wuxi show, September 2, 2018), with CFA paying the flight change fee. Seconded by **Mr. Vanwonderghem**, **Motion Carried**.

**Ms. Morgan** moved that, for shows in China with contracts signed prior to September 2, 2018, and cancelled between September 2, 2018 and November 1, 2018, judges may cancel without any of the existing penalties for exhibiting and/or accepting another assignment (except another show in China). Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser**, **Motion Carried**. Calhoun, Krzanowski and Anger abstained.

\* \* \* \* \*

[from end of meeting Sunday] **Hannon:** I was asked to announce that the revised show rules in Chinese are now online, so any changes that were made last year to the show rules have been updated into Chinese.

#### **(4) JUDGING PROGRAM.**

**Committee Chair:** *Melanie Morgan – Chair Recruitment and Development Sub-Committee; liaison to Protest Committee*

**List of Committee Members:** *Larry Adkison – General oversight and quality control*  
*Diana Doernberg – File Administrator (Regions 1-7)*  
*Pat Jacobberger – Chair, Judges' Education subcommittee (Breed Awareness and Orientation School)*  
*Becky Orlando – File Administrator (Region 9 and ID); Mentor Program Administrator*  
*Sharon Roy – Ombudsman, General Communications Representative*  
*Jan Stevens – File Administrator (Region 8, 9 and ID); Member, Recruitment & Development subcommittee*  
*Penny Richter – Applications Administrator*  
*Annette Wilson – Chair, Guest Judge subcommittee; Guest judge paperwork review*

---

**Hannon:** We are back on the agenda for 9:30. We have the Judging Program and at 10:45 is supposed to be the Protest Committee, so that gives you a time frame. **Anger:** Three minutes. **Hannon:** Melanie, you're on. **Anger:** Rich is on the line here. **Morgan:** I already talk fast and I'm going to have to talk faster because I've got to scrunch all these in.

#### **Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*The Committee members met by teleconference on October 2nd, 2018, to discuss the judge applications, advancements, and preparations for this board meeting.*

#### **Current Happenings of Committee:**

##### **Recent Death:**

*Retired CFA Allbreed Judge Emeritus, Richard Gebhardt passed away in September 2018.*

*When 14-year old Richard Gebhardt purchased his first black Persian for \$10 in 1945, who knew that he would have such a great impact on the cat fancy and CFA in particular.*

*Dick's loyalty was always to the cat, and secondly, to CFA. As a breeder and exhibitor, he excelled with black Persians GC, NW Vel-Vene's Voo Doo of Sylva-Wyte (CFA Cat of the Year, 1959) and GC, NW Silva-Wyte Trafari of J.B. (CFA Cat of the Year, 1969). He also showed Manx, American Shorthairs, and American Wirehairs. He also bred Japanese Chin dogs, and was an avid horseman. Club membership was an early interest for Richard as well, and as a teenager he joined the Garden State Cat Club of New Jersey in 1947, eventually becoming club President at the young age of 22. Valuable experience was gained through club duties and the opportunity to interact with the great breeders of that era – Miss Elsie Hydon (Lavender) among them. He grew to respect the handling abilities of judges, Matil Rotter in particular, of whom he*

said, “she had a manner of judging that was truly inspiring.” In 1953, Mr. Gebhardt became a CFA judge, and he would forever change the atmosphere in a judging ring! He firmly believed that it was important to make the cat show glamorous, so he immediately broke the trend of judges in white lab coats and wore a sports jacket for his first judging assignment in Boston. He became known for his colorful sports jackets! From this small step, his judging ring grew to be a place of interest, fashion and showmanship. And, oh, how he could handle a cat with flair and finesse! His judging skills resulted in invitations to judge, and represent CFA, throughout the world. Dick retired from judging in 2008 after 55 years in the ring. He was awarded the Judge Emeritus status by the CFA Board of Directors, and was also presented with CFA’s Medal of Honor for his contributions to the association.

Dick’s greatest contribution was through his vision of what CFA “could be” and, as President of CFA for 12 years (1968-1980), he quietly guided CFA through an era of its largest growth. He initiated the litter registration, eliminated registration by affidavit, and introduced a policy that prevented owners from putting their cattery name as a prefix on cats they had not bred. Under his guidance, the scoring system that we know today was introduced, as were the national awards that replaced the Hydon-Goodwin Awards. One of his most insightful moves was to establish a committee to focus on heading off proposed restrictive legislation. Above all, Mr. Gebhardt believed in sharing. He once said “I have the willingness to give freely and share the things I have worked for, whether they be bloodlines or the wisdom of experience.” For years, he shared through meetings, presentations, interviews and judging, but mostly through writing, with his most noteworthy book being *The Complete Cat Book*, published in 1992.

Most importantly, Mr. Gebhardt shared his knowledge of breeds and their standards through his writing, and occasionally, his artwork. His “ideal” drawings of the Persian and Siamese, drawn in the 1950’s, stand as expert examples to this day.

Those of us who were around during the “Gebhardt” days know firsthand the impact this amazing man had on the cat fancy and CFA. Those who weren’t missed a wonderful era, but they are so lucky that Dick shared his thoughts in so many publications for future generations to use as guidance.

*Dick will be missed .. and there are no words to express our deep sadness at his passing. (by Karen Lawrence)*

### **Retirements/Resignations:**

- CFA Allbreed Judge Pat Jacobberger has submitted a retirement notice effective May 1, 2019.

**Action item:** Accept with regret the retirement of Pat Jacobberger effective May 1, 2019.

**Morgan:** We’re going to start the meeting with an action item on something I was hoping I wouldn’t have to do, certainly not in my tenure. A few weeks ago Pat Jacobberger made good on her plans to send in a letter of retirement, effective May 1<sup>st</sup>. I know I’m personally forever indebted to PJ for everything she has done. She’s going to leave a legacy behind, but I’m hopeful that, as she states in her letter, she will continue to lend her considerable expertise to the

education of our judges. So, I have two action items here, the one that's on your report which is to accept with regret the retirement of Pat Jacobberger, effective May 1, 2019. **Anger:** Second.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Morgan:** My second motion, and I believe we may not need this motion but I want to make sure it's very clear, is that the fact that she is retiring should not preclude her from working on the Education Committee as a member of the Judging Program Committee, and I want confirmation from the board on that. **Anger:** Second.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

- *CFA Allbreed Judge John Hiemstra has submitted a letter of resignation effective August 31, 2018*

**Action item:** *Accept with regret the resignation of John Hiemstra effective August 31, 2018.*

**Hannon:** Is that the end of your report? Pass your ballots in. **Morgan:** Moving on, CFA Judge John Hiemstra submitted a letter of resignation, effective August 31, 2018. The action item is to accept with regret the resignation of John Hiemstra, effective August 31, 2018. **Anger:** Second. **Hannon:** Did he explain why? **Morgan:** He wants to spend more time with his family. **Hannon:** Any other comments, questions?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

### **Leave of Absence:**

- *Frank Dueker has requested a Medical Leave of Absence effective September 23rd, 2018 until January 1st, 2019,*

**Action Item:** *Accept Frank Dueker's request for a Medical Leave of Absence effective September 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2018 through January 1st, 2019.*

**Morgan:** Frank Dueker has requested a medical leave of absence, effective September 23, 2018, until January 1, 2019. **Anger:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

### **Return from Leave of Absence:**

- *Irina Kharchenko has requested to return from Medical Leave of Absence effective September 19, 2018.*

**Action Item:** *Accept Irina Kharchenko's request to return from Medical Leave of Absence effective September 19, 2018.*

**Morgan:** Irina Kharchenko has requested a return from medical leave of absence, effective September 19, 2018. The action item is to accept her request. **Anger:** Second. **Hannon:**

Do we have to vote on that? **Morgan:** We voted to put her on and now we're taking her off. She actually had a very good outcome to her surgery. **Hannon:** Alright, so there's a motion and a second to take Irina off of medical leave.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Hannon:** She's back. She can take over Frank's shows.

[**Secretary's Note:** In an executive session motion, James Thompson was elevated to *judge emeritus*.]

### **Guest Judging Report:**

#### ***Clarification of Show Rule: 3.13***

*3.13... Depending on the show location, the number of judges that must be CFA judges at the show are as follows:...*

*We are looking for clarification on the interpretation of number of CFA judges. Example:*

*6 Ring show in Region nine – is required to have four CFA judges. If they have three CFA AB judges and one single specialty judge, then they have four CFA judges. However, four full rings are not being covered by CFA judges. In actuality at that show there would be 3 guest judges and four CFA judges. We would like Board input as to whether that is the intent of the rule.*

#### ***Guest judging procedures world wide***

*While we have a classic situation of supply and demand ebb and flow that creates issues with specific weekends, on average our judges are only a little over 40% utilized throughout the year. Our CFA judges are an integral part of our brand and when available, should be utilized. The Guest Judge administrator is asking clubs to explore options*

*China – Guest judges should only be utilized if they have previous experience guest judging for us and if CFA judges are not an economical option*

*Europe – has unique problem that they do not have affordable options. Suggest that the CFA Board invests in the CFA brand over there by providing incentives to utilize CFA branded judges in the form of subsidies that cover the overage in travel costs for U.S. based CFA judges versus local guest judges.*

**Morgan:** I am looking for board input. Guest judging procedures worldwide. As you all know, we have supply and demand that creates issues with certain weekends. Primarily, I would say we have six weekends out there that we really, really have a major problem with finding enough judges – most of the month of April and the last two weekends of October. There are certainly other instances, but those are the weekends. On average, our judges are only about 40% utilized throughout the year, except for now the situation with China has kind of impacted on that, so there is a problem with the fact that we really need to come up with procedures for guest judges, but the fact remains that our CFA judges truly are an integral part of our brand. Our

exhibitors want to see CFA judges. So, when they are available they should be utilized. We want to explore some options. Specifically, we want to explore some options in Europe and some of the areas where we're trying to develop more of a presence, and we really need to support the CFA brand umbrella. The issues that we see in Europe revolve primarily around cost. Without the strong sponsor support that we see in China, they don't have affordable options for bringing in CFA judges. So, we're suggesting that the board invest for the future – I'm not saying immediately – that we're suggesting that the board invest in the CFA brand over there by providing incentives to utilize CFA-branded judges in the form of subsidies to cover the overages in travel costs for U.S.-based or any CFA judge versus local guest judges. I don't have an action item, but I want to get board input because I would like to see us support our brand in the different areas around the world that have an interest in supporting and expanding CFA by approving an initiative where a program is designed to expand CFA as a global entity. I am open to feedback and suggestions, to encourage the growth of the CFA brand in these areas.

**Hannon:** Peter, I saw on FaceBook a comment from Pam DelaBar in response from you in regard to the questions clubs are being asked prior to being given approval for guest judges.

**Vanwonderghem:** I was going to ask Melanie about this. At the last board meeting we had some discussion on this, that the Judging Program Committee has the right to ask some information why it needs guest judges, but the letter that is being sent out by Annette at this point is really very aggressive, and not appreciated at all by the clubs in Europe. Michael can probably confirm this, because the clubs in Europe and the International Division do not appreciate the way these guest judges - **Hannon:** They're being challenged. **Vanwonderghem:** Extremely challenged, and not in a polite way of I may say so. We do have show rules, and the show rules tell us exactly how many guest judges we can invite. People don't understand why they have to justify inviting guest judges that are on the list of already-recognized and accepted guest judges. If it's a new guest judge, I understand that you want to investigate. For those judges that are already recognized and accepted – I would like to finish this first. I do not think that all our exhibitors expect to see 100% CFA judges. We invite guest judges not only because it's cheaper, but because we think that there is some potential there that we want to interest in CFA and we want to hopefully one day bring over to CFA. As it is going now, these people simply don't get a chance. **Hannon:** Are you through? **Vanwonderghem:** I'm through. **Eigenhauser:** A couple of things. First, I haven't seen the letter. I'm not familiar with the letter. What I would like to do is ask that the Judging Chair give us a sample for discussion at some point, so those of us who aren't judges and don't see these kinds of letters can know what's going on. The second thing is, in terms of the big picture question that Melanie is talking about, I think our #1 push should be to get local judges in some of these areas. We need more European judges, we need judges in Asia who are native to that area. It isn't just enough to get more judges. It has to be judges that are close enough to be inexpensive, reasonable, blah, blah, blah. The one issue that is mentioned in the report that Melanie didn't mention yet is, how do we count judges when you say you have to have so many CFA judges. My thought is, if you've got two single specialty rings and one is a CFA judge and one is a guest judge, that's half a CFA judge and half of a guest judge. I think in terms of rings. I don't think in terms of counting noses. **Morgan:** That's coming up in show rules tomorrow morning. **Schleissner:** First of all, I just want to say something about the letter. As a regional director of Europe, I haven't seen this letter up to now, so nobody has sent it to me, nobody has talked to me about this letter from the European clubs. It was put up online by Pam



and we say in German, I will not jump on every train who just passes me, you know? The thing is, as long as I haven't seen it and nobody contacted me about this, it does not exist for me. If they start arguments on the internet, they can do but not with me. That's the first thing. The second thing is, I'm 100% with Melanie because of this guest judging issue. We have a regulation which says, if you have a 6 ring show, it's 4 rings CFA and 2 rings we can invite guest judges. It's not a must that we invite or that we have to invite guest judges. So, in the last couple of weeks or months, we had lots of changes. For all of us who are on the board, they saw this drama we had the last 6 weeks with all these changes in shows in Europe with guest judges, with cancellations. It was real trouble. I'm not against guest judges, but I want to have them selected. I do not want to have everybody who is a WCF judge can automatically be a guest judge for CFA. I want to have something where we give them the opportunity to judge a CFA show. They should bring also something in for us. They should pay attention to the forms in CFA. There's lots of them that have never had show rules in their hands. They have never had breed standards in their hands. They come to the show and the only thing they know, they have to wave the feather. That's all. I'm still an exhibitor over there. If you go to a CFA show in Europe and you have lots of WCF or FIFe or whatever judge is there, it does not attract me anymore. I go around the corner of my house and I can have every single week a WCF show there. I do not need to go to a CFA show to have a WCF judging over there. Last thing is, and maybe this is most important, if you want to sell Coca Cola, you cannot sell it with the Pepsi stuff. **Hannon:** Stop, stop. **Schleissner:** We want to sell the brand CFA in Europe. If we want to develop them to a point we had in the past – we already had it over there. It was a really good running business. If we want to have it back, we have to focus on having CFA judges there. One of the options, you know, you can talk about something but it's always good to have something in pocket you can put on the table is, it's the money thing. Clubs are suffering about money over there, so they have to have guest judges. But, I have heard about maybe budgets we have in CFA. Why don't we have a budget to help the clubs to get CFA judges over to Europe? I see always on the judges' list there's a club in bla, bla, bla offering \$650 for a show, so maybe the air fares from over here to Europe is around \$1,000 to \$1,200 usually, if you do it on time. Why don't we have a budget when we say, CFA is willing to pay \$400 on one judge? That's my thinking. **Currie:** I totally agree with you. I agree with the concept that you have to spend money in order to make money. This is the 6<sup>th</sup> anniversary, actually this past June, when Europe actually became a region. One of the things that I worked on, on the ID Committee at the time, one of the things that we certainly pointed out to the board at that time is that we needed judges in training over in that European area, because they were limited at the time. They brought in a couple of new ones from Russia. One of them has passed away. We've had two resign. We have one that can't walk, so we have an entire situation over there where they are hurting for judges. Their show set-up is not like China. They don't get the sponsorships that China gets, so if we want to continue our presence in Europe, I am fully supportive of subsidizing judges going over there. **Calhoun:** Surprisingly enough, I am in favor. **Hannon:** They heard you. They are having heart attacks. **Calhoun:** In theory. What I would like to see is, we have a budget process and we have to budget for this like we budget for anything else, so we need to put together a program and an estimate. I know this is kind of difficult to do, but an estimate of what that would cost so we can build it into the budget for next season. The other thing that I wanted to bring up was that structure saves money, so we cannot have shows being put together at the last minute looking for assistance, because the further out you plan, the better air fares you can get. So, you can stretch whatever that budget is further. People sometimes

need help with structure, so it could be another situation where, if you are going to participate in this fund, you have to license 120 days in advance if you want to participate, or whatever. So, I would like to see us build in some structure around it and some cost. Bring it back in January. That's when we're going to talk about the budget. **Eigenhauser:** To add to Kathy's suggestion, maybe one way to structure this would be to have it run through Rich's committee, Club Sponsorship. Have a separate stipend you could request for judging sponsorship. If you're in an area that's under-served by CFA judges and the costs are prohibitively expensive, you could apply to the judging sponsorship portion of Club Sponsorships for some financial assistance, and then Rich would put together a budget to include with some of the other sponsorships. We need to keep track of all the things we're doing to subsidize clubs, and it makes sense to me to put it all in one committee. That would be the logical place to put it. **Hannon:** Rich, did you hear that? **Mastin:** No. **Eigenhauser:** You just volunteered. **Mastin:** Can you summarize it real quick? **Hannon:** George suggested that you be in charge of a special fund for judges' fees for outside the United States, that they apply to you for this money. I know you had thoughts on the subject before George even suggested that. **Mastin:** Right. I'm willing to get involved in it, but I do have some comments and concerns about it. I don't want to bore everybody with it. I heard some of what Kathy said, not all of it. I think it was pertaining to the budget. I'm willing to get very involved in this. **Moser:** My question is, I do agree with this, but is it just for Europe? I mean, what if there are some other places? Is it for anybody that's underserved? **Morgan:** Underserved areas, like Israel. **Moser:** Oh, OK. I was going to say. **Hannon:** But you know darn well that the clubs in this country are going to say, "what about us?" That's going to open the door for them to say, "we're hiring local judges because we can't afford to bring them in. Give us some money and we'll bring them in from further away in the United States." Maybe we could bring some European judges over if you're going to give us some money. **Moser:** Yes, exactly. **Morgan:** But they're not using guest judges. They have enough CFA judges. **Hannon:** But it's the same CFA judges, which is what the problem is in Europe. Because they've only got a handful of judges, every show uses the same judges.

**Webster:** It is related to this, but the problem is we need more judges, and we need to start pushing them through and not making it any more difficult in Europe and here. I looked at the list and what's the average age now? **Eigenhauser:** Be nice, people. **Moser:** Over 65. **Webster:** We need more judges and we need to be less nitpicky about everything. I have something coming up later – I can bring it up later or I can bring it up now. I've clerked for most of the judges sitting at this table at one time or another, and I have never clerked for anybody who hasn't made a mistake. To eliminate a trainee because they made a mistake and that show doesn't count? I think we also need to look at who is evaluating these judges sometimes, because there has been – I know from personal experience – from inner conflicts with other things outside the cat fancy that might have influenced the way that – **Hannon:** The other side of the coin, though, is that so many clubs just check "excellent, excellent, excellent" and, for example, for *Did the judge take the cats out of the cat for their finals?* Yes, and we've got videos showing, no they didn't. **Webster:** Well, that's a different story. **Hannon:** It's not a different story. It's more of a problem than what you're citing. Clubs are not giving honest evaluations. They're just advancing people along. **Webster:** No, not advancing people along, but not being penalized. **Auth:** I think one of the problems that we have is that in Europe, maybe particularly, but to open up the same can of worms – what's good for Europe should be good for the rest of the world – is

that maybe the Judging Program application rules need to be lightened a little bit to encourage more people to come, and maybe 500 cats isn't reasonable if you're going to do the bulk of your training in Europe, because the shows simply aren't that large. So, to make some modifications to the application and to the Judging Program rules for European judges coming in, and then of course you have to apply it across the United States or across the world, as well, but in my mind, from what I've been reading, and I'm seeing even more rules come in from the Judging Program, that we need to lighten up the rules a little bit, to encourage more people to come in. We're not going to grow if our Judging Program has people who walk away from it. **Hannon:** The problem, though, is if somebody in Europe is being trained primarily in Europe and has small shows with the same people show after show, and then all of a sudden they're in the United States doing a show, they can't swim. **Auth:** I understand that. **Hannon:** We're going to have more of that, if we go with what you're suggesting to lighten things up.

**Webster:** They could come over here and maybe have a program that helps sponsor them if they have trouble getting here, to train over here. **Schleissner:** We should keep in mind that if we lighten up something in Europe and not in general, we have two classes of judges. We have maybe the first class and the second class judges. I think that's not good for an organization. **Webster:** They should be across the board. **Eigenhauser:** Maybe this is something Rich could add in, that maybe we could have sponsorships not for American clubs to hire allbreed European judges, but to bring over trainees who it would be prohibitively expensive for them to come to the United States otherwise, and help subsidize the training. That would be another thing I think we could do. **Hannon:** Did you hear that one, Rich? **Mastin:** No, summarize it. **Hannon:** He is dumping on you another one. George is suggesting that we have a fund for overseas trainees to come to the United States to do some training. That would be part of your project. **Mastin:** OK, that's fine. Thank you George. **Eigenhauser:** You're welcome. **Hannon:** In your spare time. **Calhoun:** This is to Rich. **Hannon:** Are you listening? Kathy is talking to you, Rich. **Mastin:** I'm listening. **Calhoun:** If you need some assistance – because I have some thoughts on this, as well – for putting this together for the budget, I would be more than happy to assist. **Hannon:** She's going to work with you on this, pulling together a budget. **Mastin:** OK, great. **Calhoun:** And the other thing, though, it gets difficult, because I understand what you're saying about subsidizing judges to come over from Europe to be able to train in the United States, but it's expensive for everyone. You can get tickets to go around the United States that are equivalent to what it would cost to go to Europe if you planned it right. So, again, I'm just going back to this whole thing about planning. I get it, that judges have lots more opportunities, but there are judges that put out a lot of money to do this in the United States, and there are clubs that need help in the United States. So, we have to think about how do we make this consistent throughout?

**Vanwonderghem:** I really want to go back to the topic, which is procedures for guest judging. We do two shows a year in Belgium, and I consistently have two guest judges judge our shows. **Hannon:** It's a 6 ring show? **Vanwonderghem:** 6 or 8, always two days. It will always be two guest judges, not just because it's cheaper. To tell you what "cheaper" is, I had a request out on the judges' list along with \$500 for judges willing to travel on their miles. At the December show, I have two Ukrainian judges who can fly for €92. This is what is cheaper. This is what is allowing us to do 8 ring shows or 6 ring shows. Without these judges, I can financially not make it. Where I have a problem is that I now have to justify to Annette why I want to invite these two

guest judges. We have show rules, and in the show rules it says that if you do a 6 or 8 ring show, you are allowed to invite two guest judges. The letter from Annette – I'm not going to read all of it, but part of it says, *we offer this assistance to the club that we can put it out on CFA Judges' List and offer X amount as compensation for them traveling to your show free of charge.* Then she says, *should no CFA judge respond favorably to an offer, then I will respond to your request for a guest judge.* I'm sorry, that is not in line with our show rules. That is not the procedure she can follow or that the Judging Program Committee can follow. That is not acceptable. That's totally unacceptable. **Hannon:** Is this open? **Morgan:** Yes. **Hannon:** OK, go. **Morgan:** Absolutely. OK, answers. You answered one of my questions, which I do have a copy of the letter. I've got a letter here from Annette, and it says: *The Judging Program Committee is now asking clubs to explain why they wish to invite a guest judge rather than a CFA judge. The reasons will be evaluated before permission is given to invite guest judges. Please provide specific reasons for the club's request to invite a guest judge rather than a CFA judge for your show. If the reason is financial, please estimate the cost of a guest judge versus a CFA judge and provide background.* **Hannon:** Peter is saying, that shouldn't even be asked. The show rules say they're allowed to have a certain number of guest judges. **Morgan:** OK, then I'm going to go back to our minutes from June, because this is what we discussed.

*We would like to require that clubs support their request for a guest judge by providing rationale for the need. We would also like to clarify that we have a right to deny permission for a guest judge for any club when there are CFA judges available and willing within similar budgets to the guest judge option. We're not looking for a mandate that they have to use guest judges. What we're looking for is support from the board to say that we can ask them to at least try to find an appropriate choice and basically give us an application to use a guest judge. Right now, all they have to do is give us a name and we're giving them blanket approval. Right now we're saying, "perhaps maybe we would like you to show us that you've done a little more homework." We're simply asking for board support that we can ask the clubs to basically provide us with a little more rationale for wanting a guest judge.* **Hannon:** It just seems to me if we've got weekends with 50 or 60 CFA judges sitting home, there's got to be one of those judges that's suitable for you. They can't all be horrible judges. **Morgan:** So, the general consensus is that this is a reasonable idea for us to continue? I mean, we're not mandating anything. We simply want you to know what we plan on doing. I agree that making clubs aware of other alternatives is something that Annette and I have discussed, and it's going to add some to her workload but she is willing to do that. **Hannon:** So what you're talking about is having a discussion. **Morgan:** Yes. **Hannon:** OK.

**Hannon:** Peter, what's your response to that? **Vanwonderghem:** I still stick with the fact that now Annette has imposed a procedure that is not part of our show rules, or has not been discussed before this board, and that is totally unacceptable to me. **Hannon:** She is saying it came before the board. **Vanwonderghem:** No, not in this shape. Not that people are obliged to put out an offer on the CFA judges' list and, should no CFA judge respond favorably to an offer, then I will respond to your request for a guest judge. I'm sorry, that's not what we discussed. We discussed that the Judging Program Committee wanted to have additional information why we wanted to invite guest judges. If this reason is financial, that should be enough. We have a list of guest judges that are accepted by the Judging Program Committee after they went through their scrutiny and be selected or not selected. If they are on this list and we want to have them, then we

follow the show rules. If I do a 6 ring show, I want to be able to invite these two without having to give additional information, and specifically not a new procedure like this. **Hannon:** You're saying, we're going beyond what we agreed to. **Vanwonderghem:** Much further.

**Colilla:** This is not the same thing. If we are going to provide assistance for European judges coming over here for training, we should provide it if they want to go overseas for training, because certain breeds are much stronger and better quality cats than we have over here, like the Brits in China.

**Eigenhauser:** Yeah, while we were talking and before Melanie read the letter, I actually went on the Region 9 list and read what Pam had posted. I agree with Peter. I think the letter is too heavy handed. I think the idea is, we want to encourage them. Wave the carrot, not beat them with the stick. I think the letter as written is more stick than carrot. I think what we should do is, offer them assistance finding judges, offer to put it out on the judges' list, offer to help them in other ways. If Rich comes up with some money, offer to subsidize some air fares, but maybe it's not like, "you can't do this unless you dot every I, cross every T and give us something signed in blood." That's how that letter came across to me. **Morgan:** Is it? OK. We didn't feel that way about it, but OK, we'll rewrite. **Eigenhauser:** It came across as much too heavy handed. There's a saying, "it's not so much what you say, it's what they hear." Reading that letter, that may not be what you intended to say, but reading that letter cold, what I heard was very negative and very authoritarian, and not the kind of positive encouragement I think the board was discussing.

**Morgan:** And we meant it to be, so that's fair. **Black:** I'm hearing two different stories. I'm hearing Michael say, we want CFA judges. He doesn't want to see guest judges at a show. I'm hearing Peter say, we can't afford to put on a show unless we have guest judges. So, I think the Judging Program Committee needs to have kind of a happy medium. **Hannon:** If we provide money to help with the air fares to bring in more CFA judges, I would assume that Peter would be OK with that, that if the cost to bring in an American judge is the same as a guest judge, you would opt to bring in the judge. **Vanwonderghem:** We cannot make that offer. That will not happen. **Hannon:** I couldn't hear your response. I saw you shaking your head no.

**Vanwonderghem:** You cannot make that offer because it will not happen. All the other clubs in the United States will ask for the same money. **Moser:** Even if you offer the extra money for the judge from the U.S., Peter's point is, he can get a judge for €92 or whatever it is – very cheap. He would have to still pay \$600 or so for that U.S. judge, so the bottom line on a club is that you're going to go for the cheaper stuff. You've got to make that club be able to have some kind of a profit, so in his defense I would still go for the guest judge because it's cheaper and it's within the guidelines, so I would go with the guest judge. **Vanwonderghem:** You are the best example, Michael, that a guest judge can become a fantastic CFA judge. **Schleissner:** I'm not against the guest judges. I want to work according to show rules, and the show rules says if it's a 6 ring show, we can have 4 CFA judges and we can have two guest judges, but our focus should not be to have this rule and then to lean back and do nothing anymore. So, let's go on working and improve our business. Once again, if you want to sell Coca Cola, we do not need Pepsi. **Hannon:** Turn that around. If you want to sell Pepsi. **Auth:** Kathy Calhoun worked for Pepsi. **Schleissner:** I know. **Hannon:** What you meant to say was, if you want to sell Pepsi, don't put a Coke label on it. **Calhoun:** That's what he meant to say. **Schleissner:** Actually, do not have the right label on everything we do over there. We are kind of a brand, and we have to sell our brand because

we are totally different to all the other brands we have in Europe. The similar thing, and I want to bring this in, is TICA. They are working a little bit like we do with the shows, but all the other shows of the organizations, they are totally different to what we do, and this makes us special. So, let's stay special, let's work on this, that we can sell our brand like over here. You do not have guest judges over here. **Hannon:** Michael, what happens in Europe with TICA shows? Do they have all TICA judges? **Schleissner:** It's very easy to become a TICA judge, and they also work with guest judges. Can they identify who is a TICA judge? **Hannon:** There are probably more TICA judges than CFA judges in Europe. **Vanwonderghem:** If you're from certain associations, then you are automatically entitled to judge a TICA show and you will end up on the list of TICA judges. **Webster:** I think we've been too restrictive for too long on the Judging Program.

**Calhoun:** One question and I'll be brief. I really honestly don't know this. Why is it that in Europe, you can't get a level of sponsorship? What is the barrier? **Schleissner:** That's really easy. Europe is divided in how many different countries? I do not know all the countries, but it's 37 different languages. Every country has Royal Canin, but there is a plant in every single country. We have no general agreement with them, so every single country has to do its own negotiations with Royal Canin because there's no headquarter or whatever that works with us as CFA. That makes everything difficult. I have done, during the time I was in Germany, very active with the club. I tried to come in contact with them and they say "no, we are not interested in pedigreed cats, we are interested in household pets, so our focus goes on household pets." The other side is, if you go to Russia you have this big show in the beginning of March, this multiple organization show. This is sponsored by Royal Canin, and they have a totally different view on the business, so they go very much with the pedigreed because the pedigreed cat needs a high-quality food. So, they sell the food for a higher price, so they have to market in Russia, but nobody in Germany will do this. Maybe in France. What's in Belgium, Peter? **Vanwonderghem:** It's impossible. **Schleissner:** It's impossible to get sponsors. **Vanwonderghem:** Just to add to Michael's story, these are very mature markets and the market is not growing. All these manufacturers of cat food, they have their established program and put the money in different ways of promotion. They do not sponsor cat shows. Impossible. If you are hoping to get sponsorship from one of the major brands, then they will give you curtains to put on the judges' cages or some food free of charge, but no money. Zero. **Schleissner:** Never. Impossible. **Auth:** To emphasize what Michael is saying, every country has their own marketing division, and they don't have big budgets like they would if it was Royal Canin umbrella. **Hannon:** Royal Canin is broken down by country. Even in this country, Royal Canin U.S. is totally unrelated to Royal Canin in France. It's a whole different group of people making their own decisions on sponsorship. **Auth:** Right, and they just don't have big budgets like if they carried a big geography. **Eigenhauser:** To partially answer Kathy, these things run in cycles, too. I still have promotional material from when Kal Kan was a big sponsor of CFA. I still have promotional material from when Purina was a huge sponsor of CFA, or Iams or any of the others. It comes and goes. A lot of times it depends on who is in charge of marketing and what their marketing strategy is, how they think. Companies don't offer sponsorships out of the goodness of their heart, they offer sponsorships because somebody somewhere made the decision that they have some business advantage for doing it, and as personnel changes, you get changes in the sponsorships. So, there may be some sponsorship in Europe and the same company might feel

differently in the United States if they've got a different marketing person. So, you've got this myriad of people making decisions at a corporate level. You can't really count on sponsorship money as your business model. Sponsorship money is always gravy. You've got to be able to put the shows together yourself without the sponsorship money. If you can get it, great. It's wonderful to have it, but the next marketing person in China might decide they don't want to sponsor shows anymore, just like we've seen sponsors come and go in this country. It's a cyclical thing. It's outside of our control, so since it's outside of our control all we can do is deal with it. We can't control it. **Hannon:** To support that. Dr. Elsey pulled his support from CFA, right? I went to Allen Shi's show in Shanghai and there was Dr. Elsey at a CFA show. It's a different market.

**Black:** Can we get back to the issue? Melanie was talking about the current Judging Program feeling about how to handle guest judges in Europe. I understand that we're going to have a Budget Committee meeting and there could be something coming out next show season, but in the meantime how are these clubs supposed to proceed with licensing their shows? Do they have to make a good faith effort to only get CFA judges, or can they hire two guest judges and let the show go on? **Hannon:** Melanie, the ball is in your court. Where are we on your report? **Morgan:** One, I want to thank all of you. I mean, truly, this was something that was really important moving forward. I think that Europe and Israel and all those areas do need some attention. The input was very helpful and I appreciate the input on the letter. We'll rework it so that it follows the spirit of what we're trying to do, in actuality. So, moving forward, to summarize, I think what we're going to be doing is putting this under the umbrella of Rich's committee. Kathy is going to help him work with that. I would hope that they would be open to input from Michael and myself on that, and maybe Peter. Is that alright? Rich? **Hannon:** Even though Rich couldn't hear all that, he will get the minutes from Rachel. **Morgan:** OK. So, I just want to summarize that. We're going to work on something and bring it forward whenever you all say.

### ***GUEST JUDGE REPORT***

#### **CFA Judges to Judge International Assignments:**

<b><i>Judge</i></b>	<b><i>Assn</i></b>	<b><i>Sponsor</i></b>	<b><i>City/Country</i></b>	<b><i>Date</i></b>
<i>Auth, Mary</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>PAWSitive PAWS Cat Club</i>	<i>Woodstock, Ontario</i>	<i>11/11/2018</i>
<i>DelaBar, Pam</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Feline Ideal Trade Assn</i>	<i>Bangkok, Thailand</i>	<i>11/9/2019</i>
<i>Gonano, Hope</i>	<i>GCCFV</i>	<i>GCCFV</i>	<i>Melbourne, Australia</i>	<i>3/17/2019</i>
<i>Gonano, Hope</i>	<i>CCCA</i>	<i>Queensland Feline Assn</i>	<i>Brisbane, Australia</i>	<i>3/23/2019</i>
<i>Jaeger, Barbara</i>	<i>NSWCF</i>	<i>Armidale &amp; New England Cat Club</i>	<i>Armidale, Australia</i>	<i>4/27/2019</i>
<i>Rivard, Lorraine</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>Club Felins de Montreal</i>	<i>Laval, Quebec</i>	<i>11/25/2018</i>
<i>Auth, Mary</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>PAWSitive PAWS Cat Club</i>	<i>Woodstock, Ontario</i>	<i>11/11/2018</i>

#### **Non-CFA Judges requesting permission to guest judge CFA shows:**

<b><i>Judge</i></b>	<b><i>Assn</i></b>	<b><i>CFA Show</i></b>	<b><i>City/Country</i></b>	<b><i>Date</i></b>
<i>Balciuniene, Inga</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Cat-H-Heart</i>	<i>Brussels, Belgium</i>	<i>9/15/2018</i>
<i>Balciuniene, Inga</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Cat Fashion</i>	<i>Israel</i>	<i>10/20/2018</i>
<i>Balciuniene, Inga</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Felinus International CC</i>	<i>?? Belgium</i>	<i>12/8/2018</i>

<i>Belyaeva, Olga</i>	WCF	<i>Chatte Noir</i>	<i>Moscow, Russia</i>	<i>10/28/2018</i>
<i>Counasse, Daniel</i>	WCF	<i>Club Felino Espanol</i>	<i>Madrid, Spain</i>	<i>10/6/2018</i>
<i>Davies, Allan</i>	CCCA	<i>Borneo Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>Malang, Indonesia</i>	<i>11/10/2018</i>
<i>Davies, Allan</i>	CCCA	<i>Feline Nation Cat Club</i>	<i>Jakarta, Indonesia</i>	<i>12/1/2018</i>
<i>Du Plessis, Kaai</i>	IND	<i>Borneo Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>Jakarta, Indonesia</i>	<i>9/1/2018</i>
<i>Du Plessis, Kaai</i>	IND	<i>Cat-H-Heart</i>	<i>Brussels, Belgium</i>	<i>9/15/2018</i>
<i>Du Plessis, Kaai</i>	IND	<i>Feline Nation Cat Club</i>	<i>Jakarta, Indonesia</i>	<i>12/2/2018</i>
<i>Du Plessis, Kaai</i>	IND	<i>Felinus International CC</i>	<i>?? Belgium</i>	<i>12/8/2018</i>
<i>Du Plessis, Kaai</i>	IND	<i>New England Meow Outfit</i>	<i>Sturbridge, MA</i>	<i>8/24/2019</i>
<i>Grebneva, Olga</i>	RUI	<i>Jardin Des Korats</i>	<i>Toulouse, France</i>	<i>9/22/2018</i>
<i>Grebneva, Olga</i>	RUI	<i>King Kong China Cat Club</i>	<i>Chengdu, China</i>	<i>10/27/2018</i>
<i>Grebneva, Olga</i>	RUI	<i>Champ Of The Champ</i>	<i>Jakarta, Indonesia</i>	<i>2/23/2019</i>
<i>Hansson, John</i>	GCCF	<i>Swedish Cat Paws</i>	<i>Sigtuna, Sweden</i>	<i>1/12/2019</i>
<i>Korotonozhkina, Olga</i>	RUI	<i>King Kong China Cat Club</i>	<i>Chengdu, China</i>	<i>10/27/2018</i>
<i>Korotonozhkina, Olga</i>	RUI	<i>Champ Of The Champ</i>	<i>Jakarta, Indonesia</i>	<i>2/23/2019</i>
<i>Nazarova, Anna</i>	WCF	<i>Chatte Noir</i>	<i>Moscow, Russia</i>	<i>10/28/2018</i>
<i>Pochvalina, Viktoria</i>	WCF	<i>Chatte Noir</i>	<i>Moscow, Russia</i>	<i>10/28/2018</i>
<i>Podprugina, Elena</i>	RUI	<i>Nei Meng Mao Wang Club</i>	<i>Baotou, China</i>	<i>10/27/2018</i>
<i>Podprugina, Elena</i>	RUI	<i>Tianjin Feiming</i>	<i>Beijing, China</i>	<i>12/15/2018</i>
<i>Roca Folch, Yan</i>	FIFe	<i>Jardin Des Korats</i>	<i>Toulouse, France</i>	<i>9/22/2018</i>
<i>Rumyantseva, Nadejda</i>	WCF	<i>Borneo Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>Malang, Indonesia</i>	<i>11/10/2018</i>
<i>Rumyantseva, Nadejda</i>	WCF	<i>Mountain City Cat Club</i>	<i>Xi'an, China</i>	<i>12/1/2018</i>
<i>Rumyantseva, Nadejda</i>	WCF	<i>Cat Fanciers of Finland</i>	<i>Vantaa, Finland</i>	<i>1/19/2019</i>
<i>Trautmann, Jurgen</i>	WCF	<i>Cat Fanciers of Finland</i>	<i>Vantaa, Finland</i>	<i>1/19/2018</i>
<i>Trautmann, Jurgen</i>	WCF	<i>Cat-H-Heart</i>	<i>Brussels, Belgium</i>	<i>9/15/2018</i>
<i>U'Ren, Cheryle</i>	CCCA	<i>Mountain City Cat Club</i>	<i>Xi'an, China</i>	<i>12/1/2018</i>
<i>Zielinski, Karine</i>	WCF	<i>Cat-H-Heart</i>	<i>Brussels, Belgium</i>	<i>9/15/2018</i>

<b>Guest Judge</b>	<b>2017-2018</b>	<b>2018-2019</b>	<b>2019-2020</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
<b>Balciuniene, Inga</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>		<b>11</b>
<b>Belyaeva, Olga</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>		<b>3</b>
<b>Borras, Eduard</b>	<b>1</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>Counasse, Daniel</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>		<b>8</b>
<b>Davies, Allan</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>		<b>14</b>
<b>Du Plessis, Kaai</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Farrell, Terry</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>		<b>12</b>
<b>Gleason, Elaine</b>	<b>3</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Gleason, Robert</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>		<b>4</b>
<b>Gnatkevitch, Elena</b>	<b>8</b>			<b>8</b>
<b>Grebneva, Olga</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>		<b>19</b>
<b>Gubenko, Dmitriy</b>	<b>5</b>			<b>5</b>



Guseva, Irina	1			1
Hamalainen, Satu	7	3		10
Hansson, John	1	1		2
Kolczynski, Kamil	1	1		2
Komissarova, Olga	1			1
Korotonozhkina, Olga	10	6		16
Kurkowski, Albert	2			2
Lemaigre, Marie Claude	1			1
Licciardi, Sandra	1			1
Ling, Christine	6	3		9
Maignaut, Richard	1	1		2
Merritt, Chris	10	4		14
Mineev, Artem	6			6
Monkhouse, Kim	1			1
Nazarova, Anna	4	5		9
Nicholls, Julia	3			3
Norberry, Maureen		1		1
Pobe, Pascal	1			1
Pochvalina, Viktoria	2	1		3
Podprugina, Elena	10	6		16
Rakitnykh, Olga	2			2
Roca Folch, Yan	1			1
Rozkova, Natalya		1		1
Rumyantseva, Nadejda	5	7		12
Savin, Artem	1			1
Slizhevskaya, Tatiana	7	1		8
Thistlewaite, Marisa	1	2		3
Tokens, Sally	1			1
Trautmann, Jurgen	4	2		6
Tricarico, Nick	1	1		2
U'Ren, Cheryle	10	4		14
U'Ren, Rod	7			7
Ustinov, Andrew	3			3
Zielinski, Karine		1		1
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>273</b>

Note: Judges with 9 or more assignments approved in current season have been notified.

### **Education and Recruitment update:**

#### ***Recruitment and Development***

*We recognize the reality of attrition and the need for replenishment and are addressing this issue by not only continuing the very strong education program developed by Pat Jacobberger, but by putting emphasis on learning and teaching beyond the BAOS. These activities take the form of seminars, workshops, breed-oriented events and summits. They include work in the ring often after a CFA show, or on a separate day. Interest has been high and we are hopeful that the opportunities for and interest in these detailed learning opportunities will continue to expand. In addition, the new evaluation program approved in June 2018 has rolled out with significant success. Advancing judges are receiving far more detailed and focused input and subsequent homework after each judging assignment.*

*Examples:*

*Education rings at shows (Darrell) Chongqing*

*Breed Workshops – GEMS, European shows*

**Hannon:** What's next on your list? **Morgan:** OK, and it kind of ties into some of the discussion we just had. I would skip it, but I think it kind of answers some of the questions that Howard brought up, which is the fact that we know that we have attrition and there's a need for replenishment of judges, and we're addressing this issue but it's not a quick fix. One of the things that you have to go into is having a strong education program, and Pat Jacobberger has done that. We're also trying to put some emphasis on learning and teaching beyond the Breed Awareness school, because that's not enough. These activities take the form of seminars, workshops, breed-oriented events and summits. They include work in the ring, often after a CFA show or on a separate day. Frankly, I think it's a great direction we're going in. Interest has been high and we are really hopeful that the opportunities for and interest in these much more in-depth learning opportunities will continue to expand. I know that time is short, but I want to include just a really quick few examples, because I think it's the way we are going to adapt and grow, and start to basically groom people to come into our Judging Program as quality and qualified individuals. Recently a show in China lost a judge. The sponsor required, based on their sponsorship, that they had to have a set amount of rings, but due to circumstances there were simply no viable options for a replacement judge. Darrell Newkirk was judging Saturday and offered to go back on Sunday and conduct an education ring. It went really well. Gavin Cao came in and translated in Mandarin. They had huge interest. It was a great idea. We also have had things like we did with the Bengals and Egyptian Maus at the summit, where we actually had a fully in-depth ring. We had over 40 participants in that program – 40 participants sitting there for over 2 hours going over breed after breed. One ring of Bengals, one ring of Maus. It's the way that we're going to get the knowledge that we need to have qualified judges. I think Peter has done something similar at some of the shows over there in Europe? Peter, where you've brought up cats into the rings? **Vanwonderghem:** No, we haven't done that. **Morgan:** You're going to do something like that, maybe? **Vanwonderghem:** We can agree on that. **Morgan:** OK.

**Morgan:** So, at any rate, we're seeing a big increase in the number of requests from clubs around the world (both CFA and otherwise) for workshops and seminars on topics including specific breeds, show mechanics, grooming, etc. We feel really strongly that our judges are ambassadors for CFA, and we're encouraging judges to participate when invited. We are extremely proud of the fact that clubs within and outside of CFA see our Judging Panel as learned teachers and mentors and value their expertise. However, we want to ensure that we are consistent in the message we send out to the exhibitors. We are providing reference materials and we want to make sure that we organize and keep track of these presentations. As long as they are not being paid, we are assigning CE credits to the appropriate persons. We are developing a process for approval and assignment of those credits.

**Morgan:** We kind of want to talk – because there's some other issues coming up later that we'll talk about. We want to clarify whether or not we need approval if we're going to have a CFA judge go out and do a workshop – whether we need to know about it, whether CFA wants to endorse that, or they can just go and do seminars. **Hannon:** Make a motion. **Morgan:** Do we need approval to have – **Hannon:** You're making a motion that we require approval. **Morgan:** Yes. **Hannon:** Mary seconded. Alright, let's talk about it. Do we want to require approval or can the Judging Program just provide the approval? **Morgan:** That's what we would like, the

Judging Program. **Hannon:** Mary, you are shaking your head. You are saying we want to provide the approval? **Auth:** If someone is representing the brand of CFA, you want to have some sort of control over it. **Morgan:** The Judging Program would like to be able to approve or disapprove of whether we are doing it, but we don't want to have to bring it to the board. **Hannon:** Mary is suggesting that we do it, not the Judging Program. **Auth:** I'm actually suggesting that the board do it, more than the Judging Program. It falls outside of the Judging Program parameters. **Hannon:** Your motion was that – **Morgan:** I'm saying that the Judging Program would provide approval, because this happens a lot. **Hannon:** I didn't think that's what the motion was. I thought your motion was, the board. **Morgan:** I'm changing it. **Hannon:** What about your second? **Auth:** I won't second that. **Currle:** I'll second it. **Morgan:** My concern is, Mary, that we're talking almost every weekend lately – workshops, seminars. I am concerned about getting motions through in a timely manner, to get these things approved, but we could have the board do it. I'm just concerned about the work load on it. **Currle:** I would like to ask Melanie if she would like to amend her motion to only approved allbreed CFA judges to be able to handle that. **Morgan:** However, what if you had someone who said, "I would like" – I need to have a specialty judge. I don't know. OK, let's say I'm a single specialty judge and they want a seminar on the Egyptian Mau. I would say that I think that I might be qualified to do a seminar on the Egyptian Mau. **Currle:** You're not a single specialty judge though. **Morgan:** But pretend I was. **Currle:** You're not. **Hannon:** Somebody that is a single specialty judge may be an expert – **Morgan:** On the Siberians. OK, so Wendy Heidt. There's a seminar on Siberians. Wouldn't we want Wendy to give it rather than, say, me? **Roy:** I'm going to use your example. I agree with Kenny. It should be an allbreed judge, but then Kenny says, "I'm going to include Melanie, who is an expert on Egyptian Maus as part of this seminar." **Hannon:** Maybe we can say, "with exceptions." Exceptions have to be approved. **Morgan:** It all has to be approved. **Hannon:** Maybe your committee could approve allbreed judges, but the board has to approve exceptions. Is that alright? **Morgan:** OK fine. So amended. **Hannon:** She has amended her motion. Her motion was that the Judging Program Committee would approve allbreed judges to conduct such seminars. If it's a specialty judge, then that requires board approval. If someone like Wendy, who is a specialty judge, is asked to do a seminar on Siberians, that she be allowed to do it. **Moser:** OK, but it doesn't have to come to the board. The Judging Program can do it, right? **Hannon:** If it's allbreed. **Morgan:** Allbreed, but not the specialties. **Webster:** If we can just do that online with all the others. **Hannon:** We'll have to wait for the special meeting to see if that's legal. Is there any more discussion? All those in favor of the motion.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Auth voting no.

### **CFA Judge's Workshop 2018 – Atlanta GA**

*This year's Judge's Workshop was held on Thursday, June 28, 2018 from 6:00 PM – 10:00 PM at the Crown Plaza Atlanta Perimeter at Ravinia in Atlanta, Georgia. There were 60 CFA Judges present and no visitors.*

*The American Bobtail and the Scottish Fold were our featured breeds and the presentations were given by the respective Breed Council Secretaries who did an excellent job. Also discussed during the evening: the results of the 2018 CFA Judge's Open Book Examination; the importance of making sure the color and pattern description in the judge's book correspond to*

*the cat on the table; what constitutes solicitation of a judging contract; and disqualification of cats who bite.*

*The judges voted on the breeds to be covered for 2019 and 2020 CFA Judge's Workshops. The breed selected: Siberian, Khao Manee and Lykoi in 2019; Chartreux, Ragdoll and Singapura in 2020.*

### **Breed Awareness and Orientation Schools 2018-19:**

*A BAOS will be held in conjunction with the CFA International Show Presented by Royal Canin on October 11, 12 and 13, 2018 in Cleveland, Ohio, USA. Instructors are Pat Jacobberger, Barbara Jaeger, Anne Mathis, Melanie Morgan, Tracy Petty and Jeri Zottoli. As of today, we have 15 people registered.*

### **Educational Seminars and Workshops:**

*Recently, we have seen a marked increase in the number of requests from clubs around the world (both CFA and otherwise) for workshops and seminars on topics including specific breeds, show mechanics, grooming, etc. Clubs are approaching individual judges and asking them to present these workshops at venues where they are to be judging. For example, most recently, one of our judges judging in Australia, did a presentation on "How To Mark a (CFA) Judge's Book".*

*Our judges are ambassadors for CFA and the CFA Judging Program encourages all judges to participate when invited. We are extremely proud of the fact that clubs within and outside of CFA see our Judging Panel as learned teachers and mentors. To assist our judges, we are making available (upon request), our extensive breed library in PowerPoint as well as judging subjects such as "Managing Your Judging Ring", "How to Mark a Judge's Book", "Condition, Standards and Structure", "Colors and Patterns" and much more to help them prepare their presentation.*

*Participating in a workshop takes a significant amount of time in preparation and we believe that presenters should receive Continuing Education Credit for these activities. To organize and keep track of these presentations and assign CE credit to the appropriate persons, we have developed the following process:*

- *Contact the Chair of the Education Sub-committee of the CFAJP with the details for Continuing Education approval.*
- *Work with the Chair to determine what materials are required. The PowerPoint presentations and/or handouts that are needed will be available or we will provide the judge with resources to prepare the materials on their own if they prefer.*
- *After the workshop or seminar, send the Chair a summary of subject(s) covered, number and names of participants, and the length of time involved in your preparation and presentation.*
- *The Chair will assign CE credits and provide a continuing education certificate.*

### **Judging Contract issues:**

**Hannon:** Next. **Morgan:** Judging contract issues. OK, so we're having problems. Clubs aren't contacting judges when shows are cancelled. More and more this is happening, so the judges sign a contract in good faith, block off their schedule only to discover at the 11<sup>th</sup> hour – because most of these clubs are licensing at the 11<sup>th</sup> hour – **Hannon:** Is this pretty much limited to China? **Morgan:** Pretty much, but some Europe, sorry – that the club has cancelled the show. In many instances, this happens because the club might have contracted all you guys and they didn't really ever have approval for the show, even. So, it's going on. They are just contracting judges for dates they think they might have a show. The judges have no idea that the show is not "real." Even if you come in at 30 days, it might not get licensed so 20 days out they don't know. At any rate, I'm hoping to address coming up with some sort of equalization of the show rules, because right now the show rules are kind of one sided. They basically say the judge can't exhibit if they've had a contract, they can't do this, they can't do that, but the clubs can contract us and then basically hold us up and then cancel the show, not do the show, whatever. So, one solution which I think would put us more in line with standard procedure for contracts out there is to change the way we do contracts. We would have the initiating party; i.e., the club, generate the contract, and sign and send it to the judge. Think about it – right now the current system is such that I might get invited to do a show. I sign the contract, I fill in all my information, I give it to the club. The club supposedly by show rules only has 2 weeks to send it back, but 9 times out of 10 maybe it does get sent back and maybe it doesn't, but they now have a signed contract from me. So, say I contact them and say, "I haven't heard from you in 3 months, I'm assuming my contract is null and void," and I don't hear from them so I move on and take another assignment. Now they come up, they've got it and they say 3 months later, "I've got a contract from you and it's signed, and it was signed a year ago." I have no recourse, so I'm proposing the change the show rules or change our system to have the club put out the contract, sign it and send it to the judge, and I think it kind of returns things back to the way I understand things used to be done. There are a couple clubs that do this now and it's a really cool thing. So, that's what I'm asking for. **Moser:** They sign the contract and send it to you? **Morgan:** They invite you, you say sure. They say, then I will send you a contract. They will be required then to send you a contract that's filled out with their information, and hopefully even says what day you're supposed to judge and whether you are allbreed or specialty. What a thought. **Hannon:** And where. **Morgan:** And they sign it, they send it to you, you sign it and send it back. **Moser:** OK, got it. **Calhoun:** I think the other benefit that will enable you to get a complete contract – because quite often you don't know who the treasurer is. You'll have all that information instead of hunting it down, and you have a commitment through a signed document, a legal commitment, for that club. Otherwise, you don't. I've just gotten through one that I had sent a contract, then sent another contract. They said, "we'll sign it, we'll get back to you." Then I find out when I called Central Office just nosing around, the club is no longer in good standing and I'm sitting there holding the date. The other piece is that, not that this would – even if I had a signed contract and they are no longer in good standing – not to just address that, but I think that it should be somewhere easily to access a list of clubs that last season they were in good standing, this season they are not. So, you can very easily go out and look and say, "do I have a contract with any of these clubs?" **Hannon:** I thought that we provided that at the annual meeting, saying, "the following clubs have been dropped because they're not in good standing." They didn't send in a membership list or they

didn't send in dues. **Calhoun:** I don't know where it is. **Morgan:** Isn't there something on the CFA website that has clubs in good standing? **Calhoun:** I think they have clubs that are in good standing. Only good standing. What I wanted is a short list that tells me who is not. Who has changed? What has changed? **Hannon:** Allene, don't you agree that at the annual we provide that? "The following clubs have been dropped?" **Tartaglia:** Yes. **Calhoun:** But where is it after the annual? **Hannon:** It's in the minutes. **Calhoun:** Could we post it somewhere? **Hannon:** She wants you to make it more obvious. **Calhoun:** Put it on the website. Make it easily accessible. **Tartaglia:** Where would you want the information that's going to be more obvious? **Hannon:** On the website someplace? **Calhoun:** Yeah. Clubs in good standing, clubs that have been dropped. **Colilla:** You can put an asterisk on the club that's dropped. **Hannon:** John, I have no idea what you said. **Colilla:** I said, why don't you put an asterisk on the club that's dropped on the current list for the clubs that are in good standing? That way you can tell the clubs that are in good standing versus the ones that aren't. **Eigenhauser:** Getting back to Melanie's original question, in theory under our current system, if a judge sends a contract to the club and it's never signed, then the offer was never accepted and there is no contract. So, a judge is perfectly within their rights to say, "you never sent it back to me, I contracted with somebody else, you didn't accept my offer to judge for you," and you're done. But I think Melanie's proposed work-around makes it a little clearer and, not to put this indelicately, but puts the onus on the more responsible party to sign second, to avoid confusion and make it a little clearer. So, I support her suggestion that we switch it around so that the club signs first so that we don't have these issues of, did the club sign it or didn't the club sign it? It makes it a little less ambiguous, it makes it a little clearer, and I think it's a fairly straightforward solution to the problem. **Calhoun:** I agree George. One of the things, though, if a judge has a contract and they sign it and they send it to the club and they don't get it back and they don't get it back, and they're not communicating, and they go ahead and accept another assignment, the club now has this signed document. They can sign it and date it and say, "yes, I did," and you didn't get it. **Eigenhauser:** That's why I'm saying Melanie's fix helps that. **Calhoun:** It does.

**Anger:** Three things. The first one is, the people we really need a list from is the ID Committee, showing what dates have been approved. If you have Club X that is planning a show and doesn't even have approval for the date, then that would disqualify that one. Second, back in the day there was a proposal to have the club pay a contracting fee, so when they send me my contract, they send a \$100 deposit on the show. That way, if it's not a real show or not a real assignment, that \$100 is forfeited if their show doesn't go forward. I forget what the third thing is. **Tartaglia:** There was a time when the clubs were required to pay up front, basically what Rachel is suggesting. They would pay that and the Central Office would send them out with judges' contracts that had the club's name on it, so when the judge got the contract they would know they're really serious about this. So, it did come from the club at one time to the judge. It didn't last long. **Morgan:** I think that's kind of a cool idea. **Hannon:** Do we have a motion on the floor? **Morgan:** Yes, to do a show rule. **Hannon:** I'm sure that will look good in the minutes. **Morgan:** Sputter, sputter. To basically make it – **Hannon:** The club has to provide the contract. **Morgan:** The club has to provide a signed contract for the judge in order to invite them, and switch the process. **Auth:** I have a question. We've been in open session all this time, and yet we didn't let people know that. I'm just worried about the perception that, "the board was in executive session for 3 hours." So, I'm just trying to say, if we're in open session, can we invite

the people back? **Hannon:** We can, but it's 20 to 12 and at 12 we're supposed to break for lunch. We can invite them in for 20 minutes. **Auth:** I'm just saying, the perception of exhibitors and interested parties, and I'm just trying to avoid that. **Hannon:** I agree. **Eigenhauser:** We're supposed to go into the closed session portion anyway. **Auth:** I understand that too, yes. **Hannon:** Do you have much left in open session? **Morgan:** Yes. **Hannon:** You do? **Hannon:** But, my agenda! **Webster:** Why don't we do the rest after lunch? **Moser:** Why don't we do the closed session part now? **Morgan:** OK. **Moser:** That makes sense. **Anger:** Do we want to vote? **Hannon:** Why don't we just table that until we're in open session. **Morgan:** Good idea.

[from after Rule Changes] **Anger:** To reverse the contracting process, the club has to provide a signed contract. **Hannon:** That should be open session. Do you know what she's talking about? **Morgan:** Yeah, yeah. **Hannon:** Well, go ahead. **Bizzell:** I actually was making changes to the judging contract to reflect that, that we discussed, just to see what it looked like. Then I looked at the show rules. It's in the show rules, too, so we also have to change the show rules. **Eigenhauser:** We have to refer that to Monte. **Hannon:** But I think we should say in open session what we're planning to do here. **Bizzell:** Right, right. **Morgan:** OK. Our proposal is to adjust the procedures for addressing invitations to judges from clubs, and the way that we handle the contracts that come from them. We would like the new procedures to be that a club will invite the judge. Once the judge has, verbally or by email, accepted the invitation, the club will then fill out a contract, sign it and send a contract with their information complete to the judge, who will then have 15 days to either sign it, or if they do not sign it, it will be a null and void contract. **Hannon:** For the minutes, the purpose is, currently the judge provides the contract. We want to change that so that the club initiates the contract. We will have Monte write show rules up to accomplish that and revise the form, and come back in February or December? **Morgan:** December. **Hannon:** So, the December teleconference. **Eigenhauser:** And for the minutes and for the spectators, the reason is because judges sometimes send contracts out into the ether and they never come back, either signed or with a rejection. This puts the onus on the club to actually sign the contract before they hire a judge, so we know the club is going to sign the contract, rather than leaving the judge hanging. **Black:** So, what was the final verdict on that? **Hannon:** We're going to come back in December. **Black:** Monte is going to write something up for December. **Hannon:** Monte is going to write up a show rule and the contract form is going to be revised. **Phillips:** For September? **Eigenhauser:** For December. **Black:** D – December. **Phillips:** I like February better. **Hannon:** That's too bad, Monte.

### **Judging Program Rule Changes:**

*Several Show Rule proposals regarding Judging Program issues appear in the Show Rules Report. Those rules include Show Rules: 302c, 4.04, 3.13, 6.09d, 9.09b, and 9.14. 21.03*

*In June we brought a number of issues to you for your feedback. We took that feedback, applied it and have revised the proposals to reflect your input.*

*Request to investigate the inequities of show rules regarding who may take assignments in the I.D. Currently any judges from 1-7 must be Approved in one specialty and at least Apprentice in second specialty to be eligible to judge in I.D. while judges from any level in Japan and Europe can judge in the I.D.*

**Hannon:** Open session. Do you have more, or are you through with the Judging Program? **Morgan:** No, no. Alright, Judging Program Rule Changes. Again, October tends to be the meeting for show rule changes, and we're trying to go in lockstep with that and try to limit any changes to the Judging Program guidelines or rules to October, as well. In June, we brought a number of issues to all of you for your feedback with that purpose in mind, of trying to bring them back in October. So, we have applied it and we have the revised proposals here.

***1 – Make provisions to give Apprentice judges from regions 8 and 9 the opportunity to judge in 1-7, but not I.D.***

SECTION 7 – APPRENTICE JUDGES	
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
<b>7.3</b> Clubs may invite any apprentice judge to judge any breed or color for which the apprentice judge is authorized.	<b>7.3</b> <u>In Regions 1-7, clubs may invite any apprentice judge from any region or division to judge any breed or color for which the apprentice judge is authorized. In Regions 8 &amp; 9, clubs may invite any apprentice judge in their respective Region to judge any breed or color for which the apprentice judge is authorized.</u>
<b>RATIONALE:</b> This should give Apprentice judges from Region 8 and 9 the opportunity to judge in their own regions, as well as Regions 1-7 where the shows are more suited to less experienced judges.	

**Morgan:** The first is a request to investigate the inequities of the show rules regarding who make take assignments in the International Division. Currently, any judges from Regions 1-7 must be approved in one specialty and at least apprentice in the second specialty to be eligible to judge in the International Division, while any judges at any level from Japan and Europe can judge in the International Division. So, our first proposal is to give apprentice judges from Regions 8 and 9 to take the opportunity to judge in Regions 1-7 where we think there is great opportunity and a lot of support for them, but not in the International Division. So, that is 7.3. So moved. **Eigenhauser:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion? John, you brought this up. Do you have any discussion on it? **Colilla:** No. Just level the playing field. I have no problem.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**



**2 – Equalize the current ruling regarding I.D. assignments so that it applies to all advancing judges.**

SECTION 10 – JUDGING INVITATION CLARIFICATIONS	
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
<p><b>10.2 Judging invitations to CFA Judges from International Division CFA Clubs</b></p> <p>Invitations from CFA clubs in the International Division may be considered by Approved or Approval Pending judges, including those that are Approved in one specialty and at least Apprentice in the second specialty, or judges at any level that reside in Regions 8, 9 or the International Division. A Judge may judge only the specialty/specialties in which he/she is approved.</p>	<p><b>10.2 Judging invitations to CFA Judges from International Division CFA Clubs</b></p> <p>Invitations from CFA clubs in the International Division may be considered by Approved or Approval Pending judges, including those that are Approved in one specialty and at least Apprentice in the second specialty, or judges at any level that reside in <del>Regions 8, 9 or</del> the International Division. A Judge may judge only the specialty/specialties in which he/she is approved.</p>
<p><b>RATIONALE:</b> This eliminates the inequities that currently exist regarding apprentice judges officiating in the International Division.</p> <p>The environment in the ID can be challenging even for the most seasoned judges. Because the clubs are new they often do not know or follow show rules and Judges are expected to be the authority on procedure and show rules. This can put advancing judges in a very uncomfortable situation even before the actual judging starts. The actual judging is often chaotic and clerk support is inconsistent at best. We do not want to set our newer advancing judges who are still working on developing their own routines up for failure.</p>	

**Hannon:** Congratulations on your first one. Keep going. **Morgan:** This is a continuation of what we just discussed, and just kind of cleaning up all the rules. *Equalize the current ruling regarding I.D. assignments so that it applies to all advancing judges.* So basically the environment in the International Division, as we all know, is challenging even for the most seasoned judges. Because a clubs are new, they often don't know or follow show rules and judges are expected to be the authority on procedure and show rules. That can put advancing judges into a very uncomfortable situation, even before the actual judging starts. So, we don't want to set up our newer judges who are still working on developing their own routines, for failure. This would basically say that advancing judges would not be going into the International Division. **Anger:** Second. **Hannon:** Discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

### 3 – Change Out of Country requirement to distance.

SECTION 8 - ADVANCEMENT PROCEDURES FOR APPRENTICE AND APPROVAL PENDING JUDGES, Paragraph 8.2	
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
c. <b>Judges (all) residing in Region 9 (Europe) and the International Division:</b> A minimum of two (2) shows must be judged outside the country of the judge's residence for each advancement consideration.	c. <b>Judges (all) residing in Region 9 (Europe) and the International Division:</b> A minimum of two (2) shows must be judged <del>outside the country of the judge's residence for each advancement consideration.</del> <u>at least 400 kilometers away from the judge's residence in Europe or the judge's residence in the International Division, for each advancement consideration.</u>
<b>RATIONALE:</b> Changing the Country requirement to distance makes the requirement more equitable.	

**Hannon:** You are on a roll. **Morgan:** Alright, #3. *Change Out of Country requirement to distance.* Remember the first time we brought this up, we had really short distances and it didn't make any sense. We took your recommendations and changed it to the 400 kilometers, so changing the country requirement to distance makes the requirement more equitable versus saying "out of country" because that might be like out of state in some areas of the world. **Anger:** Second. **Currle:** I have a question about this. So, if you're an apprentice judge say, for instance, in Spain and you get a show in France. Would it count? **Hannon:** Only if it's over 400 kilometers. **Currle:** That seems restrictive to me. **Morgan:** They still count. I mean, if I live in Virginia and I got an assignment in Virginia it still counts towards my numbers, but you have to have X number over the distance. **Currle:** It used to be – well, this was years ago – only 2 out-of-region shows. **Hannon:** Didn't they change it here to over 500 miles? **Morgan:** Right, so here it's a minimum two shows. **Hannon:** If you live in Virginia and you're judging in Florida, which is over 500 miles, it counts as if it was out of region. **Currle:** No, I understand that. **Morgan:** You only have to have two for your advancement. It's still two. **Currle:** OK. **Morgan:** It's still only two. We haven't changed that. We're simply, instead of saying "out of country" when it could be 50 miles from your home. **Currle:** I just don't want to place any more roadblocks on advancement. **Eigenhauser:** I kind of agree. I would like to see this in the alternative – it either has to be in another country or at least 400 kilometers to be counted. **Currle:** I would go for that. **Eigenhauser:** Stepping across an international border can be a big step, even if it's less than 400 kilometers, so I would like to see it either/or – either it has to be another country, or if it's in the same country, at least 400 kilometers away. **Hannon:** Peter, talk to us about that. If you're from France and you're judging in Belgium, it's a different country but yet you may only be traveling 50 miles. **Vanwonderghem:** If you're lucky. **Hannon:** I didn't hear him. **Vanwonderghem:** It could be 1,000 kilometers, as well. It really depends where you live. **Eigenhauser:** I think the question is, is the exhibitor base in different countries different enough to be different? **Vanwonderghem:** Yes, at any time. **Hannon:** So, you support the idea of different countries? **Vanwonderghem:** Yes. **Hannon:** Michael? **Schleissner:** I'm fine with the proposal. **Hannon:**

He's fine with what? **Morgan:** The proposal as it is. **Hannon:** OK. Anybody else? It has been moved and seconded, is that right? **Anger:** Yes.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Eigenhauser and Currle voting no.

#### **4 - Expand and define application options**

*1a. 2.6 – give alternatives to club membership requirements*

*1b. 2.15 – give alternatives to traditional cattery visits and define parameters more clearly*

SECTION 2 – APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS	
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
<p><b>2.6</b> An applicant must present a letter from at least one CFA member club which verifies a record of not less than five (5) years of active continuous participation as a club member. The letter must carry the signature of the club's president and secretary. If the applicant holds one of these offices, another club officer must sign. (Relocation of an applicant will be considered by the Executive Board.)</p> <p>a. A detailed, specific resume of club activities must be provided.</p> <p>b. Experience as a show manager or show secretary is recommended.</p>	<p><b>2.6</b> <u>An applicant has two (2) options for club membership requirements:</u></p> <p><u>Option One (1)</u></p> <p>An applicant must present a letter from at least one CFA member club which verifies a record of not less than five (5) years of active continuous participation as a club member. The letter must carry the signature of the club's president and secretary. If the applicant holds one of these offices, another club officer must sign. (<del>Relocation of an applicant will be considered by the Executive Board.</del>)</p> <p>a. A detailed, specific resume of club activities must be provided.</p> <p>b. Experience as a show manager or show secretary is recommended.</p> <p><u>Option Two 2:</u></p> <p><u>An applicant must be a current active member of a show producing club and been active in show production for the past three (3) consecutive years.</u></p> <p>a. <u>A detailed resume of the past three (3) consecutive years must include the name of the club and date of the show and the duties provided. It must include if the applicant was present the entire show from beginning to end of either a one (1) day or two (2) day show.</u></p> <p>b. <u>The resume must have the name and signature of the Regional Director, Show Manager and Show Secretary. If the applicant held one of these offices, another club officer must sign.</u></p>

**RATIONALE:** We would like to provide options to applicants so that we do not lose qualified individuals due to requirements that may not be achievable in their area.

**Morgan:** #4, this is the beginning of our attempts to try to do what people seem to want to do, which is to try to give people more options in the application. It is not an attempt to try to make them harder, it's an attempt to make them easier and give people alternates. #1, 2.6 is to give alternatives. The purpose here is to give alternatives to club membership requirements because, especially in areas outside of the continental U.S., you might have a club that might not last long enough, so someone might have been a member of a club for 4 years, etc., and then the club goes belly up. Then, someone who had that requirement is no longer eligible to apply. So, we're giving other options with show participation to replace the club requirement, and we took, again, the recommendations from the board. We just don't want to lose qualified individuals due to requirements that may not be achievable for one reason or another. **Anger:** Second. **Morgan:** Thank you. **Hannon:** Discussion. **Black:** I was just going to thank Melanie for thinking outside the box in a lot of ways. I like the fact that she is making alternative plans for people that had difficulty trying to meet the requirements, and I fully support this. **Hannon:** Any other comments? Was there a motion and second on that? **Morgan:** Yes.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
<p><b>2.15 Cattery Visits:</b> The owner of the visited cattery, must belong to the Breed Council of the breed to be evaluated, and must so verify on the Cattery Visit Form. In all cases, a variety of breeds of varying body types are recommended. Comments on all cats handled are required and one (1) or two (2) photographs showing the applicant handling the cats visited.</p> <p>a. Longhair applicants must submit a minimum of five (5) longhair cattery visitation reports.</p> <p>b. Shorthair applicants must submit a minimum of seven (7) shorthair cattery visitation reports.</p>	<p><b>2.15 Cattery Visits:</b> <u>An applicant has two (2) options for cattery visits:</u></p> <p><u>Option One (1)</u></p> <p>The owner of the visited cattery, must belong to the Breed Council of the breed to be evaluated, and must so verify on the Cattery Visit Form. In all cases, a variety of breeds of varying body types are recommended. Comments, <u>including strengths and weaknesses</u> on all cats handled are required and one (1) or two (2) photographs showing the applicant handling the cats visited.</p> <p>a. Longhair applicants must submit a minimum of five (5) longhair cattery visitation reports.</p> <p>b. Shorthair applicants must submit a minimum of seven (7) shorthair cattery visitation reports.</p> <p><u>Option Two 2:</u></p> <p><u>The owner of the visited cattery must have bred litters in the last two (2) years and have a minimum of five (5) Grand Champions of the breed to be</u></p>

	<p><u>evaluated and must so verify on the Cattery Visit Form. In all cases, a variety of breeds of varying body types are recommended. Comments including strengths/weaknesses on all cats handled are required and one (1) or two (2) photographs showing the applicant handling the cats visited.</u></p> <p><u>In either option, the following is required:</u></p> <p>a. <u>Longhair applicants must submit a minimum of five (5) longhair cattery visitation reports.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Shorthair applicants must submit a minimum of seven (7) shorthair cattery visitation reports.</u></p> <p>c. <u>In order for a cattery visit to count, the applicant must evaluate a minimum of seven cats/kittens in same visit.</u></p> <p>d. <u>Cattery visits may be in-home, at a show or in a hotel as long as the minimum numbers have been met.</u></p>
<p><b>RATIONALE:</b> Feedback from applicants is that while they find the cattery visits beneficial, they also find that scheduling them can be difficult – many breeders do not want people coming to their homes and many more well qualified individuals are no longer Breed Council Members. We want the applicant to have the opportunity to learn from the experience and we want to encourage them to spend their time with well-qualified individuals, not spin their wheels trying to find someone who is a Breed Council Member and will let them come to their home.</p>	

**Hannon:** Let's move on to the next one. **Morgan:** Cattery visits have become a bone of contention among many of the applicants. As I speak to them, time and time again the biggest complaint they have about our requirements is how difficult it is to do their cattery visits and to find people who meet the requirements that we set forth for cattery visits, so I want to expand the options on this by giving, again, some alternatives. We're not saying they can't stick to what we had before, which is, they have to be a breed council member and they need to be this, but we're saying that there are other ways to meet those criteria. For example, they're having trouble finding breed council members, especially overseas, but even here in the United States. If the owner of your visited cattery has bred litters in the last two years and has a minimum of 5 grands, we feel that they would certainly have the expertise to be able to give you a meaningful visit, and we wanted to specify what the cattery visits are. So, that's basically the rationale and impetus behind this one. **Anger:** Second. **Morgan:** Thank you. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

2. *Limit number of times an individual can apply.*

SECTION 5 – ACCEPTANCE TO THE JUDGING PROGRAM	
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
5.6 None.	5.6 <u>Any applicant whose application is denied, is able to reapply only two (2) times for a total of three (3) times. If after the 3rd application, he/she may no longer apply.</u>
<b>RATIONALE:</b> It takes a significant amount of time, energy and resources on the part of the applicant, the Judging Program Committee and the CFA Board of Directors to put together, review and consider each application. We understand that circumstances change, so are providing provisions for applicants to address concerns and reapply. However, to additional attempts should provide ample opportunity to address the issues.	

**Hannon:** Next **Morgan:** This last one, we have two choices. We can either table it for me to rework or I can give you what it should have said. It should have read, *Any applicant whose application is denied is able to reapply only two times, for a total of three applications. If after the third application, he/she may no longer apply.* That's not what it says. **Anger:** Second, as amended. **Morgan:** Thank you. **Hannon:** That's forever? **Morgan:** Yes. **Eigenhauser:** I'm not a big fan of forever. I mean, there may be somebody that is kind of flaky and not a really good candidate for our Judging Program today, and 10 years from now they're a different person and they may be well qualified and they may have more experience and they have a better eye. They may have learned and they may have grown. This says they can never reapply after three tries – never, under any circumstances, and I'm always a believer in redemption. I believe that it may be futile to apply the fourth time, but I'm not willing to write somebody off forever. **Currle:** I agree with George. Sometimes you get somebody just too darn young coming in early who has met the minimum requirements, if you will, and they don't do the right thing. As George says, they can change, if they remain and become a good part of our association. So, I don't agree that we should set a time limit as far as application to our Judging Program. I think everybody should be afforded the opportunity if they want to serve this organization in that capacity. **Moser:** OK, what about, you have a judge that applies for longhair specialty. They get turned down three times but they're also qualified in shorthair specialty. So then, you can go back and start applying in shorthair, correct? **Hannon:** No. You said three times. **Moser:** Well, it says three times. In this case, though, you should be able to go back and apply in the other area. **Currle:** That's a good point. **Morgan:** That's a really good point. **Moser:** That's what happened to me. Surprise. **Currle:** Three times? **Moser:** No, I didn't quite make it, but I got through. **Morgan:** Let's table this baby. **Hannon:** Alright, she has tabled it. What else do you have for open session? **Morgan:** I am done. **Anger:** No you're not. We had the contract thing. It was the order that we do the contract in.

**Issues with Trainees/Advancing judges:**

*No new issues at this time*

**Acceptance:** *The following individuals are presented to the Board for acceptance:*

**Accept as Trainee:**

<i>Lyn Knight (Longhair – 1<sup>st</sup> Specialty)</i>	<i>18 yes</i>
<i>Oscar Silva Sanchez (Longhair – 1<sup>st</sup> Specialty)</i>	<i>18 yes</i>
<i>Teo Vargas (Shorthair – 2<sup>nd</sup> Specialty)</i>	<i>18 yes</i>

**Advancements:** *The following individuals are presented to the Board for advancement:*

**Advance to Apprentice:**

<i>Gavin Cao (Shorthair – 1st Specialty)</i>	<i>18 yes</i>
--	---------------

**Advance to Approval Pending:**

<i>Amanda Cheng (Shorthair – 2nd Specialty)</i>	<i>16 yes; 2 no (Webster, Moser)</i>
<i>Frank Dueker (Shorthair – 1st Specialty)</i>	<i>15 yes, 1 no (Hannon); 2 abstain (Koizumi, Moser)</i>

**Hannon:** Melanie, can we go back to the Judging Program open session? **Morgan:** Yes. **Hannon:** It is 2:17 and we have a 3:00 hearing. **Morgan:** No problem. **Hannon:** I just wanted to say. **Morgan:** Just saying. Alright, I would like to announce the results of the advancements – the ballot on advancements and acceptances. Lyn Knight was accepted as a longhair trainee with a vote of 18 yes. Oscar Sanchez was accepted as a longhair trainee with a vote of 18 yes. Teo Vargas's shorthair application was accepted with a vote of 18 yes. Gavin Cao was advanced to apprentice with a vote of 18 yes. Amanda Cheng was advanced to approval pending, the vote was 16 yes, 2 no. Frank Dueker was advanced conditionally with a vote of 15 yes, 2 no, 1 abstention. **Hannon:** Wait a minute. Amanda had 16 yes, 2 no. He had 16 yes 2, not and an abstention. There are only 18 of us. **Currle:** 15, she said. **Morgan:** 15 yes. Everyone was advanced. Congratulations to all.

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Melanie Morgan, Chair*

After an executive session discussion, the following motions were made:

**Ms. Morgan** moved that until legal solutions are achieved and the situation in China is resolved, a moratorium on approving additional WCF guest judges for shows in China be adopted. Seconded by **Mr. Vanwonterghem**, **Motion Carried**. Eigenhauser voting no.

**Mr. Eigenhauser** moved that, while transporting cats, judges are strongly encouraged to know and comply with international import/export laws of any country through which and into which the cat is carried. Seconded by **Ms. Anger, Motion Carried.**

**Ms. Morgan** moved that, while transporting goods purchased abroad, judges are strongly encouraged to know and comply with international import/export laws of any country through which and into which the merchandise is carried, including declaring goods purchased in a foreign country. Seconded by **Ms. Anger, Motion Carried.**

**Ms. Morgan** moved that for the November 3/4, 2018 Cat-H-Art show in Toulouse, France, Show Rule 4.04 (which states that a club must have a complete show license application package in Central Office a minimum of 30 days prior to the opening day of the show, including payment in full of all fees) be upheld. Seconded by **Mrs. Moser, Motion Carried.**

**Mr. Mastin** moved, for the Cat-H-Art club and Jardin des Korat club, to uphold the Show Rules regarding payment of outstanding monies due from past shows, and that no future shows be licensed until CFA invoices are paid in full. Seconded by **Mr. Currle, Motion Carried.**



(5) **PROTEST COMMITTEE.**

**Protest Committee Chair George Eigenhauser** gave the Protest Committee report containing recommendations for disposition of pending matters. **Motion Carried [vote sealed].**

---

**Brief Summation/Current Happenings of Committee:**

*The Protest Committee met telephonically on September 13, 2018. Participating were George Eigenhauser, Dick Kallmeyer, Betsy Arnold, Norm Auspitz, and Joel Chaney. Linda Berg and Melanie Morgan participated in parts of the call. Pauli Huhtaniemi submitted comments on certain matters in advance of the meeting.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*Ongoing protest investigations and recommendations.*

*Respectfully Submitted,*

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "George J. Eigenhauser, Jr.", with a stylized flourish at the end.

*George J. Eigenhauser, Jr.  
Protest Committee Chairman*

(6) **RECOGNITION RECOMMENDATION.**

[Executive Session]

**(7) DISASTER RELIEF.**

*In February 1998 CFA incorporated “The Cat Fanciers’ Association Disaster Relief Fund” (the “Fund”) in Minnesota as a nonprofit corporation. It then qualified with the IRS as a §501(c)(3) tax exempt entity EIN: 41-1937616. As a result most donations to the fund were deductible by the donors on their federal income or estate taxes.*

*On June 15, 2010 their federal tax exempt status was automatically revoked for not filing a form 990 return (or 990n) for three consecutive years. The IRS sent them notice informing them that they had lost their tax exempt status and outlining the procedure to apply for reinstatement. It appears the notice was sent to the Fund at an officer’s address in Stephenville, TX. The Fund did not inform CFA that they were no longer tax exempt or that donations were no longer tax deductible. The CFA Board first learned about their tax problems in August 2011. The Fund’s last known president and treasurer were contacted. They were provided with a link to the IRS web site explaining the reinstatement process and assistance was offered. They were still within the grace period to apply for reinstatement but their treasurer responded that they had already considered that option but their books were too messy to even try. Instead they had talked to an attorney who advised that they could create a new corporation and dissolve the old one. The CFA Board took their donation links off the CFA web site to avoid legal entanglements until the tax matter was resolved. The Fund informed CFA that they intended to create a new nonprofit corporation and dissolve the old one.*

*A little over a year later, in December 2012, the Fund failed to file their Minnesota corporate renewal forms. In February 2014 the Fund was involuntarily dissolved by Minnesota for failing to keep up with their corporate filings. The name was released and the Fund no longer exists as a corporation. At the October 2017 CFA Board meeting the Fund’s last president, as listed by MN, was advised that Minnesota had dissolved the corporation.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*The Fund is still operating although without any apparent legal status to do so. In August 2018 CFA was informed that the Fund was making a donation to the rescue and relief effort following the fires in Greece. The status of the Fund was also being discussed during evaluation of insurance coverage for CFA related entities. The Fund was contacted regarding CFA’s insurance and they indicated they are not a CFA subsidiary. They plan to incorporate but the treasurer has not yet submitted the papers due to pressing family matters. The Fund confirmed said that as a result of the Fund’s donation: “CFA is getting the credit as the Europeans do not realize these are separate entities.”*

*On August 28, 2018 the Fund was advised that their status, including insurance, tax and legal issues presented will be taken up at the October CFA board meeting. They were advised that since the CFA Disaster Relief Fund is not authorized to use CFA’s name in conjunction with any new entity they may be creating unless approved by the CFA Board.*

**Hannon:** What else do we have for closed session. Disaster Relief, is that open session?  
**Eigenhauser:** I’ve tried to sanitize this as much as I could. **Hannon:** Do you want to do this in

closed session? **Eigenhauser:** I would like to do it in closed session and then move the conversation into open session, with redactions as necessary. I'm just concerned that if we're going to talk about tax and legal issues of a CFA-affiliated entity, somebody may blurt out a name or something and I just don't want that done in open session. You can't un-ring a bell, but I've sanitized the report as much as I can. It itself is suitable for open session. I'm just afraid comments might take us across the line. **Auth:** What are you talking about? **Eigenhauser:** Disaster Relief. [discussion returns to previous item]

**Hannon:** Disaster Relief, back to you. **Eigenhauser:** It's pretty much self-explanatory. We used to have a corporation called the Cat Fanciers' Association Disaster Relief Fund. In 2011, I accidentally discovered that they had lost their tax-exempt status in 2010. We communicated with them back in 2011 and they decided that the easiest course of action would be to simply abandon the old corporation and create a new one. That was 7 years ago. Nothing has happened. We have been in communication with the powers that be there. They are still transacting business under that name, even though the corporation no longer exists.

#### **Future Projections for Committee:**

*If the action item below passes a committee will need to be appointed. The new fund will need to be incorporated and obtain §501(c)(3) tax status. The new corporation will need officers and directors and operational documents. The role of people involved in the old corporation in this new corporation will need to be determined.*

#### **Board Action Items:**

*(1) CFA create a new tax exempt §501(c)(3) corporation for disaster relief activity where animals are involved.*

*(2) That the former "The Cat Fanciers' Association Disaster Relief Fund" be advised that they are not authorized to use that name or any other name confusingly similar to CFA or the Cat Fanciers' Association without approval from the CFA Board.*

**Eigenhauser:** So, I have two items. One is for CFA to create a new disaster relief corporation and for us to take charge of the process. Number 2 is to tell the old entity that they're not to call themselves "Cat Fanciers Association" anymore unless the board approves it.

**Hannon:** Are you making a motion? **Eigenhauser:** Yes. **Hannon:** Is there a second? **Black:** I'll second. **Hannon:** Discussion? **Black:** So, who are these individuals you're talking about?

**Eigenhauser:** See, I'm trying to avoid putting names in the minutes. And the other one is somebody who asked me not to out them, who lives in [omitted] who was the treasurer. **Black:** They are going under the guise of CFA Disaster Relief when they go into different situations?

**Eigenhauser:** They just made a donation to Greece under that name, and in correspondence from the unnamed person, she mentioned that it's a good thing for CFA because there's confusion between the names and people think this money is coming from CFA. That's exactly what we don't want, is an entity that's not operating within the letter of the law. **Hannon:** We've told her she cannot continue to do that. **Black:** OK. **Eigenhauser:** That was done by the executive committee, so the second part of this is really to get board ratification, because I really do think

it's inappropriate for an entity that has lost their tax status, has lost their corporate status, to be using our name. Even if they're giving money to people in need and we're getting good publicity for it, it's still a legal quagmire that I want us to avoid. We can vote on these in two parts if you want, but we need a disaster relief entity. I know the response back is going to be, "my dog ate the homework, I was just going to get to it tomorrow," but it's been 7 years guys. **Hannon:** There has been a motion and a second. Any more discussion? **Calhoun:** Are we voting on them together? **Eigenhauser:** Are we going to vote on them together or separately? **Hannon:** Together.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Hannon:** Are we through with you, George? **Eigenhauser:** Yep. **Hannon:** For now. **Eigenhauser:** Although at some point the President is probably going to have to appoint a committee to be in charge of creating the new entity.

**Hannon:** Regional Entity Status, John. **Randolph:** I wanted to get a report to you because I've been working on this for some time. At the annual this year – **Moser:** Are we still in closed or open? **Eigenhauser:** Oh, excuse me. Before we leave Disaster Relief, since we kept the names out of it, I would like to suggest we move it into open session for the minutes, unless somebody has a problem with it.

(8) **REGIONAL ENTITY STATUS.**

**INCORPORATION OF CFA REGIONS  
ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF INCORPORATING  
IN NEW YORK AND OHIO**

<b>OHIO</b>	<b>NEW YORK</b>
\$99.00 filing fee for articles.	\$75.00 filing fee for articles.
Certificate of Continued Existence required every five years \$25.00.	Not-For-Profits exempt from biennial filing fee.
A minimum of three directors required.	A minimum of three directors required.
Can open Ohio bank accounts without having to qualify as a foreign corporation to transact business in Ohio. Bank accounts could be maintained by Central Office.	Probably not feasible to open bank accounts in New York. May be required to qualify as a foreign corporation to open bank accounts in other states.
No franchise taxes in Ohio. No other recording fees.	Not-for-Profits exempt from New York corporate franchise taxes. No other recording fees.
Registration with and annual reporting to the Ohio Attorney General is required for corporations located in Ohio.	Registration in New York required only if the corporation owns property, engages in charitable activities or solicits contributions in New York.

**Hannon:** Alright John, open session. Go. **Randolph:** OK. This is a follow-up on our amendment to the constitution that was passed at the annual this year. What I was going to look at was my recommendations on how we incorporate these regional entities. One of the goals is to allow Central Office to take care of all the paperwork, the filings that have to be done, and that kind of narrowed things down to our state of incorporation of New York and Ohio. We could look at Delaware but that's expensive, so I narrowed it down to the two. From a legal standpoint, they are virtually identical. The law permits us to do what we need to do. I favor Ohio for a couple of reasons. One of the problems we run into with these entities is, we have to create bank accounts so the regions can deposit their treasury money in those bank accounts. What we run into is, if they try to open a bank account in Florida, wherever we incorporated the region they're going to have to qualify that regional entity to do business in Florida. Now, that may not be true in all 50 states, but that's a problem I've encountered in the past. My recommendation here is that they be incorporated under Ohio law. Central Office knows what it has to do to comply with Ohio law in terms of reports. There's a report to the AG's office. I understand it's not too involved here in Ohio. It would also allow them to open the bank accounts here. If we pick the right bank, I would think that in most cases we could – let me finish George and then you can jump in because I'm not going to go too long. We can find a branch there. I don't think that's

going to be a major impediment, but before going forward I wanted some input and discussion with the regional directors in terms of how they feel about this, and the rest of the board, as well. I did a simple spreadsheet. Really, in terms of the legal issues, there really aren't any between the states of New York and Ohio.

**Hannon:** Any discussion? He is looking for feedback. **Currle:** So, for instance, my region, the Southern Region, we have all of our bank accounts in a Florida bank. We would have to move it to Ohio? **Randolph:** I think you have your bank account with BB&T, don't you? **Currle:** I'm not sure. **Randolph:** I think we ran into a problem down there. Hopefully we can – well, we've got new entities so you're going to have to get new taxpayer ID numbers for these entities. My hope is, we can find a bank here that also has offices in Florida, but in terms of those bank accounts they are going to have to be moved anyway. **Currle:** Alright, they would have to be moved to Ohio. **Bizzell:** To a branch of a bank that does business in Ohio and in Florida. **Currle:** So, BB&T may very well already do it here. **Randolph:** I don't think we've got a BB&T in Ohio. We can look into that. **Hannon:** Verna, do we have – which bank is it? **Currle:** BB&T. **Hannon:** Do we have BB&T bank in Ohio? **Dobbins:** No. **Randolph:** Branch Bank & Trust. I don't think we do. They are mainly south. **Black:** What about Chase? **Dobbins:** Chase we have. **Colilla:** Switch to Chase. Chase or PNC. **Currle:** We'll see what else. Just give me a list of the banks that do business in Ohio and we'll just switch banks. **Dobbins:** OK. **Randolph:** I think the thing to do is get some feedback on what we've got and I can look at that and see if it's an issue. That's one of the concerns I have. **Currle:** Just send the guidance to my treasurer. **Randolph:** The idea is to make it simple, then if we have a problem, rather than people who aren't familiar with things, have Central Office in a position to solve that problem so we don't have a situation no one knows how to handle. **Black:** John, I have no idea what the requirements are going to be for each region. Can you put together a list of what we would have to do? **Webster:** We're kind of in the dark. **Black:** Some kind of fee we have to pay each year to keep our status? **Randolph:** That's what this spreadsheet is about. If we incorporate all these in Ohio, based on the regional activity I don't think we're going to have to qualify these in every state in the region, so that's the whole purpose. Try to keep this simple, have Central Office take care of those filings and pay the fees or collect that from the regions, but the idea was to simplify it. **Black:** Our bank would have to be a bank that does business in Ohio. **Randolph:** That's my thought. If we can find that, that will make it easy. Then if there's a problem where something happens with the regional representatives or something, we've got an ability to deal with it here in Ohio. **Black:** Do we have to do any reporting annually, as to what our bank balances are or anything like that? **Randolph:** We still have to file tax returns. That's the 990. That's the postcard filing, unless we have in excess of \$50,000. So, Central Office again would handle that. Yeah, I think there will still be an accounting in terms of what those treasuries are to Central Office, but they take care of the filings and everything. That was the whole purpose behind this – to simplify it and streamline it. **Anger:** Ken, BB&T has branches up to the Mississippi river on the west and up to the Michigan and New York border on the north. So, they have Ohio branches, and Texas. **Currle:** BB&T does have them in Ohio? **Dobbins:** I found one. **Anger:** There's three. **Randolph:** That might be a possibility.

**Eigenhauser:** There are a couple issues being raised here and they are somewhat divisible. The first is, what state should we incorporate in, New York or Ohio? As John said, you

go down the list state by state. Ohio has the convenience of, that's where Central Office is. We wouldn't have to qualify as a sister state corporation in Ohio if we incorporate in Ohio. So, all other things being equal, there's no compelling reason not to have it in Ohio. So, that's the first issue – where should we incorporate? The second has to do with the regional bank accounts. In theory, a non-profit corporation may have to register in any state in which it does business. If we have the bank accounts in Ohio, that voids that foreign registration, because you don't have any financial activity going on anywhere but Ohio, so that makes it easier. Right now, you say you have your account in Florida, but the next regional director may want to have the regional accounts in Virginia, so it bounces around. Florida, West Virginia, whatever – Ohio gives us stability, it gives us certainty. We don't have to keep crossing different state lines saying, "OK, what's the requirement for an Ohio corporation to open a bank account in Virginia? What's the requirement for an Ohio corporation to have to open a bank account in Florida?" We're all Ohio accounts – simple, clean, easy. There are enough big banks in the United States that have offices in every state of the United States that we ought to be able to find a bank that operates in Ohio, operates in all the states in your region, so whatever state the regional director happens to be in, in any given year, or the regional treasurer, we don't have to keep moving things around and requalifying in different states. So, that creates a great deal of simplicity. The exact bank is something that can be worked out offline and we don't have to worry about things like that at the board level. One other thing I wanted to toss in as a suggestion was, in the 1990's when CFA talked about doing this the first time around and the Southwest Region actually incorporated briefly, the fallback suggestion had been to make the CFA executive director an additional authorized signature on the regional bank accounts so that they would be able to call up the bank and say, "send me the records I need to fill out the tax return." I'm suggesting that unless the regional directors object, that's something you might want to consider doing when we open these accounts so that Central Office can do the job they need to do, which is fill out the 990s each year. As John mentioned, as long as your income is under \$50,000, you just file this little "we still exist, we're under the limit." **Currle:** Is that income per year or balance? **Eigenhauser:** It's income. It's not the balance on the account, it's income. **Black:** \$50,000 a year in income? **Eigenhauser:** Yes. And if they do go over \$50,000 you just have to fill out a tax return. There's no penalty, there's no taxes, it's just that you have to fill out a full 990 rather than just doing the little check box 990N. **Auth:** I'm just looking for clarification of whether it was income or balance, because we have a balance over \$50,000. **Eigenhauser:** It's income.

**Moser:** OK, so you're saying that you want to have an additional signer on the account. I have a problem with this, because I just went through this trying to get a new treasurer. They want your first-born child. I mean, this is ridiculous what you have to go through to get a signature added to an account and then, if you want me to move my bank account to somebody else somewhere else that is in Ohio – I know if it's Wells Fargo then that would be in Ohio, but if that's not the case, that's a whole different story. I had to sign 40 pages to do my own personal account, and you think I want to do that with a regional account where I have a treasurer that's up in Canada and then myself and another signer? **Eigenhauser:** Like I said, that's something the regional directors can work out with CFA, but if CFA is doing your tax returns for you, CFA has to have access to your financial information. **Moser:** Have access to our financial information? Sure, I don't care about that. **Eigenhauser:** And how you work that out is fine. I'm just saying, that's something that was tried in the 90's because we weren't getting the reports from the region



we needed in order to be able to do their tax returns. This has been going on for 20-some years, that the regions have not been providing the information. Getting access to the bank accounts doesn't give you the back-up documents we need – the invoices and things – but at least tell you that if there was a \$50,000 deposit, I guess we're over now. **Webster:** We're talking about income. **Eigenhauser:** Correct. **Webster:** So, that's minus all your expenses and everything, right? **Eigenhauser:** No, it's gross receipts. **Webster:** In our region, our website now is secure and we have advertisers on Amazon and all this that we get a percentage back and we're adding a bunch more, so our region is going to be making more money. It goes through our website and goes to Chewy, Amazon. There's 5 now on there and there's going to be more, so we'll be having an income. **Randolph:** I think George has already answered that question. If you're over \$50,000 – **Webster:** No matter how much you bring in. **Randolph:** – to disclose that income. If you're under, this is a real check-the-box form. It's called a postcard because that's how big it is, but that's a good thing if you're over \$50,000. It's just going to take more work. **Eigenhauser:** CFA makes millions of dollars each year and so we file a full return. We don't pay any penalty for going over \$50,000. We don't pay any taxes for going over \$50,000, although there is certainly the possibility that if you have too much unrelated business income, the IRS might treat that differently than your nonprofit income, but the bottom line is, the only penalty for going over \$50,000 is, you have to file a tax return. For a nonprofit entity it's generally an informational return, there's no money due. **Auth:** And you're talking gross income? **Eigenhauser:** Correct. **Bizzell:** Receipts.

**Hannon:** John, do you have anything else you want? Do you want any more feedback from us? Do you have what you need? **Randolph:** I think I have what I need. I wanted to see if there were other suggestions. George brings up a good point that was in the back of my mind. **Black:** Could you please let us know? All the regional directors, what we need to do? **Hannon:** I have no idea what you said. **Black:** OK. I was just telling John, make sure he reaches out to the regional directors and let us know what we need to do. **Hannon:** John will reach out to you. **Anger:** Do we need a motion between Ohio and New York? **Randolph:** I don't think so. This was kind of a status report and then I'm going to move forward. I wanted to get some input before I did a lot of work.

**(9) CITATION HEARING.**

Tabled until February 2019.

(10) **CLUB APPLICATIONS.**

*Committee Chair: Carol Krzanowski*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*New clubs applying for CFA membership were reviewed and presented to the Board for consideration. Assistance and guidance were provided to clubs with questions and issues regarding membership and applications.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

***Policy Clarification Request***

*A club in Turkey has expressed interest in applying for CFA membership. The club is currently a member of WCF and wishes to maintain that affiliation, even if accepted by CFA. Ideally the club would like to produce two shows a year, one in CFA and one in WCF, and register cats in both associations. WCF does not object to the club also becoming a member of CFA.*

*Typically clubs resign from their previous association when they become CFA members. The CFA Constitution does not specifically address the situation of clubs maintaining dual association membership, and there does not appear to be any existing Board policy that would apply in this case.*

***Action Item:*** *Determine if the club in Turkey is eligible to apply for CFA membership without severing their relationship with WCF.*

**Hannon:** Carol, are you ready for clubs? **Krzanowski:** Yes I am. OK, club membership and club applications. Before I get into the applications, I do have a policy clarification request. **Krzanowski:** A club in Turkey wishes to join CFA. Currently the club is a member of WCF, and they do not wish to sever ties with that association. They would like to work with both associations, register cats in both associations and produce shows in both associations. Their plan is to produce one show a year with WCF in October and one with CFA in January. Normally clubs resign from their previous association when they are accepted by CFA. The CFA Constitution does not specifically address the issue of dual association membership, but some sections do limit the level of CFA participation that would be allowed. The club is very interested in joining CFA. We are requesting a clarification of policy to determine if dual membership is permitted. **Hannon:** Don't we have something close to that with Rolandus and RUI? **Krzanowski:** We thought so, but we couldn't put our finger on any kind of written policy about it – not a board guideline, there's nothing in the constitution. So, that's why I thought it was best to bring it to the board and get a clarification. **Hannon:** Where is this? **Anger:** Turkey. **Hannon:** Turkey, oh. **Eigenhauser:** In this particular case, I'm very concerned because, as Carol mentioned, there are little bits in the constitution that kind of vaguely touch on it but don't specifically say yes or no, but there is in Article XV – Discipline, Section 2.b., *Clubs can be punished for holding of a cat show not licensed by this association.* So, it would seem that, in this specific instance, they would be constitutionally barred if they intended to put on shows in

both associations. So, I think in this instance that's a problem but in general I think we should have a policy that we prefer they sever their relationship with the other association, but if there is some reason – like they need to be part of the other association for pedigrees or some other thing – we'll at least listen. I don't think it should be an absolute bar, but I do think if they continue to put on shows in other associations, that should be an absolute bar. **Bizzell:** Wouldn't this be a case of having two clubs that just happen to have the exact, same membership? A CFA club and a WCF club? **Krzanowski:** It's one group that would be a member of both associations. **Bizzell:** Right. For instance, let's say I was not a judge and I was the president of a CFA club. I could also be the president of a TICA club. **Currle:** Yes. **Bizzell:** It could be the same group of people. **Hannon:** But not the same club. Because if it's the same club, then he's got an issue with the constitution. But if it's two clubs with the same name, same membership, one is affiliated with WCF and one's affiliated with CFA. **Krzanowski:** Then there's the possibility, what if they choose a different name from our association than they have in their association? **Hannon:** We don't care. What do we care? **Vanwonderghem:** Just for a clarification, Rolandus – we all speak about Rolandus, but it's Rolandus Union International, which is the Ukrainian association. Their other name is Rolandus Cat Club. That's the CFA club. They operate under two different names. **Hannon:** Wouldn't you agree there's a close relationship? **Vanwonderghem:** It's the same people but it's two different things. I think that's what we should do with Turkey, as well. **Krzanowski:** A different name. **Vanwonderghem:** A different name. **Auth:** So, would that mean that the Ukrainian club is breaking a rule? **Eigenhauser:** No, because they have a separate name. **Auth:** Separate name, OK. My question really was, do we have a club in Turkey now? **Krzanowski:** No. This would be the first. **Hannon:** What do we want to tell Carol about this? **Eigenhauser:** I would like to tell Carol that they should go back and form another club. It could be the same people, but form a separate club to be CFA. **Krzanowski:** Under a different name. **Currle:** Different name, different corporation. **Hannon:** It could be a close name. One could be cat club and the other could be cat fanciers. **Vanwonderghem:** I don't think that necessarily they would have to start a new corporation for that. In their local market, the second name under the same corporation, but for the exhibitors [inaudible]. **Eigenhauser:** Then they wouldn't be two different clubs. They would be one club doing business under another name. **Hannon:** Rather than having several people make suggestions, I would rather have a motion. George? **Eigenhauser:** I move that we not allow a club that is a member of another association to put on shows in that other association, but if they create a separate club for that purpose we will consider that application. **Vanwonderghem:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Hannon:** We might even encourage an in-conjunction show.

### ***New Club Applicants***

*Four clubs were pre-noticed for membership. They are:*

- *Java Feline Society, International Division - Asia; Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs*
- *Noah International Cat Club, International Division - Asia; Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs*

- *Saudi Cat Club, International Division - Rest of World (ROW); Ken Currle, Chair*
- *World Lykoi Association, Region 4; John Colilla, Director*

**Java Feline Society**  
**International Division - Asia; Jakarta, Indonesia**  
**Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs**

*The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 17 members. No member is a member of another club. This is an allbreed club that was dropped from the CFA membership roster in June 2018 for failure to submit a 2018 club membership list. The club has produced CFA shows in the past and as a result, most of the members have a variety of CFA show production experience. The majority of the members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors, two members are licensed Master Clerks, and some other members have clerking experience. If accepted, the club plans to produce one show a year in Bandung, Jakarta and other large cities on Java Island. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to a non-profit organization that cares for cats. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Co-Chairs support this club.*

**Hannon:** Let's get on to your new clubs. **Krzanowski:** OK, on to club applications. The first club is Java Feline Society. This club is based in Jakarta, Indonesia. Located on the northwest coast of the island of Java, Jakarta is the capital of Indonesia and its largest city with a population of over 10 million. This was a former CFA member club that was dropped last June because they did not submit their 2018 membership list. Most of the members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors and two are Master Clerks. The club has produced CFA shows in the past and as a result, the members have a variety of show production experience. This club wishes to sponsor a clerking school and hopes to act as a resource for new CFA breeders and exhibitors in their country. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans produce three shows a year in Bandung, Jakarta or other large cities on the island of Java. The International Division-Asia co-chairs support this club. I move that we accept this club. **Currle:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Noah International Cat Club**  
**International Division - Asia; Beijing, China**  
**Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs**

*The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 18 members. No member is a member of another club. This is an allbreed club that was dropped from the CFA membership roster in June 2018 for failure to submit a 2018 club membership list. In the past, the club has produced a number of CFA shows in China and as a result, the members have a variety of CFA show production experience. All members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors with CFA registered cattery names. Several members have extensive clerking experience and one plans to pursue her Master Clerk license. If accepted, the club plans to produce one or more shows a year in Beijing, Langfang and Ningbo. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated*

*to a charitable cat related organization. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Co-Chairs support this club.*

**Krzanowski:** The next application is Noah International Cat Club. This club is located in Beijing, the capital of China. Beijing is situated in northeast China at the northern tip of the North China Plain. It is bordered by Tianjin to the southeast and surrounded by Heibei Province. With a population of nearly 22 million, it is the world's second most populous city. This was a former CFA member club that was dropped last June because they did not submit their 2018 membership list. The club has produced a number of CFA shows in the past and as a result, the members have a variety of show production and clerking experience, including one member who intends to become a Master Clerk. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club hopes to bring CFA shows to some new cities and plans on producing several shows a year in Beijing, Langfang and Ningbo. The International Division-Asia co-chairs both support this club and I move that we accept. **Black:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion? **Calhoun:** This is the club that I mentioned earlier on. **Currle:** Louder. **Calhoun:** This is the club that I mentioned earlier on, because they were dropped. So, the only clarification that I want, because for all judges that were under contract prior to them being dropped, they didn't notify. **Hannon:** Maybe they assumed CFA would. **Calhoun:** I don't know, but I just want some clarity around the fact that those judges are no longer contracted under the old club. At some point in time, for several months since the end of last season, the club was not in good standing. **Hannon:** There is the understanding that any contracts this club held prior to being dropped were void. **Eigenhauser:** Well no. Any contracts this club held prior to being accepted now are void. **Moser:** I'm confused. Kathy, what do you mean? Did they have air fares or anything out on them? **Calhoun:** I don't know about anyone other than – I did not have air fares. I was contracted in March of 2018. I submitted a contract, I didn't hear back. I inquired about the contract, I didn't hear back. I inquired a third time about the contract and they said that they didn't get it. I sent it again. **Hannon:** By June they were dropped. **Calhoun:** By June they were dropped, but this is all going on through the summer months, July and August. **Hannon:** Why? They were already dropped. **Calhoun:** They didn't say anything about being dropped, so finally I went to Central Office and I said, "what is the status of this?" There was nothing pending, there was nothing licensed, there was nothing on the show schedule. Then I went to Central Office and asked the question. I was informed by Central Office that they were no longer in good standing. At that time, I emailed them and said, "because you are no longer in good standing, I consider this contract cancelled." I don't know if anyone else was under contract. **Moser:** Well, right, and to me this is bad behavior. So, I mean, why do you want to have them come back if there was bad behavior in the first place? I mean, no, not you [Calhoun]. **Colilla:** I have a question. The members of this club, are they the same members in the old club? If they're the same, we need to make sure – I think there's a surcharge outstanding, if I'm not mistaken, on this club. **Krzanowski:** I do not know. I don't have a full membership list. **Colilla:** I was trying to collect money from this club one time. **Hannon:** Verna is checking. **Colilla:** If the membership are the same, they better pay up before we accept them. **Hannon:** We can call Rich at the wedding and ask. **Calhoun:** Let's not. **Colilla:** Oh, they paid us? Ignore what I said. I tried to collect money from them. **Hannon:** Alright, we're waiting Verna. What's the answer? **Dobbins:** I said they are paid. They're all paid. **Colilla:** OK, that's good. **Hannon:** Anything else?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Morgan and Moser voting no. Calhoun abstained.

**Eigenhauser:** Before we go to the next one, I would like to make the suggestion that since there may be other judges out there in Kathy's state who don't know that this is going on, that maybe it should be posted to the judges' list. Let them know that we just reinstated this club. Anything from this date forward is good, but you're released from any prior contracts.

***Saudi Cat Club***  
***International Division - ROW; Jeddah, Saudi Arabia***  
***Ken Currle, Chair***

*The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 20 members. This would be the first CFA club in Saudi Arabia. No member is a member of another club. The four club officers are active breeders and exhibitors with CFA registered cattery names. Some other members have cattery names and most are currently breeding and exhibiting a variety of pedigreed cats. Additional club members are cat lovers. Some of the members have been participating in the Kuwait shows, where they have learned about show production. The K-Kats club has been very supportive in helping the Saudi club get started. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to produce one show a year in Jeddah. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to cat shelters and rescue organizations. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - ROW Chair supports this club.*

**Hannon:** Next club. **Krzanowski:** The next application is Saudi Cat Club. This club is located in Jeddah, a city on the coast of the Red Sea in western Saudi Arabia. It is the largest seaport on the Red Sea as well as a primary resort city. With a population of about 4 million, Jeddah is the second largest city in Saudi Arabia. Some members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors who have been participating in the K-Cats shows in Kuwait. Other members also have cattery names and are breeding a variety of pedigreed cats. The K-Cats club has been very supportive and has kindly assisted this new group of cat fanciers in completing their application. While the members of this club have no experience producing shows as yet, they are very enthusiastic and eager to bring CFA to their country. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, they plan to produce one show a year in Jeddah. I move that we accept this club. **Hannon:** Kenny? **Currle:** They are great. There was a rumor running around that men and women cannot be in the same room in Saudi Arabia. That's true in certain cities, but not in Jeddah. Everyone would be welcome in Jeddah to participate at one of our CFA shows. K-Cats has been a big help in that area, not only to them but the new club in Egypt. So yes, I fully support this. **Eigenhauser:** I didn't hear a second. Was there a second? **Anger:** Not yet. Go for it. **Eigenhauser:** I second.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**World Lykoi Association  
Great Lakes Region; Port Sanilac, Michigan, USA  
John Colilla, Regional Director**

*The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 12 members. This is a Lykoi breed club based in Region 4 with members residing in various regions. Three of the club members are also members of other CFA clubs. All of the members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors with a variety of CFA experience. If accepted, the club plans to promote the breed through exhibiting, showcasing events and pet me events at shows, social media and digital advertising. The group is already committed to presenting the Lykoi at this year's International Show. Initially this will not be a show-producing club, but they hope to work toward eventual ring sponsorship and show production by the time the breed reaches championship status. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to The Winn Feline Foundation. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The Great Lakes Regional Director supports this club.*

**Hannon:** World Lykoi Association, Carol. **Krzanowski:** This club is based in Port Sanilac, Michigan. Because this is a breed club, the membership is geographically widespread throughout a number of regions. All of the members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors with a variety of CFA experience. If accepted, the club will work to help promote the Lykoi breed through exhibiting, showcase events, pet me events and digital advertising. This group has already been actively promoting the breed at various shows, and they will have a presence at this year's International Show as well. The club was formed to promote the Lykoi breed, so initially this will not be a show-producing club. It is their hope that they will be in a position to sponsor rings or produce their own show by the time the breed reaches championship status. I move that we accept this club. **Eigenhauser:** Second. **Hannon:** John? **Colilla:** I have no problem accepting them. **Eigenhauser:** I would just like to say, I'm really encouraged to see them forming a breed club. It shows their commitment to CFA, their interest in moving forward and I think it's a good move on their part. **Colilla:** I agree. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Calhoun abstained.

**Hannon:** The treasurer and member of the club abstained.

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*Process and submit new club applications for consideration by the Board.*

**Time Frame:**

*October 2018 to December 2018 CFA Board teleconference.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*All new clubs that have applied for membership and satisfactorily completed their documentation.*



*Respectfully submitted,  
Carol Krzanowski, Chair*

**Hannon:** Do you have anything else for Clubs, Carol? **Krzanowski:** That's it for Club Applications. **Hannon:** What I'm going to do is call for a 10 minute break. At 3:00 we'll come back for the appeal hearing.

**(11) CFA FOUNDATION.**

*Committee Chair:* Donald J. Williams  
*Liaison to Board:* Carol Krzanowski  
*List of Committee Members:* Don Williams, Carol Krzanowski, Liz Watson, Kathy Calhoun, Karen Lawrence, Pam DelaBar, Desiree Bobby, Lorraine Shelton, John Smithson

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*The Feline Historical Museum is managed by the CFA Foundation, and continues to rotate displays on a regular basis, which attracts visitors to the Alliance area.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*The annual meeting of the CFA Foundation was held in Atlanta in June. We elected a new Executive Board, and added several new board members, as follows:*

*President:* Don Williams  
*Vice-President:* Carol Krzanowski  
*Secretary:* Liz Watson  
*Treasurer:* Kathy Calhoun  
*Board Members:* Pam DelaBar, Desiree Bobby, Karen Lawrence, Lorraine Shelton, John Smithson

*We thank the organizers of the CFA International Show for donating booth space to us. Our plans for the booth are in place, and we look forward to welcoming exhibitors and spectators to browse our displays. Our new board member, John Smithson from New Zealand, will be at the show and will give four presentations about cat history in the Education Ring.*

*Karen Lawrence made a presentation about the history of cats and the museum to the Salem Historical Society meeting in early September, which was well attended. A video of the presentation can be found on YouTube.*

*We are currently working on producing several videos about the museum that can be distributed through social media to explain more about the museum and our collection.*

*We continue to add cat stories to The History Project web site as time allows.*

*The Abyssinian breed display will be open until October 31<sup>st</sup>.*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*The month of November will be spent converting the breed display room into our annual exhibition of Christmas cats.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*We will continue to keep the CFA Board of Directors informed of CFA Foundation activities.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Karen Lawrence*

**Hannon:** While we're waiting, do you want to do the Foundation Report? Do you have anything you want to cover? **Krzanowski:** You all received the report. There's really nothing to add. We're looking forward to participating in the International Show next week in Cleveland. I think we're going to have a wonderful display for everyone to look at and I hope you'll all take time to come and visit the booth.

**(12) MENTORING COMMITTEE.**

**Committee Chair:** Kathleen Hoos  
**Liaison to Board:** Carol Krzanowski

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*Since being asked to chair this Committee I have reviewed past reports and the current information on the CFA Site. After considerable thought and discussion with CFA exhibitors, a two prong approach has been designed. Phase one involves recruiting and training SHOW MENTORS. These people would be available at show to answer questions and provided help to new people and experienced exhibitors. Designating someone who is patient and willing to have their day interrupted with questions should make it easier for everyone to find answers and assistance when needed.*

*Phase two is developing and training Cattery Mentors. These would be experienced breeders who are willing to provide support to new people. Emphasis will be placed on establishing a good relationship with their vet, and NOT providing medical treatment. Help with how to accomplish their goals, how to care for their cats and kittens will be available in an on line, moderated group.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*Show mentoring pins have been ordered, and we hope to start at the International Show. The on line support group for show mentors is up and running. Just a note, new people with questions on line would be referred to the Newbee program. This program will work closely with the Newbee program.*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*The show Mentoring aspect will be implemented and evaluated so that it can be adjusted for maximum value. Once this aspect is up and running the Cattery Mentoring aspect will be started.*

**Time Frame:**

*Show mentoring to Start at the International. The Cattery Mentoring will begin prior to the start of the New Year.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*Response to the show mentoring. Names of show mentors and the use of the show mentoring on line education follow-up.*

*More detailed information on the Cattery Mentoring aspect will be presented.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Kathleen R Hoos, Chair*

**Hannon:** Mentoring. **Krzanowski:** We are happy to announce that our show mentor buttons are in and this portion of the new Program will be launched at the International Show next week, as well. So, watch for some people wandering around the show hall wearing these buttons. If you see anyone that needs assistance or needs help, be sure you direct them to these folks. **Hannon:** I'm very excited about Kathi Hoos taking over and giving us some new direction with this Program, and trying to put some life back into it. I think this is really going to be helpful to us.

**(13) NEWBEE COMMITTEE.**

*Committee Chair: Teresa Keiger  
Liaison to Board: Kathy Black  
List of Committee Members: Kathy Black, Sande Willen*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*The CFA NewBee Program continues to progress. Every month we have a few more individuals sign up for the group.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*I have noticed that one or two new members have noted that they wish that they had discovered the group earlier, or that when I mention the program to exhibitors, they aren't aware of it. We currently have links on the CFA website, most if not all of the regional websites, catalog ads sent in with the show package, and cards for members to hand out. I am querying the group now to see if they can determine other outreach opportunities that we have missed.*

*One desire of mine has always been to have a "Welcome to CFA" package to send to new breeders as a goodwill gesture. Some thoughts that we had for items included:*

- 1. Letter of welcome*
- 2. Coupons from a couple of our sponsors  
Royal Canin food and breeder program info;  
I don't know if Sturdi Products does vouchers or what;  
Voucher for \$10 towards Cat Talk*
- 3. Grand and Regional scoring chart*
- 4. Some sort of cat toy or tease....not sure about who to get those from, or how many?*
- 5. CFA Breed guide*
- 6. Links to NewBee and CFA website  
How to register a cattery (CFA)  
Preparing to enter a show (newbee)  
Link to shows in your area (regional websites)*

*Our thought is to have all items sent out from CFA Central Office. We would need to consult with Brian Beutel as to the least expensive manner of shipping, and design the package to fit that manner. (envelope, package, or other)*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*Continue to explore methods for better program outreach.*

*Finalize details in regards to welcome package and determine both an estimated cost per package and number of packages for a fiscal year.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*Report on suggestions to better reach new exhibitors*

*Report on welcome package with projected expense, request for moving forward on that project*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Teresa Keiger, Chair*

**Hannon:** NewBee. Who is doing NewBee? **Anger:** Kathy Black. **Hannon:** Are you doing NewBee? **Black:** I guess I am. I just want to say there's quite a bit of movement with the Program. We actually looked into getting the NewBee name copyrighted. John told us we really couldn't do that, but we really wanted to be more CFA focused. We started a FaceBook page. We've got quite a bit of effort going into that, quite a few people that have signed in to make that one of their favorites. We have coordinators in all the different regions now to help with the new exhibitors. So, there's quite a bit of movement now with the NewBee Program, so we're excited.

**(14) APPEAL HEARING.**

[Executive Session]



**(15) LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE/GROUP.**

**Legislation Committee Chair George Eigenhauser** gave the following report:

<b>Committee Chair:</b>	<b>George Eigenhauser</b>
<b>List of Committee Members:</b>	<b>Joan Miller, Phil Lindsley</b>
<b>CFA Legislative Group:</b>	<b>George Eigenhauser, Sharon Coleman, Kelly Crouch</b>

---

*Congress has returned to Washington DC from their summer recess. Fortunately, few bills having a negative impact on cat fanciers are under consideration at this time. Many state legislatures have concluded their current session but the CFA Legislative Group is still tracking a handful of “active” state bills. We continue to monitor the remaining bills around the country along with new local legislation being introduced and hearings on “hot” matters.*

*The Pet Industry Joint Advisory Council (PIJAC) provides us with a list of state, federal, and local legislative proposals based on animal-related parameters we provide. We then review the bills and local ordinances being proposed to select the most relevant for CFA tracking. We also track proposed legislation by subscribing to pet-related lists on the Internet and receive information from a multitude of sources. We network with other animal groups, such as the dog fancy, about proposed or pending laws and follow their legislative tracking.*

*While the PIJAC tracking works well for state bills, local legislation (city/county) continues to be problematic. Local (city and county) governments may be active any time of the year. Ordinances may be introduced on a variety of cat related subjects, often with very short notice. We heavily rely on our “grassroots” network of fanciers to report proposed pet-related legislation in their area. It cannot be stated strongly enough: “You are the eyes and ears of the fancy.”*

*The CFA Legislative Group maintains the CFALegislativeNews Facebook page where we post news articles and information from other animal welfare groups of interest to our audience in real time. Our CFALegislativeNews page has now grown to 486-page likes and 502-page followers. Page reach is the number of people who had any post from the page enter their screen. Although page reach is important, after two years, post reach and post engagements are more important for assessing the effectiveness of the page.*

*From June 23, 2018 to September 23, 2018, our posts have reached 6,694 people even though the majority of state legislatures that have adjourned for the year. During the same time post engagements (likes, comments, shares and other types of engagements) was 956. We can also see the reach and engagement data for individual posts. These engagements are important in that they demonstrate we are connecting with our followers. Zero engagements translates to zero connections. Engagement is also important because it increases the probability that our audience will see our posts. We can also see which posts resulted in the most engagements. For this period, the posts that resulted in the most engagements were Dallas County (mandatory spay/neuter), Ohio H.B. 506 posts (dog breeder law), Plattsburg, NY posts (restricting the feeding of community cats), New York state (local group calls for statewide cat licensing following a bite by a rabid cat), and Forth Worth, TX (includes giving citizens a choice of*

*microchip or city license for their pets, multi-pet permits with neighbor approval, retail pet shop ban, TNR program).*

*It is important to note that post engagements for legislative news are likely to change throughout the year. Unlike local governments that are typically year-round legislative bodies, state legislatures are not constantly in session, so our reach and engagement will vary as state legislatures go in and out of session. CFALegislativeNews is accessible at <https://www.facebook.com/CFALegislativeNews/>*

*The federal “Farm Bill” has a four-year cycle, and 2018 brought the process of enacting a new law. The respective houses have passed their own versions and are now in the conference stage for which there are 9 Senators and 47 Representatives appointed as conferees. A conference was held on September 5, 2018 and CFA continues to monitor developments although we are not directly involved at this time.*

*The “Dog and Cat Meat Trade Prohibition Act of 2018,” H.R. 6720 by Florida Representative, Vern Buchanan, was introduced on September 6, 2018 and would prohibit the slaughter of dogs and cats for human consumption and activities associated with such slaughter including, but not limited to, sales and transport.*

*The “Animal Violence Exposes Real Threat of Future Gun Violence Act of 2018,” H. R. 6278 by Massachusetts Representative Katherine M. Clark, would amend current U.S. Code to prohibit possession of a firearm by, or disposition of a firearm to, a person who has been convicted of a misdemeanor crime of animal cruelty.*

*\* CFA e-Newsletter, September 2018 **“New York A.B. 465 and New Jersey A.B. 1454 Updates, and Shamong Township, New Jersey Breeder Moratorium Ordinance”** by Kelly Crouch, CFA Legislative Information Liaison. In an update to the April 2018 What’s Hot article, New York Assembly Bill 465 would have increased the number of cat and dog breeders subject to regulation as pet dealers under New York law. Fortunately A. B. 465, and identical bill S.B. 5556, died when the legislature adjourned in June 2018. New Jersey Assembly Bill 1454, discussed in the February 2018 What’s Hot, would increase the number of fanciers subject to restriction. Pet dealer provisions would include kennels/catteries that sold more than ten cats or dogs in one year. It expanded the annual reporting requirements. It also tried to address lack of availability of the USDA inspection reports on their website. While A.B. 1454 remains in the Assembly Agriculture and Natural Resources Committee, an identical bill, S.B. 2658, was introduced in the Senate on June 4, 2018. Finally, fanciers in Shamong Township received a win after the Township Committee chose unanimously to table a proposed animal control ordinance on second consideration. However, it was tabled, not killed. Resident fanciers should remain vigilant for revival of the ordinance or any related ones.*

*\* CFA e-Newsletter, September 2018 **“Pet Night on Capitol Hill”** by George Eigenhauser, CFA Legislative Coordinator. Pet Night on Capitol Hill was held on September 6, 2018 in Washington, DC. The Human Animal Bond Research Institute*

*(HABRI) and the Pet Leadership Council (PLC) hosted the event. CFA was a sponsor thanks to donations to the Sy Howard fund. Other sponsors included AKC, NAIA, AVMA, AAHA, AHA, other veterinary organizations, and pet industry leaders such as Bayer, Petco, Petsmart, Nestle, and many others. Attendees include members of congress, their staff, federal agency leaders, news media and pet industry representatives. Leslie Herman, Ritch Tindall, Michael Piziali, Tracy Petty, and George Eigenhauser staffed the CFA booth and worked the crowd. George Eigenhauser was CFA's representative at the post Pet Night luncheon meeting of sponsors and leaders from the pet industry. The Pet Industry Joint Advisory Council (PIJAC) took the lead in the discussion of legislative and policy matters of interest to the stakeholders. Thanks to everyone who helped.*

*George J. Eigenhauser, Jr., Chair*

**Hannon:** George, how quick is Legislative? **Eigenhauser:** I'm done. Legislative and Winn have no action items. Unless somebody has a question, I'm done with both of them.

(16) **WINN FELINE FOUNDATION.**

Winn Foundation Liaison George Eigenhauser presented the following report:



***PRESIDENT'S REPORT TO THE CFA BOARD OF DIRECTORS***

<b><i>Board Members:</i></b>	<b><i>Steve Dale, George Eigenhauser, Vickie Fisher, Susan E. Gingrich, Dr. Brian Holub, Anthony Hutcherson, Dr. Glenn A. Olah, Lorraine Shelton, Dr. Dean Vicksman, Dr. Drew Weigner, Janet Wolf</i></b>
<b><i>Veterinary Consultants:</i></b>	<b><i>Dr. Shila Nordone (NC State, College of Vet Med, Immunologist) Dr. Joe Hauptman (Michigan State, College of Vet Med, Statistician, Surgeon, Partially Retired) Dr. Carol Johnson (CFA member, Pathologist, Potrero Biosciences pathology services)</i></b>
<b><i>Veterinary Advisors:</i></b>	<b><i>Dr. Melissa Kennedy (U. of Tenn., College of Vet Med, Immunologist, Virologist) Dr. Patricia Gallo (Boston, MA, DVM, PhD, Veterinary Practitioner, Veterinary Nutritionist) Dr. Lauren Demos (Exclusively Cats Veterinary Hospital, Waterford, MI, Feline Veterinarian, Veterinary Dermatology Research)</i></b>
<b><i>Scientific Advisor:</i></b>	<b><i>Karen Greenwood (Vice President of Research and Development, Parnell Veterinary Pharmaceuticals, Kansas City, Missouri) Dr. Tracey Williams (Senior Principal Scientist, Global Therapeutics Research, Kalamazoo, Michigan)</i></b>

---

Winn Feline Foundation's outline of accomplishments and ongoing projects from the past 3 months:

## **Grant Program**

- **2018 Miller Trust Grant Proposal for the Winn Feline Foundation**  
*Winn receive 10 proposals for review through the George Sydney and Phyllis Redmond Miller Trust Fund/San Francisco Foundation. We have been allocated \$132,104 to be directed toward feline health research studies this year. Proposal topics include kitten diarrhea, kidney disease, gastroprotectants, precision medicine/genomics, and brachycephalic breed health, to name a few. Proposal review is schedule for November 2, 2018, 12pm (ET).*
- **FIP Research Update** *A recent media release announced licensure and commercial development of GC376, an antiviral FIP drug demonstrated to cure some cats with FIP. The research on the drug was coordinated between Dr. Yunjeong Kim of Kansas State University and Dr. Niels Pedersen of University of California-Davis. Because of the research results, the Kansas State University of Commercialization coordinated a licensing agreement with Anivive Lifesciences, a California company with proprietary software able to advance discovery and development of new pet medications. While GC376 is still several years from release, if FDA approval is successful, it promises to revolutionize treatment of this fatal disease.*

*Winn is proud to have supported these researchers exploring the possibility of antivirals to combat FIP (e.g. for GC376 - Winn projects W13-020 and MT13-006). Over many years, Winn has supported more individual FIP research studies than any other non-profit. Since 2008, the Bria Fund for FIP Research approved funding for 24 FIP studies from US and international researchers. In addition, 9 FIP-related studies have been funded through the George Sydney and Phyllis Redmond Miller Trust and the new Shelter Medicine Review supported by PetSmart Charities. More than 8 earlier FIP studies were supported by Winn from the 1970s to 2004.*

## **Financial Status**

- *Winn will have funded over \$6.5 million in health research for cats at more than [30 partner institutions](#) worldwide by the end of 2018. 2018 Winn grant funding was \$286,571 and 2018 Miller Trust grant funding allocation is \$132,104; thus, 2018 grant funding estimate is \$550,000. Winn Endowment fund is over \$2,400,000 and healthy.*

## **Donor Programs**

- *We are beneficiaries in three Estates pending. One is the Estate of Dolores Sink and we have recently received \$400,000 from that Estate. Another final amount is expected when the Estate finally wraps up which will likely be less than \$100,000. We split the Estate with Morris.*
- *The second Estate, the Robert Hale Estate in Oregon is moving along. We have engaged a legal representative, Mercedes Rhoden-Feely from a firm in Portland, OR. We reached*

*an agreement with the other beneficiary and a summary judgment has entered with the court. The Estate will likely be completed within the next year.*

- *We are also beneficiaries of the Estate of a CFA member, Oneta Cox. Winn will receive 2% of the Estate and any profit from the sales of her cats. It is unknown what the financial value will come to in this instance and we have not had any paperwork sent to us since the initial notice.*
- *Nancy Sullivan, an on-off Winn donor, plans to keep Winn in her Will. Thank you Ms. Sullivan.*
- *Winn had a great fiscal year. The financial reports and a summary of the FY is included with the board reports. Winn should be proud of our financial status at the end of this year.*

### **Management, Infrastructure and Systems**

- *Julie Legred, CVT is the new Executive Director of the Winn Feline Foundation. Legred has held leadership positions in veterinary medicine throughout her career. Most recently she served as the Executive Director of the National Association of Veterinary Technicians in America, where she was instrumental in elevating the status of technicians and supported the current transition to be called veterinary nurse. She represented over 15,000 veterinary technicians/nurses around the country. Legred was previously the veterinary technician specialist for Banfield the Pet Hospital. Legred, who has appeared at most major veterinary meetings in America, is well known throughout the veterinary community, and continues to serve on several Boards. Welcome her to our family if you bump in to her!*
- *Winn Board Member, Dr. Drew Weigner, is the president-elect and will take the helm starting in July 2019*
- *Immediate past and retiring Executive Director, Dr. Vicki Thayer, will be sorely missed. But, she will be reachable and amendable to consultation. After serving on the Board of Directors since 2008, Thayer was hired as the Executive Director in 2014. Among Dr. Thayer's many accomplishments:*
  - *Established October 20th as Cures for Cats Days, plus the focus this year with campaign on Feline Kidney Disease.*
  - *Established scholarships for veterinary students with a feline medicine interest with the American Veterinary Medical Foundation (AVMF) and American Association of Feline Practitioners (AAFP).*
  - *Established the New Feline Investigator Award (now named for former Board member Fred Jacobberger).*

- Streamlined and updated the Winn Feline grant proposal practices/policies/procedures.
- Instrumental in keep Winn website and face to the publish fresh.
- Winn will be refreshing our website within the next few months. Stay tuned.
- Dr. Lauren Demos has been appointed to the Winn Review Committee. Dr. Demos graduated Summa Cum Laude from Northern Illinois University with an undergraduate focus in acoustical physics, jazz performance, and computer music, and was a four-year recipient of the prestigious Northern Illinois University Scholar Award. She subsequently attended Murdoch University in Perth, Australia, performing post-graduate research on feline papillomaviruses and earning her Bachelor of Veterinary Medicine and Surgery. Her research was published in Veterinary Dermatology October 11 2013, and we have provided a link to the abstract on PubMed.: [Papillomavirus-associated multicentric squamous cell carcinoma in situ in a cat: an unusually extensive and progressive case with subsequent metastasis.](#) Her particular interests in feline medicine include cardiology, infectious diseases and dentistry. Dr. Demos is the 2017 President of the American Association of Feline Practitioners, and serves on the Board of Directors for the Veterinary Information Network. Additionally.
- Anthony Huthenson was appointed as a new Winn board member. Anthony has been active with TICA, serving as the TICA Mid Atlantic region director. His has been a member of TICA for over 22 years. He brings considerable experience regarding production, broadcasting, communication, feline education and, of course, in particular, anything related to Bengal and Ocelot cats breeds.

### **Promotion and Brand Building**

- Dr. Thayer has maintained our monthly Winn newsletter and content for the CFA newsletter. The Winn mascot, Winnie, continues to share Winn news and engage readers. Betty White continues to provide content about Winn for the CFA newsletter when needed.
- Ms. Legred, Dr. Thayer, Ms. Salvaggio and Dr. Olah keep the Winn Facebook website up-to-date.
- Dr. Olah continues to represent Winn Riders for Feline Health cycling club at various biking events. Winn Rider online store was open for purchase of bike kit, bike jerseys, bike shorts, or bike sport shirt. Mr. Robert Thayer has also sported a Winn jersey with an Irish kilt to help Winn be noticed and grab your attention. His efforts are in competition with Dr. Olah, who has been seen sporting a Winn jersey with lederhosen while on vacation in Germany. Being part of the Winn family can be quite fun.

### **Ongoing and Coming Events**

- Cures4Cats Day-October 20, 2018, health campaign scheduled!

*This year, to support our Cures4Cats awareness program and celebrate our 50th anniversary, Winn Feline Foundation will be announcing the launch of a new campaign to find answers for feline kidney disease (FKD).*

- *Miller Trust Grant Review is scheduled for November 2, 2018 at 12noon (ET) via teleconference.*
- *Winn Board Meeting is scheduled for October 26, 2018 at 12noon (ET) via teleconference.*
- *Bria Fund is moving forward with collaborations with ZenByCat and Winn will be communicating with Giliead Pharmaceuticals regarding treatment for cats with FIP.*
- *Winn is in the midst of its 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary (2018), Merck Animal Health Corporation sponsored our 50<sup>th</sup> Winn Feline Foundation Anniversary Book.*

*Respectfully submitted,  
Glenn A Olah DVM, PhD, DABVP (feline)  
Winn Feline Foundation, President  
<http://www.winnfelinefoundation.org>*



(17) **CLERKING COMMITTEE.**

**Committee Chair:** Daniel Beaudry  
**Liaison to Board:** Carol Krzanowski  
**List of Committee Members:** Carol Krzanowski, Monte Phillips, Shirley Dent, Cheryl Coleman, Michelle Beaudry

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*The Committee has successfully completed testing, licensing, and award presentation for the 2018 season. Feedback on potential improvements to the biannual licensing requirements has been solicited from various clerks, judges, and show producing clubs.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*We are looking at various ways to streamline and improve the re-licensing process to help the program appeal to a wider audience. Licensed members have been encouraged to participate in this process. Clerking schools are being approved and taught at a rate of several per month worldwide. There is a positive esprit de corps, and more individuals are feeling empowered to express their opinions and become more active proponents of the program.*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*Progress with the Online Clerking School has been slower than anticipated. We are currently working towards a more segmented, lesson-style approach which would be less bulky in an electronic sense, as well as facilitate more flexible scheduling for distance learning, translation efforts, and ease of access for both students and teachers. As an example, one lesson is tentatively titled “Basic Show Mechanics: Class Judging”, would run roughly 30 minutes including slides and brief video presentation of the material, and would be an integrated requirement of an Online Clerking School.*

**Board Action Items:**

*None at this time*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Dan Beaudry, Chair*

**Hannon:** Carol, did you have any action items for the Clerking Program? **Krzanowski:** It’s strictly an update report. If you have questions, let me know but otherwise we’re through.

(18) **CFA CAT AGILITY.**

**Committee Chair:** Jill Archibald  
**Liaison to Board:** Sharon Roy  
**List of Committee Members:** Nikki Feniak

---

*Proposal to allow all 2 day shows to have separate agility competition on both days.*

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*Many clubs with standard 2 day shows are requesting Board approval to have two separate agility competitions, one for each day. It would save Board approval for each show. Most two day shows are now requesting this.*

*Adding this approval to Article XXXVII on agility rules will allow clubs to offer this without board approval each time*

**Board Action Items:**

*To allow separate agility competition each day on a standard two day format. Each day will be scored towards regional and national points.*

**Hannon:** Agility. Sharon, you've got an action item. **Roy:** An action item. This came about, actually Mark asked me to ask Jill to talk to Nikki about this. They did agree that we should be able to just allow without board approval if you have a regular two-day show that you can have separate agility on both days. We just need to vote on it. **Hannon:** Do you want to make a motion? **Roy:** So moved, to allow. **Black:** I second it. **Hannon:** Any discussion? This avoids them coming to the board each time they want to do separate scoring on Saturday and Sunday. It came up before but the Chair of the Agility Program objected to it, so now she's proposing it. All those in favor.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Eigenhauser:** A follow-up on Agility. Do we need to direct Monte to incorporate that into the Show Rules? **Roy:** Yes. Yes, you do. **Krzanowski:** I'll inform Monte. **Black:** Also, does that apply to any shows coming up in October? **Hannon:** Yes. **Eigenhauser:** Effective immediately. **Hannon:** Effective immediately. **Anger:** I have a list of four clubs that requested this. I'll notify them. **Hannon:** OK.

*The Ringmaster fees are per day. Essentially they receive compensation for both days. Jill also asks that it is highly recommended that the club also consider an assistant ring master. It is a separate fee each day for entries.*

Sharon Roy  
For Jill Archibald

**(19) EXPERIMENTAL SHOW FORMAT REPORT.**

*Committee Chair: Sharon Roy*  
*List of Committee Members: Melanie Morgan*

---

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

***Summit judging for Breed Club shows and shows that are honoring” breeds.***

*Proposal for discussion.*

- *Any breed club hosting a show can schedule breed summit judging at their show. They should be encouraged to do so.*
- *Any club “honoring” a breed can ask for breed summit judging at their show. This should be limited to only two breeds or division per show.*
- *It should be limited to AB rings only. This is mostly for the sake of the schedule. (Although I am open to making this for all rings)*
- *Clubs wishing to have this type of judging “must” communicate with their judges their intention, when contracting them. Judges may “opt” out. The “opt” out must be done at the time they sign their contract. Clubs can cancel if they do not get the entries to insure success.*
- *All information on the Summit, including judges who will be participating must be clearly marked on the flyer.*

*Basics of Summit breed judging.*

- *All kittens, cats and premiers of a breed will be judged at the same time. Mechanics of it depend on how many cats of a breed are present.*
- *Judge shall hang, Bob and 2BOB on each class. The judge then calls back their top XX to give out overall best.*
  - *1-5 present in breed Bob and 2nd overall best.*
  - *6-10- Best through 3.*
  - *11-15- Best through 4th.*
  - *15+ Best through 5th.*

*Pros:*

- *It allows for judges to be able to examine whole groups of a breed. That allows them to see subtle changes in the breed as it develops from kitten to adult and in some cases, as older cats in premiership.*

- *It may encourage more entries in the featured breed as they have the additional, non-scored competition.*

*Cons:*

- *Scheduling conflicts. All judges at the show will have to work together to insure the show runs smoothly.*
- *Initially, until all the bugs are worked out, would like to see it limited to Region 1-9. In China where there are a so many entries of select breeds, it would be, I believe a scheduling nightmare.*

*Another suggestion is that no breed shall have more than 2 summits per year and both should be in different regions. Again I am open to other suggestions.*

*Any Club scheduling a Breed summit, may also schedule a breed seminar on Saturday evening of the show. Judges who judge on the Saturday are encouraged to attend. Judges, exhibitors should be encouraged to attend. Eventually, we would like to consider these workshops as a substitute for some cattery visits. We would also like to explore using them as CEU for the judges.*

*Approval for this format should go through the Experimental committee for approval, rather than the board.*

*We will develop a questionnaire for exhibitors for both the summit and the workshops.*

**Board Action Items:**

*Discussion of Summit Breed Judging added to show formats, experimental. At this time, no show rules need to be changed or added to.*

**Time Frame:**

*Immediate*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

***Feedback and questionnaires if any have been received.***

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Sharon Roy Chair*

**Hannon:** I ask the board members to please have a seat. It's open session for anyone that wants to hang around. Next I have the Experimental Format. **Anger:** Sharon. **Hannon:** Sharon, is that you again? **Roy:** It is. **Hannon:** Experimental Format, Sharon. **Roy:** Basically, a little background on this. The last few years the Egyptian Mau club has done what they call a breed summit, and basically the judges have been judging all the cats of the Egyptian and the Bengals, as well, all at one time. So, we judged all the kittens, all the cats and all the premiers. We first

hung ribbons the way we were supposed to by adults and kittens. **Hannon:** It's usually more than that. They give like 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> best of breed. **Roy:** Yeah, and then right afterwards you do the best through whatever, depending on the amount of cats. So, we thought that maybe people – especially people running breed shows or clubs that are honoring a breed – that maybe we should be able to try this with other breeds. Interestingly enough, I was at the Syracuse show and a young woman was there that shows Selkirks. She asked me whether the Selkirks could do this and which club it was, so I wrote to the club and it's in Kenny's region. They said they would let me know, but they plan to be at this particular show and have well over 20 Selkirks, so they want to do this. So, it's just kind of an experimental. Some of the things in here and some of the background of having to get the judges to buy in, having it on the contract, is so we don't end up with some of the things we ended up with 10 years ago when we did super specialty and people arrived at the show and they said, "oh, by the way, you're doing super specialty" and all those kinds of things. So, it's open for discussion whether you think it's worth trying. Hopefully maybe get some clubs to get more of a particular breed in there or get more interest in what's happening in CFA. It's not going to cost anything. Melanie, do you want to talk on it? **Morgan:** Clearly I support this. I think that it's a way of putting our money – basically we've been saying for how many years that we want to put the focus on the breeds, we want to change away from just awards here and there, and we want to really support our breeds. This is a wonderful way to promote breed solidarity, to get people to gather around and to provide opportunities for education for people who are either interested in the Judging Program or already in the Judging Program, for existing breeders. We've done this now what, three or four years? Rachel has been a wonderful champion behind the scenes helping us with the motions to bring to the board, but we would like to start to try to get it to roll out more. This year, we were lucky enough that a couple other breeds have started to express interest. We've certainly discussed it at the breed council meeting with the board a couple years now, and I always get a good bit of interest right after that. I think the board had another couple requests for Turkish Angoras. **Roy:** At Garden State. **Morgan:** So, Turkish Angoras and hopefully the Selkirks. We've done the Bengals now, we've done the Egyptian Maus, but I would hope that every breed would want to try to do this and put the focus there. So, I hope that you allow us to continue to try to promote this. **Hannon:** As someone who has attended several of these shows, I have to say it's painful. The exhibitors are in pain, the judges loathe it. You know that because they've given you that feedback. I've heard them giving you that feedback. I would recommend you limit it to one ring. This year you did Bengals and Maus, and doing it for two breeds – **Morgan:** Was a lot. **Hannon:** The problem is, they bring in all the Egyptian Maus – kittens, adults, championship, premiership into one ring and then it ties up so the other rings can't get them. **Eigenhauser:** I don't necessarily think it needs to be limited to allbreed rings. If you're going to have a scheduling problem, a single specialty ring is usually easier to fix because they're judging fewer cats. You might want to say, no double specialties. **Hannon:** When she did it, she had 5 allbreeds and a specialty, and she did it in the 5 allbreeds, right? **Morgan:** Yes. **Hannon:** You were one of them. **Colilla:** It was painful and challenging. **Morgan:** You've done it several years. **Eigenhauser:** A single specialty ring might be OK, too. **Roy:** If John is doing single specialty shorthairs, then he could do it as part of that. **Morgan:** That would make a lot of sense. **Eigenhauser:** OK, just no double specialty or super specialty. **Morgan:** Right. Although I've always done the specialties at those shows, so I've not officially done it because I've had trainees, I have done it. I agree, it can be challenging but it's a good challenge. I think it makes our judges dig deep, and there's nothing

wrong with that. **Hannon:** It's logistically difficult. **Morgan:** Yes, it is. **Hannon:** There's an action item. What do you want us to do? Vote on what? Is there a motion? **Roy:** Just to approve this as experimental, to allow clubs to do this without having to come to the board each time. **Hannon:** It means they would have to come to you. **Roy:** They would come to me. **Tartaglia:** Are there any scoring things? **Roy:** No. **Tartaglia:** No scoring at all. Not grands, winners, nothing. **Hannon:** No. It's just the same. **Tartaglia:** OK. **Eigenhauser:** Just for bragging rights. **Hannon:** Alright, so Sharon, you made a motion and Melanie seconded it? **Currle:** Second. **Hannon:** Kenny seconded it. **Currle:** It's in my region, so yeah. **Eigenhauser:** Is that with or without single specialty? **Roy:** We can add that, that single specialty judges may also do that. That's a really good idea, because they actually have more time. **Morgan:** Right. **Anger:** Can you clearly state the whole motion, because it hasn't been stated. **Roy:** OK, the motion. **Hannon:** Is to allow this, period. **Roy:** Is to allow breed summit judging. **Morgan:** Or, it's to add breed summit judging. **Roy:** To add breed summit judging to shows that are breed club shows or clubs that are honoring a breed. **Hannon:** Featuring. **Roy:** Featuring a breed. OK, featuring a breed. Alright. Allbreed or single specialty only. **Eigenhauser:** And we're only doing one breed at a time. **Morgan:** One breed at a time. Good.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Hannon:** Is Monte here? **Morgan:** He's there. **Hannon:** So, Monte heard that. OK.

(20) **BREEDS AND STANDARDS.**

**BREEDS AND STANDARDS**

**Committee Chair:** Carla Bizzell  
**List of Committee Members:** Rachel Anger, Kathy Black, Darrell Newkirk

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*At the April 24, 2018 teleconference, a policy was adopted whereby changes to the list of Associations for Registration by Pedigree must come through the Breeds and Standards Committee, and be ratified by the Board of Directors.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*The Breeds and Standards Committee oversees the list of registering bodies granted reciprocity by CFA. The list of those associations is maintained in Central Office. See **Attachment A**.*

*CFA's Pedigree Verification Associate, Monique van Eijk, works directly with the non-CFA pedigrees which are submitted with registrations. Because of her hands-on relationship, we trust her decisions and rely on her heavily for the latest information and the most accurate recommendations. Monique has suggested making additions to our List of Associations for Registration by Pedigree for several associations' pedigrees CFA has always accepted, but are not formally on the list. We are asking for these associations to be ratified.*

**Board Action Items:**

*Add the following entities to CFA's List of Associations for Registration by Pedigree, effective immediately:*

- IFA – International Feline Association (MFA) – Russia (Belarus, Kazakhstan) [www.mfa-ifa.ru](http://www.mfa-ifa.ru)
- WCA – World Cat Association (Nika Feline Center, one of our CFA clubs in Russia) [www.nikacenter.ru](http://www.nikacenter.ru)
- FARUS – Union of Non-Profit Organizations, International Felinological Association “PUC” – Kazakhstan [www.farus.org](http://www.farus.org)
- Assolux – Russia [www.assolux.org](http://www.assolux.org) ,

**Hannon:** Breeds and Standards. Is that Carla? **Bizzell:** Yeah, it's me but Rachel is going to handle this. **Anger:** This concerns our approved reciprocity with other associations. We depend heavily on Monique. She is the expert, she is the hands-on person that deals with this. Monique has provided us with a list of four associations that she would like us to include in our registration reciprocity list, which is an internal list not to be published. These are associations

that she has been taking pedigrees from historically and would like to continue, so they need to be added to the list. I would move that we accept these four associations – the IFA, the WCA, FARUS and Assolux. **Curle:** Second. **Hannon:** You said we don't make this public, yet we're putting it in open session. **Anger:** This should be closed session. **Auth:** Why does it need to be closed session? **Hannon:** Why don't we let them know what associations we accept pedigrees from. **Anger:** There are certain associations we don't accept pedigrees from. If we publish the associations we accept, then it would call out the ones we don't. We can change that policy if you would like. **Eigenhauser:** Let's vote on this motion, then have another motion. **Hannon:** Alright. Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Hannon:** Next, George. **Eigenhauser:** I move that the full list of associations approved for the reciprocity of registration be made public. **Anger:** And that this discussion be included in open session? **Eigenhauser:** Yes. **Hannon:** Verna, you don't have any knowledge of why we don't publish these in the past? **Dobbins:** The only think I know is because people would call and ask, "why don't you take this one, why don't you take that one?" We could never answer. **Eigenhauser:** I would rather they call and ask than send something in and we have to send it back. **Auth:** I think it's better PR to head it off at the past. **Hannon:** They might be calling about these, and that will avoid those questions. **Bizzell:** The list that we have now that's being maintained has a category for accepted and a category for do not accept. We, I assume, do not want to put that list out. **Hannon:** Correct. We want to put the list out of those we do accept. **Bizzell:** Correct. **Hannon:** A positive list. Is there a motion? **Eigenhauser:** I made it. **Bizzell:** Second. **Hannon:** Is there any more discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

### **Future Projections for Committee:**

***Europe:** Because we come across so many independent associations (**Attachment B**) and clubs in Europe (**Attachment C**), Monique has kept a list while working on these pedigrees. She is looking for assistance from CFA clubs or exhibitors from their country of residence to provide us with a list of clubs in that country (FIFE, WCF, TICA, independent, etc.). They will know the clubs and which ones issue legitimate pedigrees. She has a few people in mind and would like the Board of Directors to form a committee to provide input.*

***Japan:** Monique has difficulty with Japanese pedigrees. The problem with the Japanese pedigrees is, they don't have FIFE, WCF etc. on them. We need to find someone in Japan who can provide a list of clubs and what organization these clubs are affiliated with.*

**Anger:** Our next issue is, in Japan Monique has difficulty with the pedigrees there, because she doesn't speak or write Japanese. **Hannon:** Does she speak or write Chinese? **Anger:** She doesn't have the same problem from China. We need to find someone in Japan who can provide a list of clubs and what organization these clubs are affiliated with. So, if there is anyone in Japan that could do that, who knows these clubs. **Koizumi:** She knows a lot of people who own domestic cat clubs that may probably belong to other associations, so she can reach out to



those people to find out what will happen in Japan. **Anger:** Great. **Hannon:** Who does she need to deal with, you or Carla? **Anger:** I can refer Monique to her. **Koizumi:** Monique can reach her. **Hannon:** OK. She will work with Monique. OK.

**Anger:** Last is a list of independent clubs. There are a million of them. This is just a list of clubs that Monique is accepting registrations from. Even though some are tiny clubs that she might get one registration every year from, she knows them as being reliable. If someone ever says their cat is registered with Belgicat – **Hannon:** That means something to her. **Anger:** Yes, that means something to her. She is the watchdog of pedigrees that come in from those clubs.

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Rachel Anger,  
Registration Reciprocity Subcommittee*

# ***ATTACHMENT A***

## ***ASSOCIATIONS FOR REGISTRATION BY PEDIGREE***

### ***WORLD CAT CONGRESS MEMBERS***

1.    ACF        *Australian Cat Federation*
2.    CFA        *The Cat Fanciers' Association, Inc.*
3.    CCC of A   *Coordinating Cat Council of Australian*
4.    FIFe       *Fédération Internationale Féline*
5.    GCCF       *Governing Council of the Cat Fancy*
6.    NZCF       *New Zealand Cat Fanciers*
7.    SACC       *Southern Africa Cat Council*
8.    TICA       *The International Cat Association*
9.    WCF        *World Cat Federation*

### ***ADDITIONAL REGISTRIES ACCEPTED***

1.    ACA        *American Cat Association*
2.    ACFA       *American Cat Fanciers Association*
3.    ANCATS    *Australian National Cats, Inc. (formerly Waratah)*
4.    ASC        *Association of Super Cats Russia*
5.    CATZ Inc. *New Zealand*
6.    CCA        *Canadian Cat Association*
7.    CFF        *Cat Fanciers Federation*
8.    ICU        *International Cat Union Russia*
9.    LOOF       *Livre Officiel des Origines Félines France*
10.   RUI        *Rolandus Union International*

## ***ATTACHMENT B***

### ***Independent clubs (2018)***

*ECCE – Austria (TICA)*

*Katzenverein Leverkusen e.V (KVL) – Germany*

*RKZB e.V. – Germany*

*Ticacats – Germany (TICA)*

*Berliner Prokat e.V. (BPK) – Germany*

*GdK- Germany*

*Süddeutscher Rassekatzen Verband e.V. (SDRV) - Germany*

*TIMBA – Thailand (Recognized by the Thai government)*

*Various Japanese club we need to check out.*

# **ATTACHMENT C**

## **INDEPENDENT CAT CLUBS EUROPE**

### **Belgium**

*Algemene Raskatten Vereniging (ARV)*  
*Belgian Cat Club vzw (Belcat)*  
*Belgian Cat Fanciers (BCF)*  
*Belgicat*  
*Belgische Federatie Raskatten Verenigingen (BFRV)*  
*Belgische Kattenliefhebbers Vereniging 94 (BKV'94)*  
*Belgische Langhaarkatten Vereniging (BLKV)*  
*Belgische Raskatten Vereniging (BRKV)*  
*Belgium Cat Lovers (BCL)*  
*De vrienden der kat (DVKD)*  
*European Cat Association (ECA)*  
*Federatie voor Kattenliefhebbers (FVK)*  
*Felis Belgica*

### **Germany**

<http://www.katzenshow.com/liste-katzenvereine/>

### **Netherlands**

*European Cat Fanciers (ECF)*  
*Limbra Cat Club*  
*Nederlandse Kattenfokkers Vereniging (NKFV)*  
*Nederlandse Langhaar Katten Vereniging (NLKV)*  
*Nederlandse Perzen Vereniging (NPV)*  
*Nederlandse Raskatten Vereniging (NRKV)*  
*Nederlandse Vereniging van Kattevrienden (NVvK)*  
*Sociëteit van Kattenliefhebbers Neocat*

## **(21) YOUTH FELINE EDUCATION REPORT.**

**Committee Chair:** *Carmen Johnson-Lawrence*  
**Liaison to Board:** *Rich Mastin*  
**List of Committee Members:** *Lynda Smith, Sandra Polcaro, Sande Kay, Anne Paul, Lorna Friemoth, Bethany Colilla, Kelsey Friemoth, Cathy Dunham, Emily Conaway, Karen Thomas, Chandler Bussey, Nadia Jaffar*

---

### **Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*Revisions/Clarifications on scoring for participants and reporting activity. Activity reports to be submitted quarterly:*

*1<sup>st</sup> quarter September 10<sup>th</sup> (for period May 1 through July 30)*  
*2<sup>nd</sup> quarter December 10<sup>th</sup> (for period August 1 through October 31)*  
*3<sup>rd</sup> quarter March 10<sup>th</sup> (for period November 1 through January 31)*  
*4<sup>th</sup> quarter/Final reports – May 10<sup>th</sup> (for period February 1 through April 30)*

*Removal of the national overall winner for the 2019-2020 show season, replace with JoAnn Cummings Memorial Spotlight Award.*

### **Current Happenings of Committee:**

- 1. Revise and simplify the Mission Statement of YFEP, add Vision Statement of YFEP.*

*Current Mission from YFEP Guidelines*

#### **Mission Statement**

*The CFA Youth Feline Education Program's mission is to nurture the compassionate qualities of interested youth for all animals through the teaching of basic information concerning the cat's needs and diversities, thus enhancing appreciation not only for the cat, but all members of the animal kingdom. The program is intended for participants to reach their goals through a point system. Awards and recognition will be based on points accrued. This program will provide a fun, learning environment in which young people will develop skills and self-confidence that will enrich their lives in the years to come, in the animal professions or wherever their ambitions lead them.*

*Revised Mission and additional Vision:*

#### **YFEP Mission**

*The mission of the CFA Youth Feline Education Program is to foster growth and development of CFA youth through various activities within the program areas of Education, Cat Show Preparation and Presentation, and Community Service.*

## **YFEP Vision**

*The vision of the CFA Youth Feline Education Program is to grow and develop the future exhibitors, breeders and leaders of CFA.*

**Rationale:** *The current YFEP Mission Statement is lengthy and is more a summary paragraph of the program. The new mission is clearer and more concise regarding what the program hopes to achieve. YFEP does not currently have a Vision Statement, so we are asking to add one.*

2. *Addition of youth participants ages 5 (and in Kindergarten) & 6 years old to the program.*

**Rationale:** *Currently a youth must be at least 7 years old to participate in YFEP. There are a few younger youths in our show halls that will be assets to the program. These youth would be added into the current Cubs Division (ages 7-9) for the current season. The number of youths the program sees being added to the Cubs Division will not skew the division in comparison to the others. Currently, the Great Lakes Region could add at least two participants and the Gulf Shore Region at least three.*

*In addition – other major youth organizations (Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, 4-H and Junior Achievement) all have programs allowing youth to start at age 5 years (and in Kindergarten). There are other animal related programs (Appaloosa breed shows and other cat fancy organizations) that allow youth as young as 3 and 4 to participate.*

*Currently, youth that fall in the 5-6-year range, there is really nothing for them to do at the shows. There are no motivating factors because there is nothing in it for them. We do have young youth that if given the opportunity would participate. These youth while possibly not able to participate at the same level our older youth do, can still assist stewards by ripping paper towels, showing cats with their families and learning about the various breeds, amongst many other smaller jobs they could do at a show. Youth at this age are so impressionable, now is the time to start their growth and development in the fancy. We can give them a reason to be in the show hall and make them feel included?*

## **Future Projections for Committee:**

*Continue work on clarifying and revising the YFEP Guidelines written in 2011. The next step is to revise the division, recognition and awards.*

## **Board Action Items:**

*Acceptance of Items 1 and 2 under Current Happenings.*

1. *Revision of Mission Statement; addition of Vision Statement*

**Hannon:** OK Kathy, Youth Program. **Calhoun:** The report is in File Vista. Folks have had a chance to review the report. There are two action items. One is to revise and simplify the

mission statement and to add a vision statement. **Hannon:** Are you making a motion for #1? **Calhoun:** Yes. **Mastin:** Second. **Hannon:** Is there any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

2. *Addition of 5 year old youth (and in Kindergarten) and 6 year old youth to the program.*

**Calhoun:** The second action item is that, currently there is an age limit on participation. You have to be 7 years old. The recommendation is to change that to, 5 and 6 year olds can also participate. One of the things when I first looked at this, I was a little concerned that 5 year olds might be a little bit young, but it was the addition that they at least had to be in kindergarten, so they had some sort of structure and were used to being out and about a little bit on their own in the education process. **Hannon:** And you made that motion? **Calhoun:** I make the motion to add the addition of 5 year old youth (and in Kindergarten) and 6 year old youth to the program. **Anger:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion? **Webster:** Do we have any that age? **Eigenhauser:** We don't accept them. **Calhoun:** There could be. **Hannon:** We want you to find them in the Southwest Region. **Calhoun:** If we open it up, they will come.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Time Frame:**

*Immediate – the handbook will be revised to include the new Mission and Vision Statements.*

*Immediate – upon board approval the program will welcome our youth ages 5 and 6 years old.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*Updates on current happenings and future projections. Revisions to the awards recognition and changes to the age divisions of the program.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Carmen Johnson-Lawrence, Chair.*

**Hannon:** We're going to adjourn for the day. Dinner is at 6 for those who are going across the street to the Blue Fig, so see you at 6. If you want to go over early and start drinking, feel free. Are we back in the same back room? **Dobbins:** Back in the back. **Hannon:** For those of you who are not joining us, we'll see you tomorrow. Meeting adjourned.

The Officers and Board of Directors of the Cat Fanciers' Association, Inc. continued the meeting on Sunday, October 7, 2018, in the CFA Foundation Museum, 260 East Main Street, Alliance, Ohio. President **Mark Hannon** called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. EDT with the following members present after a roll call:

**Mr. Mark Hannon (President)**  
**Mr. Richard Mastin (Vice President) – via teleconference**  
**Ms. Kathy Calhoun (Treasurer)**  
**Ms. Rachel Anger (Secretary)**  
**Ms. Sharon Roy (NAR Director)**  
**Mrs. Pam Moser (NWR Director)**  
**Ms. Kathy Black (GSR Director)**  
**Mr. John Colilla (GLR Director)**  
**Mr. Howard Webster (SWR Director)\***  
**Ms. Mary Auth (MWR Director)**  
**Mr. Kenny Currle (SOR Director)**  
**Mrs. Kayoko Koizumi (Japan Regional Director)**  
**Mr. Michael-Hans Schleissner (Europe Regional Director)**  
**Mrs. Carol Krzanowski (Director-at-Large)**  
**Ms. Melanie Morgan (Director-at-Large)**  
**Mr. Peter Vanwonderghem (Director-at-Large)**

**Also Present:**

**John M. Randolph, Esq., CFA Legal Counsel**  
**Allene Tartaglia, Interim Executive Director**  
**Verna Dobbins, Deputy Director**  
**Shino Wiley, Japanese Interpreter**

**Absent:**

**None**

**Secretary's Note:** For the ease of the reader, some items were discussed at different times but were included with their particular agenda.



(22) **CALL MEETING TO ORDER.**

**Hannon:** I'm going to call the meeting to order. Once again, Rich is with us via conference call. Yesterday he had a very difficult time hearing us, so we've moved the phone to the middle of the room and I ask each of you to please speak loud enough that he can hear. There are times when I can't hear you, so I'm sure that means he can't hear you. So, let's be cognizant of the fact that we need to speak loudly.

**Hannon:** I want to start by thanking Karen Lawrence for letting us use the Museum again for our meeting. We really appreciate all the efforts that she goes to, to make this possible.  
[applause]

(23) **SHOW RULES.**

**Committee Chair:** Monte Phillips  
**Liaison to Board:** Carol Krzanowski  
**List of Committee Members:** Cathy Dunham, Kathy Gumm, Shirley Michaud-Dent

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*The Committee has reviewed and prepared show rule changes as requested by other committees, board members, or central office staff. As noted below, all issues from the Annual Meeting have already been addressed and are currently effective.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*The committee has prepared this report in its normal October format, which includes four parts – the first part deals with rule changes that were pre-noticed, voted on by the delegates, and passed by 2/3. These are rules forwarded to the Board for ratification. There are no pending changes from the annual meeting for this part as all were dealt with at the Sunday meeting following the annual meeting. The second part deals with the rules that passed by majority or passed from the floor. There were no rules passed at the annual by less than 2/3 or from the floor. The third part is made up of rule proposals requested by other committees, central office, or individual Board members. These are the issues addressed in the report. The fourth part of this report deals with non-show rule resolutions passed by the delegates. Normally, we don't present these, but have been requested to do so. The only such item concerned making the TRN process easier to implement, but it provided no guidance on how to accomplish this goal.*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*The committee will be incorporating those rules adopted at this meeting into the version taking effect either immediately or for the next show season, and updating the 2018-2019 rules with a third addendum to the current seasons rules for those rules taking effect immediately. Assuming no more requested changes for the current show season, the committee will be proofing the current rules to ensure all changes have been incorporated in preparation for anticipated changes from the February meeting involving breed issues (color class additions/corrections, breed acceptances or advancements, etc.) that would require show rule changes.*

**Hannon:** OK Monte, welcome. In the middle here you see a telephone. That's Rich Mastin, so you have to speak loud so he can hear you, and clearly. Go. **Phillips:** Are we ready? **Hannon:** We're ready, go. **Phillips:** First of all, this report is in four parts.

**Action Items:**

***1 – Items Pre-noticed to the Annual Meeting Delegates and Passed by Greater than 2/3 margin.***

*There are no proposals that fit this category that have not already been approved by the Board and made effective for the current show season.*

**Phillips:** The first two parts don't matter because the first part had to do with things that passed at the annual by 2/3. You dealt with all that at the Annual on Sunday after the board meeting.

***2 – Resolutions that passed by majority or from the Floor at the Annual Meeting (Advisory to Board) – Presented Here for Approval***

*There were no proposals that fit this category.*

**Phillips:** The second part deals with things that passed by greater than 50% and there were none of those.

***3 – Rules proposed based on Board discussions or Requests to Show Rules Committee***

*Approve the following rule proposals at this time, all to become effective on the dates specified during the current show season.*

**Phillips:** So, we start off with requests from board members and board discussions.

***3a – Revise Show Rule 2.19 - Clarify that a Bengal cannot be a listed cat because it requires a registration number to be considered a domestic cat***

Rule # 2.19	Kathy Black
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
LISTING is the practice of allowing unregistered cats to be entered for competition. Listed cats are permitted at all licensed shows.	LISTING is the practice of allowing unregistered cats to be entered for competition. Listed cats are permitted at all licensed shows. <u>Per show rules, a Bengal cannot be a listed cat as it requires a registration number to verify it qualifies as a domestic cat.</u>
<b>RATIONALE:</b> The current wording of this rule does not preclude including the Bengal as a listed cat when it doesn't have a registration number. However, per show rule 2.06, only a 5-generation or more removed from Asian Leopard Cat Bengal can be considered a domestic cat. The method used in the show rules to ensure that the cat is of the appropriate generation is to require it to have a registration number. Since listed cats, by definition, don't have registration numbers, it follows that the Bengal can never be a listed cat. This change clarifies that.	

**Phillips:** The first one is Rule 2.19 which has to do with listing of cats. The issue came up at a show I believe that Kathy was judging where they had a Bengal entered as a novice. The problem is that for us, we use the registration process to verify that a Bengal meets the definition of a domestic feline; i.e., has 5 generations of non-ALC in it. So, technically a Bengal can't be a

novice. It has to have a registration number. We even require that for Household Pet entries. They have to have a registration number. **Hannon:** I thought the rule was, they couldn't even be in the show hall unless they were registered. **Bizzell:** Or there was a blue slip. **Phillips:** So, that's what this does. Basically, a Bengal cannot be a listed cat. We're going to talk about TRNs for Bengals later on, by the way. **Eigenhauser:** So, Carol has a standing motion to approve? **Krzanowski:** Yes, I do. **Eigenhauser:** I would like to make a standing second. **Hannon:** Is there any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**3b – Revise Rules 3.02c and 4.04 to clarify that requests for guest judging approvals MUST be submitted to the judging committee for approval at least 45 days before the show or the show will not be licensed with that guest judge listed**

Rule # 3.02.c.	Judging Program Committee
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
<p>c. Invitations from CFA clubs for non-CFA Judges are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program Committee and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed or Approved Specialty Judges whose license from an accepted association is on file with the Judging Program Committee and who have been actively judging with their parent association for a minimum of five (5) years. A Judge may only judge the level at which they are licensed. When the show format includes a specialty ring, guest judges will serve as a specialty judge in CFA shows unless a specialty-only CFA judge would be serving as the required specialty judge.</p>	<p>c. Invitations from CFA clubs for non-CFA Judges are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program Committee and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed or Approved Specialty Judges whose license from an accepted association is on file with the Judging Program Committee and who have been actively judging with their parent association for a minimum of five (5) years. A Judge may only judge the level at which they are licensed. When the show format includes a specialty ring, guest judges will serve as a specialty judge in CFA shows unless a specialty-only CFA judge would be serving as the required specialty judge. <u>Requests for guest judge approval must be submitted to the Judging Program Committee at least 45 days in advance of the show. Requests submitted with less than 45 days remaining until the proposed show date will not be considered.</u></p>
Rule # 4.04	Judging Program Committee
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
<p>Application for license should be received in the Central Office at least 90 days prior to the opening day of the show on the official form that may be obtained from the Central Office. Applications</p>	<p>Application for license should be received in the Central Office at least 90 days prior to the opening day of the show on the official form that may be obtained from the Central Office. Applications</p>

<p>received with a date less than 90 days from the opening day of the show will incur late filing fees, in addition to the regular show processing and show insurance fees, as specified in the CFA's current price list.</p> <p>No license will be granted for shows whose complete and accurate application for a show license is received in the Central Office with less than 30 days remaining prior to the opening day of the show.</p> <p>... (remainder of rule unchanged)</p>	<p>received with a date less than 90 days from the opening day of the show will incur late filing fees, in addition to the regular show processing and show insurance fees, as specified in the CFA's current price list.</p> <p>No license will be granted for shows whose complete and accurate application, <u>including judging program committee approvals for any proposed guest judges in the application</u>, for a show license is received in the Central Office with less than 30 days remaining prior to the opening day of the show.</p> <p>... (remainder of rule unchanged)</p>
<p><b>RATIONALE:</b> There have been continual problems with clubs in Europe and the ID consistently requesting approval for guest judges right at deadline of 30 days out from the show date. This suggests that they are quite possibly disregarding the need for guest judge approval PRIOR to executing a contract with the guest Judge. It also puts undo stress on the Judging Committee Guest Judge administrator. There is no way for them to do their job when they are given a day or even hours to approve so that the club can still adhere to the 30 day limit on their show. A recent example was a club that requested on August 22 at 2:24 PM approval for a guest judge for their September 22nd show. Since Central Office closes at 5PM, the administrator was given less than 3 hours to complete the approval process in order for the club to meet the deadline specified in S.R. 4.04. This is unacceptable. The proposed rule revisions will require that guest judge approval requests be submitted at least 45 days in advance of the show date, and that no show will be licensed without the approval of the judging program guest judge administrator for all guest judges proposed for the show.</p>	

**Hannon:** Next one, Monte. **Phillips:** The next one is a request of the Judging Committee. I saw the request. I couldn't believe that they got one like this. They got a request to approve a guest judge literally hours before the deadline to have their entire show approved, giving the Judging Committee about 2 hours to review and approve. **Morgan:** Yes. **Phillips:** It would require that they make a submittal to the Judging Program Committee at least 15 days before the 30 day deadline. **Hannon:** Any discussion? **Anger:** When would this be effective? **Hannon:** Effective date? **Phillips:** I have next show season, but if you want to make it immediately that's fine with me. **Eigenhauser:** I would be happy with making it immediately. **Krzanowski:** I think immediately would be the way go to. **Hannon:** Peter, are you saying immediately? **Vanwongerghem:** No, I'm bringing something else up. I have a problem with this. **Hannon:** Go ahead. **Vanwongerghem:** I have a problem with this, because if at the last minute one of our judges is cancelling, we cannot invite an American CFA judge to come over. The tickets will be – **Hannon:** I think this is a request for somebody that's not already on the approved list of guest judges. **Vanwongerghem:** That's not what it says. **Morgan:** No, that's not what it is. **Hannon:** This is for anyone? Any guest judge. **Morgan:** However, when you're talking about cancelling a judge, that would be a different situation. **Hannon:** Why? **Morgan:** This is for the original show license. **Vanwongerghem:** That's not what it says. **Schleissner:** It talks about emergency. **Phillips:** It says, if you want a guest judge, you have to have it approved at least 45 days in advance of the show. **Vanwongerghem:** For a guest judge that has never been – **Hannon:** Do

you want them to bring this back in December? **Eigenhauser:** No. **Morgan:** No, I want to fix it. Unless George has suggestions? **Eigenhauser:** When we make exceptions to the show rules, to allow something last minute, it's an exception to the show rule. It doesn't matter if we pass this show rule. If we're making an exception, we're making an exception. **Hannon:** Normally when somebody cancels late and there's a replacement judge, Central Office handles that now. With a guest judge, that's a different situation? We're not going to let the Central Office handle that? We handle that? **Morgan:** Yeah, it would go through the Guest Judging Administrator. **Hannon:** Even the last minute? If somebody cancels Thursday night – **Morgan:** Then Jordan contacts Annette, Annette says you're approved, go. That's how it works. **Tartaglia:** I just want to point out that at the very end it says, "until the proposed show date," which indicates to me it has not been licensed yet, so if there's an emergency cancellation, then it's a licensed show. So, perhaps the wording here does cover that, because it says "proposed for 45 days." **Phillips:** The assumption is, the show is not yet licensed. **Tartaglia:** Right, it does say that. **Eigenhauser:** This is in licensing procedures. **Hannon:** Do we need to take that assumption and make words, so it's not an assumption? **Eigenhauser:** I think it's fine as is, with the exception that I want to make it effective immediately. **Vanwonderghem:** I read it differently. As I read this, they can refuse a guest judge if it's not asked 45 days prior. **Eigenhauser:** No, they can refuse a show license with a guest judge that wasn't approved. **Hannon:** Peter has made his point.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Vanwonderghem and Calhoun voting no.

[from end of report] **Mastin:** What about Show Rule 4.04? I don't remember reviewing that. **Phillips:** 4.04 is the one we voted on to make effective immediately. That was part of 3.b. **Hannon:** Did you hear that Rich? I passed, effective immediately. **Phillips:** Yes. **Mastin:** 4.04? **Hannon:** Yes. **Mastin:** OK.

### ***3c – Show Rule 3.13 – Number of Guest Judges Allowed at a Show is Clarified***

<b>Rule # 3.13</b>		<b>Judging Program Committee</b>							
<b>Existing Wording</b>				<b>Proposed Wording</b>					
For kitten, championship, premiership and veteran classes, a CFA judge (at least Apprentice) or approved guest judge must be used. For Household Pet classes it is permissible for a club to use a Trainee. Depending on the show location, the number of judges that must be CFA judges at the show are as follows:				For kitten, championship, premiership and veteran classes, a CFA judge (at least Apprentice) or approved guest judge must be used. For Household Pet classes it is permissible for a club to use a Trainee. Depending on the show location, the number of <u>rings judges</u> that must be <u>judged by</u> CFA judges at the show are as follows:					
Regions 1-9 (excluding Russia)		International Division (including Russia)		Regions 1-9 (excluding Russia)		International Division (including Russia)			
No. of Rings	CFA Judges	No. of Rings	CFA Judges	No. of Rings	CFA Judges	No. of Rings	CFA Judges		
2-3	2	2-3	2	2-3	2	2-3	2		
4-5	3	4-5	3	4-5	3	4-5	3		
6	4	6	4	6	4	6	4		

7	5	7	5	7	5	7	5
8	6	8-9	6	8	6	8-9	6
9-10	7	10-11	7	9-10	7	10-11	7
11	8	12	8	11	8	12	8
12	9			12	9		
As used above, 11 or 12 rings constitutes two 5 or more ring shows at the same location on the same weekend, sponsored by one or more clubs.				As used above, 11 or 12 rings constitute two 5 or more ring shows at the same location on the same weekend, sponsored by one or more clubs. <u>A ring is considered judged by a CFA judge if both longhair and shorthair specialties are judged by a CFA judge. In cases where a CFA judge only judges one specialty, the ring is not considered to have been judged by a CFA judge.</u>			
<b>RATIONALE:</b> This revision is proposed to clarify the situation when a show uses a guest judge to judge shorthairs (or longhairs) and a CFA judge to judge the other specialty. Currently, that can be interpreted to mean that a CFA judge judged that ring; however, in reality only the longhair cats would have been judged by the requisite number of CFA judges. The shorthairs would not. This clarification addresses that situation by only crediting the ring as being judged by a CFA judge if ALL cats (longhairs and shorthairs) are judged by a CFA judge.							

**Phillips:** The next one, while we're on the subject of guest judges, clarifies – the way we have our rule right now is, if you have the right number of CFA judges, you can have X number of judges be guest judges. The problem comes with what I'll call double specialty rings where you have one judge doing longhair and a different judge doing shorthair. The situation came up recently where you had a guest judge doing one and a CFA judge doing the other, and they counted that as a CFA judge which meant that basically one group – I'm going to use longhairs as an example – longhairs got the right number of CFA judges, shorthairs got the wrong number. This would clarify that, that if you have a specialty/specialty, you either have a CFA/CFA or it counts as a non-CFA. **Hannon:** The board will be shocked to know what show that was. So, we're rewriting show rules for him. What happened, in my understanding, was that it was a 4 ring show for the longhairs and a 3 ring show for the shorthairs, because we didn't allow the guest judge. **Currle:** This has nothing to do with that famous France show, but in Israel for some reason – and I'm assuming they have been granted exceptions over the past several years – they normally have two-ring shows. Technically, according to this chart and the chart before, you need to have both CFA judges. That rule has never been followed. **Hannon:** And it's not being followed for the proposed show in November. Yeah, it was. **Currle:** So, I need guidance on that. I would just like to know. I've already told the person I have in charge of that area to make sure that they understand, two CFA judges in the future for a two-ring show. **Hannon:** And we need to make sure, Allene, that the office doesn't license two-ring shows without two CFA judges. **Anger:** I did want to mention that Jordan caught that when it came through this time, and she questioned it because the club represented that there was a standing exception, but we could find no evidence of one and they did not provide it when we requested it from them. So, we're going to do it on a show-by-show basis, but in the meantime their liaison is working with them to have more rings with more CFA judges.



**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**3d – Revise Show Rule 6.09c – Clarify that a cat can be judged by a substitute judge that originally could not be judged by the advertised judge**

Rule # 6.09.c.	Board of Directors	
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording	
c. A cat or kitten not entered in a ring because the advertised judge is the breeder may be judged by a substitute judge in that ring.	c. A cat or kitten not entered in a ring because the advertised judge is the breeder may be judged by a substitute judge in that ring; <u>that is, if the advertised judge is unable to judge at that show, the judge that replaces them at the show may now judge cats that otherwise would have been excluded because the advertised judge was the breeder of that cat or kitten.</u>	
<b>RATIONALE:</b> This rule is being clarified to make it clear that the judge needs to be a replacement substitute judge for the advertised judge at the show, and not just a substitute judge just for the purpose of judging the cat(s) bred by the advertised judge.		

**Phillips:** The next one is a clarification of the requirement that basically if a judge has a cat that is at the show that they bred, of course that cat cannot show in that judge's ring. That judge cannot judge that cat, because that cat was bred by the judge. However, in a situation where that judge is unable to judge that ring and they bring in a substitute judge, the way that rule is supposed to be written and understood is that that cat can now be judged in that ring by the substitute judge, because the substitute judge did not breed that cat. The assigned judge bred the cat but they're not there anymore. **Hannon:** So, this clarifies that. **Phillips:** This clarifies that, to make that clear. **Hannon:** Any comments or questions?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**3e – Revise Show Rule 6.09 – Clarify that a cat bred by a member of a judge's immediate family/household cannot be shown in that judge's ring.**

Rule # 6.09.d.	Judging Program Committee	
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording	
d. In the event an exhibitor shows a cat in a show where the presiding judge is the breeder of a cat or kitten, or the judge's cattery prefix/suffix is on the cat or kitten, or the cat or kitten is owned by a member of his/her immediate family which includes father, mother, brother, sister, son, daughter, step-children, stepparents,	d. In the event an exhibitor shows a cat in a show where the presiding judge is the breeder of a cat or kitten, or the judge's cattery prefix/suffix is on the cat or kitten, or the cat or kitten is owned <u>or bred</u> by a member of his/her immediate family <u>or household</u> , which includes father, mother, brother, sister, son, daughter,	



or in-laws, the cat will be mandatorily “x’d” out of that judge’s ring.	step-children, stepparents, or in-laws, the cat will be mandatorily “x’d” out of that judge’s ring.
<b>RATIONALE:</b> We have had an issue where a judge has judged (and finaled as Best Cat) a cat co-bred by a member of the judge’s household. Apparently this is not the first time this has happened. While show rule 6.09.d. is meant to address this, in actuality it does not currently specify that a member of the household is excluded when dealing with a bred cat versus an owned cat. This is a loophole that needs to be closed.	

**Phillips:** The next one has to do with judges, basically another requirement on cats not being able to be judged by a judge. It’s not just a cat that is owned by a member of the immediate family, but also that lives in that same household. There are situations out there where we have two people that live together, but not necessarily are husband/wife, mother/daughter, whatever, and this situation exists. Melanie is the one that brought this up. You have situations where judges are actually judging those cats that were bred by members of their immediate family. This would not allow that. **Hannon:** Melanie, do you want to address this? **Eigenhauser:** But we’re not naming names in our discussion. **Morgan:** Of course not. Specifically, there is a rule out there somewhere that says if you’ve bred or owned a cat that you can’t judge it but it doesn’t specify that if an immediate member of their family bred the cat, that it shouldn’t go in your ring. We’re just clarifying that. Somewhere else in the show rules it does clarify that; it simply doesn’t, for a cat that is X’ed out of your ring. **Hannon:** Comments or questions?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

### *3f – Allow Issuance of TRNs via Pedigree to Bengals*

Rule # 6.16	July 1 <sup>st</sup> Board Minutes Request
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
The temporary registration number (TRN) is obtained for the exhibitor from the CFA Central Office via the Entry Clerk. Temporary Registration numbers will be issued by the entry clerk upon receipt of the appropriate TRN fee (which is in addition to the club’s entry fee), application form, and a four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required) issued either by CFA or a cat registering body recognized by CFA, with all cats on the pedigree being acceptable for that breed per current registration requirements. [NOTE: Bengals can not obtain a TRN via pedigree as it will not guarantee that the cat meets the requirements to be considered a domestic feline per show rule 2.06]. This would include longhair	The temporary registration number (TRN) is obtained for the exhibitor from the CFA Central Office via the Entry Clerk. Temporary Registration numbers will be issued by the entry clerk upon receipt of the appropriate TRN fee (which is in addition to the club’s entry fee), application form, and a four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required <u>or a five generation pedigree if the cat/kitten is a Bengal</u> ) issued either by CFA or a cat registering body recognized by CFA, with all cats on the pedigree being acceptable for that breed per current registration requirements. <del>[NOTE: Bengals can not obtain a TRN via pedigree as it will not guarantee that the cat meets the requirements to be considered a domestic feline per</del>

<p>Exotics shown as Persians (see rule 6.08). If both parents of the entry are registered with CFA, the CFA registration numbers of the parents are acceptable in place of a pedigree.. The fee, application form, and pedigree (or CFA registration numbers, if applicable) must be provided to the entry clerk no later than the close of check-in for the show and these will be provided to Central Office in the show package. The Entry Clerk will not issue a TRN until they are in receipt of the application, fee, AND pedigree (or CFA registration numbers, if applicable). Upon review, which is done prior to the show being scored, the registration number will either remain valid for 60 days from the first day of the show, or be voided if CFA registration requirements are not met for the breed being registered. In cases where the TRN is voided, those cats/kittens will not be included in the Official Count for the associated category (K/C/P). Central Office will notify any exhibitor whose temporary registration number is voided with the basis for such decision. Note: wins will also be voided if a cat competes in a competitive category not otherwise eligible based on its permanent registration, e.g., offspring of a “not-for-breeding” cat competing in Championship. Temporary registration numbers will be printed in the catalog as if they were permanent. Cats may compete and continue to earn points for 60 days from the first day of the first show where they have obtained a TRN. That number should be used on all subsequent entries after the first show for the 60-day period or until the cat obtains a permanent registration number within that 60-day period. At the end of this 60-day period, the cat may not be shown without a permanent registration number. For cats to receive credit for Regional, Divisional or National points earned during a specific show season with a TRN, the exhibitor must supply the associated permanent registration number to Central Office by the Monday following the completion of that show season.</p>	<p><del>show rule 2.06].</del> This would include longhair Exotics shown as Persians (see rule 6.08). If both parents of the entry are registered with CFA, the CFA registration numbers of the parents are acceptable in place of a pedigree. The fee, application form, and pedigree (or CFA registration numbers, if applicable) must be provided to the entry clerk no later than the close of check-in for the show and these will be provided to Central Office in the show package. The Entry Clerk will not issue a TRN until they are in receipt of the application, fee, AND pedigree (or CFA registration numbers, if applicable). Upon review, which is done prior to the show being scored, the registration number will either remain valid for 60 days from the first day of the show, or be voided if CFA registration requirements are not met for the breed being registered. In cases where the TRN is voided, those cats/kittens will not be included in the Official Count for the associated category (K/C/P). Central Office will notify any exhibitor whose temporary registration number is voided with the basis for such decision. <u>In the case of a Bengal pedigree deemed invalid because it contains an Asian Leopard Cat, the application will be forwarded to the Board for disciplinary action against the exhibitor for violating show rule 10.10 by bringing a non-domestic feline into the show hall.</u> Note: wins will also be voided if a cat competes in a competitive category not otherwise eligible based on its permanent registration, e.g., offspring of a “not-for-breeding” cat competing in Championship. Temporary registration numbers will be printed in the catalog as if they were permanent. Cats may compete and continue to earn points for 60 days from the first day of the first show where they have obtained a TRN. That number should be used on all subsequent entries after the first show for the 60-day period or until the cat obtains a permanent registration number within that 60-day period. At the end of this 60-day period, the cat may not be shown without a permanent registration number. For cats to receive credit for Regional, Divisional or National points earned during a specific show season with a TRN, the exhibitor must supply the associated permanent registration number to Central Office by the Monday following the completion of that show season.</p>
--	---

**RATIONALE:** As you know, the Bengal breed is unique in that it is only considered a domestic feline if it is F5 or beyond removed from the Asian Leopard Cat. As such, this proposal allows Bengals to obtain a TRN with a five generation pedigree, but also includes the provision that if the exhibitor brings a cat that is NOT at least F5 into the show hall, Central Office will forward that automatically to the Board for disciplinary action against that exhibitor for violating show rule 10.10 by bringing a non-domestic animal into the show hall. This provision only applies if the cat's pedigree contains an Asian Leopard Cat. If the TRN is voided for any other reason, that will not result in an automatic protest. We did not propose an immediately effective date, but the Board may change that if it so chooses necessitating Amendment 3 to the current show rules.

**Phillips:** The next one is TRNs for Bengals. Right now, Bengals cannot get a TRN by pedigree. They can get it by registered parents, but not by pedigree. This would change that and allow a 5 generation pedigree to be used for a Bengal to obtain a TRN. It also contains what I'll call an automatic protest provision. If the 5 generation pedigree contains an ALC, which means that Bengal technically was not a domestic feline, that would get referred automatically by you people in Registrations to the board as a protest for having a non-domestic feline in the show hall. **Black:** If we would have had this rule in place at the show I was at in China, this was a TICA exhibitor who came to the show. It's a new area, they never had a show there before. The guy somehow got his cat entered without a registration number in championship, and he had a 5 generation pedigree proving that the cat was legal to be in the show hall, yet the rules did not allow us to give the cat a TRN. If this rule would have been in place, then we would have been a lot clearer on how to handle the situation when we had a novice Bengal in the cat show, so I fully support this. **Hannon:** Any other comments? **Vanwongerghem:** I fully support this, too. Without naming the show, there were three TRNs given to Bengals that had only a 4 generation pedigree. There is a lot of confusion about TRNS. With show rules like this, I think that will make sure this is not happening.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

[from end of meeting] **Hannon:** Are there any other items – committee reports or otherwise – for open session? **Vanwongerghem:** I'm seeking clarification on the TRN for Bengals. Is that effective immediately? **Hannon:** Monte? Has he left? **Anger:** He's right over there. **Currle:** As I recall, yes it was. **Hannon:** Monte, we have a question. Peter has a question. **Vanwongerghem:** Monte, I have a question for you. The TRN on Bengals, is that effective immediately? **Phillips:** I think that's what you passed. **Vanwongerghem:** I don't think it's in the show rule. **Phillips:** Was it actually specified? **Eigenhauser:** Rachel, does it say? **Anger:** Can you give me either the proposal number or the rule number? I'm having to scroll through them one by one. 3.f. is not immediately. That had to do with Bengals. **Krzanowski:** I move that we make it effective immediately. **Vanwongerghem:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion. **Mastin:** Can I have clarification on the motion, please? **Hannon:** The motion was to make the Bengal TRN registration effective immediately.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**3g – Revise Show Rule 9.03 to allow clubs to hold an open benched show, i.e., unassigned space for most exhibitors.**

<b>Rule # 5.02.i.</b>	<b>Board Request from June Meeting Minutes</b>	
<b>Existing Wording</b>		<b>Proposed Wording</b>
None.		i. <u>The show flyer shall clearly indicate if the show is an open benched show (as opposed to assigned benching for all exhibitors).</u>
<b>Rule # 9.03</b>	<b>Board Request from June Meeting Minutes</b>	
<b>Existing Wording</b>		<b>Proposed Wording</b>
<p>Show management shall assign benching spaces for all entries. All entries of a particular exhibitor and those entries for which that exhibitor is the designated agent shall be benched together. No more than one agent may be named by an exhibitor for all cats entered in a show. No change of benching assignments shall be made without the permission of the show manager. Non-benched shows are not permitted. Benching must be provided on the second day of a one day Longhair/one day Shorthair show for cats and kittens who have qualified for the Best of the Bests judging.</p>		<p>Shows may be either assigned benched or open <u>benched, as chosen by the club, according to the following requirements:</u></p> <p>a. <u>For an assigned benching show, s</u>Show management shall assign benching spaces for all entries. All entries of a particular exhibitor and those entries for which that exhibitor is the designated agent shall be benched together. No more than one agent may be named by an exhibitor for all cats entered in a show. No change of benching assignments shall be made without the permission of the show manager. <del>Non-benched shows are not permitted.</del> Benching must be provided on the second day of a one day Longhair/one day Shorthair show for cats and kittens who have qualified for the Best of the Bests judging.</p> <p>b. <u>For an open benching show, the show flyer must include a statement that the show will be an open benched show. Although most exhibitors will then be able to choose their benching spot, reserved/assigned space shall still be apportioned to clerks near the ring in which they are clerking, and handicapped exhibitors who indicate on their entry that they are handicapped. Handicapped exhibitors will be assigned space as near to the judging rings as possible. At its discretion, the club may assign additional space based on premium payments as specified in the show flyer (end of row, grooming areas, etc.) In any case, no exhibitor shall take up more space than that for which the exhibitor has paid. Benching must be</u></p>

	provided on the second day of a one day Longhair/one day Shorthair show for cats and kittens who have qualified for the Best of the Bests judging.
<p><b>RATIONALE:</b> When I originally requested guidance on this rule, I received approximately 50 different responses all requesting that this rule NOT be changed at all. They felt the Chinese should comply with assigned benching, period. Only one individual actually offered guidance, and that was that the rule be made optional and assigned benching be provided to clerks and handicapped individuals. That comment has been fully incorporated here. The purpose of the rule is to allow the Chinese to continue to do what they do at their shows, and bring them into compliance with show rules. The discussion on this is in the June board minutes (pages 47-48), where the request to draft this rule is specified.</p>	

**Phillips:** This next rule is the one I got the most feedback from people from around the country, even from around the world, saying, “why in the world are you even thinking of writing such a rule?” This is the rule that would allow for open benching; i.e., go pick your spot. Specifically it does reserve spots for clerks, handicapped and what I’ll call premium payments for people who pay for end of row or whatever, but it does allow a provision where the show can choose to not actually bench the show. It does require that to be advertised in the show flyer, but the option exists with this proposal. This was also, by the way, requested by the board in pages 47-48 of the minutes. **Morgan:** I’ve spoken to the ID Chairs, because if we were to look at this I would want it – primarily I believe that this was requested because of the way that the clubs in China are benching their shows. **Hannon:** Or not. **Morgan:** Or not benching their shows, as the case may be. We are trying to get the clubs in compliance with show rules, and it just doesn’t seem to be working, so I talked to the ID Chairs about this and they’re not in support of this. They would rather see us try to get these clubs to understand and come up with some sort of benching idea, than have us basically make an exception based off of them. I certainly am not supportive of this for the U.S., so if we’re going to make it only for China but even the ID Chairs don’t necessarily want it, I have a hard time supporting it. **Hannon:** Any other comments? **Eigenhauser:** There was a huge hue and cry against this on various internet lists. A lot of people were really upset by this. If this came with no feedback from our constituents, my response would be, “sure, make it optional, no one has to do it if they don’t want to do it,” but there is so much opposition to this within CFA, I think that if they want to do something like this they need to bring it up at the annual. I’m not going to vote yes on this. **Hannon:** If we pass it, they’re going to overturn this at the annual. **Currle:** My region vehemently opposes this. To me, my only question is, if we’re going to be like Southwest Airlines, do we get free baggage when we do this? **Webster:** We’re CFA. We all have baggage. **Hannon:** Any other comments?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Failed.**

**3h – Revise Show Rule to RECOMMEND (not require) that clubs use a CFA Licensed Clerk in rings utilizing a Guest Judge**

Rule # 9.09.b.	Judging Program Committee
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
b. Clerks must be engaged for each judging ring and a master clerk must be engaged to consolidate and check all of the judging records of the show.	b. Clerks must be engaged for each judging ring and a master clerk must be engaged to consolidate and check all of the judging records of the show. <u>In those cases where the judge for a ring is a guest judge or apprentice judge, the club should make every effort to use a certified licensed ring clerk for that ring.</u>
<b>RATIONALE:</b> Based on the numbers of errors in judging paperwork submitted to central office for rings using guest judges, it is suggested that a licensed certified clerk, one who has been through and completed the clerk certification and licensing process, be used in those rings. This individual would be more likely to identify and catch paperwork errors before they got to the Master Clerk. This proposal is submitted as a recommendation rather than a requirement due to the potential lack of availability of a sufficient cadre of licensed certified ring clerks for that show.	

**Phillips:** The next one recommends – that’s the key word here, it doesn’t require – that clubs use certified licensed clerks when they have either a trainee or a guest judge for that ring. I have no idea how to enforce this, because it’s just a recommendation. **Hannon:** Who recommended it? **Phillips:** Believe it or not, it’s in your minutes from one of the board meetings. **Eigenhauser:** I don’t think there’s any harm in making this a recommendation. If we made it mandatory there might be issues, but making it a recommendation, it encourages people to do it. It doesn’t require that they do it. We really would like them to do it, but I don’t think we can make it mandatory, so I think this is a good compromise. **Krzanowski:** When this was first brought up, it was actually going to be a requirement. Then we had a discussion about it and decided there just are not enough licensed clerks available in many of these areas, and to make it a requirement would be a great hardship so we decided to make a recommendation instead. **Currle:** Just to piggyback on what she said, a lot of my emerging areas I’m in charge of, we just don’t have licensed clerks, we don’t have licensed master clerks. We’ve got one master clerk that is licensed and we have others that are working towards it. We’ve held clerking schools and we’re going to continue to do that, but it’s very important that it stays a recommendation. We just can’t meet those requirements. **Morgan:** Just think about this a little bit. Yeah, we originally would have loved to have made it into a requirement, but it’s simply not realistic. However, what this does is, it gives us a tool. One of the things that I’m doing when I go overseas to some of these shows is, I’m finding that they are very eager to do things right. They don’t want to have problems with the show rules. They want to put on quality shows. When I have something in the show rules that I can point to and show them and say, “this is what you should be doing,” they eat it up. I’ve found almost without fail that whenever I sat down on a Friday, pulled out my show rules which I tend to do and take them through the different things that I expect to see the next morning in the rings, they get it done right. So, this just gives us a little bit of teeth behind it.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**



*3i – New Show Rule 9.14 to require all shows be held in an area that is confined from the outside, i.e., no open access to the outdoors*

Rule # 9.19	Judging Program Committee	
Existing Wording		Proposed Wording
None		<u>All benching and judging areas shall be located inside a building or secured structure with doors that can be closed to prevent cats from getting outside. No show may be held in an outdoor venue or area with immediate access to locations where motorized vehicles operate, such as a parking garage, courtyard, or covered walkway.</u>
<b>RATIONALE:</b> Although the Committee feels this is unnecessary because a show held outdoors would easily qualify as protestable as detrimental to the welfare of the cat, we understand the need to make it clear that this should never happen. As such, we are proposing this rule to make it crystal clear that any show must be benched/judged in an indoor setting.		

**Phillips:** The next one is basically a requirement that all shows be held in an area that's indoors, as opposed to being outdoors or subject to motorized vehicle traffic. Personal opinion, I don't think it's necessary because I think you could have protested the fact that they held it there, but I can understand why you're writing. If people don't know that they can't do it, somebody is going to do it and, as it turns out, two shows did. **Morgan:** More than that. While the Show Rules Committee may not feel that this is necessary, that a show outdoors should qualify as protestable, the fact remains that there are shows that are being held outdoors and they're not being protested, nor is it likely that they will be. It seems that clarifying the issue so they don't continue to put our cats and our judges into potentially dangerous situations is a far better solution than taking them to task after the transgression by filing a protest; assuming, of course, someone would step forward to file said protest, which they won't. This certainly hasn't happened in the past. While all of us would think that common sense would prevail, most of the clubs that are producing shows in these dangerous outdoor venues are new and simply don't know any better. They're not trying to violate the show rules and, sadly, they don't see that putting on a show outside is detrimental to the welfare of the cat. This rule makes it crystal clear for them moving forward and will allow them to operate within the realm of safety and show rules. There is nothing like judging a cat with a motorcycle driving right past your ring. **Hannon:** Any other comments? **Colilla:** If you show up at a show and all of a sudden you find out you are in a tent, what are you going to do? **Morgan:** It's not outside if it's closed in. **Colilla:** It's outside. It's in a tent. **Morgan:** It's closed in. **Colilla:** No, the door is wide open. **Eigenhauser:** Depends on the tent. **Currle:** It depends on the tent. We held six shows in a tent in Kuwait. **Hannon:** And you had chandeliers and rugs. **Anger:** And marble floors. **Colilla:** This doesn't have that. **Currle:** You're talking about an open, flat tent? **Colilla:** Kind of. It's a huge one. **Morgan:** With open access to roads and parking lots? **Colilla:** No. **Morgan:** That's what we're talking about. That's outside. **Colilla:** It says "with doors." That's why I'm questioning it. There's no doors. **Morgan:** But there's a flap or something. **Colilla:** Yeah, but they didn't flap it

down. **Morgan:** That's a problem. **Hannon:** A building could have the door open. **Colilla:** I just want to make sure. I need to know what to do if I happen to judge one of those shows. **Hannon:** OK, now you're sure. **Mastin:** On the proposed wording of this rule, it says: *All benching and judging areas shall be located inside a building.* Can you add or secured structure with doors that can be closed to prevent cats from getting outside. When you use the term secured structure, that could incorporate a tent. **Hannon:** Are you OK Monte? Do you understand what he wants you to add? **Phillips:** I didn't hear it. **Hannon:** He wants you to add after *building* or secured structure. **Eigenhauser:** Monte doesn't need to do it. We can do it here and now. We can add three or four words ourselves. We don't need to send it back to Monte. **Hannon:** He needs to update what he submits to them. Did we vote on this? **Anger:** No. **Hannon:** We started to and Rich had to speak. **Anger:** We would love to vote again. **Eigenhauser:** As amended, to include. **Hannon:** Yes, as amended.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**3j – Revise Show Rule 10.10 – To allow animals recognized by other cat registries that we would not consider domestic per our rules to be present at in-conjunction shows**

Rule # 10.10	Pam DelaBar
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
<p>No animals other than domestic felines shall be allowed in show halls with the exception of certified assistance animals which may be allowed at the discretion of show management. The show hall is any area through which domestic cats pass, are benched or judged. If applicable, any other animals must be in a room totally separate from the show hall and not be within the sight and scent of cats entered for competition in the show.</p>	<p>No animals other than domestic felines shall be allowed in show halls with the exception of certified assistance animals which may be allowed at the discretion of show management. <u>At in-conjunction, i.e., shows held with a show from other cat registering associations, any cat registerable in the other associations may be present in the show hall, even if it is not registerable in CFA.</u> The show hall is any area through which domestic cats pass, are benched or judged. If applicable, any other animals must be in a room totally separate from the show hall and not be within the sight and scent of cats entered for competition in the show.</p>
<p><b>RATIONALE:</b> In-conjunction shows are shows held in a show hall with other cat registering associations. In some cases, there are breeds present at those shows, showing in the other associations' portion of the shows, that may not be registerable or considered domestic in CFA. One example would be the Savannah. This revision allows those cats to be present in the show hall where an in-conjunction show is being held if they are registered in the other association.</p>	

**Phillips:** The next one, 3.j., has to do with in-conjunction shows. When we do an in-conjunction show, as I understand it, that means we're doing a show that has a CFA licensed show going on and also a [fill in the blank] licensed show going on – TICA, FIFe, whoever. **Hannon:** Sometimes it's CFA on Saturday and TICA on Sunday, or vice versa. **Phillips:**



Anyway, what this does is insures that all the cats that are allowed in the show hall by the other association can be in the show hall. We can still have our show there, even though our rules would normally not allow some of the cats that they would have there in our show hall. For example, TICA allows Savannahs to compete, we don't. We would consider that to be a non-domestic cat. This would allow for that situation. **Hannon:** My concern would be if you have a CFA show on one day and another association the other day, you don't want the other association's cats that we don't allow in our show hall on the day where it's only a CFA show. If we have, for example, a TICA exhibitor showing something that we recognize at the CFA show but they're also planning to show the other breed the next day, so they bring them in rather than leave them in a hot car. **Eigenhauser:** Could we amend it to simply say that all in-conjunction shows *held on the same day*, so that when we have back-to-back one day and the other, we can have CFA rules on the one day and the other association's rules on the other day, but when they're held on the same day, then we'll be amenable to having both associations' cats in the show hall. **Hannon:** Any other discussion? **Auth:** What if they've traveled a long distance and they don't have a place to put their Savannah on that day? **Hannon:** That's why I brought it up, to ask that question and he's saying they can't bring it into the show hall. **Auth:** I don't want that cat to be in danger, being out in a hot car or whatever. I understand your concern. **Hannon:** Can we assume it's going to be minimal? **Auth:** Absolutely it's going to be minimal, yes. **Hannon:** So, do we want to take George's addition or not? **Phillips:** I don't mind adding the wording, *held on the same day*. **Black:** What the show rule says is that they can be there, whether it's the same day or not. So, if we're going to change the wording, we need to say *not on the same day* instead of *on the same day*, because this is giving the exception that the cat can be there if it's on the same day. So, if we're going to change anything that we don't want them doing – **Hannon:** It sounds like most of the feedback I'm getting is, they don't want to make a change so let's not fight about the change if we're not going to make the change. Let's vote on it as presented, and if you want George's addition vote no and we'll bring that up next. All those in favor of the show rule change, as presented.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Eigenhauser, Curre, Morgan, Schleissner, Auth and Moser voting no.

### ***3k – Revise Show Rule 20.1.a. on Clerking Fees to Allow Using an Entry in Lieu of Payment***

Rule # 20.01.a.	Pam DelaBar
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
a. The CFA <b>licensed</b> certified clerk performing the function of master clerk shall be compensated at the rate of eight (8) cents for each catalog entry in each judging ring. A CFA master clerk shall be compensated at ten (10) cents for each catalog entry in each judging ring. It is strongly recommended that for a six ring, one day show a club engage either two licensed master clerks or one licensed master	a. The CFA <b>licensed</b> certified clerk performing the function of master clerk shall be compensated at the rate of eight (8) cents for each catalog entry in each judging ring. A CFA master clerk shall be compensated at ten (10) cents for each catalog entry in each judging ring. It is strongly recommended that for a six ring, one day show a club engage either two licensed master clerks or one licensed master

<p>clerk and one certified clerk who is working towards their master clerk license, assisting the licensed master clerk in charge. The minimum compensation for each person working as a master clerk shall be sixty (60) dollars per weekend or forty (40) dollars for a one day show. (A Best of the Bests ring is not considered as an additional ring requiring compensation.) Payment schedule shall be based on the status of the clerk on the date a contract is signed. If no contract exists, payment schedule shall be based on the status of the clerk on the first day of the show. CFA <b>licensed</b> certified clerks performing the chief ring clerk function shall be compensated according to entries as follows:</p> <table> <tr> <td>Under 150 entries</td><td>\$30.00 per weekend</td></tr> <tr> <td>151-250 entries</td><td>\$45.00 per weekend</td></tr> <tr> <td>251-350 entries</td><td>\$60.00 per weekend</td></tr> <tr> <td>351-450 entries</td><td>\$75.00 per weekend</td></tr> <tr> <td>1 day shows with 1, 2, 3, 4 rings</td><td>\$35.00</td></tr> <tr> <td>225 back to back Shows</td><td>\$40.00 per day</td></tr> <tr> <td>1 day, 6 ring shows</td><td>\$45.00</td></tr> </table> <p>NOTE: These are minimal compensations; more can be given per negotiated rate with clerk.</p> <p><b>CONTRACTS ARE RECOMMENDED</b></p>	Under 150 entries	\$30.00 per weekend	151-250 entries	\$45.00 per weekend	251-350 entries	\$60.00 per weekend	351-450 entries	\$75.00 per weekend	1 day shows with 1, 2, 3, 4 rings	\$35.00	225 back to back Shows	\$40.00 per day	1 day, 6 ring shows	\$45.00	<p>clerk and one certified clerk who is working towards their master clerk license, assisting the licensed master clerk in charge. The minimum compensation for each person working as a master clerk shall be sixty (60) dollars per weekend or forty (40) dollars for a one day show. (A Best of the Bests ring is not considered as an additional ring requiring compensation.) Payment schedule shall be based on the status of the clerk on the date a contract is signed. If no contract exists, payment schedule shall be based on the status of the clerk on the first day of the show. CFA <b>licensed</b> certified clerks performing the chief ring clerk function shall be compensated according to entries as follows, <u>or in lieu of compensation, the clerk may be provided a complimentary entry in the show:</u></p> <table> <tr> <td>Under 150 entries</td><td>\$30.00 per weekend</td></tr> <tr> <td>151-250 entries</td><td>\$45.00 per weekend</td></tr> <tr> <td>251-350 entries</td><td>\$60.00 per weekend</td></tr> <tr> <td>351-450 entries</td><td>\$75.00 per weekend</td></tr> <tr> <td>1 day shows with 1, 2, 3, 4 rings</td><td>\$35.00</td></tr> <tr> <td>225 back to back Shows</td><td>\$40.00 per day</td></tr> <tr> <td>1 day, 6 ring shows</td><td>\$45.00</td></tr> </table> <p>NOTE: These are minimal compensations; more can be given per negotiated rate with clerk.</p> <p><b>CONTRACTS ARE RECOMMENDED</b></p>	Under 150 entries	\$30.00 per weekend	151-250 entries	\$45.00 per weekend	251-350 entries	\$60.00 per weekend	351-450 entries	\$75.00 per weekend	1 day shows with 1, 2, 3, 4 rings	\$35.00	225 back to back Shows	\$40.00 per day	1 day, 6 ring shows	\$45.00
Under 150 entries	\$30.00 per weekend																												
151-250 entries	\$45.00 per weekend																												
251-350 entries	\$60.00 per weekend																												
351-450 entries	\$75.00 per weekend																												
1 day shows with 1, 2, 3, 4 rings	\$35.00																												
225 back to back Shows	\$40.00 per day																												
1 day, 6 ring shows	\$45.00																												
Under 150 entries	\$30.00 per weekend																												
151-250 entries	\$45.00 per weekend																												
251-350 entries	\$60.00 per weekend																												
351-450 entries	\$75.00 per weekend																												
1 day shows with 1, 2, 3, 4 rings	\$35.00																												
225 back to back Shows	\$40.00 per day																												
1 day, 6 ring shows	\$45.00																												
<p><b>RATIONALE:</b> Although we feel that a club can already provide a free entry in lieu of payment for clerks, this proposal clarifies that it is acceptable for a club to do that. It does not mandate that as a requirement for clubs, but makes it optional at the clubs' choice. Also, this only provides an entry, it does NOT stipulate that the club include double cage space for that entry.</p>																													

**Phillips:** 3.k., although I don't really think it's necessary but I wrote it anyway, allows clerks to be paid basically by giving them a free entry at the show, as opposed to real money. Personal opinion, since this is a minimum payment requirement, they could have done that all the time but if the clubs feel they've got to have it written in writing, we'll put it in writing. **Black:** I know that there are times in China where the clerks are given free entries, and I told them that was against the show rules so if I'm reading this right, does that mean we are changing the show rules so that would be legal? **Hannon:** Yes. **Black:** OK. **Phillips:** It really is legal now. This is a

minimum. They can do whatever they want beyond this. **Black:** But you have to pay for an entry. **Phillips:** I see what you're saying. **Vanwongerghem:** Europe has been working like this for 20 years. **Eigenhauser:** A lot of clubs I'm in do this anyway, or if the entry fee is higher than you get a groom plus a double cage or whatever, but there is the inherent conflict with the show rule that says there is a minimum amount you must charge per entry per show, and so people look at it and say, there's a conflict. This resolves this conflict and makes it unambiguous, and I think that there's enough worry about it that I don't want to have people worry about whether they are violating the show rules. It should be clear that it is allowed. A lot of clubs already do it. To me, it's an easy yes.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

***3l – New Show Rule 21.03, current 21.03 renumbered as 21.04 – Rule to Prevent Judges from Transporting Merchandise For Sale***

Rule #	Judging Program Committee	
	Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
None.		<u>No judge may transport goods that will be sold for personal profit while traveling to and from shows where they are officiating.</u>
<b>RATIONALE:</b> There is a concern about judges transporting goods for profit while traveling to and from shows where they are officiating. This rule addition would preclude that.		

**Phillips:** The next one will be a new rule basically preventing judges from transporting goods that will be sold for profit while traveling to and from a show where they are officiating. I'll let Melanie defend it. **Morgan:** We've had concerns regarding judges transporting goods for profit come to us from a number of individuals. Our judges are independent contractors. We've said that many times this weekend, and as such we have some leeway. The fact remains that when you're traveling to a CFA show, you are representing CFA, so in many instances, when you are transporting goods for profit, the issues involve transporting goods internationally and in many instances it's not exactly legal what is being done. CFA has certainly participated in some material way with our judges judging in China in getting them documentation for their visas. Examples are the letters we get from Central Office or John [Randolph], all of our advice on that, so as representatives for CFA it certainly would reflect badly on CFA if there were issues that developed as a result of unlawful activities. We're not saying they can't transport goods, we're simply saying that if they're on their way to or from a show that's being paid for by a club, they shouldn't be putting those things in conjunction with it. This is again in response to letters of concern from a number of people regarding activities. **Auth:** I'm aware that this is targeted possibly towards one particular person, and it is on the international arena. I strongly don't like this, because what if I have something – and this has happened to me before – something has been shipped to my house that arrived that was going to go to a vendor and they've just asked me to courier it to them. **Morgan:** But you're not profiting from it. **Hannon:** It doesn't have that in here. **Currle:** I would add *for personal profit*. **Morgan:** Personal profit. It says profit. That's

what was meant, absolutely. **Auth:** If you put *personal profit* in there, I would be OK. **Morgan:** That's what was meant, absolutely. **Hannon:** That's not what it said. That was my concern. If you're helping somebody else out, they are making a profit, not you. **Eigenhauser:** I think this goes too far. I don't want judges taking stuff to the show hall to sell out of their judging ring or whatever. I can support that, but they are in fact independent contractors. What we are literally telling them is what they're allowed to put in their suitcase when they fly, and that is a little too much control over what should be an independent contractor. The whole idea of an independent contractor is, we are not responsible for what they do except to the extent that they're involved with us. If we start telling them what they can and cannot put in their suitcase, we are micromanaging them to a point that I think takes us down a slippery slope. I think we need to just say, this is what you do when you're on the club's dime at the show hall, this is how you present yourself as part of CFA, but if you do something that has absolutely nothing to do with CFA and it's in your luggage, who is going to be the luggage inspector for CFA that's going to inspect the suitcases of judges when they're flying to cat shows, to make sure they don't have a prohibited item? **Hannon:** George, do you have an objection to the judge selling merchandise from their ring? **Eigenhauser:** Absolutely. **Bizzell:** That's a show rule. **Eigenhauser:** That's at a CFA event. That's on our dime. **Hannon:** If they judge a show Saturday, and Sunday go to the local flea market and sell something, you don't care? **Eigenhauser:** I don't care. It's not our business. **Morgan:** Our shows basically put us on the clock from the moment we leave our house to go to a show, to the moment we – when we're on travel for CFA we're compensated for our expenses while in travel, so I would say that we are, for that period of that weekend when we are on our way to and from a CFA show, we are working for CFA, not just in the show hall. **Colilla:** I just went to China and brought a whole bunch of [inaudible]. **Black:** We can't hear you. **Colilla:** It doesn't say. [inaudible] **Morgan:** We already said we are going to change that. **Colilla:** That's fine. I just wanted to make sure. **Auth:** I think this is a case of, we're creating a show rule to deal with one bad actor and we can't continue to do that, because we have show rules, but I understand you have to have some sort of teeth to say to this person, "you can't do this," but I think it's the responsibility of perhaps the Judging Program to say, "hey Mr. or Ms., this is not right." **Morgan:** They basically will say, there's nothing to back you up. There's nothing you can do. **Hannon:** Why don't we, in executive session, make a motion to deal with that one particular situation? **Morgan:** Fine by me. **Hannon:** You can say, "the board has said this." **Anger:** Judges are under a microscope when we travel to Asia. All of us are. If one of us jeopardizes that and somebody gets caught illegally importing several suitcases full of merchandise that they are going to resell, they are going to put all of us in jeopardy. I still support this rule. **Mastin:** I didn't quite hear everything Rachel said. I'm sorry Rachel, I didn't hear it. I understand the spirit of what this rule is trying to accomplish, but I do have to agree with George on this, and Mary. I believe there's 100-some judges out there, maybe 115 or 120, and they all if they're not retired they have some sort of business. Mary, I'm going to pick on you, for example. You're in the advertising business or marketing business, and you may be doing business with some of the exhibitors out there. It's not a good but it's a service. I think, like George said, this is taking it way too far. That's between the exhibitor, maybe a spectator, maybe another judge, and if you have something to sell, whether it's intellectual knowledge or a service or a good, and somebody wants it from you and you're trying to help them by not having to pay shipping fees or help them in a sense. The work that Mary does for her clients, if one happens to be an exhibitor and she's going to be at that show. Does that mean she shouldn't be doing business? Do we take it to that

level? I don't agree with this show rule and I can't support it. **Hannon:** Any other comments? **Bizzell:** I think perhaps, George, when you were talking about the slippery slope of control, you mean contractor versus employee. We certainly don't want to do that. **Moser:** Was there a thing that we were going to possibly go into executive session and address the one issue? Is that what you were talking about? **Eigenhauser:** That was suggested, but let's do it way later. **Hannon:** So, you want to follow that? Deal with the one instance, rather than with a broad show rule applied to everybody? **Moser:** Well, if we're talking about one person and everybody knows who it is, I mean, really. **Hannon:** I suspect I do but I thought it was the other way around. Bringing stuff back here to sell. **Calhoun:** I thought it was bringing things back here. **Hannon:** OK, I didn't know that. **Moser:** Melanie, we're talking about one person, correct? One person. **Anger:** One person, both directions. **Hannon:** Alright, so if this fails then we'll bring it up in executive session and deal with the individual.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Failed.** Morgan and Anger voting yes.

*3m – Revise Show Rule 28.01c – Eliminate need for snail mail notification if the exhibitor has an e-mail on file*

Rule # 28.01.c.	Central Office
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
<p>c. At the end of 45 days after the last day of the show at which the cat earned its last qualifying ring, Central Office will send a notice to the owner of record for that show by both regular mail and e-mail noting that the cat has not yet been confirmed as a champion or premier. The notice will also include a statement that a late filing fee, as specified in the CFA list of fees, must also be submitted in addition to the normal claim form fee; and that if the appropriate claim form is not filed within 45 days of the date of that notice, any grand points the cat may have earned or regional/divisional/national awards points earned since the show where it got its last qualifying ring will be forfeited. Forfeited points will not be reinstated if the claim form is subsequently completed.</p>	<p>c. At the end of 45 days after the last day of the show at which the cat earned its last qualifying ring, Central Office will send a notice to the owner of record for that show by <del>both regular mail and e-mail</del>, <u>or if no e-mail address is available by regular mail</u>, noting that the cat has not yet been confirmed as a champion or premier. The notice will also include a statement that a late filing fee, as specified in the CFA list of fees, must also be submitted in addition to the normal claim form fee; and that if the appropriate claim form is not filed within 45 days of the date of that notice, any grand points the cat may have earned or regional/divisional/national awards points earned since the show where it got its last qualifying ring will be forfeited. Forfeited points will not be reinstated if the claim form is subsequently completed.</p>
<p><b>RATIONALE:</b> Central Office staff were instructed to only send snail mail to those who did NOT have an e-mail address on file. The majority of notices sent are via e-mail – very few regular mail. As such, the wording should be adjusted to reflect current practices. The problem with using two approaches is that people were getting e-mail notices and then confirming online with late fee to then receive the snail mail a week later and thought they had to pay again, or they were getting upset because they already paid.</p>	

**Phillips:** The next one has to do with notifications that are sent out to people who have what I'll refer to as non-confirmed champions. After so-many days, Central Office sends a notice to those individuals. The show rule says that they're supposed to send it out by both methods – snail mail and email. Shirley reminded me that situations come up where they get the email, they pay, then they get the snail mail and then they want to know why they have to pay again. Or else, they do pay again and now we owe them money. This would basically set it up so that there's only one notice sent; i.e., preferred email, if you don't have email then snail mail, but we won't send out two notices for the exact same thing at the exact same time so you get it electronic and then days later postal mail. **Hannon:** Any questions or comments?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Anger:** I would like to move that we make this effective immediately. **Hannon:** She has made a motion to make it effective immediately. **Eigenhauser:** Second. **Hannon:** Is there any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

***3n – Guarantee a Champion Making a Final Placement Earns Some Grand Points for that Placement***

Rule # 28.02.a.	Central Office
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
<p>a. Any Champion or Premier placing in the top ten (or fifteen, where applicable) finals awards may receive points towards Grand Championship or Grand Premiership. The highest placing Champion or Premier will receive one point for every benched Champion or Premier defeated for shows held outside of China, i.e., Regions 1-9 and most of the International Division (including the special administrative areas of Hong Kong and Macau). For champions/premiers competing at shows in China, the cat will receive one Grand Championship/ Premiership point for every Champion/ Premier defeated that was present in at least 80 percent of the Rings held at that show. A cat is considered present in China as long as no award is withheld from that cat for insufficient merit or condition, and the cat is not disqualified (see Rules 11.23, and 11.24). If the award for a cat is withheld for any reason other than wrong color, it will be considered absent for the ring in which the award was withheld. To determine the 80 percent present</p>	<p>a. Any Champion or Premier placing in the top ten (or fifteen, where applicable) finals awards may receive points towards Grand Championship or Grand Premiership. The highest placing Champion or Premier will receive one point for every benched Champion or Premier defeated for shows held outside of China, i.e., Regions 1-9 and most of the International Division (including the special administrative areas of Hong Kong and Macau). For champions/premiers competing at shows in China, the cat will receive one Grand Championship/ Premiership point for every Champion/ Premier defeated that was present in at least 80 percent of the Rings held at that show. A cat is considered present in China as long as no award is withheld from that cat for insufficient merit or condition, and the cat is not disqualified (see Rules 11.23, and 11.24). If the award for a cat is withheld for any reason other than wrong color, it will be considered absent for the ring in which the award was withheld. To determine the 80 percent present</p>



requirement, see the following table:		requirement, see the following table:	
Number of Rings held at show	Rings present for cat to be in count	Number of Rings held at show	Rings present for cat to be in count
1 Ring held	1 Ring	1 Ring held	1 Ring
2 Rings held	2 Rings	2 Rings held	2 Rings
3 Rings held	3 Rings	3 Rings held	3 Rings
4 Rings held	4 Rings	4 Rings held	4 Rings
5 Rings held	4 Rings	5 Rings held	4 Rings
6 Rings held	5 Rings	6 Rings held	5 Rings
7 Rings held	6 Rings	7 Rings held	6 Rings
8 Rings held	7 Rings	8 Rings held	7 Rings
9 Rings held	8 Rings	9 Rings held	8 Rings
10 Rings held	8 Rings	10 Rings held	8 Rings
<p>Cats not present in the number of Rings specified in the table based on the number of Rings held at any show held in China will not be counted as competing at the show for determining the official champion/premier count, however, any grand points won by these cats in any ring will still be credited to that cat's record.</p> <p>The second highest placing Champion or Premier will receive 90% of the points awarded the highest placing Champion or Premier, third highest 80%, fourth highest 70% and 5<sup>th</sup> highest 60%, etc. In all cases, fractional points 0.5 and greater will be rounded to the next higher number.</p>		<p>Cats not present in the number of Rings specified in the table based on the number of Rings held at any show held in China will not be counted as competing at the show for determining the official champion/premier count, however, any grand points won by these cats in any ring will still be credited to that cat's record.</p> <p>The second highest placing Champion or Premier will receive 90% of the points awarded the highest placing Champion or Premier, third highest 80%, fourth highest 70% and 5<sup>th</sup> highest 60%, etc. <u>In cases where 11 or more cats in a top 15 final are champions, those champions placing 11<sup>th</sup> thru 15<sup>th</sup> best champion within that final will receive 5% of the points awarded to the highest placing champion.</u> In all cases, fractional points 0.5 and greater will be rounded to the next higher number.</p>	
<p><b>RATIONALE:</b> We recently had a very unusual occurrence regarding Grand Scoring. As you know, grand points are earned based on the number of Champions present and competing in a show based on 10% decrements. The Best CH receives 100% of the available points in a final, 2<sup>nd</sup> Best 90% down to 10<sup>th</sup> Best with 10%. Any Champion placing beyond 10<sup>th</sup> in a Final would receive 0% of the available points. The 10% decrement scoring rule was created at a time when there were only Top 10 Finals. The intent was that any Champion/Premier placing in a Final would receive points towards a Grand title. The show rules weren't adjusted to account for Top 15 and the possibility of that many Champions being in a Final. It's taken all these years for it to happen, but it did at a show recently held in August in China. It had a sufficient number of cats entered to have Top 15 Finals AND had more than 10 Champions placing in Finals. Central Office discovered at the time of scoring that Champions beyond 10<sup>th</sup> would receive 0 points. It was brought to Central Office's attention by exhibitors inquiring as to why they didn't receive any Grand points for placing in a Final. As such, Central Office would like to ensure that any champion making a final earn at least 5% of the available points for 11<sup>th</sup>-15<sup>th</sup> Best Champion and to consider making this change retroactive to the start of the current show season, May 1, 2018.</p>			

**Phillips:** The very last one is a situation that's taken us more than a decade to have come up, but we finally had it come up. We had more than 10 champions make a top 15 final, and if you do the point break-down the cat that got 12<sup>th</sup> should have points taken away from it because at that point we're actually subtracting 10%, as opposed to adding points to the cat for getting a final, which makes of course no sense whatsoever. What this would do is put a minimum value of points to be awarded for a cat that finishes in the top 15 in a final as a champion. The guys who finish 11-15 would get 5%, as opposed to right now where it's zero or worse. **Hannon:** Any discussion? **Tartaglia:** Can we make this effective immediately? **Phillips:** Actually, we want it to go back to May 1<sup>st</sup>. **Tartaglia:** Yes, retroactive. **Anger:** I will make that motion. **Currle:** Second. **Mastin:** Monte, so all the cats from 11-15, if it's based on 5% do they all receive the same point value then? **Phillips:** Yes, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15, if you have that many champions in the final, would all get the same number of points – 5%. Right now, the guy that gets 10<sup>th</sup> is going to get 10%. **Mastin:** It just seems odd to me that cats 11-15 all receive the same points. **Hannon:** You have to realize, this is very, very unusual. **Phillips:** It has taken us over 15 years to get to the point where we had #11. **Hannon:** It's really rare, Rich. **Tartaglia:** It's such a low number to begin with that they would be getting.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

***4 – Non-Show Rule Resolutions for Discussion [Note: These have nothing to do with show rules, but are included here at the request of the Board for completeness of items discussed and voted on at the annual meeting.]***

*The only Non-Show rule resolutions presented at the 2018 Annual Meeting was a request that Central Office make the Temporary Registration Number program easier to implement. It passed unanimously, but provided no guidance on how it should be implemented.*

**Phillips:** Section 4 had to do with Central Office making the TRN process more streamlined. It passed unanimously. No guidance. Go for it. **Eigenhauser:** It was just advisory. **Phillips:** Then that's it for me. **Hannon:** Are we going to do anything with this? **Eigenhauser:** No. **Tartaglia:** We can look at it and come up with some guidelines. How's that. **Hannon:** Alright, we're going to not do anything with it right now. **Phillips:** I'm done. **Hannon:** Thank you Monte.

**What will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*Unless a significant issue is identified between completion of this report and the date when inputs are due to the Board for the February meeting, we do not anticipate making a presentation to the February meeting (or the December meeting either, for that matter).*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Monte Phillips, Chair*

**Hannon:** When we come back from break, do we want to go into executive session to handle that one issue, or do we want to do the closed session later? **Auth:** Do the closed session later, because we may have other stuff come up. **Hannon:** OK. So, when we come back, we'll do



the Treasurer's Report. Did you hear me Rich? We're taking a 10 minute break. **Mastin:** OK, I'll call back.

BREAK.

## (24) **TREASURER'S REPORT.**

**Treasurer Kathy Calhoun** gave the following report:

**Hannon:** Next we have Kathy with her various financial things. **Calhoun:** OK, the Treasurer's Report. Hopefully, you have had a chance to look at it and review it. If there's any questions or concerns, please feel free to chime in and ask questions.

*CFA maintained strong performance through August 30, 2018*

### **Key Financial Indicators**

#### **Overall Performance**

#### **Balance Sheet Previous Year Comparison as of August 31, 2018**

*The balance sheet shows an increase in cash of \$293,584 compared to last year. This represents a 11.8% increase.*

*Specifically, the Wells Fargo investment account reflects an overall increase of \$61,295 when compared with the ending balance last August. This represents a 5% increase.*

#### **Ordinary Income – May 1 through August 31, 2018**

#### **Registration Individual and Litter:**

*Total registration, which includes litter registration and individual registration, delivered \$380,647 which is a 11% increase when compared to the same period last year. The budgeted amount for this period was \$301,162. This category is 126 % of budget.*

<b>Category</b>	<b>May – Aug 18 Actual</b>	<b>May – Aug 17 Actual</b>	<b>% Change to Prior Fiscal Year</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
<i>Litter</i>	\$130,083	\$132,788	(2.0%)	92%
<i>Individual</i>	\$250,564	\$211,203	19%	157%
<i>Total Registration</i>	\$380,647	\$343,991	11%	126 %

#### **Other key indicators:**

*Additional key performance indicators are captured in the following summary.*

<b>Category</b>	<b>May – Aug 18 Actual</b>	<b>May – Aug 18 Actual</b>	<b>% Change to Prior Fiscal Year</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
<i>New Cattery Registration</i>	\$118,298	\$113,850	4%	115%
<i>Championship Confirmations</i>	\$19,275	\$24,065	20%	87%

<i>Club Dues/Application Fees</i>	\$2,500	\$5,180	(52%)	53%
<i>Breed Council Dues</i>	\$28,215	\$29,415	(4%)	83%
<i>Certified Pedigrees</i>	\$46,975	\$43,870	7%	107%
<i>Show License Fees</i>	\$15,225	\$17,325	(12%)	88%
<i>Show Entry Surcharge</i>	\$26,966	\$18,855	43%	201%
<i>DNA Testing</i>	\$6,528	\$799	717%	707%

*The ordinary income segment delivered \$779,421 compared to last season's income of \$726,357. This represents a change of 7 % and is 117% of budget.*

### **Publications**

#### ***Almanac (Cat Talk, Newsletters, and the White Pages)***

***Income:*** The Almanac performed at parity when compared to the prior year delivering income of \$25,309 which represents a 4% increase.

***Expense:*** Almanac contract labor decreased significantly due to reallocation of expense. The new allocation is 25% Almanac/75% Central Office.

<b><i>Almanac</i></b>	<b><i>May – Aug 18 Actual</i></b>	<b><i>May – Aug 17 Actual</i></b>	<b><i>% Change to Prior Fiscal Year</i></b>	<b><i>% of Budget</i></b>
<i>Income</i>	\$25,309	\$24,420	4%	108%
<i>Expense</i>	\$23,203	\$33,691	(31%)	82%
<i>Net</i>	\$2,106	(\$9,272)	123%	(44%)

### ***Yearbook***

***Income:*** Yearbook income is at parity compared to prior year.

***Expense:*** Yearbook expenses are 20% compared to prior year.

<b><i>Yearbook</i></b>	<b><i>May – Aug 18 Actual</i></b>	<b><i>May – Aug 17 Actual</i></b>	<b><i>% Change to Prior Fiscal Year</i></b>	<b><i>% of Budget</i></b>
<i>Income</i>	\$21,579	\$21,131	2%	116%
<i>Expense</i>	\$10,561	\$13,270	(20%)	110%
<i>Net</i>	\$11,081	\$7,861	40%	124%

## **Marketing**

**Income:** A minimal amount of income was generated from branding and marketing revenue.

**Expense:** Marketing expense has increased 38% compared to last year. This is primarily driven by an increase in contracted labor.

<b>Marketing</b>	<b>May – Aug 18 Actual</b>	<b>May – Aug 17 Actual</b>	<b>% Change to Prior Fiscal Year</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
Income	\$2,552	\$1,056	142%	226%
Expense	\$21,476	\$17,738	21%	65%

## **Central Office**

<b>Central Office</b>	<b>May – Aug 18 Actual</b>	<b>May – Aug 17 Actual</b>	<b>% Change to Prior Fiscal Year</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
Payroll C.O. Staff	\$270,081	\$247,195	9%	91%
Contract Labor	\$26,207	\$3,927	568%	167%
Donation	16,375	\$1,600	923%	100%
Contract China	\$4,099	0	100%	100%
IRA	\$7,150	0	100%	
Moving/Temporary Housing	\$6,048	0	100%	
Central Office Expense - Other	\$13,630	0	100%	

Overall, Central Office expenses remain on track but there are line item exceptions

- Payroll is under budget due to temporary open positions
- Contract Labor increase is due to reallocation of expense from the Almanac budget, staff to support the scanning project, and staff to support registration by pedigree.
- The donation category has increased due to Board approved donations to the CFA Foundation
- Contract China bill for final trips last season were invoiced and paid in May \$4099.
- Central Office Expense – Other – This category captures the adult and children's coloring books and breed sheets for the Lykoi and the Khao Manee
- IRA employee expense year to date is \$7,150

**Computer Expense:** *Increases are primarily driven by the systems migration.*

<b>Computer Expense</b>	<b>May – Aug 18 Actual</b>	<b>May - Aug 17 Actual</b>	<b>% Change to Prior Fiscal Year</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
<i>Professional Services</i>	\$57,703	\$4,553	1,167%	198%
<i>Software Rental/Support</i>	\$8,613	\$21,049	(59%)	191%
<i>Web Hosting/Support</i>	\$22,035	\$6,242	253%	735%

- *Professional Services primarily captures the expense of Sonit and contracted support to support the computer program integration.*
- *Web Hosting – Captures Computan support through transition.*

**CFA Programs** – Overall CFA Programs is 83% of budget

<b>CFA Programs</b>	<b>May – Aug 18 Actual</b>	<b>May – Aug 17 Actual</b>	<b>% Change to Prior Fiscal Year</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
<i>Show Supplies &amp; Postage</i>	\$18,681	\$21,817	(14%)	102%
<i>Club/Show Insurance</i>	\$8,802	\$17,571	(50%)	49%

**Corporate Expense** came in at 87% of budget. This was primarily driven by travel/lodging non-board meeting coming in \$5,297 below budget.

**Legislative Expense** came in at 97% of budget.

### **Events**

*Atlanta Annual (Note: Reporting period 5/1/2018 – 9/26/2018)*

<b>Events</b>	<b>May – Sept 26 Actual</b>	<b>May – Sept 26 Budget</b>	<b>\$ Over/Under Budget</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
<i>Annual - Income</i>	\$84,135	\$64,564	\$19,572	130%
<i>Annual - Expense</i>	\$219,308	\$173,987	\$45,321	126%
<i>Net</i>	(\$135,172)	(\$109,423)	(\$25,749)	124%

*Categories varying from budget include the following:*

- *\$15,763 Rosettes - Duplicate*
- *(\$4,596) Annual Hospitality*
- *(\$6,815) Room attrition*
- *(\$12,892) Awards*

*The Treasurer and members of the Financial Committee are aware that suffering losses of such magnitude are not sustainable in the long term. As managers of the CFA financials along with the support of the CFA Board, opportunities to manage costs while sustaining the integrity of the event will be identified and brought forward. The desired timing is to have a discussion regarding this is projected to occur no later than the February 2019 Board meeting.*

**Calhoun:** The only thing that I would like to draw your attention to, down on page 4 there is the Atlanta Annual summary. Unlike the rest of the report, that summary is based on all of our expenses and income from May 1 through September 26. We tried to capture as much of the detail as we possibly could in the rest of the report, in the body. I'm not sure if we're going to get the details on the International report, but we did have some line items that were more expensive than we thought. We did have some room attrition that was unexpected and unfortunate, so we will be looking at that sort of thing moving forward. I think the message here is that we realize this costs us almost \$136,000. We cannot continue to operate that way, so the intent is that the Committee, the Treasurer, the Finance Committee, those working on the International, we will be coming back in February at the February board meeting with things to address reeling in costs. **Hannon:** This is the annual? **Calhoun:** For the annual. **Hannon:** But you're talking about the International Show. **Calhoun:** I'm talking about the annual. Did I say International? Just the annual. We don't have anything on the International as of yet. So, we will be addressing it. The point that I want to make is that we realize this is not sustainable and we need to find ways to address that. An event costs money. We understand that, but we have to be good shepherds of the funds and do everything we can do to bring that in as close to even budget as possible. So, that's really the message that I wanted to make sure that everybody heard. We are committed to coming back in February with something that will not likely impact the upcoming annual. It will be more likely to have more of an impact on 2020. If we can do anything that would impact 2019, we certainly would but if there's major differences, of course it would have to be 2020.

*The International Show financials will be reported in the February 2019 Board Report.*

### **The Bottom Line**

*Net income for May 1, 2018 through August 31, 2018 is -\$2,513. While a loss was suffered during this review period, a loss was budgeted. This is the year of re-investment. Profits from prior year will serve CFA well as we re-invest this year.*

	<i>May – Aug 18 Actual</i>	<i>May – Aug 17 Actual</i>	<i>Difference</i>	<i>% Change to Prior Fiscal Year</i>	<i>Budget</i>	<i>% of Budget</i>
<i>Income</i>	\$998,353	\$828,680	\$169,673	21%	\$811,850	123%
<i>Expense</i>	\$1,023,763	\$798,290	\$225,473	28%	\$1,075,768	95%
<i>Net Other Income</i>	\$22,897	\$31,052	(\$8,156)	(26%)	\$27,187	84%

<i>Other Expense</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>\$600</i>	<i>(\$600)</i>	<i>(100%)</i>		
<i>Net Income</i>	<i>(\$2,513)</i>	<i>\$60,842</i>	<i>(\$63,355)</i>	<i>(104%)</i>	<i>(\$236,731)</i>	<i>1%</i>

**Calhoun:** The bottom line is that, we spoke about this when we put together the budget, that this was the year of reinvesting funds and profits that we had in the past, in our future. We talked about that. We fully anticipated a loss this year. We are about \$2,500 in the red so far this year, this season. This is what we expected. We are reinvesting the profits from the past into the business.

**Other News**

*September 5, 2018 – Traveled to Central Office for a review of the preliminary audit report and financial review.*

*Respectfully Submitted  
Kathy Calhoun  
CFA Treasurer*

**Calhoun:** Are there any questions? Let's move on.

**(25) BUDGET COMMITTEE.**

**Committee Chair:** *Kathy Calhoun*  
**List of Committee Members:** *Mark Hannon, Rich Mastin, Carla Bizzell, Teresa Sweeney, Allene Tartaglia*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*2019/2020 Budget Approval Timeline. Committee should work with their Board Liaisons in the development of their respective budget requests. Committee budget requests to be presented to the Treasurer by the Board Liaisons.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*Committee approved timeline.*

**Communication**

*10/06/2018 Budget Committee Timeline Communicated*  
*12/11/2018 Budget Committee Timeline Communicated*  
*01/02/2019 Committee spending reports (May 1 2018 – Dec 31, 2018) to be provided to the Board liaison by the CFA Treasurer*

**Input Due Dates**

*01/29/2019 Committee Budget Request from Board liaison*  
*02/05/2019 Verona 2019 Annual Budget*  
*02/12/2019 International Show 2019 Budget*  
*02/12/2019 Capital Requests*

**Development**

*02/19/2019 First Budget Committee Meeting*  
*02/26/2019 Second Budget Committee Meeting*

**Approval**

*03/12/2019 Preliminary Budget and Report due to Board*  
*03/26/2019 Preliminary Budget Review – Telephonic Conference Call with CFA Board*  
*04/02/2019 Budget Document due to CFA Secretary*  
*04/09/2019 April Telephonic Board Meeting – 2019/2020 Budget Approval*



**Other Notes:**

**Adjustments to accounts**

*Effective August 31, 2018, 399000 – Prior Year Surplus has been consolidated with 320000 – Unrestricted Net Assets. This move has been reviewed with audit.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*2019/2020 Budget Approval Timeline*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Kathy Calhoun, Chair*

**Calhoun:** Next is the Budget Committee Report. The purpose here is to get this schedule out in front of folks as early as possible, so here we are in the October meeting. I'm giving you dates as to when committee reports will be due, when you will get information from me in the way of past spends. This is just a calendar of those events. I will re-present this calendar in December so if folks have questions, I just want to keep that schedule out in front and top of mind with folks. One thing that I did want to strongly reinforce is that the committee liaisons are responsible for the budgets. We quite often have committees that either miss the timing or they come to me directly and they are not working with their liaisons. Part of the responsibility of being a liaison for these committees is that you work with that committee to put together their budget, massage the budget, make it as realistic as possible, justify the budget and bring that back to the committee for discussion. I just wanted to get that in front. **Hannon:** That's the end of Budget Committee? **Calhoun:** That's it.

(26) **FINANCE COMMITTEE.**

*Committee Chair:* **Rich Mastin**

*List of Committee Members:* **Carla Bizzell, Kathy Calhoun, Teresa Sweeney**

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

- *Review monthly Financial Profit & Loss Statements and commentaries to previous year's performance and budget*
- *Follow-up with Scott Allen (Whitaker & Myers Insurance Company) on a previous question regarding age limit on Judges Travel Coverage and obtaining insurance coverage for Breeders Assistance & Breed Rescue:*
  - o *No age limit on Judges' travel coverages*
  - o *Policy quotes for Breeders Assistance and Breed Rescue were not in by the time this report was written (may have an update at the Board meeting)*

**Hannon:** Finance Committee. It says Calhoun, but isn't finance Rich? **Calhoun:** I think he's on. **Hannon:** Rich, do you want to handle the Finance Committee? **Mastin:** I can handle it unless you can't hear me and I will turn it over to Kathy. I'll start. The current happenings, I'm still working with Whitaker-Myers on the breeder assistant/breed rescue insurance coverage. As of last week, we're still waiting on a couple more quotes to come back in. The estimated cost for the insurance policy for that group is somewhere between \$1,200 and \$1,500 annually. As soon as I hand those final policies in, I'll send them over to Linda to review and let her know what the final cost is, and hopefully she can make the decision to proceed or not. The last time she and I exchanged emails, she basically said, we're going to have to move forward. I still want to try to negotiate the best possible rate for them.

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

- *Accessible to Central Office Management Team, Special Events Coordinator, Treasurer (also Budget and Audit Committee Chair), IT Committee Chair and Legal Counsel*
- *Review weekly bank account balances and biweekly payroll reports*
  - o *As of September 21, 2018, combined bank/investment accounts totaled \$2,658,929.16*

**Mastin:** The other thing that I have, and I've been doing this for the entire board meeting, is to notify the board what the Friday total bank account balances are, for the record. This past Friday, the total bank account balances combined was \$2,646,037.25.

### **Board Action Items:**

#### *Background -*

- *Current investment account balances and rate of returns:*
  - *Wells Fargo balance \$1,284,680.00 (4.34% return over the past 12 months)*
  - *Synchrony balance \$157,481.55 (1.735% annual return, 12 months remaining on the initial commitment)*
  - *Huntington Money Market balance \$439,753.26 (.20% annual return)*
  - *PNC Money Market balance \$176,436.17 (.12% annual return)*

#### *Recommendations to the Board on transferring funds from low rate of return accounts to higher rate of return accounts:*

- *Transfer \$439,753.26 from the current Huntington's Money Market account and \$60,246.74 from the current PNC's Money Market account for a combined total of \$500,000.00 into a new Huntington 19-month CD paying 2.50%*
- *Transfer \$50,000.00 from the current PNC's Money Market account into a new Synchrony's 13-month CD paying 2.65%*
- *Transfer \$56,189.43 from the current PNC's Money Market account (leaving a \$10,000.00 balance) into the current Wells Fargo account*
  - *Once all funds are transferred the account totals will be:*
    - *Wells Fargo 1,340,869.40*
    - *Huntington new 19-month CD \$500,000.00*
    - *Synchrony current CD remains the same at \$157,481.55 (12 months remaining)*
    - *Synchrony new 13-month CD \$50,000.00*
    - *Combined total of all short-term and long-term investments is \$2,048,350.95*
  - *The estimated increase on just the transferred funds is \$15,188.00 (assuming Wells Fargo remains at 4.34% annual return, note this return is not guaranteed, whereas all the others are)*

- *The estimated annual return on all investments combined is \$75,751.00 (assuming Wells Fargo remains at 4.34% annual return, note this return is not guaranteed, whereas all the others are)*
- *The funds requested to be transferred are currently earning .20% and .12%, the recommendation is to transfer funds into accounts earning 2.50%, 2.65% and 4.34%*
- *The above recommendations are conservative while taking advantage of higher paying CD's and investing additional funds in the current Wells Fargo account*
- *Based on September 21, 2018 combined bank/investment report totaling \$2,658,929.16, with all the transfers as recommended above, the balances in the remaining day to day working capital accounts would be:*
  - *Huntington Business Checking* *\$265,228.39*
  - *Huntington Business Checking* *\$166,857.24*
  - *PNC Money Market* *\$ 10,000.00 (minimum requirement)*
  - *PNC Merchant Checking* *\$144,256.28 (credit card account)*
  - *PayPal* *\$ 24,236.27*
  - *Combined total day to day working capital = \$610,578.18*
  - *At present time \$610,000.00 of working capital is more than adequate to handle day to day financial needs, if necessary we can obtain funds from the Wells Fargo account within 24 hours without penalty*
- *Motion to approve recommendations on transferring of short and long term funds as outlined above.*

**Mastin:** The last item I have is, I'm asking the board – my recommendation is to transfer a total of \$615,189.43 of very low-return money market accounts, one earning .20% and one earning .12%. I would like that transfer to go into two relatively short-term CDs, one is at Huntington and one is at PNC, then the remainder of \$56,189.43 transferred into our long-term investment Wells Fargo account. I don't know if you want to do these all at once or do you want to do one at a time? Do you have questions? I'm still having difficulties hearing people at either end of the room, so down by Kathy, Mark and Rachel it's hard to hear, and then down by Allene it's hard to hear. **Hannon:** Anybody have any comments or questions? **Black:** Rich, why are you wanting to do a short-term CD when we're not really needing that money? Why is it not being invested somewhere that would get us a better rate of return? **Hannon:** Can you hear her, Rich? **Mastin:** I can't hear the question. **Eigenhauser:** Maybe I can answer that. I was just looking at CD rates for myself a couple of weeks ago, and right now the sweet spot seems to be a year to a year and a half. If you go out 3 years or 5 years, you might 2-3/4% instead of 2-1/2% or 2.99% if

you go out 5 years, but the sweet spot, where you get the biggest bang for your buck over the shortest term is about a year to a year and a half right now. I think the assumption is, with the Fed just increasing the discount rate, that interest rates are going to drift upward slowly. Long-term rates are not reflecting that yet, and so the sweet spot for interest rates – plus all other things being equal, if you have a choice of getting 2-1/2% and interest rates are going up, you want to turn the money over because we may need it at some point. You don't want to lock it up any longer than you have to, go get a good rate. 2-1/2% is a very good rate in the current market. You can go longer to get a few fractions of a point better, but you're not getting a ton for what you're giving up, in terms of flexibility. The comment I wanted to make is, I'm fine with voting on this as a block. I don't want to micromanage it and say, "I like this but I don't like that." I think the general interest rates that are suggested for these accounts are in line with the research I just did. I'm going to express the concern that I always express, that I don't like having more money in any one bank than the FDIC insured. I wish we were spread out a little better. Having \$1.2 million in Wells Fargo, I would rather see that split in half between two banks, but that's my perpetual beef and nobody else seems to care, but these are good rates and I'm perfectly fine voting on it as a block. **Hannon:** Any other comments? **Mastin:** I can comment a little bit on this, George. The first part, I agree with George's review, that the sweet spot is that 1 to 1-1/2 year. That's why we went in that direction. The second comment is specific to the large amounts of funds in so few accounts. One of the challenges we have is, when we go to invest money in different accounts, they want our banking business. They want us to have a checking account tied to that. Investment accounts are one of our single, biggest challenges unless we start looking to it to invest and having to pay different types of investment fees. The last time we did a review, which has been a year and a half ago, and we brought in the three investment firms, we determined Wells Fargo had the lowest fees and we were able to negotiate that down because of the large investment we were making. I believe the fee structure is about .75% and many of the other ones were in the 1.25% to a point and 3/4. George, that's the reason why we're doing it. I kept your comments in mind going back years ago when we talked about the Fidelity account. I know we decided to put \$50,000 into that account because we already have a pretty nice chunk there right now, and that's due to mature in about 12 months. I went heavy with Huntington, even though it's paying a slightly less return and it goes out 6 months longer. That's because we do most of our banking through Huntington. If Carla or Kathy want to make comments, that might be helpful to the group. **Hannon:** Are there any other comments before we vote? Does somebody want to make a motion? **Eigenhauser:** I move we approve Rich's recommendation. **Currle:** Second.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

### **Time Frame:**

- *Insurance quotes may be available by the time of the Board meeting, if not shortly thereafter.*
- *It is likely documents and approvals will be required on opening new CDs and transferring of funds into Wells Fargo account, this could take 30 days or so if specific signatures are required.*

- *All other happenings are ongoing.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

- *Committee's progress and updates.*

*Respectfully Submitted,*

***Rich Mastin***

*Rich Mastin, Chair*

**Hannon:** Do you have anything else, Rich? **Mastin:** I do not.

**(27) CLUB MARKETING.**

**Committee Chair:** *Rich Mastin*  
**List of Committee Members:** *Verna Dobbins and Rachel Anger*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

- *Review and approve CFA Show Sponsorship and New Show requests as submitted*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

- *Review and approve requests as submitted*
- *Reminder to Regional Directors and Area Committee Chairs:*
  - *CFA Show Sponsorship Funding:*
    - *Clubs/Regions/Shows are eligible for two (2) \$1,000 CFA Show Sponsorship awards for two separate shows held on different weekends*
    - *Clubs are not eligible to receive two (2) Show Sponsorship Funding awards on the same weekend unless it is an approved New Show or In-Conjunction Show*
    - *Requests submitted to Verna Dobbins at [Vdobbins@cfa.org](mailto:Vdobbins@cfa.org)*
    - *Post Show requirements are required to receive second half of award*
  - *New Show Funding:*
    - *Each Region and Area hosting a New Show is eligible for \$2,000 for New Show funding, with a maximum funding of \$1,000 per show. The \$2,000 can be split by any number of new shows within the Region or Area*
    - *New Show Funding must be approved and requested by Regional Director and or Area Committee Chair, request for funding to Verna Dobbins at [Vdobbins@cfa.org](mailto:Vdobbins@cfa.org)*
  - *In-conjunctions Show Funding:*
    - *Funding is limited to the first three (3) approved shows who have requested funding through Rachel Anger (CFA Secretary)*
    - *All sponsorships for the 2018-2019 show season have been awarded. Sponsorships went to the following clubs:*

- *Cat-H-Art, September 15/16, 2018, in Brussels, Belgium (Region 9), with Organisation Feline Belge (WCF).*
- *Tornado Alley, March 23/24, 2019 in Gardner, Kansas (Region 6), with the ACFA club South Central Rendezvous Cat Club.*
- *United Feline Odyssey, January 13, 2019 in Kowloon Bay, Hong Kong (ID), with a TICA club's January 12, 2019 show.*
- *New Show Funding and In-Conjunction Show Funding is in addition to CFA Show Sponsorship Funding*
- *Review year to date 2018 – 2019 Sponsorship Awards –*
  - *Sponsorship Award Summary:*
    - *Regions 1 – 7               \$53,000*
    - *Region 9                   \$ 4,000*
    - *International Division \$0*
    - *New Show                 \$ 5,500*
    - *In-Conjunction         \$ 1,000*
    - ***Combined Total       \$63,500***
- *Year to date Sponsorship Funding report is below this report*

**Time Frame:**

- *Ongoing throughout the year*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

- *Updates and year to date report.*

*Respectfully Submitted,*

***Rich Mastin***

*Rich Mastin, Chair*

**Hannon:** Club Marketing. We had Verna down for that, thinking Rich wasn't going to be here. **Dobbins:** I can defer to Rich. **Hannon:** Rich, do you want to handle Club Marketing? **Mastin:** I only want to handle it to the extent that anyone has any questions. **Hannon:** I have no idea what you just said. Oh, are there any questions? **Mastin:** Does anybody have any questions on the Club Marketing Report? **Auth:** When is this dated? Because I see that our November 17



show is not listed, yet we've already received a check I believe. **Dobbins:** That came in after this. **Auth:** OK, never mind. **Hannon:** Any other questions? No other questions, Rich. You got anything else? **Mastin:** No, I do not.

<b>2018 - 2019 CFA Show Sponsorship</b>						
<b>Club</b>	<b>Reg</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Paid 1st</b>	<b>Docs Rec</b>	<b>Paid 2nd</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<i>New Vision Cat Club</i>	7	5/5/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Seacoast Cat Club</i>	1	5/5/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Anthony Wayne</i>	4	5/12/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Almost Heaven Cat Club</i>	4	5/19/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Golden Triangle Cat Fanciers</i>	4	5/26/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Siamese Alliance of America</i>	1	5/26/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Colonial Annapolis</i>	7	6/2/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Gulf Shore Regional</i>	3	6/9/18	\$500.00	Y	\$0.00	
<i>Southern Regional</i>	7	6/9/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>North Atlantic Regional</i>	1	6/16/18	\$500.00			
<i>Great Lakes Region Awards</i>	4	6/23/18	\$500.00			
<i>NW Regional</i>	2	6/23/18	\$500.00			
<i>Sternwheel Cat Fanciers</i>	4	7/7/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Stars and Stripes Cat Club</i>	3	7/8/18	\$500.00			<i>need invoices</i>
<i>One Fine Day</i>	1	7/14/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Slinky Cats</i>	5	7/14/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Triple Crown</i>	4	7/14/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Garden State</i>	1	7/21/18	\$1,500.00	Y	\$1,500.00	
<i>Platinum Coast</i>	7	7/21/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Southern Indiana</i>	6	7/21/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>GEMS</i>	7	7/28/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Motor City Jazz</i>	4	7/28/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Butler Cat Club</i>	4	8/4/18	\$500.00			
<i>Midwest TGIF</i>	6	8/4/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Hidden Peak Cat Club</i>	7	8/11/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Topeka Cat Fanciers</i>	6	8/11/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Rebel Rousers</i>	7	8/18/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Monroe Shorthair</i>	4	8/19/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>New England Meow Outfit</i>	1	8/25/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Opposites Attract</i>	5	8/25/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Sacred Cat of Burma</i>	4	8/25/18	\$500.00			
<i>National Siamese Cat Club</i>	4	9/1/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>New Vision Cat Club</i>	7	9/1/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>All Chiefs No Indians</i>	1	9/8/18	\$500.00			
<i>American Gothic</i>	6	9/8/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00	
<i>Happy Alternatives</i>	3	9/15/18	\$500.00			
<i>Thumbs Up Cat Fanciers</i>	4	9/15/18	\$500.00			
<i>Cats Without Borders</i>	1	9/16/18	\$500.00			
<i>Freestate Feline Fanciers</i>	7	9/22/18	\$500.00			

<i>Seattle Cat Club</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>9/22/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Twin Cities Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>9/22/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Delaware River Cats</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>9/29/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Foot of the Rockies/National Maine Coon</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>9/29/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Queen City Cat Club</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>9/29/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			

<i>Club</i>	<i>Reg</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Paid 1st</i>	<i>Docs Rec</i>	<i>Paid 2nd</i>	<i>Notes</i>
<i>Greater Lancaster Feline Fanciers</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>10/6/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Kentucky Colonels Cat Club</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>10/6/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Cat Club of the Palm Beaches</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>10/20/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Emerald Cat Club</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>10/20/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Lucky Tomcat</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>10/20/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Superstition Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>10/20/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>National Birman/Bombay Enthusiasts</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>10/21/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Abyssinian Breeders</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>10/27/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Cat Fanciers of Hawaii</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>10/27/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Gulf Shore Region Fundraiser</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>10/27/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			<i>w/ Cow Hill</i>
<i>Hallmark Cat Club</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>10/27/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Indy Cat Club</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>10/27/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Cotton States</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>11/3/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Golden Gate Cat Club</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>11/3/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>New Hampshire Feline Fanciers</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>11/3/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Dayton Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>11/10/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Utah Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>11/10/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Cleveland Persian</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>11/17/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Frontier Feline Fanciers</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>11/24/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Greater Baltimore Cat Club</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>12/1/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Lewis &amp; Clark</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>12/1/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Ohio State Persian</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>12/1/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Phoenix Feline Fanciers</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>12/8/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Finicky Felines Society</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>12/9/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Nashville Cat Club</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>12/29/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Cat Fanciers' of Hawaii</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>1/19/19</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Cleveland Persian</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>1/19/19</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>San Diego Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>1/26/19</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Star City Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>1/26/19</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Hawkeye State Cat Club</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>2/19/19</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			
<i>Tornado Alley</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>3/23/19</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>			

<b>Subtotal Sponsorships Awarded =</b>			<b>\$38,500.00</b>	<b>+</b>	<b>\$14,500.00</b>	<b>\$53,000.00</b>
--	--	--	--------------------	----------	--------------------	--------------------

<b>Europe - R9</b>					
<b>Balance Forward &amp; Deposits</b>		<b>Date</b>	<b>Allocated</b>		<b>Notes</b>
<i>Balance Forward</i>		5/1/18	\$1,000.00		
<i>Deposit</i>		8/7/18	\$4,000.00		
<b>Total Deposits =</b>			<b>\$5,000.00</b>		
<b>Club</b>		<b>Date</b>	<b>Paid</b>	<b>Docs Rec</b>	
<i>Cat-H-Art</i>		9/15/18	\$1,000.00		
<i>Jardin de Korat</i>		9/22/18	\$1,000.00		
<i>Cleopella Cat Fanciers</i>		11/3/18	\$1,000.00		
<i>Club Felino Espanol</i>		10/6/18	\$1,000.00		
<b>Subtotal Sponsorships Awarded =</b>			<b>\$4,000.00</b>		
<i>Cat-H-Art *** New Show</i>		9/15/18	\$1,000.00		
<b>Available Balance =</b>			<b>\$0.00</b>		

<b>International Division</b>					
<b>Balance Forward &amp; Deposits</b>					<b>Notes</b>
<i>Balance Forward</i>		5/1/2018	\$500.00		
<b>Total Deposits=</b>			<b>\$500.00</b>		
<b>Club</b>		<b>Date</b>	<b>Pd</b>	<b>Docs Rec</b>	
<b>Sponsorships Awarded =</b>			<b>\$0.00</b>		
<b>Available Balance =</b>			<b>\$500.00</b>		

<b>New Show Sponsorship Regions 1 - 9</b>					
<b>Club</b>	<b>Reg</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Amount</b>		<b>Notes</b>
<i>The Bengal Alliance</i>	4	7/29/18	\$500.00		
<i>All Chiefs No Indians</i>	1	9/8/18	\$1,000.00		
<i>Happy Alternatives</i>	3	9/15/18	\$1,000.00		
<i>Cat-H-Art **** Funds out of R9</i>	9	9/15/18	\$1,000.00		
<i>Cow Hill</i>	3	10/28/18	\$1,000.00		
<i>Mo-Kan</i>	6	11/3/18	\$1,000.00		
<b>Total =</b>			<b>\$5,500.00</b>		

<b>In-Conjunction Show Sponsorship</b>					
<i>Tornado Alley</i>	6	3/23/19	\$1,000.00		
<b>Total =</b>			<b>\$1,000.00</b>		

<b>Sponsorship Summary -</b>
------------------------------

<i>Regions 1 - 7</i>	<i>\$53,000.00</i>
<i>Region 9</i>	<i>\$4,000.00</i>
<i>International Division</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<i>New Show/In-Conjunction</i>	<i>\$5,500.00</i>
<i>In-conjunction Show</i>	<i>\$1,000.00</i>
<b><i>Total Sponsorships Awarded =</i></b>	<b><i>\$63,500.00</i></b>

**(28) 2018 CFA INTERNATIONAL SHOW REPORT.**

**Committee Chair:** *Rich Mastin*  
**List of Committee Members:** *Rachel Anger, Kathy Calhoun, Jim Flanik, Mark Hannon, Linda Murphy, Teresa Sweeney, Allene Tartaglia*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*Continued to plan show needs and activities.*

*Fundraising for a Friday night hospitality was successful with 10,000 raised.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*Entries closed with a total of 778.*

*Several committee members are attending a pre-show meeting at the I-X Center on Thursday, 9/27, at 1:30PM: Linda Komar, Jim Flanik, Joel Chaney and Allene Tartaglia.*

*Radio advertising will start on October 1.*

*The show catalog and a variety of posters are going to press this week.*

*New Royal Canin branded breed banners are in production.*

*A spectator website was created by our Marketing person, Desiree Bobby, which has been great in getting the word out to the public about Celebrity Cat appearances, Meet and Greet Cats, etc. There has been a big and continual presence on Facebook and other social media. Desiree's efforts in conjunction with the I-X Center should result in a high level of spectator attendance.*

*Mary Kolencik has been posting regularly on the exhibitor blog.*

*Vendor booths are almost sold out.*

*As always, the dedication of CFA volunteers is remarkable!*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*After show wrap up: what worked, what didn't, recommended changes for next year.*

*Preparation of financial information.*

**Board Action Items:**

*None.*

**Time Frame:**

*Ongoing until the event and beyond.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*A preliminary report about this year's show and plans for the 2019 show.*

*Respectfully Submitted,*

***Rich Mastin***

*Rich Mastin, Chair*

**Hannon:** International Show. **Mastin:** I'm going to let Allene do that. **Hannon:** Go, Allene. **Tartaglia:** There's really not much to report at this point, except we're working on media interviews. The advance ticket sales are quite strong. It's about \$6,400 through online ticket sales, so if that's any indication of what we may see at the box office, I think we'll be doing pretty well. We've been putting a lot into social media. That seems to be the way to promote things nowadays, so I think we put more into social media this year than any other year, so hopefully we'll see a positive result. That's it unless anybody has any questions. **Hannon:** Any questions from the International Show? Thank you Allene.



**(29) SHOW ENTRY SURCHARGE.**

**BACKGROUND:** At the July 1, 2018 CFA Board Meeting, the following discussion took place under New Business:

**(d) Surcharge.**

**Hannon:** Anything else? Last call. **Vanwongerghem:** Just a question. We are still dealing with the surcharge for the clubs. Is there a need to continue with that, because it's hurting the clubs. **Hannon:** Kathy, are you listening to this? **Calhoun:** Surcharge for the clubs. **Hannon:** He wants to do away with the surcharges. **Calhoun:** Well, you know what? I think we could look at where we are and do some evaluation and look at some numbers. **Hannon:** Why don't you come back for the August meeting with some hard data on what actually we take in, in the way of surcharges. **Calhoun:** OK, let me look at that. **Colilla:** Instead of doing away, how about the regional money? It will help the regions. I don't want to raise as much money as we have to. **Eigenhauser:** I would encourage [inaudible] although it seems kind of silly that we're giving clubs \$1,000 to put on a show and then charging them this fee at the back end and taking it back. So, if it fits in the budget, I would like to make it a little cleaner. It's a lot of trouble collecting these surcharges. We've had trouble. We've had to discuss how to penalize clubs who don't get their surcharge in on time. It would be cleaner if we just got rid of it, but I want to defer to the Treasurer on that. **Hannon:** Rather than have a motion, she is going to come back to us with some data at the August meeting and we will take it from there. **Mastin:** That was my concern. We approved the budget based on those numbers coming in, so we've got to look at it and see how it's going to impact things. **Hannon:** The budget proposed a loss this year, and so you're going to increase the loss. **Calhoun:** What we may be in a position to do, we'll start to prep for it for next year. We'll take a look.

*The Finance Committee has responded with the following recommendations:*

**Concerns:**

*I do not recommend we make any changes in the middle of a year from a financial budget position as it will most definitely have negative impacts. Eliminating the fee is of great concern to me on a number of levels:*

*My first concern is with the Annual costs. They are high and unsustainable when we are operating in the red or even breakeven. Over the past two or three years CFA CO took back controlling and running the Annuals and assumed the responsibility of the expenses associated with such an event. The Annuals are costing CFA a great deal time and money since they are now being done by CO. One of the main reasons we brought Allene back was to handle the Annual. When we allocate/post Annual expenses we do not include any portion of Allene's weekly compensation. In the past the Regions handled 80% to 90% of the Annual in terms of expenses (and incomes). As you are well aware, the Regions did far more fundraising and sponsorships to pay for expenses and in most cases they raised extra funds that could be used towards regional expenses in the future.*

*Another concern with eliminating the fee is all the projects we have in front of us this year with the potential of big ticket items and our projected negative loss for this year (and possibly/likely next year). Big ticket items such as: Updating our IT everything (system, software, new programs, hardware, hosting services, additional staff, project manager, etc.), getting our CFA China business in order, GDPR in Europe, incorporating all the Regions, additional staff and resources for or Strategic Planning objectives and goals. These are just some of the expenses we need to be mindful of. Also, capital improvements as they come up, good news is CO is in very good shape. We do however need to invest in office efficiencies, windows and a few miscellaneous items (not necessarily big ticket items, but they do add up).*

*I am also very concerned about taking something away and then having to reinstate something after one or two bad years, or making a decision that may not be the right thing to do. I am not confident CFA will always have annual cash surpluses. CFA's business is very different than it is today.*

*The last concern I have is the name of this fee, Show Surcharge, I believe the name needs to change to something other than "Surcharge". IMO it should be changed to "Show Entry Fee". I am not married to this name, and I am open to suggestions.*

#### ***Suggestions:***

- *Do not change the fee structure this year.*
- *Change the name of the fee this year or at the start of next year.*
- *Every club should be paying the same fee globally, we need to move away from different fee structures on this specific item.*
- *For 2019 - 2020 I propose we give the Regions an additional 25 cents so that they receive 75 cents per entry. I sense we have a number of Regions who would appreciate the extra 25 cents and it should help them financially. The regions can chose what they want to do with the funds, even if they want to give a portion back to the show producing clubs or using it to help fund new shows or just shows in general.*
- *For 2020 – 2021 I propose we evaluate CFA's overall finances at the February 2020 Board Meeting to determine if CFA can afford to give the Regions an additional 25 cent per entry so that the split is even \$1.00 to the Regions and \$1.00 to CFA.*

#### ***Other:***

*I have heard an argument if we eliminate the fee, CFA may consider eliminating or reduce the show sponsorship funding programs. I prefer we keep the show sponsorship funding programs intact, these funds help clubs market shows and provides extra funds to be used at clubs discretion. Keeping the funding programs going is helping to feed CFA brand awareness and encourage clubs to continue to produce shows.*

**Hannon:** Show Surcharge. Do you want to do that, Rich? **Mastin:** I believe Peter brought this up back in July and I just shared some concerns and offered some suggestions. I just want to open it to the group to discuss it. Keep in mind, I'm not able to hear everybody, so unfortunately either Kathy or Carla are going to have to make some comments on behalf of the Committee. I believe I shared it with them first and then it went on to everybody else. **Calhoun:** I just wanted to draw your attention to some of the highlights. Peter is, and really everyone is concerned about helping the clubs. I think there has been a lot of sponsorship money that has been made available to the clubs in the past couple of years that haven't been, but in this particular report, the recommendation is not to do anything this year. We are in the middle of our fiscal year. We've talked about this with other proposals, that what we need to do when we want to make changes like this, we need to bring it into the budget process and look at it holistically with the entire year. The first recommendation is not to make a change this year. The second, it's a misnomer to call this a surcharge. This is like the truckers, when the gas prices go up, the surcharge goes back. What we want to do is change the name of it. We haven't made a lot of recommendations on that, but starting next year to rename that to something that is probably a little bit more palatable and this is a scoring charge or whatever it is, but it's going to be there. We want to make sure that we're doing everything that is fair to the clubs, so what we would like to look at, as far as the next budget, is to pass back more to the clubs. Maybe propose another 25¢ that we would pass back to the club next year. Potentially, the following year we would increase that to maybe 50¢ (or an additional 25¢), but the thing of it is, is that we really can't make those decisions and make those financial changes mid-season. We've got a lot going on this year. We've got a lot of things that have been over budget this year. We just talked about the Annual. We made a donation to the Foundation. We're investing in CFA in the way of calendars and coloring books and these sorts of things. We have a lot of things that have been over budget. We need to be fair to the clubs, we need to do all that we can, but we need to look at it holistically. My recommendation would be, along with Rich's, that when we go through the budget cycle that we budget and try to bring in this additional 25¢ pass-back to the clubs and make it fit into a profitable or at least a break-even budget next year. We passed a budget that predicted that we would lose money this year. That was very uncomfortable, but we did it because we knew we had a big ticket item this year, which was the computer, and we've spent a lot of money incrementally since then and it's just October. So, I hope that the board understands and we have full intentions of doing everything we possibly can to pass back more to the clubs, that we build that into next year's budget. **Hannon:** Do you have anything to add to that, Rich? **Mastin:** I do not.

**Eigenhauser:** I just want to make a couple of comments. When CFA passed the surcharge years and years ago, it replaced what had been a lot of regional surcharges that the regions were using to cover the cost of their awards and other things, and we basically told them, "you have to stop doing that, you'll get a piece of the action from CFA" and that's why the regions get a portion of this. So, in addition to reviewing what CFA's needs are in our budget, we should have some discussion with the regional directors, too. If we reduced or eliminated this, what would they do as a funding source for the portion they get back from CFA? I think there's a lot we can do with this. People think this is free money to CFA. We definitely need to rename it, to give it more of a sense of what it really is about. It's about supplementing some of the costs of scoring shows, it's about providing resource for regional awards and things like that, so we need

to give it a more marketable name. I agree with Kathy that this needs to be part of a budget, not an action item for any one board meeting. **Vanwonderghem:** I still feel that now we're shipping money back and forward. There's sponsorships to the clubs and the clubs are paying surcharges. Internationally, all these money transfers are on the radar of the tax offices and I think that shipping money back and forward really doesn't make any sense. I do understand that it doesn't make any sense to do this mid-term, but let's look at it for next year, how we can make both the support and the surcharge easier.

(30) **CENTRAL OFFICE REPORT.**

*Committee Chair: Allene Tartaglia*

---

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

***Yearbook & Historical Data:*** James Simbro is working with Computan (contract ends October 1) to create the reports which generate historical data for inclusion in the Yearbook, e.g. sires and dams and their grand offspring, the highest number of grands produced by a DM, etc. The 2010 Yearbook was the last book which contained these various reports. The reports are on the HP but are no longer usable since registration data on the HP is current only through 2014. I'll have an update at the meeting regarding the final status of these reports.

**Hannon:** Moving on to Central Office report. **Tartaglia:** We've only got one action item. I'll just touch briefly on the current happenings. Yearbook Historical Data is pretty self-explanatory.

***Genetic Screening for Registrations:*** Heather Lorimer will be in office October 4 @ 9:00AM for the initial meeting to determine what is involved to develop the tables for cat registration programming. In addition to Heather, Tim Schreck, James Simbro, Verna Dobbins and I will be in attendance. Carla Bizzell will attend by phone. Connie Sellitto, CFA's programmer in NJ, will be providing a report with her understanding of what the tables contained on the HP mean and how they work (part of the registration software since the late 1980's). Implementation of this type of software should help reduce the type of errors which require genetic knowledge to avoid them from happening (parent colors and what colors are possible or not). I'll provide an update at the meeting.

**Tartaglia:** The genetic screening for registrations, we had our meeting with Heather Lorimer on Thursday. It was a very good meeting, very informational, and it gave us hope that we all can start to understand the genetics and how we're going to structure the process of putting something into our registration database. It's going to be a big project. There's going to be a lot of up-front work before we even get to the programming stage but it's doable. I don't need to go into a lot of details, but there will be drop-down menus based on a number tiers – the breed and then based on the breed, what's the base, which would be solid, solid and white, then you become color. There's just a lot of variables, so Heather will be very helpful to us. We'll just go from there. I don't know, Carla, if you want to add anything to that? **Bizzell:** It was really an interesting meeting, because as part of this meeting we actually came up with a basic game plan, which I didn't know we would get to. With our system being driven off the BCS codes and each breed having its own code for the color black, that sounded insurmountable to me. I mean, programming would be millions of hours, but there is a way to somehow connect all those different descriptions for black down to another uniform code that will be inside the system that the customers won't see, and then from that you can do the – if I have a black cat and a blue cat, I can't make a red cat. **Tartaglia:** Our process is a little more complicated because we're not uniform in our color descriptions. We have ebony and black. It's the same color genetically, but we call it different things. Therefore, our drop-down menus are going to be more extensive than

they might be in another registry or for some other purpose, but again that's all the up-front work. The idea is that we're going to start with a breed that has a lot of colors. The Devon Rex has been tagged as that breed, and we feel that once we basically back into the color – in other words, here's the color, now how is somebody going to go through the process to describe that color? We feel that once we can do that for the Devon Rex breed, every other breed is going to be simple. Then it's just a matter of fine tuning the drop-down menus. The Korat is going to be easy. The Chartreux, the Havana Brown, Russian Blue. We thought about starting with those and then we realized, well, that may not be the way to go, we better get the big one done first, have that right and then go back from there. So, it will be a big project. If we approach this aggressively and put the people and the resources into it, we believe it could be done within about a year's time, maybe a year and a half. In talking with Mark and others, it's such an important piece for CFA. It will give us more respectability with our pedigrees because there will be more accuracy, so it's a really big thing. It will help our staff, it will help online registrations, as well. **Hannon:** It's something I've pushed for several years, because by having a human rather than a computer go through these and try and ascertain whether you can get a kitten of this color from those parents, when you're talking Orientals, Devons and some of those really complex breeds with lots of colors, it's just not realistic that a human being is going to fully understand all that. I've pushed for several years to get this thing programmed so that the computer does it for us. Heather is a good one to be dealing with this, because for a number of years she did exhibit in CFA, she is currently involved with TICA, she's a geneticist and so she has a lot of experience with other registries and how they handle it. Like Allene pointed out, one of our hindrances is how we identify colors. Is it a red point or a flame point? Is it a black or is it an ebony? Is it a cameo or a red smoke or whatever? There are so many things that are the same color but have different names, depending upon the breed. I met Friday with Tim Schreck about it, and Tim estimates that we're talking cost-wise in the six figures. What we're hoping to do is put most of the expense into the next fiscal year. We want to get started prior to May 1<sup>st</sup>, so there will be some expenses this year, but like the programming expenses come at the end. It's going to be an expensive project, but it's something that we really have to do. **Bizzell:** I just wanted to mention that this will also translate over into eCats, so when someone goes in to register their own cat, instead of free forming what the color might be, there will be drop-down menus to get to the connection for the right code, so then the system can decide if that kitten is a legal kitten, based on the parents. **Hannon:** If it's not legal, they will just manually have to deal with the staff. **Calhoun:** Although I do support this – **Hannon:** And for \$10,000 you would love it. **Calhoun:** Absolutely, next year. Six figures, that's a lot of money and I don't know if it's like \$101,000 or \$500,000. Six figures, that's a big gap there. **Hannon:** He is estimating \$100,000. **Calhoun:** The thing of it is, and I've been harping on this all weekend, that these non-essential expenses need to be budgeted. I'll leave it at that. **Hannon:** And we plan to budget it. **Calhoun:** But you plan to spend the money this year. It's not in the budget. **Hannon:** I said most of it is going to be spent next year. So, we'll put it into the budget. **Calhoun:** OK. **Hannon:** When I was talking to him, I heard you in my ear. I've been trained. **Tartaglia:** My last comment on it is, registration is our core business. Not to say anything against Kathy, but it's not a non-essential expense. **Hannon:** She didn't say that. She said, "this season." **Tartaglia:** Oh, this season, excuse me. Never mind. **Hannon:** She was talking about taking money out of the current budget. **Calhoun:** The doors will not close this season if we don't do it. **Vanwonderghem:** I wanted to say exactly the same as Allene. It's our image internationally. **Hannon:** If it's next year, she will be a lot happier.

**Tartaglia:** OK. **Calhoun:** Because we will cut something else back. **Hannon:** Or not. **Calhoun:** Or not. Who knows what we're going to be doing next year?

**Agility Scoring:** *Effective with the show weekend of 9/22-23, the scoring for cats competing in Agility are being handled at the Central Office by Sean Dobbins. There are approximately 26 shows per year with Agility rings.*

**International Division Clubs Eligibility to Vote:** *Article VI – Officers & Directors, Section 2- - Elections, b. states that International Division clubs are eligible to vote for CFA Officers and Directors-at-Large “if they hold a licensed CFA show within the previous show season”. The Central Office has consistently and historically applied this rule by looking at the ‘current’ show season in which the ballots are distributed and the show season previous to that. For example, when ballots were mailed in March 2018, ID clubs considered eligible to vote were those who held a show in the 2017-2018 show season (current) and the 2016-2017 show season (previous). This look-back method was questioned by the Credentials Committee this year and it was their determination that only the current show season should be considered for eligibility.*

*The date on which someone interprets “previous show season” seems to cause the confusion. For instance, the previous show season if based on the deadline to qualify to vote, February 1, 2018 would be the show season 2016-2017 making the 2017-2018 show season the “current” show season. Ballots were sent in March 2018 so the previous show season would still be 2016-2017. However, ballots are received and counted in a “new” show season (June) which would make the “previous” show season 2017-2018. It is confusing.*

*Further research on this matter was done after the Annual Meeting and revealed that the two-year look-back policy which CO had been following was instituted at the June 2005 board meeting when this same matter was discussed. At that time the Board voted to take a “liberal” interpretation of the rule to include the current season in which the ballots are mailed and the season previous to that. The discussion which took place in 2005 is included at the end of this report.*

**Hannon:** Do you have anything else? **Tartaglia:** I have an action item. The International Division clubs' eligibility to vote. I think you're probably all aware of it. You may not have had the time to look through all of this, to look at the conversation that the board had about this same issue back in 2005. Our Constitution is not clear on how we allow clubs to be eligible within the International Division to vote for officers and directors on the board, as well as have a delegate at the annual meeting. It refers to the previous show season. A show has to be held in the previous show season in order to be able to submit a ballot, in addition to dues and membership lists. Some people think that means one show season. Which show season is that? So, there was a liberal interpretation to include two show seasons. That policy has been followed by the Central Office since 2005. This past year, a lot of things happened and we were told that we needed to just follow – previous show season would mean one show season. So, we just would like clear direction from the board. Is it going to be one, is it going to be two? If it is one, which show season is it? Is it the show season that would be considered previous, when a club qualifies to vote on directors, which would be February 1<sup>st</sup> dues and membership lists have to be in, so the previous show season, based on that date, would be the show season that ended 9 months ago. If

we consider the previous show season based on the date a club qualifies to vote at the annual meeting as a delegate, that date is typically almost always in the beginning of May. It's 50 days prior to the annual meeting. Then, the previous show season just ended, so that's I think why the board, at the time they last looked at this, took a liberal philosophy and they said, "OK, look at both of those. Look at the one ending in" – for instance, this past year – "look at the one ending in 2018." **Hannon:** What you're saying is, they have to have held one show in either of those. **Tartaglia:** In either of those. **Hannon:** It's not two shows, one in each. **Tartaglia:** Yes, right. **Hannon:** And the Credentials Committee is the one that brought this up. They are concerned that what we are requiring is not with that Constitution said. **Eigenhauser:** I would prefer we narrow this. When we talk about voting for officers and regional directors or sending a delegate to the annual, I don't care when the date is to send in the form. They speak as of the annual. The ballots are counted at the annual. The delegates vote at the annual, so to me the year before the 2019 annual is the show year that ended on April 30, 2019. That is where it would speak to, not when the delegate forms get mailed, not when the ballots get mailed out, but that is the show season immediately preceding the annual. So, I would prefer that we limit it to what appears to be the literal interpretation of this. If we're speaking about an annual meeting or events that happen at an annual meeting like the votes, then the show season that ended 3 months before the annual is the previous show season, and should be that season and no other. Now, having said that, if there were to hypothetically be a special meeting in December of this year, then the previous show season would be the show season that ended last April, not the current show season. **Black:** I agree with everything George said. I want to clarify what they're voting on, because this was brought up to me last weekend, that the International Division feels like even though they may be a club that's not considered active because they have not held a show, they should still be able to vote for their own representative. So, are we talking about voting just for officers and directors at large? **Hannon:** My understanding is that according to the Credentials Committee, the Constitution does not address that particular election. It talks about having a delegate at the annual and voting for the board. We probably need to put something in the Constitution dealing with voting for their rep. **Moser:** I was reading the 2005 minutes when this was discussed before. Also in that discussion was that, why are we limiting it to one year or the year before? Why don't we just open it up and any club that's there is able to vote. After all, in the U.S., even though they're called paper clubs, they are all able to vote so why aren't we giving that same to the International Division? I don't know if that's a constitutional amendment or what, but I just wanted to bring it up. **Krzanowski:** One of the problems we have with considering, for instance, for the 2019 annual, the previous show season would be that ending April 30, 2019. The ballots for the election of the officers and directors are mailed in March, before the end of the show season. **Hannon:** So what? **Krzanowski:** So a club could still hold a show in April but if they haven't held that show yet, they're not eligible. **Hannon:** The get the ballot, and then the Credentials Committee and the Central Office say, "that club didn't hold a show by April 30<sup>th</sup>," or did and they are eligible to vote or they're not eligible to vote. **Krzanowski:** Someone would have to analyze that. **Hannon:** They would have to go through and look at the criteria. Did they meet the criteria? Allene, would you agree that they could do that? **Tartaglia:** Yes. So essentially then, every club will be getting a legitimate ballot, because it won't be until after the ballots are mailed that we can determine if the ballots are valid when they're received.



**Eigenhauser:** I have a couple of things. First, in answer to Pam's question, part of it is constitutional and we can't change it, so we're simply determining what our interpretation of "previous show season" is under the constitution. We can't let all the international clubs vote. The constitution prohibits it. To address Allene's issues, we know some International Division clubs have, in fact, held shows so we can send them out a ballot. The ones that have not held a show, we could simply flag those ballots as provisional or some other word on the label so they would be set in a separate pile to make it easy for Central Office and the Credentials Committee to identify which ones had not held shows as of the date of the mailing, and to see which ones were eligible or ineligible. I think that could be done with fairly minimal amount of paperwork. The third thing I wanted to say was, with respect to election of the International Division representative, that is very loose under the constitution. It says, "The board shall adopt rules and procedures for appointment or election of representatives. The board shall also ... The president shall appoint a committee of at least two members of the board." It is very open. It is pretty much up to the board to decide how we deal with the International Division representatives. **Hannon:** A couple years ago we made a change to it. **Eigenhauser:** That's right. **Hannon:** It used to be, they elected two. Then we said no, it has to be one from China and one from Other. **Eigenhauser:** Right, so the constitution gives the board discretion as to how to set that up. That's within our power. That thing we can do, but in terms of the balloting for officers and directors, in terms of sending representatives to the annual, that is constitutional so the only question we can answer here today is, is it the board's interpretation that the "previous year" means this year, that year or both years. Those are the only three choices we can really make.

#### **Future Projections for Committee:**

*Continue to transition programs from the HP system to Sonit.*

#### **Board Action Items:**

***International Division Club Voting Eligibility:*** *Determine the policy to be followed for the definition of "previous show season" for future voting.*

**Hannon:** Somebody make a motion. **Eigenhauser:** I move that for purposes of an annual meeting, the year previous be considered to be the year that ended immediately before the annual. **Hannon:** By "annual meeting," do you mean delegate forms and ballots? **Eigenhauser:** Everything. **Vanwonderghem:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion? **Moser:** That's just previous year, right? **Eigenhauser:** Correct. **Hannon:** "Previous year" would be the show season that ended right before the annual. Any other discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Tartaglia:** Perhaps we should consider putting some verbiage in the constitution or come up with a constitutional amendment so we don't go through this again. I'm not arguing what the ruling is, but I think we're going to end up coming back to this yet again 10 years down the line. I think it could be a very simple addition. It might be "previous" being defined as the show season which ended prior to the annual meeting. Just to clarify. **Hannon:** George, would you agree that

that's something – **Eigenhauser:** It wouldn't be terrible. **Hannon:** Alright, so he's agreed that at the February board meeting, we'll approve an amendment to the constitution to do that.

\* \* \* \* \*

### ***Credentials Committee Recommendations***

*I would like to follow up on the things we discussed/discovered in the Credentials Committee meetings at the Annual this year and recommend how we can collectively make it more efficient and easier to function in the future.*

*The items are listed below. Please see detailed backup information for each item on the following pages:*

**Hannon:** The Credentials Committee came up with a whole slew of comments and recommendations. You have others besides that one, right? **Tartaglia:** Yes. Do you want to go through them? **Hannon:** I want to go through the ones where the board has to vote on something.

1. *The Credentials Committee Recommends that spaces for 2 signatures (Club President as well as Club Secretary) be required on the ballots sent out by CFA CO.*

*We liked the idea of the electronic ballot and having the result sent electronically to the Club President, as well as back to the Club Secretary and to the Central Office associate who handles the ballots.*

*What we noticed is that when a club sent a ballot by e-mail with a PDF (or similar) file attached, it was as if the ballot was received by snail mail. No notice was sent to the President of the club. If the President's signature is required on the ballot then it should be treated as if it was a normal mailed in ballot. The cover e-mail for any PDF ballot was not attached to the ballot.*

*It was also reported that the Club President did not always receive the result, even with the electronic ballot. I can't tell if this is true, or not, because some of those believed-to-be electronic ballots were actually e-mails with a pdf attachment, and I had no information to verify the information from the club who believed their vote was electronic.*

*As mentioned in the report to the delegates, we recommend that the form for voting be modified so that a signature is required for both the Club President AND the Club Secretary. I think I understand that the constitution was modified to require only one signature in order to allow for the on line balloting, but it makes more sense to have a similar philosophy for both electronic and mailed-in or pdf ballots – that is that the Club Secretary AND the Club President be aware of the Club's vote for all ballots.*

**Hannon:** She mentioned numerous times that the paper ballot this year did not have a blank for the secretary and the president to sign. It just said for the secretary, and I told her that was an oversight and we would correct that. It wasn't something that required board approval,

but I'll put it through in the minutes that we will do that – that in the case of the online ballots, the Central Office sent something back to the secretary and the president, so the secretary and the president both knew how the club voted. In the case of the paper ballot, the problem was the club president did not necessarily know how the club secretary marked the ballot because it only required the club secretary's signature. So, we'll resolve that. What else did she have?

2. *We would like the board to address voting rights for the election for the International Representative. We recommend that ALL clubs in the International Division be allowed to vote for the International Divisional Representatives.*

*It had been discussed at the board at one time that it should be the same for voting for the Divisional Representative as it is for seating delegates and for voting for officers or Directors at Large. The Constitution is silent on this situation. The International Rep is representative for all International Clubs, not just the show-producing clubs. In fact there were often no dates available for clubs to produce more shows in the past show season. It should be noted that there were 3 China Clubs that joined during the past year that also did not renew their membership. The reason is unclear, but giving the right to all clubs to vote for their representative is a positive step for inclusion and seems appropriate to the Credentials Committee at this time.*

**Tartaglia:** The second one was one we've sort of talked about. That's the International Division representative. How are we going to determine their eligibility? Are we going to use the same policy that we just – **Hannon:** Pam suggested that every club over there should be able to vote. **Tartaglia:** This is for the International Division rep. So, just let every club vote? **Eigenhauser:** In that particular instance, the constitution gives the board a great deal of discretion how to do it, so we have the ability to include everybody. I would choose to be inclusive. **Tartaglia:** So, we're going to let all clubs in the International Division vote for the International Division rep? **Hannon:** Do we want to say that they have to pay their dues by X date? **Eigenhauser:** They have to be a club in good standing. **Hannon:** As of when, the ballot? As of February 1<sup>st</sup> is what we require for the clubs here to vote. **Tartaglia:** I was just going to ask, their dues and membership list, OK. So, we don't have to be concerned with the show being held. **Eigenhauser:** Right. **Hannon:** Otherwise, February 1<sup>st</sup> they have to be in good standing. Do we agree on that? **Black:** Do we have to make a motion for that? **Hannon:** Go ahead. **Black:** I make the motion that the ID clubs, as long as they have paid their dues and sent a membership list by the required time, can vote for the ID rep. **Krzanowski:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

3. *We would like to request that on the reports prepared for the Credentials Committee CO provide an indicator for how the club voted. (On-line Voting, E-mail with PDF attachment, or snail mail).*

*This was requested for the reports for the election in 2018 but the request was denied by CO. For the committee's purpose it would be helpful if we could receive the spreadsheets with an indicator of how the club voted, snail mail, e-mail with attachment, fax, or*

*electronic. This information would be only for the Credentials Committee's use, and could help track any anomalies. We do not have time to perform any analysis of the data – we have time only to address issues or proceed with the ballots. There was, in fact a challenge received on Tuesday July 3, 2018 about the ballot for the election of International Rep. from Beijing International Club. The Credentials Committee saw that there was a fax sent by the club and the ballot was subsequently not counted. The ballot was apparently by fax sent from one person to another within China and then sent as a PDF file attached to an E-mail to CO. The members of the committee were advised to note if a ballot appeared to be a fax. The members found a ballot from Beijing International Club that matched the fax criteria and disallowed the ballot. The ballot was printed by the Associate from CO but the email was not attached to the ballot. It was not clear that the ballot was a PDF and because there was a "fax" signature and number on the ballot it appeared as if the ballot was a fax.*

**Hannon:** What else did she have? **Tartaglia:** The third item is, they were requesting that reports be prepared for the Credentials Committee indicating how a club has voted – whether it was online, email with a PDF attachment or snail mail. We're concerned that this is a privacy issue. We put a list online of which clubs have voted, and that's of course because we want not what the vote is, of course, but which clubs voted so people will know that they have been received. We're concerned if we start providing information to anyone, including the Credentials Committee, that it was online, it was snail mail, it was faxed – is that just getting into too much of an area where, do we really want to provide that information and is it necessary? They've given one instance where it would have been nice to have known that it was a fax. I don't see that there's a reason. **Hannon:** I'm going to ask John first. **Randolph:** Why do they need that information? **Hannon:** I can appreciate that the Credentials Committee might make use of that information, but putting it online for the world to see? **Randolph:** I agree. I don't see any reason to put it online. **Moser:** My problem with last year is that it was supposed to be, OK, the ballot was sent online to the secretary and then it was supposed to be then forwarded on to the president to make sure that the ballots were approved by both the president and the secretary. That did not happen. **Tartaglia:** My understanding is, it's not an approval. The intent of the online balloting was not to have the secretary get approval. In other words, it doesn't require two signatures. It was an informational thing – here's the ballot, it automatically spins off a notice to the president of what the vote was. Now, the Credentials Committee did question, what if the president doesn't get it? We don't know that, because it's only based on the email address that's provided to us. However, we do put online when a ballot has been received, and the Credentials Committee believes – and certainly I do – that there is some onus on the club to keep tabs of this. If a club president sees that a ballot has been filed and they have no awareness of how the ballot was voted, then they should get in touch with the Central Office or get in touch with the secretary and ask, "hey, how did you vote?" If there's an issue then we deal with that, but the online process would then become much more complicated because now we've got the secretary's signature and it would have to go in a holding pattern – I don't even know how it would work – and then get the president's approval, and then we would finally get it. I'm not aware that there were really any issues. **Moser:** I just thought that that's the way. Before we always had the secretary and the president sign, so by not doing that, what happens is, all the power becomes the secretary's. So, the secretary can vote the club without even the rest of the – as far as that goes, I know there's a

lot of paper clubs out there, but even on a legitimate club, the secretary then can vote the whole club and the club doesn't even know what's going on. **Tartaglia:** So then we need to re-look at the entire process. **Hannon:** But the president is sent the results for online. **Moser:** No, they were not. **Hannon:** Yes, they were. **Moser:** I didn't. **Hannon:** Yes, they were. **Tartaglia:** They were sent the results, as long as we had an accurate email. If there was an email address on file and it was an accurate email, the president knew. **Hannon:** We're talking online. **Tartaglia:** Online, yes. **Moser:** [inaudible] **Dobbins:** It depends on, if the membership list came in and the president's email address was provided. **Moser:** On the membership list? **Dobbins:** On the member list, yes. If it's not, then it doesn't get entered in, so that could be that maybe they put the secretary's email address but not necessarily the president. **Moser:** OK, thank you. **Hannon:** With the hard copy, this year we will require the signature of the president and the secretary, so the president will know. **Moser:** But not online, right? **Eigenhauser:** I think we're fine with the email, with the way we're doing it now. If for some reason the president doesn't get the email letting them know how the club voted, again we publish to the world which clubs have voted. The president can look and go, "gee, I never got my notice from CFA" and they can request it. So, we're telling them twice. We're sending them an email directly, then we're publishing to the world. If they still don't get it, there's some place where we've got to say, this is a matter of personal responsibility. We can't tell you a third time. **Hannon:** I say several times in the newsletter to clubs, check online to make sure that your ballot has been received and, if not, contact whoever at the Central Office. **Eigenhauser:** I think the email we've got covered. With the correction that Mark has mentioned on the paper ballot we've got it covered. I think we're good.

4. *We recommend that programming be modified for the on-line ballot to not allow any ballot to be released unless a vote for a candidate or an abstention is checked off on the ballot.*

*At the Credentials Committee meeting the CO Associate indicated that he was advised that a club could not send an electronic ballot without being complete, and that the programming would not allow an incomplete ballot to be sent. However, we know that it was indeed possible and it was confirmed to me in an e-mail sent by Terri Barrie to me that the programming was written to allow that action. For next year with the D-A-L ballot, the constitution is specific in that we cannot accept any incomplete ballot. In the case this year where one club sent both the electronic ballot AND a snail mail ballot. Based on what we saw on that ballot, I believe the club secretary released the electronic ballot when it was, in fact incomplete, and the snail mail ballot included an abstention for the last candidate instead of a "no vote". This was reported to the delegation. In addition, the number of "no votes" did not change any election and even though allowed by the Constitution the change is positive to have complete ballots even for the years when Officers and Regional Directors are elected.*

**Hannon:** What's next? **Tartaglia:** #4, they recommended that the programming be modified for the online ballot to not allow any ballot to be released unless a vote for a candidate or an abstention is checked off on the ballot. By "released," they mean filed. We're in absolute agreement with this. That's the beauty of online balloting. They have to do something online, whereas if they don't then it becomes an invalid ballot. If they don't put an abstention or they

don't – **Hannon:** They have to vote for a candidate or abstain. **Tartaglia:** Right. They have to vote for a candidate or abstain. **Hannon:** Right now the online ballot allowed you to submit the ballot without doing that. **Tartaglia:** We can easily program for that, and we think it's a good thing. **Anger:** Part of the confusion in that was that when Nancy Dodds announced the voting results, she said so-many yes votes, so-many abstains, so-many no votes. I had to work with her to change that in the minutes because it sounded like clubs were voting no on a candidate. If you were the only candidate running for office, it sounded like you had a bunch of people that didn't want you in office. I clarified that that's exactly what it was and we came up with a different way to report it in the minutes. **Eigenhauser:** I agree with Allene that it would be nice if we could improve the system so that if you don't check the right number of boxes, it flashes that your ballot was not accepted, so they know right away. **Hannon:** Otherwise, they're going to throw the ballot out. **Eigenhauser:** But it would point out that we get paper ballots all the time with the wrong number of votes, and this, that and the other. We can't fix every mistake, but it would be nice if we could fix those and that would be an improvement in the system. **Tartaglia:** The majority of ballots were filed online, so we'll tidy this up and it will make the voting procedure even better.

5. *We recommend that the procedures in CO be reviewed to confirm that only International Clubs having a show in the recent show season be allowed to vote for any candidate or be able to seat a delegate at the Annual Meeting.*

*I compiled the list of shows held by International Clubs during the most recent show season so the Committee could verify that only those clubs with shows in the recent show season were allowed to vote and to send delegates to the Annual. When I reviewed the list of clubs on the CFA Web Site I saw that there were clubs in the International Division who had voted and who had send the delegates for the Annual meeting who were not eligible. I wrote to inform CO of this issue but CO had a different interpretation of the Constitution and advised that they could accept an International Division club's vote if they had a show in the previous 2 years and that a club didn't have to have a show in order to send a delegate. We were advised that the issue with the International Clubs being ineligible to vote or seat a delegate was an issue because of outdated procedures. The Clubs affected were removed after my e-mails to CFA's President, Mark Hannon. I understand the procedures have been updated so that this should not happen in the future.*

**Tartaglia:** #5, we've already addressed that. That was the international clubs and the recent show season, so we have that done. The Credentials Committee liaison to the Central Office, which would be I guess Nancy Dodds or whoever, have input on the procedures in place. In other words, how we do the balloting. Certainly, we will take their suggestions and keep the Credentials Committee involved in what's happening in the process. **Hannon:** But they don't have the final word. **Tartaglia:** Exactly, thank you. That was going to be my next comment. We're fine with their input and having input.

6. *We recommend that the Credentials Committee liaison to CO have input on the procedures in place or to be adopted for the proper handling of clubs, ballots and instructions, voting and delegate forms and instructions in order to assure that once in*

*place those procedures will result in complete and accurate information for the Credentials Committee.*

*We believe that the proper day-to-day procedures will help ensure that the result would be positive for the efficient operation of this committee, which meets only once a year. For 2 years we were faced with having to compare actual ballots received against a list that had been maintained by CO staff. In each of those years the actual ballots did not match the list. This delayed the process for the Committee and for the timely reporting of the results to the delegation. It is hoped that by reviewing the day-to-day procedures we will be able to identify areas which might need to be changed in order for the smooth turnover of material to the Committee by CO at the Annual Meeting.*

7. *We recommend Fax transmission of votes be allowed. We also recommend that the Credentials Committee be involved in the review of any voting material to be mailed or emailed to all the clubs prior to the sending the material.*

*There were instructions included on the ballots (in a box at the top of the ballot) that were sent to the clubs that indicated that faxes would not be allowed. There was also a reminder in that same box that incomplete ballots would not be accepted. In addition, the same notification was included in two places on the on-line instructions that accompanied the ballot. We also recommend that the Credentials Committee be included in any modification of the ballots and instructions prior to the mailing of the ballots to the clubs.*

**Tartaglia:** #7, they recommend that fax transmission of votes be allowed. I don't even know why they felt that it was necessary to make this request. **Hannon:** I thought we weren't taking them. **Tartaglia:** I don't know that we weren't taking them. I think it's just maybe because the ballot didn't say that we would take them. **Hannon:** My understanding was, we were not taking fax. I asked that question. We would take emails with an attachment, but we wouldn't take fax. **Tartaglia:** Right, so we'll take a fax. If we're taking emails with an attachment – **Hannon:** Alright, so the minutes will reflect that we will accept fax ballots. **Tartaglia:** We will accept faxes. **Black:** It's difficult to trace a fax back to an individual secretary of a club. A fax could be from any phone number, so I think that's the reason why faxes were not accepted, because you couldn't tie it back to the secretary. **Hannon:** Alright, somebody sent a fax in. You're not sure it's the secretary, but online we said we received the ballot. Somebody in that club is going to say, "we haven't submitted a ballot yet." **Black:** Maybe, maybe not. **Hannon:** That's the club's responsibility. They need to keep checking that list and if it says something that's wrong, they need to notify the Central Office. **Webster:** Would they have a way, let's say if there was something wrong? **Hannon:** If they got a fax and the club said, "we didn't send a fax," then the club can send in online, the club can do hard copy, email, one of the other options. **Tartaglia:** This would be a hard copy ballot – a paper ballot anyway, so it's going to be requiring the club secretary's signature and the president's signature, so we will probably get 2 or 3, if that. Most people use email attachments or online.

**Eigenhauser:** I have a procedural question here. Is the list Allene is going through anywhere in our noticed items for this meeting? **Hannon:** I didn't hear the question.

**Eigenhauser:** Is the list Allene is reading from part of the noticed items for this meeting, because I don't see it. **Black:** I don't have it. Were we provided that list? **Tartaglia:** I know I didn't. It was a report from the Credentials Committee. I'm not sure how that was – **Hannon:** It was a report that she presented at the annual meeting, and I told everyone that we would bring it up at this meeting. I told her we weren't going to handle it at the August meeting because it wasn't time sensitive. **Eigenhauser:** In the future, I would encourage the Credentials Committee, if they have a report, to actually submit their own report and not put the burden on Central Office to try to explain their report. They should submit a report like any other committee, put it on the agenda and we can read through it and then we can vote on it for this meeting. **Hannon:** OK, we can do that for the future, but I'll take responsibility for the fact they didn't this time. I told them we would handle it and I didn't ask them to submit another report.

8. *We recommend that CO notify the Committee when a late matter regarding a club's status and voting privilege is received resulting in a change of status. This is particularly important in the event that final reports have previously been generated and reported to the Committee.*

*I received a final set of reports from CO, and then later, a second set of final reports. There was a change in status of one of the clubs. Instead of being dropped, that club was in good standing. They had sent their dues in with a show license application earlier in the year and it would appear that internal communication between departments at CO might not have been made. It would be prudent for CO to keep the Credentials Committee informed of late changes so we can make any changes necessary to the information we have on hand at the time. This could be incorporated into the procedures.*

**Hannon:** Is there something else? **Tartaglia:** #8, they just want to be notified when a late matter regarding a club's status is received resulting in a change of status. That's just simply a communication between the Central Office and the Credentials Committee. **Hannon:** Can the minutes reflect that that will be take care of? **Tartaglia:** Yes. **Hannon:** Next. **Tartaglia:** We're happy to do that. **Hannon:** Next.

9. *We recommend that communication from the CFA Board member Chair of Club applications and other club matters communicate to the CO Associate for not only new clubs but for club name changes.*

*I located every action by the board from every board meeting regarding clubs. I was able to track every new club, every retired club and every name change. I noted that the name "Rip City Cats" had been changed to "City Cats" in the April 24, 2018 meeting of the CFA Board, but when I advised CO of the name change, Verna reported back to me that she was unable to locate the name change in the minutes and would not change the club name based on my email notification that I reported the name change. Sean also advised me at the Annual meeting that he did not have access to the Board minutes and he was not aware of the name change.*

**Tartaglia:** We recommend that communication from the CFA board member chair of club applications and other club matters communicate to the Central Office associate for not



only new clubs, but for club name changes. That's something we do. **Krzanowski:** I'm not sure what that means. **Tartaglia:** I think we've got it handled. It's not an issue. It's just there was one club this year – Rip City Cat Club or something – the Central Office wasn't aware that they had a name change, and therefore the reports were confusing. I'm not too concerned. It's just a communication thing. **Krzanowski:** I always advise Central Office, my contact there, of any change of name or anything like that. **Tartaglia:** So, we'll just keep it closer.

10. *We recommend that ALL clubs which submit applications and which are accepted into CFA be assigned the next available new club number and not be assigned any previous club number which had previously been assigned to a club with the same name that had been dropped previously.*

*As an example, Ocicats of North America (club # 1129) was a club whose secretary resided in Region 4. This club was dropped from membership in June of 2017. A new club with the same name was accepted into membership at the board meeting on April 24, 2018 with a different secretary residing in Region 7. CO gave this club the same number as the one which was dropped in 2017 from Region 4. We recognize that it was discussed at the board meeting that this was a club which had been dropped the previous year from Region 4 and there were people in Region 7 ready to re-activate this particular breed club. If the club had not been dropped it would have been 5 years until the club could be moved to a different region. The Credentials Committee believes that there should be new club numbers for all clubs submitting applications for membership. We are not clear why old club numbers are re-used, and recommend using new club numbers for all applications.*

Respectfully,  
Nancy Dodds

**Tartaglia:** The last item was, for club applications that are resubmitted – for instance, they didn't pay their dues and submit their membership list, or they didn't submit it by June 1<sup>st</sup> and they get dropped, then they come back and reapply at some later date, we were for a period of time using their old club number. The Credentials Committee is recommending that we simply assign the next available club number to a club when it reapplies, which we're in favor of basically. As of June 1<sup>st</sup> they are done. We don't know if they're going to reapply or not. They start over. They don't get reinstated. **Hannon:** So, you're saying that's not a problem. **Eigenhauser:** That's been resolved. **Tartaglia:** That's not a problem. **Colilla:** It may be a problem. **Hannon:** Why? **Colilla:** It depends on how the key is. It's driven by name. If it's the same name, you can get duplicate keys. **Hannon:** What now? **Colilla:** If you have the same name, you will have two records with the same name. **Hannon:** No, they clear it out. **Eigenhauser:** Each club has a club ID number that is unique to that club. **Colilla:** I know, but I'm saying, sometimes it depends how you design the system. Sometimes you get the key by the name, sometimes you get the key by the club number. **Hannon:** Alright, so Buffalo Cat Fanciers was dropped and then Buffalo Cat Fanciers came back. He is thinking that in some cases Buffalo Cat Fanciers is listed twice. **Tartaglia:** No, they won't be because we will make it a dropped club. **Colilla:** I just wanted to make sure. **Hannon:** They will delete it. **Tartaglia:** It won't drop out of our history, but it will drop off of our membership roster. **Hannon:** Any reports you produce will not include them. **Tartaglia:** That's correct. **Black:** If you only search by the club

number instead of the club name, you'll be OK. **Tartaglia:** Right, but it's not going to be in our current database.

**Hannon:** I thought we would be through at 11. It's 11:45 and we've still got a long list of things. **Tartaglia:** The only other matter I had was something I wanted to bring up in executive session. [side conversation takes place]

**Hannon:** Are we through with the Central Office report? **Tartaglia:** Yes, thank you.

**Time Frame:**

*Ongoing.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*Updates.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Allene Tartaglia, Chair*

An executive session motion was made to grant access to CFA's database to a breed council secretary, for purposes of reviewing registration data. **Mr. Eigenhauser** moved to deny the request. Seconded by **Mr. Currle, Motion Carried.** [vote sealed]

\* \* \* \* \*

***International Division Voting Eligibility Discussion – June 2005 Board Meeting***

**Dent:** Item #7 is a clarification of a provision in the constitution allowing International Division clubs to vote for CFA officers and directors-at-large. The requirement is that the club must have held a CFA licensed show within the previous show season and two questions have arisen regarding this provision. Does ring sponsorship satisfy this requirement and b) is kind of picayune but there it is. <reads b)> As I say, I'm sure that most would agree that, for instance, this year the show season that is referred to is the one that just ended. It could be argued that it was the show season that ended a year ago. So, I'll let you guys decide. **DelaBar:** Hold on a second. I was the one that wrote those amendments ever so long ago and when this first came up is in working with the people from Europe, we wanted to ensure that the club was a viable club. In my opinion, we should sponsor a constitutional amendment next year to delete this requirement. **Veatch:** Especially if we are looking at our proposal for 4-year terms passes. It's kind of silly to think that somebody who is going to serve a 4-year term would be supported by somebody because maybe they missed their show date the previous show season. I mean, in your if that's in your criteria for the International Division to vote, that they have to hold a show within the prior show season and now we're looking at 4-year terms versus 2-year terms, that could absolutely impede on somebody's ability to vote in the International Division. **DelaBar:** Oh, I understand what you're saying. What I'm seeing now is that it at one time was a criteria to get them the vote. Now it appears to be putting them in second class membership. **Calhoun:** The way this is written, in order for an International Division club to vote, they have to have had a

show within the previous season, but in the United States we have clubs that don't have to do that. Paper clubs, so I think that seems to be inconsistent and unfair. **DelaBar:** We should remove it. **Shaffer:** Going back to the way it's worded here, not to dismiss the fact that I agree that it probably should be removed, but that won't take [end of Tape 2, Side A] effect until next year. Clubs voted this year, or something for future years? **Dent:** I don't know. I can't answer that question. **DelaBar:** What initiated question #7 here? **Dent:** It was a request for information in the office from an International Division breeder wanting to know whether or not ring sponsorship would qualify. **Shaffer:** Were clubs that did not put on shows or did not ring sponsor shows or were all clubs in the International Division given a ballot this year? **Krzanowski:** They receive a ballot for information purposes only and that is indicated on the ballot, that it is for information if they are not eligible to vote. The voting clubs get a traditional ballot. So, this question was just a random question. **Shaffer:** So, this question would not apply to this election at all. **Krzanowski:** No. **Wilson:** Speaking to what Kathy brought up and Pam, your suggestion that perhaps a constitutional amendment should be written to remove this, I have just a couple of concerns that need to be alleviated, is that the International Division is treated differently and there are various reasons why things happened and changed. I guess I would like to have a little bit more information and as that amendment might be written I will gather that, but what Kathy mentioned is that basically this addresses the issue of paper clubs, not directly but has an effect on paper clubs in Europe and if we remove it then I guess instead of seeing paper clubs here and now it's OK to have them there too? **DelaBar:** No. **Wilson:** But would it have that effect? **DelaBar:** Who knows? Who knows? **Wilson:** Well, I guess if things happen that way here, they could happen that way there. **Veach:** I have never served on the Credentials Committee. I just wonder, doesn't the Credentials Committee have the materials before them to establish whether or not a ballot that has been returned is valid, that they have actually held a show or not? **DelaBar:** The ballot doesn't go out to them. **Veach:** No, it does. **DelaBar:** It's stamped on there "for information only", so even if they vote and return the ballot, it won't be counted. **Veach:** So, they are pre-approved? **DelaBar:** They are pre-vetted. **Veach:** OK, a procedural question then. Thank you. **Calhoun:** Annette makes a good point and I think Gary made a point early on and maybe this is something that we need to table and wait until we take a look at the criteria for clubs period and then come back and make sure that we are consistent where we should be in the International Division and domestically. **DelaBar:** What we should look at is trying to make things right and equitable across the board so we don't have two different statuses. **Johnson:** I think it's in the constitution, so whatever we do or however we change this would require a constitutional amendment and I think we discussed the thing about making them a region. If they are a region, the constitution says we have to change that component, because it says "any club a member of a region", so I think it would be addressed by that whole thing so there's not anything we can do to make it equitable until we address it constitutionally. We don't have to worry this year. **DelaBar:** Right. It's not something that can be decided this year. **Newkirk:** I was going to say, if it's a ring sponsorship and they're just helping the club out sponsoring that ring is one thing, but if they are co-sponsoring the show and their name is on the show license as being one of the show sponsors, one of the clubs sponsoring that show, I think that would make a difference. You know, like sometimes two clubs get together and put a show on. Both names would be on the show license application. I think that's a reasonable assumption that that club would be able to vote. That's just my opinion. **Dent:** It's sometimes hard to draw the definition or distinction between a ring sponsor or someone who is

sponsoring two rings from a club who is co-sponsoring a show. Even a ring sponsor, even if you are just sponsoring a ring, you have to file a show license application for that club, so there's no real definition of show co-sponsorship if you would. You know, but just to get us past this particular issue, would it be satisfactory that the response to question 7.a. is no for the time being? **DelaBar:** Well, we've got a motion on it. **Newkirk:** I would, because I think some of the clubs, they might be small and maybe that's the best that they could do right now, that they can only sponsor one ring and I think that's still their club being active and participatory in the International Division, so my suggestion would be, if that club's name is on a show license application, they would qualify. I think that should be the determining factor – whether their name is on the show license application. **DelaBar:** Do you have a motion to that effect? **Newkirk:** I so move that. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried**. Calhoun abstained.

**DelaBar:** 7.b.? Did we say 7.b. is going to be moved to Kathy's committee to work out? **Newkirk:** Personally, I don't think we can debate what the constitution says. It says "prior show season", so as it stands right now, I think our hands are tied. **Johnson:** This is a good interpretation of "the prior show season" which I think is the question. Do we all think it's the right one. **Dent** "Prior show season" could refer to either one of the prior two show seasons. It's a matter of clarification. You're not changing the constitution. **Newkirk:** It says, "THE previous show season". It doesn't say "A previous show season". That's a technicality. **Dent:** "THE previous show season" could be either one of the two previous show seasons. Which one is it going to be? **Wilson:** I think that the season previous to when the election actually occurs, not when the ballot is sent out and the club is voting on it, so the election is effective in June, so the previous show season ends. **Watson:** OK, I would agree with that. On the other hand, I think that if the election is occurring during this show season, then we must be referring to the one before that, so I certainly see where your dilemma is. That could very easily be construed either way. **Newkirk:** I think what Tom is trying to say is, when we had this election there were two prior show seasons that a club could have put a show on for this upcoming election and you're asking if they had a show in one of the other show seasons, should we count it. I don't have a problem with that, because I think if, our elections right now are 2-year terms, you know. To me, previous show season means the one that we just finished, but I can understand because our elections are over two show seasons. That could be sort of a technicality. **DelaBar:** I think we can afford to have a liberal interpretation. **Newkirk:** I do to, and so I'll move that any show in the prior two show seasons, that it be interpreted as either one of the previous show seasons for the upcoming election. Is that clear as mud? **DelaBar:** Yeah. What we are approving is a liberal interpretation of what, for the show seasons. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried**. Calhoun abstained.

**(31) AWARDS COMMITTEE.**

**Committee Chair:** *Mary Kolencik*  
**Liaison to Board:** *Mark Hannon*  
**List of Committee Members:** *Linda Peterson, David Raynor*

---

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

***Agility***

*We have a request to award a title to those cats in agility that are presented with an award at the annual. Currently, the top 10 receive an award. We suggest adding an N to their agility title – as in GC, AMN Fluffy Foo Foo or GC, AGN Fluffy Foo Foo. This should be retroactive.*

***Richard Gebhardt Award***

*We have a request to present an award in Richard Gebhardt's name. The request includes an offer to donate a trophy in Richard's name to COTY. We have several issues with this request, here are just a few.*

*First, there are three COTYs and we treat them as equals. So which COTY would receive the award or would all of them receive a special donated trophy? And for how long?*

*Second, is this to be presented prior to the finale or at the same time? Presenting it prior would make the finale kind of anti-climactic.*

*Third, we removed several awards from the banquet to shorten the time, including the JA's spotlight award, the board and credentials service awards. We must be careful about adding more awards to the presentation.*

*Fourth, we must consider the precedent. What will happen when others want to donate special awards, such as the Thaibok memorial award for the Best Siamese in honor of Donna and Ed Davis? This deserves some careful thought and perhaps a policy for handling such requests.*

*There might be other possible solutions. An existing award could be renamed, or a new award created. This request came up right before this report, and as you might notice, the entire awards committee is involved in benching the International Show. We have not had time to consider alternatives and the full impact of the request.*

*We request that if you want to proceed with such an award that you consider this special award in theory. If you want to honor Richard, please allow us more time and we will come back to you in February with alternatives. We can work with the person who made the request as well as anyone else interested to find solutions.*

### **Future Projections for Committee:**

*We are working on a plan to add achievement awards for HHPs. So far, discussion with a few HHP exhibitors has been positive. The goal is to create something for HHP exhibitors who do not want to campaign for year-end awards. We will meet with HHP exhibitors at the International show to get their input. We hope to present a plan in February.*

### **Board Action Items:**

*Commit to continuing with the custom trophies for the next three years.*

**Hannon:** Next is the Awards Committee and the Chair is not here. I am the liaison. There are a couple board action items. There was a problem last annual. When we ordered the awards, we had awards that were customized for us this particular year. When we ordered additional ones to be made so that we could use those for duplicates for people that wanted a duplicate award, we got far more requests for duplicates than we anticipated, which meant that they had to make more and they weren't received by the winners – the duplicates weren't received by the winners until sometime in September. We would like to avoid that next year. The Committee wants to commit to using the same award for three years so that they can order sufficient extras for duplicate awards, that if they're not actually used this year, we can use them next year because it's the same award. **Anger:** So moved. **Hannon:** What they are doing is making blanks and they attach the cat's name to it. So, she has made a motion that we do that. **Eigenhauser:** I second. **Hannon:** Is there any discussion? **Mastin:** I've have discussion on this item when we get to it.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** ~~**Mastin** voting no.~~

**Hannon:** Why are you objecting? **Mastin:** Because you didn't give me an opportunity to share my thoughts. **Hannon:** I asked and I was told that you wanted to talk about something else. **Morgan:** Talk. **Hannon:** Alright, back up. What do you want to say? **Mastin:** My concern is, the commitment is for three years but we don't understand what the cost is for three years and I don't think it's appropriate for the board to go out and extend themselves for three years to just make up for those missing awards we had. I'm not opposed to bringing in more awards. I'm just opposed to going out three years. **Hannon:** We're not buying them for three years. We're committing to using the same style for three years. We will order some extras this year for duplicates and, if they're not all used, we won't have to trash them. We can use them the following year. **Mastin:** So, is the commitment to the award company; meaning, we're committed to buying for three years, which means that's what we're doing, or it is we're committing to the same award for three years? I just want to make clear that we're not making a financial commitment to another organization for a three-year period when I don't even understand what the cost is going to be for three years. **Tartaglia:** I think what we're looking to do is have the ability that when we estimate how many duplicates there will be, that if we estimate a little high that we're not going to be thinking that we have to be so precise because we don't want 10 trophies waiting around for next year. That was the idea this year. What will happen if we over-order duplicates? Then what do we do? **Hannon:** Go to something else next year. **Tartaglia:** What we did is, we under-ordered, not realizing we under-ordered, and that created a huge expense. **Hannon:** What happens normally is, the winner has to pay for shipping

if they're not picking it up at the annual. In this case, they couldn't pick them up at the annual, so it wasn't their fault. So, CFA paid for the shipping for those duplicates. Overseas, it costs us in shipping \$500 each to send those awards. We're trying to avoid doing that next year. **Tartaglia:** The award costs \$160, so by far we would have been ahead of the game, had we just had some blank trophies sitting around for a year. This trophy is custom made for us. It's not an off-the-shelf thing where they just engrave it. It's actually made for us, based on the number we order. They don't have them in supply every year. We haven't had that situation in a number of years. **Hannon:** Let's vote on this. I don't think we've voted yet, have we? **Anger:** Yes, we voted already. **Hannon:** What's your question? We're never going to get through here by 11. **Calhoun:** No, we're not. My question is just the opposite of Rich's. If you did make a commitment to a company for three years, could we get that price down? Could we look into that? **Hannon:** We'll look into it.

**Hannon** (re-)called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

*Add an N after the agility title for the top 10 cats nationally in agility.*

**Hannon:** Next, they are proposing to add an N to the agility title for national agility winners. I've been assured it will not be NAG. [laughter] Somebody want to make a motion? **Eigenhauser:** I'll move. **Currle:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion? **Black:** Can you repeat it? **Hannon:** They want to add an N after the agility title for the national top 10 agility winners. **Auth:** George, do you want to modify your motion to include the retroactive portion? **Eigenhauser:** No. I want to vote on them separately. **Auth:** Separately, OK.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

*Add the N retroactively to past winners.*

**Hannon:** Next is, they want to know whether we want to make it retroactive. Does somebody want to make a motion? **Eigenhauser:** Mark, are we anticipating that this involves any outlay on CFA's part, or is this just – **Hannon:** I wouldn't expect us to have to issue new awards. I think if they want to advertise the fact that they got a national agility winner and they want to add the N, we're not going to object. **Eigenhauser:** Then I will move to add the N retroactively, as well. **Currle:** Second. **Hannon:** Any more discussion? **Morgan:** Does that mean you're going to go back into the records, so that when they pull up that cat's name it will have that N on it for all the cats? **Tartaglia:** Yes. **Morgan:** Is that a problem? **Eigenhauser:** We haven't had agility for very long. **Tartaglia:** There will be some labor involved, but I don't see it as a lot. **Hannon:** It has only been a couple years. **Tartaglia:** There will be a little bit of programming to automatically allow the title and then we'll just go back and retroactively add it.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

*Consider possibility of special award to honor Richard Gebhardt.*

**Hannon:** We have already discussed the fact that we're going to have the discussion on the Richard Gebhardt proposal in February.

**Time Frame:**

*This meeting*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*Anything necessary after this meeting.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Mary Kolencik, Chair*

**Hannon:** That's the end of the Awards Committee report.



(32) **MARKETING COMMITTEE.**

*Committee Chair: Desiree Bobby, Kathy Black*  
*Liaison to Board: Kathy Black*  
*List of Committee Members: Mike Altschul, Lisa-Maria Padilla*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*Obtained data of all opt-ins from registered kittens from 2014 to present to use in future campaigns.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*Continue building Social Media presence. Fan base is increasing with the most interests on breeds and cat humor. Followers as of 9/24:*

- *Facebook: 20041 – up from 19,172 May 2018*
- *Instagram: 1440 – up from 0 May 2018*

*Desiree is working on advertising CIS to spectator base.*

- *Online ticket sales (as of 9/24) are \$4200*

*Purchase and Implementation of HubSpot for inbound marketing initiatives. Examining contacts in order to assign personas for future direct targeting.*

- *Email marketing campaigns*
- *Social media postings*
- *New lead gathering*
- *Customer nurturing*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*Household Pet Program Registration/Promotion*

- *Put on hold until after CIS*
- *Put together committee to evaluate the entire program for scalability*

*Further implementation of marketing plan including:*

- *Breed Poster*

- Website Navigation Evaluation

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*CIS post show report including ad reach and lessons learned.*

*Update of progress of future implementation of marketing plan*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Kathy Black, Chair*

**Hannon:** Marketing Committee. **Black:** We are very busy working for the International Show that's coming up. Like Allene mentioned earlier, the online sales have already brought in quite a bit of money. I was happy to hear the updated numbers from her based on what we had on the report, so we're very busy with that. We brought on Mike Altschul onto our Committee because of his FaceBook advertising expertise. We're utilizing that greatly for the show in Cleveland. Mike targets people who say they like cats on their FaceBook page. He also eliminates those that are anti-breeder proponents. It really has paid off dividends. We are expecting a very large gate at the International.

**Black:** One of my pet projects, so to speak, was to get the Household Pet registrations. We talked about using a contest to come up with a name and a logo for that. We really had high hopes on getting that kicked off. As of September 15<sup>th</sup> it didn't happen. The International Show has kind of taken precedence. We want to start doing something about that program and kicking that off very shortly.

**Black:** We don't have any action items, so the rest of the report will stand as written. **Auth:** What happened to the other lady that you were appointing? **Black:** Lydia Bohm. Lydia is still on the Committee. We haven't had the opportunity to – **Hannon:** I am anticipating she will be at the International Show and we'll get a chance to chat with her there. **Black:** I haven't had a chance to talk to her.

(33) **YEARBOOK.**

*Committee Chair: Shelly Borawski*  
*Liaison to Board: Kathy Black*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*The 2019 Yearbook will feature*

- *72 Yearbook ads (last year was 79)*
- *284 pictured grands*
- *63 pictured Regional Winners*
- *Articles on the National Winning Cat, Kittens, Premiers and HHPs.*
- *Article on Dick Gebhardt*
- *Cleveland Persian Society's 100 Year Anniversary*
- *Houston Cat Club celebrating 65 Years*
- *Foot of the Rockies 50 year Anniversary*
- *Judge's Spotlight Award*
- *Judging Associations Senior Spotlight Award*
- *International Cat Show*
- *Statistics Article*
- *2018 Annual*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*Waiting on a few more articles, creating advertising layout, working toward publishing deadline.*

*Respectfully Submitted,*  
*Kathy Black, Liaison*

**Hannon:** On the Yearbook Report, do you have any action items? **Black:** No. **Hannon:** If you don't, we're going to go right on. **Black:** No action items. **Hannon:** Alright.

**(34) UPDATED COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS.**

**Credentials Committee** .....Nancy Dodds, Chair (Board Liaison Rachel Anger)

[from Central Office Report] **Hannon:** Kathy is suggesting, while we're talking about the Credentials Committee, the Credentials Committee chair passed away recently and so I need to make an appointment of a new chair for the Credentials Committee and then get board ratification. I have appointed Nancy Dodds. **Eigenhauser:** I move to ratify. **Currle:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion? **Mastin:** I didn't hear what was said. **Hannon:** I appointed Nancy Dodds to be the Chair of the Credentials Committee. A motion was made to ratify. It was seconded. We're now discussing the motion. **Mastin:** Thank you. **Calhoun:** Is there a board liaison? **Anger:** I was. **Hannon:** Yes, Rachel. Any other questions or comments?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Constitution Committee** .....Mary Auth, Chair

[from after China Monitor Report] **Hannon:** Mary, do you want to talk about the Constitution? **Auth:** Yes. Out of bad things can come good things, so as many of you are aware, Pam and I went through a little bit of struggle here in the last couple of months, and in doing so and in talking with a number of attorneys, the attorneys discovered that our Constitution is woefully out of date, has some major gaps in it, so Mark and George and I talked, and Pam talked, that perhaps it's time because we're now a bigger organization, we have been piecemealing the Constitution all along, that maybe it's a good time to now hire an attorney who is licensed in New York to review the Constitution and make recommendations on how we bring it into line with what is normal corporate governance. **Hannon:** One of the examples she used was, we keep referring to the bylaws. There are no bylaws. We either need to have bylaws or take out the reference to them. **Auth:** That was among other things. There's a number of things. **Hannon:** That's just an example of things that they came across in the process of working with their attorneys. So, what I would like to do is appoint a committee to work on that and it would include reaching out to an attorney. I'm not going to say we're going to approve the attorney, because we need to define the expenses first, what it's going to cost us, but the committee would come back to us with a proposal on hiring the attorney and what it's going to cost us. I would appoint Mary to be chairman of that committee. She would work with John and presumably George, and whomever else she feels that she needs to have on her committee. My philosophy from the beginning has been, I appoint committee chairs and they appoint their members. So, I need a motion. **Anger:** So moved. **Currle:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion? **Mastin:** I need the motion clarified, please. **Eigenhauser:** We're appointing a committee chair. **Hannon:** We're appointing a committee to work on the Constitution, with Mary as chair of the committee, and she will appoint her committee members. She's going to, in the process, look into hiring an attorney in New York that understands the laws that apply to organizations like ours and she'll come back to us with any costs associated and get our approval. We're not giving her a blank check to go out and hire an attorney, which would give you a heart attack. **Calhoun:** Me too. **Mastin:** OK, thank you. **Calhoun:** So, as the same theme, this also needs to be budgeted. The attorney is not going to be a give-away. It's not going to be cheap. Although this is business critical, it may not be business critical for this season. My recommendation would be, make sure

it comes back to the Budget Committee with a budget request. **Hannon:** Let's find out what the cost is and then the board can determine then whether they want to wait until May 1<sup>st</sup> and put it in the budget or do something else. **Auth:** The hardest part I see that's going to happen is getting 2/3 of the delegation to approve a new constitution, or major revisions to our constitution. Would you agree, George? **Eigenhauser:** It depends on how we present it. If it's mostly housekeeping or we present it as, this is the minimum the law requires us to do, it might not be a problem. If we try to do a wholesale rewrite, there are always going to be people who nitpick this part or nitpick that part, and collectively we can't get 2/3. So, a lot of it is going to depend on how much it is and how much is presented, but yeah, amending the Constitution is always heavy lifting. **Hannon:** Once we see what we're dealing with, we can decide that maybe we want to break it up into pieces. **Eigenhauser:** Right.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Hannon:** I want to thank Mary for taking this on. **Eigenhauser:** Can I bring up something that kind of relates to that? In the same theme, in 2013 New York did a major rewrite of its nonprofit corporation law, and one of the provisions in there says, every nonprofit in New York must have a conflict of interest policy, and it must state (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e). That's pretty clear. I don't think we need a New York lawyer to say what that is, because it says in the statute, "these are the minimums." What I would like to do is, I would volunteer to help with a committee to write a conflicts of interest policy for CFA. What I would like, though, is for somebody that has corporate experience – maybe somebody in HR or something – that has corporate experience with dealing with conflicts of interest and conflict of interest policies at the corporate level to help me flesh out on this framework to create a conflict of interest policy. I think that can be created by board policy. It will not take a constitutional amendment, so there should be no cost associated with it. But, like I said, I do need somebody that has kind of a corporate background to help me with this. I would be willing to help with it, but I can't necessarily do this myself. **Hannon:** We'll accept your offer. **Eigenhauser:** But I need a volunteer. Don't all jump in at once. We have a volunteer. **Auth:** But I don't have a corporate background. I have to sign for my volunteer work with the State of Illinois and with some volunteer work that I do with a HUD organization. **Eigenhauser:** Good enough. We have a committee co-chair. **Hannon:** Thank you Mary. I thought you would have learned from her experience. **Calhoun:** Don't ask questions. **Auth:** I'll send you what I have to sign. **Eigenhauser:** And I will send you a copy of the actual statute I'm referring to and what we're trying to do. **Auth:** You don't need to, George. I have that. **Hannon:** OK, moving on.

**(35) AMBASSADOR CAT PROGRAM REPORT.**

*Committee Chair: Karen Lane*  
*Liaison to Board: Carla Bizzell*  
*List of Committee Members: Karen Lane, Joel Chaney*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*In the time between the Annual and now, the A-Cats Program has developed a wall calendar featuring CFA cats for distribution to our spectators at CFA shows across the country. This process included selecting the cats, creating the artwork and calendar layout, and getting it into production.*

*We have also had several new members join the A-Cats team.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*The A-Cats CFA calendar is on press as of this writing!*

*The A-Cat Program has always been an out-reach marketing program for CFA. Our cats and our people bring knowledge about their particular breed, but more important, we bring the CFA story to people.*

*Our newest calendar program, even before we have printed and distributed our first calendar, has been met with great excitement in all areas of CFA. We have reached out to the clubs producing shows from the end of September thru January 2019. All clubs contacted want the new CFA Annual Calendar to be available for their spectators. We have changed our initial order from 7500 calendars to 10,000 to meet the demand.*

*Along with the simply beautiful eleven CFA pedigreed cats and one exceptional household pet, the calendar brings the CFA story into a huge cross-section of our country. The inside of the back cover tells the CFA story, from our beginnings to where we are today. We invite people to contact CFA for all non-medical cat questions and we have included the most popular CFA Internet Links for the public to visit. This entire publication is aimed at one idea; THINK CATS – THINK CFA*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

**A NEW BEGINNING**

*Plans are underway for the present Ambassador Committee to move its activities under the umbrella of the CFA Ambassador Cat Program. We will become one program. The marriage of both committees will happen this next season.*

*Presently, the Ambassador Committee activities include a fledging Therapy Cat Program; they also have plans to reach out to children's special education programs. We will look at moving some of the Pet Me cats into being A-Cats and enlarging an already high energy dedicated*

*group of special people with their wonderful cats. We can see nothing but good things happening when these two groups join together.*

*A new board position will be created for Candilee Jackson; she will join together with Jim Flanik, Joel Chaney and myself. Each one of us has special talents to bring to the A-Cat Program.*

*With the addition of Candilee; we will increase our ability to move into new areas and market CFA in ways we have not thought of before.*

*New people mean new ideas.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*We will report on the reception of the calendar by both clubs and the public, and begin determination of printing and funding for a 2020 calendar.*

*We will also take a look at how the merger of the Ambassador program into the A-Cats program is proceeding, and how its new program is progressing*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Karen Lane, Chair*

**Hannon:** On the Ambassador Cat Report, are there any action items? **Bizzell:** No, there are no action items. I think you all got a copy of the calendar. It's very nice. I assume you have read the report.

**(36) HISTORICAL DATA SCANNING PROJECT.**

**Project Manager:**     *Karen Lawrence*  
**Liaison to Board:**     *Rich Mastin*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Activities:**

*Scanning of CFA's historical registration records has started in earnest*

**Current Happenings:**

*Pending a thorough check of all file drawers containing index registration cards, it is Karen's opinion that all registration cards have most likely been scanned.*

*Scanning of the early cattery registration cards has been completed. Work is continuing to scan the individual breeder cards, which will take considerable time.*

*From here on, the speed of scanning will slow down as we move to the pedigree import files. Often, there is more than one pedigree associated with each registered cat, so while Karen might scan the registration files for 126 cats, there will be about 300 pedigrees attached to those individual registration files.*

*What is also slowing down the scanning of import pedigree files is the misfiling of pedigrees. For example, four American Shorthair and one Scottish pedigree registrations were found in the American Curl folder. Misfiled pedigrees are scanned as we come across them, and moved to the correct breed folder.*

*A complete listing of all scans completed since February is attached.*

**Future Projections:**

*The completion of scanning all files is projected to be within two years (by April 30, 2020)*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*Updates*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Rich Mastin*

**Hannon:** Karen, do you want to talk to us about the Scanning Project? **Lawrence:** Other than the report, we're just working at it diligently.



## Scanning of Registration Cards - Progress Report to CFA Board

### Color Prefix Total

Total registration cards scanned

124,233

### Cats Registered via Import Pedigree - Total

463

## IMPORT PEDIGREES

FILE NAME - either a) CFA log number (Persians), or b) CFA log date, registration number, name and birthdate. PHOTO added if photos included in file.

Abyssinian	0
American Bobtail - COMPLETED	248
American Curl - COMPLETED	34
American Shorthair	53
American Wirehair - COMPLETED	1
Scottish Fold	1
Turkish Angora - COMPLETED	126

## BREEDER CARDS

Alphabetical Cards A-Z 1,826

(contain litter & registration numbers, per cattery name )

Alphabetical Cattery Names (with cattery numbers) 1,280

## REGISTRATION CARDS

### Total

NO PROGENY and/or UNCLASSIFIED

0057 and 0058 / Non-Parents 3,926

57 Series of Pink Cards / All Breeds / Parents 691

58 Series of Pink Cards / All Breeds / Parents 1,509

0880 (Blue-eyed White Turkish Angora M)	29
0881 (Blue-eyed White Turkish Angora F)	22
0200 (Breed/color Unknown M)	31
0201 (Breed/color Unknown F)	31
0202 (Other colors Ocicat M)	8
0203 (Other colors Ocicat F)	4
0250 (Breed/color Unknown M)	2
0251 (Breed/color Unknown F)	3
0252 (Breed/color Unknown M)	87
0253 (Breed/color Unknown F)	135
0254 (Breed/color Unknown M)	17
0255 (Breed/color Unknown F)	28
0256 (Breed/color Unknown M)	6
0257 (Breed/color Unknown F)	3
0258 (Breed/color Unknown M)	5
0259 (Breed/color Unknown F)	1
0278 (Red Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	815
0279 (Red Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	605
0280 (Albino Siamese M)	133
0281 (Albino Siamese F)	134
0282 (Breed/color unknown M)	152
0283 (Breed/color unknown F)	102
0284 (Breed/color unknown M)	30
0285 (Breed/color unknown F)	47
0286 (Breed/color unknown M)	69
0287 (Breed/color unknown F)	55
0288 (Chocolate Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	52
0289 (Chocolate Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	54
0293 (Breed/color unknown F)	369
0294 (Breed/color Unknown M)	4
0295 (Breed/color Unknown F)	13
0298 (Breed/color Unknown M)	23
0299 (Breed/color Unknown F)	94
<b>STUDBOOK &amp; FOUNDATION RECORD CARDS</b>	
SB & FR cards 12-99 All Breeds / Parents	18,147
SB & FR cards 83-99 All Breeds / Non-Parents	10,168
<b>PERSIAN</b>	
0103 (Copper-eyed White Persian F) Balance	1,706
0142-0143 (Peke-Face Red Tabby Persian M/F)	336
0146 (Tortie Persian M)	30
<b>SIAMESE</b>	

0270 (Chocolate Point Siamese M)	2,242
0271 (Chocolate Point Siamese F)	4,931
0272 (Seal Point Siamese M)	7,262
0273 (Seal Point Siamese F)	16,609
0274 (Lilac Point Siamese M)	2,487
0275 (Lilac Point Siamese F)	4,046
0276 (Blue Point Siamese M)	3,516
0277 (Blue Point Siamese F)	6,600
<b>BURMESE</b>	
0400 (Sable Burmese M) Balance	275
0401 (Sable Burmese F)	6,399
0402 (Champagne Burmese M)	360
0403 (Champagne Burmese F)	491
0404 (Blue Burmese M)	75
0405 (Blue Burmese F)	126
0406 (Platinum Burmese M)	20
0407 (Platinum Burmese F)	44
Burmese - Foundation Cats	126
<b>HAVANA BROWN</b>	
0408 (Brown Havana Brown M)	290
0409 (Brown Havana Brown F)	303
<b>BOMBAY</b>	
0410 (Black Bombay M)	104
0411 (Black Bombay F)	93
0412 (Sable Bombay M)	3
<b>AMERICAN SHORTHAIR</b>	
0700 (Blue-eyed White American SH M)	92
0701 (Blue-eyed White American SH F)	116
0702 (Gold-eyed White American SH M)	187
0703 (Gold-eyed White American SH F)	233
0704 (Odd-eyed White American SH M)	75
0705 (Odd-eyed White American SH F)	91
0706 (Blue American SH M)	125
0707 (Blue American SH F)	106
0708 (Black American SH M)	1
0736 (Silver Tabby American SH M)	9
0737 (Silver Tabby American SH F)	2,001
0738 (Blue Smoke American SH M)	10
0739 (Blue Smoke American SH F)	8
0740 (Red Tabby American SH M)	554
0741 (Red Tabby American SH F)	285
0744 (Brown Tabby American SH M)	646
0745 (Brown Tabby American SH F)	730
0747 (Tortoiseshell American SH F)	523

0748 (Calico American SH M)	3
0749 (Calico American SH F)	516
0751 (Bluecream American SH F)	154
0752 (Blue Tabby American SH M)	136
0753 (Blue Tabby American SH F)	182
0754 (Cream Tabby American SH M)	172
0755 (Cream Tabby American SH F)	120
0760 (Shell Cameo American SH M)	3
0761 (Shell Cameo American SH F)	5
0762 (Shaded Cameo American SH M)	12
0763 (Shaded Cameo American SH F)	5
0764 (Cameo Red Smoke American SH M)	3
0765 (Cameo Red Smoke American SH F)	1
0766 (Cameo Tabby American SH M)	73
0767 (Cameo Tabby American SH F)	39
0770 (Bi-Color American SH M)	197
0771 (Bi-Color American SH F)	192
0784 Silver Patched Tabby American SH M)	1
0785 Silver Patched Tabby American SH F)	12
0786 Brown Patched Tabby American SH M)	1
0787 (Brown Patched Tabby American SH F)	16
0789 (Blue Patched Tabby American SH F)	3
0798 (AOV American SH M)	59
0799 (AOV American SH F)	173
<b>TURKISH ANGORA</b>	
0800 (Blue-eyed White Turkish Angora M)	74
0801 (Blue-eyed White Turkish Angora F)	80
0802 (Gold-eyed White Turkish Angora M)	60
0803 (Gold-eyed White Turkish Angora F)	82
0804 (Odd-eyed White Turkish Angora M)	37
0805 (Odd-eyed White Turkish Angora F)	61
1800 (Blue-eyed White Turkish Angora M)	67
1801 (Blue-eyed White Turkish Angora F)	57
1802 (Amber-eyed White Turkish Angora M)	87
1803 (Amber-eyed White Turkish Angora F)	82
1804 (Odd-eyed White Turkish Angora M)	32
1805 (Odd-eyed White Turkish Angora F)	40
1806 (Blue Turkish Angora M)	4
1807 (Blue Turkish Angora F)	8
1808 (Black Turkish Angora M)	18
1809 (Black Turkish Angora F)	11
1815 (Cream Turkish Angora F)	1
1819 (Dilute Calico Turkish Angora F)	1
1834 (Black Smoke Turkish Angora M)	3

1835 (Black Smoke Turkish Angora F)	5
1838 (Blue Smoke Turkish Angora M)	1
1840 (Red Tabby Turkish Angora M)	2
1841 (Red Tabby Turkish Angora F)	1
1844 (Brown Tabby Turkish Angora M)	4
1845 (Brown Tabby Turkish Angora F)	1
1847 (Tortoiseshell Turkish Angora F)	2
1849 (Calico Turkish Angora F)	12
1852 (Bluecream Turkish Angora M)	1
1853 (Bluecream Turkish Angora F)	5
1860 (Bicolor Turkish Angora M)	14
1861 (Bicolor Turkish Angora F)	12
1898 (AOV Turkish Angora M)	6
1899 (AOV Turkish Angora F)	13
<b>LEOPARD CATS</b>	
0830 (Leopard Cats M)	104
0831 (Leopard Cats F)	110
<b>EGYPTIAN MAU</b>	
0840 (Egyptian Mau M)	38
0841 (Egyptian Mau F)	92
0842 (Silver Egyptian Mau M)	53
0843 (Silver Egyptian Mau F)	73
0844 (Bronze Egyptian Mau M)	26
0845 (Bronze Egyptian Mau F)	46
0846 (Smoke Egyptian Mau M)	15
0847 (Smoke Egyptian Mau F)	16
<b>CORNISH REX</b>	
0890 (Tabby/White Cornish Rex M)	32
0891 (Tabby/White Cornish Rex F)	592
0900 (Blue-eyed White Cornish Rex M)	47
0901 (Blue-eyed White Cornish Rex F)	72
0902 (Gold-eyed White Cornish Rex M)	292
0903 (Gold-eyed White Cornish Rex F)	297
0904 (Odd-eyed White Cornish Rex M)	55
0905 (Odd-eyed White Cornish Rex F)	70
0906 (Blue Cornish Rex M)	242
0907 (Blue Cornish Rex F)	221
0908 (Black Cornish Rex M)	345
0909 (Black Cornish Rex F)	354
0910 (Red Cornish Rex M)	30
0911 (Red Cornish Rex F)	12
0912 (Chocolate/White Cornish Rex M)	1
0912 (Chocolate/White Cornish Rex F)	1
0914 (Cream Cornish Rex M)	58

0915 (Cream Cornish Rex F)	25
0918 (Dilute Calico Cornish Rex M)	1
0919 (Dilute Calico Cornish Rex F)	35
0930 (Chinchilla Silver Cornish Rex M)	1
0931 (Chinchilla Silver Cornish Rex F)	3
0932 (Shaded Silver Cornish Rex M)	14
0933 (Shaded Silver Cornish Rex F)	11
0934 (Black Smoke Cornish Rex M)	147
0935 (Black Smoke Cornish Rex F)	217
0936 (Silver Tabby Cornish Rex M)	32
0937 (Silver Tabby Cornish Rex F)	33
0938 (Blue Smoke Cornish Rex M)	11
0939 (Blue Smoke Cornish Rex F)	12
0940 (Red Tabby Cornish Rex M)	162
0941 (Red Tabby Cornish Rex F)	101
0944 (Brown Tabby Cornish Rex M)	57
0945 (Brown Tabby Cornish Rex F)	63
0946 (Tortoiseshell Cornish Rex M)	2
0947 (Tortoiseshell Cornish Rex F)	297
0949 (Calico Cornish Rex F)	73
0950 (Bluecream Cornish Rex M)	1
0951 (Bluecream Cornish Rex F)	137
0952 (Blue Tabby Cornish Rex M)	21
0953 (Blue Tabby Cornish Rex F)	27
0954 (Cream Tabby Cornish Rex M)	56
0955 (Cream Tabby Cornish Rex F)	35
0960 (Bicolor Cornish Rex M)	210
0961 (Bicolor Cornish Rex F)	176
0970 (Pointed/White Cornish Rex M) Bicolors, not pointed	23
0971 (Pointed/White Cornish Rex F) Bicolors, not pointed	30
0980 (Color? Cornish Rex M)	1
0990 (ORC Cornish Rex M)	314
0991 (ORC Cornish Rex F)	433
0998 (AOV Cornish Rex M)	16
0999 (AOV Cornish Rex F)	27
<b>SPHYNX</b>	
Sphynx (Misc numbers)	69
<b>BALINESE</b>	
1270 (Chocolate Point Balinese M)	71
1270 (Chocolate Point Balinese M) Non-Parents	114
1271 (Chocolate Point Balinese F)	134
1271 (Chocolate Point Balinese F) Non-Parents	122
1272 (Seal Point Balinese M)	238
1272 (Seal Point Balinese M) Non-Parents	385

1273 (Seal Point Balinese F)	378
1273 (Seal Point Balinese F) Non-Parents	429
1274 (Lilac Point Balinese M)	82
1274 (Lilac Point Balinese M) Non-Parents	74
1275 (Lilac Point Balinese F)	137
1275 (Lilac Point Balinese F) Non-Parents	117
1276 (Blue Point Balinese M)	171
1276 (Blue Point Balinese M) Non-Parents	268
1277 (Blue Point Balinese F)	297
1277 (Blue Point Balinese F) Non-Parents	321
1299 (AOV Balinese F)	2
<b>BALINESE/JAVANESE</b>	
2047 (Seal Tortie Point Balinese-Javanese F)	
2049 (Chocolate Cream Point Balinese-Javanese F)	1
2051 (Bluecream Point Balinese-Javanese F)	2
2052 (Seal Lynx Point Balinese-Javanese M)	6
2053 (Seal Lynx Point Balinese-Javanese F)	8
2054 (Chocolate Lynx Point Balinese-Javanese M)	2
2055 (Chocolate Lynx Point Balinese-Javanese F)	3
2056 (Blue Lynx Point Balinese-Javanese M)	2
2057 (Blue Lynx Point Balinese-Javanese F)	5
2058 (Lilac Lynx Point Balinese-Javanese M)	3
2071 (Chocolate Point Balinese-Javanese F)	1
2072 (Seal Point Balinese-Javanese M)	2
2073 (Seal Point Balinese-Javanese F)	4
2076 (Blue Point Balinese-Javanese M)	1
2078 (Red Point Balinese-Javanese M)	21
2079 (Red Point Balinese-Javanese F)	15
2099 (AOV Balinese-Javanese F)	5
<b>MAINE COON CAT</b>	
0820 (Maine Coon M)	77
0821 (Maine Coon F)	122
1747 (Tortoiseshell Maine Coon F)	72
1749 (Calico Maine Coon F)	37
1751 (Bluecream Maine Coon F)	39
1752 (Blue Tabby Maine Coon M)	45
1753 (Blue Tabby Maine Coon F)	54
1754 (Cream Tabby Maine Coon M)	18
1755 (Cream Tabby Maine Coon F)	9
1759 (Brown Patched Tabby Maine Coon F)	9
1762 (Shaded Cameo Maine Coon M)	2
1763 (Shaded Cameo Maine Coon F)	1
1766 (Cameo Tabby Maine Coon M)	3
1785 (Silver Patched Tabby Maine Coon F)	2

1787 (Brown Patched Tabby Maine Coon F)	12
1789 (Blue Patched Tabby Maine Coon F)	1
1790 (Bicolor Maine Coon M)	121
1791 (Bicolor Maine Coon F)	78
1792 (Tabby & White Maine Coon M)	172
1793 (Tabby & White Maine Coon F)	114
1794 (Tortoiseshell-White Maine Coon M)	2
1795 (Tortoiseshell-White Maine Coon F)	52
1796 (OMCC Maine Coon M)	20
1797 (OMCC Maine Coon F)	154
<b>DEVON REX</b>	
2900 (Blue-eyed White Devon Rex M)	4
2901 (Blue-eyed White Devon Rex F)	2
2902 (Gold-eyed Devon Rex M)	13
2903 (Gold-eyed Devon Rex F)	12
2904 (Odd-eyed Devon Rex M)	1
2906 (Blue Devon Rex M)	4
2907 (Blue Devon Rex F)	5
2908 (Black Devon Rex M)	4
2909 (Black Devon Rex F)	16
2910 (Red Devon Rex M)	3
2911 (Red Devon Rex F)	2
2914 (Cream Devon Rex M)	3
2934 (Black Smoke Devon Rex M)	4
2935 (Black Smoke Devon Rex (F)	3
2936 (Silver Tabby Devon Rex M)	2
2940 (Red Tabby Devon Rex M)	3
2941 Red Tabby Devon Rex F)	1
2944 (Brown Tabby Devon Rex M)	3
2945 (Brown Tabby Devon Rex F)	2
2947 (Tortoiseshell Devon Rex F)	15
2950 (Bluecream Devon Rex M)	1
2951 (Bluecream Devon Rex F)	2
2952 (Blue Tabby Devon Rex M)	1
2990 (ODRC Devon Rex M)	5
2991 (ODRC Devon Rex F)	20
2999 (AOV Devon Rex F)	5
<b>JAPANESE BOBTAIL</b>	
6601 (Blue-eyed White Japanese Bobtail F)	1
6602 (Copper-eyed White Japanese Bobtail M)	5
6603 (Copper-eyed White Japanese Bobtail F)	1
6605 (Odd-eyed White Japanese Bobtail F)	1
6608 (Black Japanese Bobtail M)	20
6609 (Black Japanese Bobtail F)	15



6610 (Red Japanese Bobtail M)	4
6611 (Red Japanese Bobtail F)	1
6640 (Red Tabby Japanese Bobtail M)	14
6641 (Red Tabby Japanese Bobtail F)	9
6644 (Brown Tabby Japanese Bobtail M)	6
6645 (Brown Tabby Japanese Bobtail F)	7
6647 (Tortoiseshell Japanese Bobtail F)	19
6649 (Mi-Ke Japanese Bobtail F)	91
6660 (Black-White Japanese Bobtail M)	64
6661 (Black-White Japanese Bobtail F)	21
6662 (Red-White Japanese Bobtail M)	19
6663 (Red-White Japanese Bobtail F)	15
6690 (Other Color Japanese Bobtail M)	31
6691 (Other Color Japanese Bobtail F)	57
<b>TONKINESE</b>	
2600 (Blue Mink Tonkinese M)	11
2601 (Blue Mink Tonkinese F)	13
2602 (Champagne Mink Tonkinese M)	20
2603 (Champagne Mink Tonkinese F)	18
2604 (Honey Mink Tonkinese M)	1
2606 (Natural Mink Tonkinese M)	60
2607 (Natural Mink Tonkinese F)	89
2698 (AOV Tonkinese M)	9
2699 (AOV Tonkinese F)	13
<b>COLORPOINT SHORTHAIR</b>	
2244 (Lilac-cream Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	1
2245 (Lilac-cream Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	25
2245 (Lilac-cream Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	19
2246 (Seal-Tortie Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	2
2247 (Seal-Tortie Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	287
2247 (Seal-Tortie Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	369
2248 (Chocolate-Tortie Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	2
2249 (Chocolate-Tortie Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	61
2249 (Chocolate-Tortie Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	80
2250 (Bluecream Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	3
2251 (Bluecream Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	70
2251 (Bluecream Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	96
2252 (Seal Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	57
2252 (Seal Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	123
2253 (Seal Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	126
2253 (Seal Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	141
2254 (Chocolate Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	33
2254 (Chocolate Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-	48

<i>Parents</i>	
2255 (Chocolate Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	44
2255 (Chocolate Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	59
2256 (Blue Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	26
2256 (Blue Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	51
2257 (Blue Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	47
2257 (Blue Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	66
2258 (Lilac Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	13
2258 (Lilac Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	26
2259 (Lilac Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	23
2259 (Lilac Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	41
2260 (Red Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	13
2261 (Red Lynx Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	3
2270 (Chocolate Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	27
2270 (Chocolate Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	88
2271 (Chocolate Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	42
2271 (Chocolate Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	75
2272 (Seal Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	45
2272 (Seal Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	208
2273 (Seal Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	68
2273 (Seal Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	153
2274 (Lilac Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	10
2274 (Lilac Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	38
2275 (Lilac Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	21
2275 (Lilac Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	43
2276 (Blue Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	27
2276 (Blue Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	81
2277 (Blue Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	40
2277 (Blue Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	71
2278 (Red Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	279
2278 (Red Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	479
2279 (Red Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	221
2279 (Red Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	238
2290 (Cream Point Colorpoint Shorthair M)	13
2290 (Cream Point Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	31
2291 (Cream Point Colorpoint Shorthair F)	17
2291 (Cream Point Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	20
2298 (AOV Colorpoint Shorthair M)	14
2298 (AOV Colorpoint Shorthair M) Non-Parents	16
2299 (AOV Colorpoint Shorthair F)	23
2299 (AOV Colorpoint Shorthair F) Non-Parents	50
<b>ORIENTAL SHORTHAIR</b>	
2300 (Blue-eyed White Oriental Shorthair M)	18
2301 (Blue-eyed white Oriental Shorthair F)	14

2302 (Green-eyed White Oriental Shorthair M)	
2303 (Green-eyed White Oriental Shorthair F)	1
2305 (Odd-eyed White Oriental Shorthair F)	
2306 (Blue Oriental Shorthair M)	64
2307 (Blue Oriental Shorthair F)	77
2308 (Ebony Oriental Shorthair M)	91
2309 (Ebony Oriental Shorthair M)	106
2310 (Red Oriental Shorthair M)	17
2311 (Red Oriental Shorthair F)	1
2314 (Cream Oriental Shorthair M)	9
2316 (Chestnut Oriental Shorthair M)	94
2317 (Chestnut Oriental Shorthair F)	94
2318 (Lavender Oriental Shorthair M)	93
2319 (Lavender Oriental Shorthair F)	99
2321 (Chestnut Smoke Oriental Shorthair F)	
2322 (Chestnut Tabby Oriental Shorthair M)	18
2323 (Chestnut Tabby Oriental Shorthair F)	11
2324 (Lavender Tabby Oriental Shorthair M)	14
2325 (Lavender Tabby Oriental Shorthair F)	20
2327 (Blue-cream Calico Oriental Shorthair F)	1
2328 (Ebony Tabby Oriental Shorthair M)	14
2329 (Ebony Tabby Oriental Shorthair F)	14
2332 (Shaded Silver Oriental SH M)	7
2333 (Shaded Silver Oriental SH F)	8
2334 (Ebony Smoke Oriental Shorthair M)	6
2335 (Ebony Smoke Oriental Shorthair F)	12
2336 (Ebony Silver Tabby Oriental Shorthair M)	3
2337 (Ebony Silver Tabby Oriental Shorthair F)	8
2338 (Blue Smoke Oriental Shorthair M)	
2340 (Red Tabby Oriental Shorthair M)	9
2341 (Red Tabby Oriental Shorthair F)	6
2347 (Ebony Tortoiseshell Oriental SH F)	37
2351 (Bluecream Oriental Shorthair F)	29
2352 (Blue Tabby Oriental Shorthair M)	13
2353 (Blue Tabby Oriental Shorthair F)	11
2354 (Cream Tabby Oriental Shorthair M)	16
2355 (Cream Tabby Oriental Shorthair F)	3
2366 (Red Silver Oriental Shorthair M)	2
2368 (Cinnamon Oriental Shorthair M)	1
2373 (Chestnut Tortie Oriental Shorthair F)	3
2374 (Lavender-Cream Oriental Shorthair M)	1
2375 (Lavender-Cream Oriental Shorthair F)	9
	0
2398 (AOV Oriental Shorthair M)	95

2399 ( <i>AOV Oriental Shorthair F</i> )	97
<b>BRITISH SHORTHAIR</b>	
2502 ( <i>Copper-eyed White British Shorthair M</i> )	5
2503 ( <i>Copper-eyed White British Shorthair F</i> )	10
2506 ( <i>Blue British Shorthair M</i> )	61
2507 ( <i>Blue British Shorthair F</i> )	70
2508 ( <i>Black British Shorthair M</i> )	7
2509 ( <i>Black British Shorthair F</i> )	12
2514 ( <i>Cream British Shorthair M</i> )	16
2515 ( <i>Cream British Shorthair F</i> )	3
2534 ( <i>Black Smoke British Shorthair M</i> )	2
2535 ( <i>Black Smoke British Shorthair F</i> )	1
2536 ( <i>Silver Tabby British Shorthair M</i> )	7
2537 ( <i>Silver Tabby British Shorthair F</i> )	10
2540 ( <i>Red Tabby British Shorthair M</i> )	1
2547 ( <i>Tortoiseshell British Shorthair F</i> )	4
2551 ( <i>Bluecream British Shorthair F</i> )	39
2554 ( <i>Cream Tabby British Shorthair M</i> )	1
2555 ( <i>Cream Tabby British Shorthair F</i> )	1
2572 ( <i>?? British Shorthair M</i> )	4
2573 ( <i>?? British Shorthair F</i> )	2
2575 ( <i>?? British Shorthair F</i> )	2
2576 ( <i>?? British Shorthair M</i> )	1
2577 ( <i>?? British Shorthair F</i> )	3
<b>SCOTTISH FOLD</b>	
8800 ( <i>Blue-eyed White Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	1
8801 ( <i>Blue-eyed White Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	6
8802 ( <i>Gold-eyed White Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	20
8803 ( <i>Gold-eyed White Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	19
8804 ( <i>Odd-eyed White Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	4
8805 ( <i>Odd-eyed Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	4
8806 ( <i>Blue Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	21
8807 ( <i>Blue Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	17
8808 ( <i>Black Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	28
8809 ( <i>Black Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	10
8810 ( <i>Red Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	1
8814 ( <i>Cream Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	8
8815 ( <i>Cream Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	5
8832 ( <i>Shaded Silver Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	12
8833 ( <i>Shaded Silver Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	9
8834 ( <i>Black Smoke Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	7
8835 ( <i>Black Smoke Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	13
8836 ( <i>Silver Tabby Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	32
8837 ( <i>Silver Tabby Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	42

8839 ( <i>Blue Smoke Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	1
8840 ( <i>Red Tabby Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	13
8841 ( <i>Red Tabby Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	5
8844 ( <i>Brown Tabby Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	23
8845 ( <i>Brown Tabby Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	28
8847 ( <i>Tortoiseshell Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	17
8849 ( <i>Calico Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	27
8851 ( <i>Bluecream Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	27
8852 ( <i>Blue Tabby Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	11
8853 ( <i>Blue Tabby Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	15
8854 ( <i>Cream Tabby Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	13
8855 ( <i>Cream Tabby Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	3
8860 ( <i>Shell Cameo Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	1
8863 ( <i>Shaded Cameo Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	2
8866 ( <i>Cameo Tabby Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	10
8867 ( <i>Cameo Tabby Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	6
8880 ( <i>Bicolor Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	63
8881 ( <i>Bicolor Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	42
8890 ( <i>OSFC Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	12
8891 ( <i>OSFC Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	28
8898 ( <i>AOV Scottish Fold SH M</i> )	16
8899 ( <i>AOV Scottish Fold SH F</i> )	79
<b>AMERICAN BOBTAIL</b>	
9600 ( <i>Blue-eyed White American Bobtail SH M</i> )	1
9610 ( <i>Red American Bobtail SH M</i> )	1
<b>AMERICAN WIREHAIR</b>	
9900 ( <i>Blue-eyed White American Wirehair M</i> )	2
9901 ( <i>Blue-eyed White American Wirehair F</i> )	8
9902 ( <i>Gold-eyed White American Wirehair M</i> )	29
9903 ( <i>Gold-eyed White American Wirehair F</i> )	29
9904 ( <i>Odd-eyed White American Wirehair M</i> )	5
9905 ( <i>Odd-eyed White American Wirehair F</i> )	3
9908 ( <i>Black American Wirehair M</i> )	6
9909 ( <i>Black American Wirehair F</i> )	10
9910 ( <i>Red American Wirehair M</i> )	2
9911 ( <i>Red American Wirehair F</i> )	1
9934 ( <i>Black Smoke American Wirehair M</i> )	2
9935 ( <i>Black Smoke American Wirehair F</i> )	5
9936 ( <i>Silver Tabby American Wirehair M</i> )	2
9937 ( <i>Silver Tabby American Wirehair F</i> )	4
9940 ( <i>Red Tabby American Wirehair M</i> )	7
9941 ( <i>Red Tabby American Wirehair F</i> )	1
9944 ( <i>Brown Tabby American Wirehair M</i> )	1
9945 ( <i>Brown Tabby American Wirehair F</i> )	2

9947 ( <i>Tortoiseshell American Wirehair F</i> )	3
9949 ( <i>Calico American Wirehair F</i> )	8
9953 ( <i>Blue Tabby American Wirehair F</i> )	3
9954 ( <i>Cream Tabby American Wirehair M</i> )	1
9955 ( <i>Cream Tabby American Wirehair F</i> )	1
9960 ( <i>Bicolor American Wirehair M</i> )	21
9961 ( <i>Bicolor American Wirehair F</i> )	13
9990 ( <i>OWC American Wirehair M</i> )	9
9991 ( <i>OWC American Wirehair F</i> )	14
9998 ( <i>AOV American Wirehair M</i> )	2
9999( <i>AOV American Wirehair F</i> )	6
<b>MANX SHORTHAIR</b>	
0698 ( <i>AOV Manx SH</i> )	3
0699 ( <i>AOV Manx SH</i> )	20
<b>MANX LONGHAIR</b>	
1600-0004 through 1691-0001 ( <i>All colors Manx LH M/F</i> )	64
<b>SPHYNX</b>	
002-0001 through 0061-0010 ( <i>Foundation records M/F</i> )	69
<b>SOMALI</b>	
1380 ( <i>Ruddy Somali M</i> )	128
1381 ( <i>Ruddy Somali F</i> )	178
1382 ( <i>Red Somali M</i> )	21
1383 ( <i>Red Somali F</i> )	16
1384 ( <i>Blue Somali M</i> )	2
<b>HOUSEHOLD PETS</b>	503

(37) **ANIMAL WELFARE.**

**Committee Chair:** *Linda Berg*  
**Liaison to Board:** *Peter Vanwonderghem*  
**List of Committee Members:** *Charlene Campbell Breed Rescue Chair*  
*Steve McCullough Breeders Assistance Chair*  
*Nancy Heitzman Food Pantry Chair*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*We are doing what we do every day – saving the cats.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*I'm sure the board would like to know how Charlene's training is going. It is a slow process as she has to train someone for her job. She has been swamped with LOTS of cats where breeders are unable to continue to care for them. At this time I send her incoming questions from individuals and then my responses. As soon as she has all these cats under control we will jump back in and have her start responding.*

*Also, we have issues with the hurricane – not necessarily with individuals but the shelters are full and we have LOTS of cats and nowhere to put them so we have to transport to rescues in other parts of the country.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Linda Berg, Chair*

**Hannon:** Animal Welfare, which is Peter. **Vanwonderghem:** It's a very limited report with no action items. **Hannon:** Thank you.

(38) **OLD BUSINESS.**

(a) **Confidentiality Agreement.**

**1** ***Amendment of Agreement.*** *The Agreement may not be amended, nor any obligation waived, except by a writing signed by all Parties hereto.*

**2** ***Waiver.*** *No waiver by a party of any breach of or default under this Agreement shall be deemed to be a waiver of any other breach or default of any kind or nature, whether or not such party knows of such breach or default at the time it accepts such payment or performance. No failure or delay on the part of a Party to exercise any right it may have with respect to this Agreement shall prevent the exercise thereof by such Party at any time such other Party may continue to be so in default, and no such failure or delay shall operate as a waiver of any default. A failure by either Party to insist upon strict compliance with any of the terms of this Agreement in any instance shall not be construed as a waiver of such terms in the future.*

**Hannon:** Confidentiality Agreement. John, do you want to say in two or three sentences what we're doing? **Randolph:** Sure. I drafted a Confidentiality Agreement for the board members after our meeting in June. I think that went only to the Executive Committee. I've updated it because I noticed it didn't include the officers. Actually, I did that right before our meeting, but I got a request from Rich to also include the committee members in it. After looking at it, the Confidentiality Agreement that I drafted for the board members and officers refers to the Board Members' Code of Ethics, and that doesn't apply to the committee members so I'm going to do a separate agreement for the committees and the committee members. **Hannon:** We're not ready yet, though, with the Confidentiality Agreement. It's close but it's not quite ready for us to sign. **Randolph:** It's real close. **Hannon:** So we should have that real soon. **Randolph:** I'm still looking for comments. **Morgan:** John, on item A, it says *Including without limitation any legal counsel or employee of the board members*. So, say I had a problem and I wanted to get a lawyer. Does that mean that I can't tell the lawyer what's going on, even though I have attorney-client privilege? That's what it says and that's a concern. It's item A. **Randolph:** I don't have it right here at the moment. **Hannon:** Why don't you and he talk about this offline? **Morgan:** Just asking. That's my only concern with it. **Hannon:** He'll look at that. **Randolph:** I am looking for comments.

(b) **New Vision Cat Club Entry Limit Request.**

***Background:*** *On July 11, 2018, a joint show format proposal was approved, as presented, between New Vision Cat Club and a TBA TICA club in November 2019 in Orlando, Florida (Region 7). The date has now been set for November 15-17, 2019, at an all-in-one show hotel/convention hall. The TICA portion has a 250 limit and show personnel feel they can fill each show. She already has a location, and she has done shows there before. The CFA club would also like to have a 250 entry limit.*

***Motion:*** *Grant an exception to Show Rules 4.06.a.2. and 6.29.a. and allow the New Vision Cat Club to increase their entry limit from 225 to 250 at their 6 ring one-day show on November 16, 2019, in Orlando, Florida (Region 7).*



*Secretary's Note: This item was tabled from the August 28, 2018 teleconference.*

**Hannon:** Rachel's got something on New Vision. **Anger:** This was tabled from our teleconference. Basically, the club that's putting on this show in Orlando, Florida – where we really need representation – feels they can get 250 at this special event. They would like us to grant an exception to allow them to accept up to 250 entries. They don't have judges contracted yet, but that entry limit increase would be made clear to them at the time of contracting. So moved. **Eigenhauser:** Second. **Hannon:** Discussion? **Currle:** I just wanted to say, this is of course part of my region. I've been in contact with the club person. The reason why they said they are pretty confident they can get 250 is that they are counting on a lot of the TICA people cross-showing into the CFA show. So, this is one of the reasons why they would like to at least have that opportunity, in case they get towards that number, to increase the limit to 250. **Anger:** They are very energetic. I would encourage everyone to give them a try at this. **Hannon:** I don't have a problem with giving them approval. I don't think they are realistic at all. **Currle:** You may very well be right, but I don't want to dampen the enthusiasm. **Hannon:** I don't want to dampen Ren's enthusiasm either. Seeing no further discussion.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Anger:** Thank you.

(39) **NEW BUSINESS.**

(a) **Hotel and Travel.**

*There are only five board members flying to Canton/Akron for the upcoming board meeting. Many are flying to Cleveland and renting cars. Unless the fares to Cleveland are significantly cheaper, renting cars can get expensive. If so many are flying to Cleveland and plan to continue doing that, it might make sense to move the meeting to an airport hotel in Cleveland. Those of us who drive can still do so.*

**Hannon:** Many of us flew into Cleveland this weekend and rented cars. I'm wondering if we want to discuss holding our meetings in Cleveland, rather than here, at a hotel that provides transportation back and forth. I don't know which turns out to be more expensive. **Anger:** In Cleveland, we can research and see if we can get the type of deal we've gotten before, where we do so-many room nights and they throw in the meeting room. **Hannon:** If you're going to fly into Cleveland, we might as well meet in Cleveland. There are very few that actually flew into Canton-Akron. **Anger:** If you agree we should explore this, I can bring the information to the teleconference in December. **Bizzell:** I was just going to comment, we need to decide this reasonably quickly because I've already told the Toybob folks that we're meeting in Alliance in February, and the date. So, if that has the potential to change, I will tell her that could change. **Hannon:** Tell her it could change and we'll know after the December board meeting, OK? **Tartaglia:** I'll just ask the Marriott Cleveland Airport that we're going to be at for the weekend of the International. Do they have space? What's the cost? We would know in a week if it's viable. If they give us the rate of \$89 and we get our meeting space with it and they've got the free shuttle, it's just a thought. **Eigenhauser:** We also have to consider the other things, like there will be additional staff costs. **Hannon:** I'm assuming that these folks aren't going to be driving back and forth, that they are going to be staying at the hotel, which they aren't currently doing. **Calhoun:** We may have some people that can get home Sunday night and not have to stay over until Monday. **Tartaglia:** It would probably be offset by the fact that we don't need to worry about renting a van to get people back and forth from the airport, the additional cost of people renting cars and that sort of thing, so any additional cost we have should be absorbed. **Hannon:** OK, she's got the project, right?

(b) **Need guidance on 1 LH ring for SP ring requirement.**

*Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.12 and allow John Webster to judge for the Winterfell Club on Saturday October 21, 2018 in Shenyang, China, and judge for the Tianjin Mao Yuan Love Cat Club on Sunday, October 22, 2018 in Tianjin, China.*

**Anger:** Last week we approved an exception for the Tianjin Mao Yuan Love Cat Club to have an additional guest judge. This was prompted by Edward Maeda having to cancel because of weather or whatever, I forget. What ended up happening was, the club does not any longer meet their specialty ring requirement. Their guest judge was a longhair-only approved judge, so they are still not in compliance. They first came forward and wanted an exception to that rule. In the meantime, John Webster offered to fly from his show in Shenyang up to Tianjin. This is what we recently approved for Nancy Dodds, and this would solve two problems at once. So, the

motion is [reads]. **Krzanowski:** Second. **Mastin:** I need clarification on that motion, please.

**Hannon:** There's a club in China that has an issue with meeting the requirements for guest judges. John Webster has agreed to judge the show. He is judging a show the day before in China. He will fly to the other city and judge Sunday. We have to approve allowing John Webster to judge two shows in China the same weekend. We have done that for other judges.

**Mastin:** Thank you. **Morgan:** I would rather see us vote to have an exception to the guest judging requirements, than continue down this road of approving judges judging in two locations on one weekend. **Eigenhauser:** And I'm just the opposite. I would prefer to have them use CFA judges when there is a resource or a way to do it. **Hannon:** Any other discussion? Is there a motion yet? **Anger:** Yes, and seconded by Carol.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Morgan voting no. Auth abstained.

(c) **Strategic Planning Session.**

**Hannon:** Anything else before we go into closed session? **Mastin:** Mark, did anybody discuss making February's board meeting three days so we could do the strategic planning.

**Hannon:** What did he say? **Currle:** Three days in February. **Hannon:** Oh yeah, we should do that. We're talking about doing another strategic planning session, and follow-up session on Friday before the February board meeting, and I checked with Peg and she is available to come in and again be the facilitator for that if we want to do it. So, somebody make a motion and second it, and we'll talk about it. **Krzanowski:** So moved. **Mastin:** I'll make it. **Hannon:** Carol moved and we'll take Rich as a second. Discussion? Pam's got concerns. **Moser:** Well yeah. What did we accomplish on the last one? Did we accomplish what we set out to do? All the action items, I don't remember that they were. **Hannon:** That's why we're having this, is to follow up on that.

**Anger:** No, we did a follow-up at the next meeting. Rich, you were part of that. We published the to-do list, went through it and made sure the items had been covered. **Hannon:** It doesn't mean they were all done. **Anger:** I think they were. **Hannon:** Rich, do you think we accomplished all those items that we said we would do last February? **Mastin:** No, I don't think we accomplished all of them, but we accomplished a great deal of them. Rachel is right, we did review briefly. We reviewed a number of them, we accomplished a number of them. What we need to do now is go back and look at what was left on our original list and re-create or create new ones for this year. In addition to doing the February strategic planning, we also need to do a formal follow-up for the June annual. We can't do strategic planning without a formal follow-up.

**Hannon:** Pam's question was, did we really accomplish anything from that extra day's meeting last February? Was it worth it? **Mastin:** Yes, I think we did. I think we accomplished a fair amount of things. We may not have been 100% successful in all the items, but for some of the items we did accomplish them. **Moser:** I guess my concern is, the cost versus what we've done. I mean, I've been through this when I was in corporate and we never accomplished anything that we set forth to do, and it ended up being a waste of time. I'm afraid that maybe this is the same thing here. We come, we spend the extra money, and is it something that's worthwhile? Just a concern. **Mastin:** Those are valid concerns, Pam, and I think we need to be mindful of them, but at this point in time it's my opinion that we're in the beginning phases of bringing the board to identify the issues and concerns and addressing them without just talking about them. Without putting a plan together, we're going to spin our wheels. There are a couple items right now that I

think we're spinning our wheels on. We just need to spend more time to get them done. **Moser:** Why then don't we just spend extra time on Sunday? We only usually meet for half a day. Maybe if we meet a little bit longer on Sunday and meet a little bit longer on Saturday to accomplish the same thing, instead of coming in on Friday. **Hannon:** Rich, what's your reaction to that?

**Mastin:** That's a good argument. I'm willing to make it a three day, but if the rest of the board wants to make it two full days, I don't know how that affects Monday for those that are returning to work. It seems easier in my business and maybe other businesses, and maybe so for the judges to find it easier to take a three day weekend starting on Friday, but I'm flexible on it. **Hannon:** What is the Cleveland flight situation on Sunday? Do we have evening flights that most of us can take? I don't want a situation where, "I've got to leave at noon because I've got a 2:00 flight."

**Eigenhauser:** I was going to say, Sacramento may be the capital of California but it is not a huge airport, and flights coming in Sunday night are extremely limited, so if we did it Sunday I would probably have to stay over until Monday, so it's six of one, half a dozen of the other. **Mastin:** I have another comment, too. **Hannon:** Wait your turn. **Roy:** I was just going to say something similar to George. I have very few, but I know for this meeting the last flight I could get out was 5:00. **Calhoun:** I was just going to say, I think but maybe it's not the case, but I do think that if the meeting moves to Cleveland, the availability of later flights out on Sunday nights increases. I know I can get out really late. **Hannon:** Don't most of you come into Cleveland now? **Moser:** I can get out at 7:00 on Sunday night. Next week I can get out. **Eigenhauser:** I will also point out that Sunday afternoon we're limiting ourselves. Assuming that our normal order of business takes us until 1:00 or 2:00 in the afternoon and people start flying out at 5:00 or so, we're really saying our strategic planning is going to be limited to 3 or 4 hours, whereas if we do it Friday we can take our time and do it all day. **Mastin:** That was the other point that I wanted to make. Once we start having strategic planning into a half day on a board meeting, some meetings run short or get interrupted. The more I think about this, I'm really starting to feel like we should do it on Friday, and it's just for strategic planning. **Auth:** This is one thing that Pam and I do vehemently disagree on. I really believe in the strategic planning part of this, but one of the things that happened relative to the follow-up for last year's plan – of course I wasn't able to be there – but Kathy and I worked hard on our portion of what we were supposed to do and it got blown off at the June board meeting. So, if we're going to do follow-up, let's be diligent about doing follow-up. **Krzanowski:** I personally feel that doing it on a Friday is much more beneficial. We'll come in fresh and be able to devote our time to that. If we try to address strategic planning on Sunday afternoon, after we're tired from conducting all the other business of CFA, we're not going to get anything accomplished. **Hannon:** We know already we have a hearing in February. Rich, do you have anything else to say? **Mastin:** Yes, just a comment on Mary. She's 100% accurate that we need to do a better job of follow-up, and I'll take ownership on that. It wasn't until after the agenda was done for the annual board meeting, when I tried to arrange a follow-up time that it didn't work with all the different things that were going on. That's why I wanted to bring that up now in advance. When we commit to have this meeting in February, and I hope we do, we also need to make a commitment to do thorough follow-up in June. **Hannon:** Rich, Kathy points out that money was put in the budget for a Friday meeting. **Mastin:** Good. **Calhoun:** Although I would like to keep the money, but it was put in there. **Hannon:** Alright, let's vote on this. All those in favor of coming in Friday for strategic planning purposes.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

**Hannon:** We will be meeting on Friday. Rich, will you coordinate that with Peg?  
**Mastin:** Yes, I will. **Hannon:** Thank you.

(d) **In-Conjunction Shows.**

**Hannon:** Anything else for open session? **Vanwonderghem:** Shows in conjunction. I want to understand the principle better. Is it that we think it is wise that cats are entered in both shows at the same time when we talk about shows in conjunction? **Hannon:** We've sort of left that up to the clubs, but other than – as far as I know, they're not in both shows because it's a logistic nightmare to try and keep track of rings in two different shows. **Vanwonderghem:** That's what we had in the show in Brussels. Judges waiting about 3 hours in the whole day to get the cats to the ring, because they were entered in both shows. I think we need to make a point to evaluate shows in conjunction. **Hannon:** Make a motion. **Vanwonderghem:** I make a motion to re-evaluate shows in conjunction and put some conditions in place, what is acceptable and not acceptable. **Eigenhauser:** Second.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Hannon:** Thank you Peter for taking that on as a chairmanship. **Calhoun:** I'm not going to ever say another word. **Hannon:** That's the point. Anything else before we go into closed session? OK, we're going to go into closed session.

**(40) AUDIT COMMITTEE.**

**Committee Chair:** *Kathy Calhoun*  
**Liaison to Board:** *Kathy Calhoun*  
**List of Committee Members:** *Mark Hannon, Rich Mastin, Carla Bizzell, Allene Tartaglia*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*The 2017/2018 Financial Audit has been completed by Maloney + Novotny LLC. The opinion provided by Maloney + Novotny LLC was that the financial position of The Cat Fanciers' Association as of April 30, 2018, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.*

*The auditor's report, in its entirety, is available in File Vista.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*Conference calls as needed.*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*Review audit document with the Audit committee*

*Review recommendations made by Maloney + Novotny LLC as follows:*

- Participate in a sales tax audit*
- Include appropriate categorization of all expenses in three groups – Administrative; Program; Fundraising*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*Present timing and cost to participate in a sales tax audit and request Board approval. And update Board the regarding Functional Expense reporting.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Kathy Calhoun, Chair*

**(41) ID-CHINA MONITOR REPORT.**

**Committee Chair:** Wain Harding  
**Liaison to Board:** Carla Bizzell  
**List of Committee Members:** Wain Harding, Dick Kallmeyer, Carla Bizzell, Peg Johnson

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*Activities since June 2018 report include:*

1. *Attended June 2018 Board meeting with update to CFA Board, update at Breed Council Secretary meeting, attended ID Division meeting, and update and recommendations at JA meeting.*
2. *No China shows were attended by a China monitor as planned.*
3. *Two discussions on 2018-2019 contract with no action taken. Due to visa issues and current issues with suspended exhibitor, I do not feel safe traveling to China at this time.*
4. *Updated Show Monitor Checklist and Show Rule Violations attached for translation and emailing to each show club.*
5. *CFA 2018-2019 Show Rules have been translated and posted on the CFA China website.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*This report only covers ID Monitor activities. Additional topics will be covered by other committee members.*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

**Ongoing To Do Items:**

1. *Provide clubs with show setup aids and common show rule violation feedback as part of show licensing process.*
  - (a) *Finalize a show setup checklist that would become an aid for all clubs. Complete.*
  - (b) *Finalize 2017-2018 observed China show rule violations list. Complete.*
  - (c) *CFA Central Office to send the show setup checklist, judging ring setup checklist, show rule violations lists to the show club electronically as part of show licensing process.*
    - (i) *Show setup checklist and Show rule violations require translation. TBD*
    - (ii) *Establish process for how and when to send to club. Central Office.*

(iii) *Recommend adding translated checklists to CFA China website. TBD.*

2. *Leverage currently available CFA Chinese translations to provide additional education material to Chinese Exhibitors. Gavin Cao offers CFA Chinese exhibitors an APP in Chinese with information on entering shows, showing, and breeds. Some CFA material has also been translated as part of a university course.*

(a) *Review available Chinese Show APP and translated material.*

(b) *Work with owner//translator to ensure appropriate permissions to make APP and/or translations available to Chinese exhibitors through CFA.*

*No activity on this Item. Assigned to Peg working with Gavin Cho.*

3. *Review ID China show entry processes including show licensing, when shows open for entry, when shows fill, exhibitor show entry behavior, and show fee structure. Entry problems continue with champions entered without registration numbers, novice transfers, and the handling of late entries.*

*No monitor activity on this item.*

4. *Update the entry clerk catalog print program to include all required pages in show catalog print file. Benefits include 1. CFA catalogs would include all appropriate pages, 2. Cost savings as these pages would no longer be required in show package, and 3. Page changes could be handled more easily.*

(a) *Per Tim Shreck – Add a link to the Clerk System for them to select and print these pages. Store required pages in one place so they would be easy to update. Cost would be minimal.*

(b) *To Do: Establish required page “library”, document, and train clerks. TBD*

5. *Update the CFA benching rules to allow for current Chinese benching practices. Tables are provided for benching but exhibitors do not follow a pre-determined benching diagram.*

*Monte Phillips will present rule recommendation at the October Board meeting.*

6. *Recommend consolidating and updating breed report and presentation material on the website to provide pictures of CFA breed colors and patterns to provide breed standard information to Chinese and all new exhibitors. Language differences do not allow for easy translation of colors and pattern.*

7. *Prioritize the next CFA publications, forms, or other materials to translate next.*

**Hannon:** Carla, do you want to talk briefly about the Peg Johnson role? **Bizzell:** OK, the China monitor? **Hannon:** Yes. **Bizzell:** This was sort of – actually it wasn’t even 11<sup>th</sup> hour. It



was after the 11<sup>th</sup> hour when the report came in and I wasn't expecting it, so I don't have any additional information, save one piece of financial information related to this report.

**Board Action Items:**

1. *Verify that a project to follow-up on Chinese Show APP and translation review is still desirable and assign resources.*
2. *Resource a team to investigate the China show entry process including entry clerk process, entry exhibitor entry practices. The team would analyze show entry and make recommendations for improvements.*
4. *Authorize IT cost and resources to setup process for Entry Clerk step to add printing of these pages.*

**Bizzell:** She's got a number of projects that she would like to do, but except for one we have no cost estimate associated, so I would suggest we get that cost information before we entertain any of these projects, with the exception of the suggestion to recode a small part of the entry clerk program to where it automatically prints all the reports that are required for a catalog. I talked with Tim Schreck. He said he thought it would be about a \$500 project. That is, on the action items, #4. *Authorize IT cost and resources to setup process for Entry Clerk step to add printing of these pages.* So, if we can go ahead and do this action item, so moved. **Calhoun:** What happens today? **Bizzell:** They just have to know what forms are required in the catalog and go get those forms and include them. **Hannon:** Like championship claim forms, Household Pet registration form. **Bizzell:** Right. **Colilla:** Right now there's a PDF for each file. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

5. *Authorize project expenditure to update CFA Breed Standards by providing pictorial examples of breed colors and patterns by breed.*
6. *Resource a team to prioritize the sequence for translating CFA materials.*
7. *Determine future of China Monitor.*

**Bizzell:** The elephant in the room, as it were, is #7, to determine the future of the China Monitor. At this point, Peg is not comfortable continuing her trips to China, which I can certainly understand. I've asked her what her suggestions are. I haven't heard back. She is really not well available this weekend. You've heard from her since I did. **Hannon:** Yeah, I got something from her yesterday. **Eigenhauser:** I don't want to try to talk her into something she's not comfortable with. **Bizzell:** No, I don't either. **Hannon:** I don't think it's limited to the government. I think she is concerned about her physical harm, because she was involved in a recent protest and she is concerned that that's going to have implications. **Bizzell:** I have no particular feeling. Wain wasn't expecting this report so he didn't have any particular input for me. **Hannon:** We also had a concern about what they do or don't think is their responsibility. **Bizzell:** Oh, you mean the Committee. Yes. As I understand it, the Committee doesn't believe they are managing this

process either, so we need some clarification on that. **Hannon:** We would like to tell them that the board thinks that they are responsible for this. They don't seem to think that. **Moser:** Would you restate that, Mark? **Hannon:** Let's use Peg as the name of the person. Let's say Peg or someone likes her goes to China in the monitor process, and there's a contract involved and all that. They don't think that's under their jurisdiction. They think somebody else is managing that. Now, that somebody else is on the teleconference right now, but my thought is, the ID-Asia Co-Chairs are responsible for this. They need to manage that. When we first started, when you brought this up at a Sunday June meeting – was it Chicago? At an annual, that was what I thought I made clear, was that this Committee was going to be responsible for that. **Bizzell:** So, we would be looking to them for recommendations, first of all. **Hannon:** And working with the contract. **Bizzell:** I'm sure Rich will still help. **Hannon:** Working with them to schedule when the person would be going and all of that. So, does somebody want to make a motion? **Black:** I just have a question. Do we know from the liaison over there that she does not want to continue in this role? **Hannon:** Do we know what? **Black:** If she does not want to continue. **Hannon:** She has definitely stated, she does not want to go. I got an email reiterating that yesterday. She does not wish to go. She is concerned for her safety. **Auth:** In my mind, it was a successful effort and I would like to see it continue. Do we need to vote to continue it, and then you find somebody that's going to do it? **Hannon:** I think we vote to continue it and make it clear that it is the Committee Chairs' responsibility to oversee the whole project. **Calhoun:** I don't know that we have to vote to continue it. It had a budget, so you're just replacing the person. **Auth:** We just have to find another person. **Calhoun:** It had a budget. **Hannon:** OK. Yeah, it did. Alright, do we need to have a motion that the ID Co-Chairs are in charge of this? **Bizzell:** It would certainly strengthen the stand, yes. **Hannon:** Alright, would somebody make a motion? **Bizzell:** I so move that the ID Co-Chairs are responsible. **Auth:** I second. **Hannon:** Is there any discussion? **Mastin:** What is the motion? **Hannon:** The motion is that the ID-Asia Committee Co-Chairs are in charge of the China Monitor project. They seem to think you are. **Anger:** Silence. **Mastin:** I am? Did George volunteer me again for something? **Eigenhauser:** No, I swear it wasn't me. **Hannon:** It's just that you were involved with talking with Peg and working out the contract and all, and they just thought you were taking the whole thing and they had a hands-off policy. We're trying to make it clear to them, no, this is their project. **Calhoun:** There's clearly a budget. **Mastin:** Right. All I do is work with Peg and John on the contract negotiations, and try to keep moving in the right direction in terms of when she would like to start and that type of stuff, and make sure it's approved by Wain and Dick. **Hannon:** Sounds like you agree.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Calhoun:** Does this lead to the fact that maybe for committee chairs, there needs to be some sort of position description? **Hannon:** I appoint you to be in charge of that project. **Calhoun:** Never mind. **Eigenhauser:** Do we need to formally accept Peg's resignation. **Hannon:** I don't know that yet. She is not comfortable going now, but let's pursue a discussion with her. Let's continue to discuss it with her.

### **Time Frame:**

*Item 1. Devise process to distribute checklists to clubs and implement. Central Office.*

*Item 2. Begin project plan if Board deems it a worthwhile effort. (TBD)*

*Item 3. Identify team at October Board meeting. Initial analysis and recommendation complete by February Board meeting. (TBD)*

*Item 4. Time Frame to be determined by IT Chair and CO.*

*Item 5. To be finished at October Board Meeting. Monte Phillips*

*Item 6. Complete sample format for two breeds color and pattern breed standard pictorial to present to Board by February Board meeting. (TBD)*

*Item 7. Present prioritized list of material to be translated to Board by December 2018. (TBD)*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

1.      *Updates on items 1-7.*
2.      *Initial Breed Standard color and pattern web pictorial*
3.      *Update on China Monitor engagement plans.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Peg Johnson, Past ID-China Monitor*



To help Chinese Cat Clubs put on better CFA Cat Shows; CFA is sharing the following CFA Show Rule violations that were observed at China Cat Shows during the 2017-2018 Chinese shows. These violations are being shared to help Chinese Cat Clubs avoid making these mistakes at future shows. The show rule number follows each observed violation.

### **Observed Show Rule Violations**

1. Show Flyer –
  - a. Missing show start and end hours on flyer. SR 5.01.g.
  - b. Not sending show flyer to officiating judges and Regional Director. SR 5.05.
2. Show Hours – Show does not start at the listed show start time. SR 6.29a.
3. Show Catalog –
  - a. Missing names of the show committee and their position as officials at the show. SR 7.09.c.
  - b. Missing required information in catalog including CFA CH Form (SR 7.07), CFA Scoring Notification (SR 7.09.d.), Emergency Number (SR 7.09.e (SR 7.18), and Final Sheets (SR 7.18).
4. Show Entry Fees –
  - a. Minimum of \$1 per ring entry fee. SR 6.14.
  - b. Not including discount and special entry fees in flyer. SR 6.14.
5. Manipulation of Show Counts – SR. 6.34.
6. Judging Rings –
  - a. Less than 16 judging cages for show with over 150 entries. SR 9.08.e.
  - b. Corner cages not separated by 12 inches (31 centimeters). SR 9.08.f.
  - c. Judging cages not secured to table. SR 9.08.i.
  - d. Have a clerk and a steward for each judging ring. SR 9.09.
  - e. Flats did not contain a hook or method to attach flat to cage. SR 8.06.
  - f. Not enough space on judging table for judges books, flats, etc. Safety issue.
7. Benching - More than 2 adults in a double sturdy cage. SR 10.05.
8. All claws of each entry must be clipped prior to benching. Failure to do so will subject the entry to disqualification. SR 10.06.
9. Questioning judging decision on final or class judging. SR 11.08 and SR 11.38.
10. Show Package Incomplete or Late. SR 13.09
11. Judging Contracts -
  - a. Not sending fully completed contract back to judge with 15 days. SR. 3.07.
  - b. Not sending judges accurate and complete addresses for show hotel. Safety issue
12. Judging Schedule – Not providing judging schedule to exhibitors. SR 7.19.
13. Ring Clerks –

- a. Not providing the judge with a fully marked catalog. SR 1208.
- b. Not turning in all pink sheets and catalog at end of show. SR 12.11.

## **CFA China Show Setup Checklist**

1. Show Flyer Contents and Policies
  - a. Show Flyer on Website At Least 30 Days Prior to Show
  - b. Name and Address of Show Hall
  - c. Judges Names and Assignment
  - d. Entry Clerk and Deadlines
  - e. All Entry Fees Including Discounts or Specials
  - f. Show Hours Start and End Must Be Listed
  - g. CFA Logo
  - h. Show Flyer Must Be Sent to Judges
2. Show Catalog Contents
  - a. CFA Logo
  - b. Full Name of Club
  - c. List of Judges
  - d. Show Committee Names and Positions
  - e. CFA Scoring Notice
  - f. CFA CH Claim Form
  - g. Proper Entry Format & Numbered Entries
  - h. No CFA Titles in Cats Name
  - i. Ring Result Marking Columns
  - j. Catalog Entry Order (JR, KIT, CH, PR, HP, V, EX) by Breed
  - k. Color Class Heading with Number, Color Description ( and K,P,V)
  - l. Breed Counts
  - m. List of Exhibitors
  - n. Transfer and Absentee Page
  - o. Division Awards
  - p. Final Pages
3. Show Hall Setup
  - a. Benching
    - i. Adequate Tables available for Show Cages for all entered cats.\
    - ii. No Kittens Less Than 4 Months
    - iii. 2 Kittens or 1 Cat per Single Cage
    - iv. No Pregnant Cats
    - v. No Overnight Cats
    - vi. No Cats Under Cages or Outside Benching Area (i.e. Back Room)
  - b. Judging Rings Setup Prior to Start of Show
    - i. Disinfectant and Towels Available
    - ii. Ring Number Cards – Ready in Numerical Order
    - iii. Judges Books
    - iv. Ribbons
    - v. Rosettes
    - vi. Sufficient Space on Judging Table for Judge and Clerk
    - vii. Sufficient Space in Judging Ring for Exhibitors, Clerk, Judge

- viii. Sufficient Buffer Between Judging Ring and Spectator Walkways
    - ix. Each ring has Clerk
    - x. Each ring has Steward
  - c. Judging Cages
    - i. Correct Number of Cages (16 required if over 150 cats)
    - ii. 12 Inches Or 31 Centimeters Or More Separating Corner Cages / 90 Degrees)
    - iii. Minimum Judging cages size is
      - 22 in (56 cm) wide X 20 in (51 cm) deep X 21 in (53 cm) tall
    - iv. Judging cage doors must open horizontally
    - v. Dividers Between Cages, Behind Cages, and on Cage Row Ends
    - vi. Cages Secured to Table (Taped Down)
    - vii. Separate judging rings for split rings when count is 181 or more
  - d. First Aid Kit Available
  - e. Litter for Exhibitors
- 4. Judging Schedule
  - a. Provided to Judges
  - b. Available to Exhibitors – In Catalog, Separate Sheet, or Posted on Wall
- 5. Adhere to Show Hours
  - a. Start at Published Show Hours Start Time
  - b. Finish as Close as Possible to Published Show End Time
- 6. Ribbons and Rosettes
  - a. Ribbons/Flats
    - i. Contain CFA Logo and appropriate class designations
    - ii. Colors as Indicated in Show Rules Appropriate Colors
    - iii. Have method for attachment to cage
  - b. Rosettes Include
    - i. CFA Logo
    - ii. Club Name
    - iii. Class and division designation.
    - iv. Are numbered.
- 7. Check In Procedure
  - a. Follow Check In Procedure To Provide Absentees, Transfers, and Color Changes.
  - b. Judging Schedule Must Be Available to All Exhibitors
- 8. Master Clerk
  - a. Absentee, Transfers, Addendums, and Color Changes Communicated to Judges Prior to Start of Show
  - b. Complete Master Catalog and Sign Off on Judges' Paperwork
  - c. Transfers and Color Changes Updated During Show
  - d. Informal Show Counts
  - e. CH Forms
  - f. Package Ready at End of Show

9. Judges

- a. Review and Follow Rules for Contracting Judges
- b. Provide Judges with Show Flyer and Address of Show Hotel Prior to Travel
- c. Provide Judges with Transportation To and From Airport and Hotel
- d. Have Judging Ring Setup Complete Prior to Arrival of Judge at Show
- e. Provide Judges With Absentee, Transfers, Addendums, and Color Changes Prior to Start of Show
- f. Provide Judges with Judging Books and Required Catalog
- g. Notification of Absentees, Transfers, and Color Class Changes that Occur During Show to Other Judges
- h. Clerk Trained and Can Communicate With Judge
- i. Exhibitors (Timely to Ring, Unobtrusive, Courteous)
- j. Provide Judge With Marked Catalog and Completed Paper Work At End of Show

10. Show Package

- a. Show Package – FedEx on Monday – US Judge May Also Carry Back for Mailing Addendums
- b. One Completely Marked Catalog
- c. Complete Set of Judges Color Class Sheets and Finals
- d. Copy of Final Transfer and Absentee list
- e. Exhibitor List
- f. Original Copies of Catalog Corrections and related entries
- g. Electronic Show Information
- h. Show Information Sheet
- i. Completed Unofficial Count Sheet
- j. Show Entry Surcharge Payment for each catalog entry
- k. Temporary Registrations Forms

11. Other

- a. No Exhibitor Cages facing Judging Rings
- b. Notify judges, CFA Central Office of any show hall location changes prior to day of show
- c. No cats in show hall overnight.



(42) **IT REPORT.**

**Committee Chair:** *Tim Schreck*  
**Liaison to Board:** *John Colilla*  
**List of Committee Members:** *Steve Merritt, Dick Kallmeyer, Sheryl Zink and Seth Baugh*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*Sonit system for tracking problems and projects is up and running. Allowing multiple personnel*

*Access to following their progress. Since the Transition we have had 29 tickets ( programming corrections ) with 3 still open as of today.*

*Electronic Master Clerking testing is continuing.*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*Sonit has quoted their first project. To complete all aspects of Show Licensing move from HP is estimated to take 3 weeks. I will be at Central Office Oct 3<sup>rd</sup> to discuss additional projects and timelines for completion. We currently have 7 more projects listed to complete the move from the HP. With similar time frames this would put us at approximately 6 months just to complete these. There are also currently 6 new projects we have been asked to add to the system. This gives us quite an extension current workload. We are checking on having Sonit add resources to increase the turnarounds for projects. We will now be able to quote costs and time on any additional requests. Continuing to work with Dynamic Edge on electronic Master Clerk and Entry Clerk enhancements.*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*Continue moving of all applications from HP to the new system and acquiring additional resources from Sonit.*

*Creation of online show application with required fields to help clubs complete the application with all necessary information and make it more readable for Central Office.*

*Transition of Entry Clerk support to Central Office.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*Progress of moving of all applications from HP to the new system and a update on additional resources from Sonit.*

*Progress of projects with Dynamic Edges.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Tim Schreck, Chair*

**Hannon:** Are there any other items on the agenda? **Colilla:** The IT Committee is not on the agenda. **Hannon:** Yes it is. It's item #42 on my agenda. There's a later version. I only know because she handed it to me yesterday. **Anger:** This includes all the reports that came in late, so that's why they are at the end. **Colilla:** That's fine. **Anger:** But it's in your compiled document that everyone received. **Hannon:** Do you have any action items? **Colilla:** Well, kind of. **Hannon:** Give me the kind of. **Colilla:** From what Tim told me, he received code for most of the project. Hopefully it will be done by December. **Eigenhauser:** That's not an action item. **Colilla:** It's not an action item. That's all I have.

(43) **OMBUDSMAN REPORT.**

*Committee Chair:*      **Teresa Sweeney**  
*Liaison to Board:*    **George Eigenhauser**  
*List of Committee Members:*    **Cyndy Byrd (overseas liaison)**

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*This is the first report to the Board of the current activities of the current Board-appointed Ombudsman. I started this position in February 2019 and this report spans that timeframe of activities. This role serves to mitigate reported issues between parties when the issue does not warrant a protest. We have been very successful to date with solving issues. Only two of the 71 cases worked have gone to formal protest. Below is a breakdown of the 71 issues and statistics:*

1.      *Contract Issues - 30*
2.      *Registration Issues - 23*
3.      *Sick Kitten/Death - 18*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*Published an article in the CFA Newsletter outlining the role of the Ombudsman and the core values of the role.*

1.      *Confidentiality*
2.      *Compassion*
3.      *Non-Judgmental*
4.      *Resolution in a timely manner*
5.      *Any issue taken no matter the size or complexity of the issue*

*This article was well received and outlined the role. We also received an influx of reported issues and as the statistics show, we were able to prevent a large percentage (98%) from going to protest.*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*As a committee I would like to work closely with CFA Central Office to develop a better understanding of the protest operations. This will serve the committee in many ways. To establish a working relationship with CFA staff, to ensure we are handling issues appropriately and close any gaps there may be with our current intake process and tracking.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*We will present the number of reported issues, statistical tracking and any other issues that may arise.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Teresa Sweeney, Chair*

**Hannon:** George, you have the Ombudsman Report? **Eigenhauser:** Yes, I've got the Ombudsman Report and the Scientific Advisory Report. Neither one has any action items. I would just like to thank Teresa Sweeney for the job she's doing as Ombudsman. That's a very difficult job to do because you're often dealing with people who are very unhappy when they get in touch with you. It takes a special person to do that job. I couldn't do it, but other than that I have nothing to add on those two.

**(44) SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY.**

**Committee Chair:** Roger Brown, DVM  
**Liaison to Board:** George Eigenhauser

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

*Development and Monitoring CFA's DNA Testing Program*

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

*CFA's DNA Program continues to offer affordable testing for our members. The totals for each test processed through our program are as follows: CFA Basic Panel-539, add on HCM in the Maine Coon-169, add on PKD-343, add on Albinism/Points-75, add on Blood type-292.*

*These numbers include a testing period from January 1, 2018 to September 23, 2018. The average turnaround time was 15.45 days.*

*Updates on new tests being considered are as follows:*

*Susceptibility to FIP: Scientists studying this problem have discovered genes of interest, but no specific SNP's have been located to design a DNA test. We are watching future research in this area, and will offer a test if new discoveries allow the design of an FIP susceptibility test.*

*A Burmese Head Defect test is almost ready, and is being considered as a future addition.*

*GM1, GM2A, GM Domestic Shorthair, GM2Korat, GM2Burmese are all being considered, but we need samples from affected animals as controls to validate scoring of tests.*

*Glycogen Storage Disease IV in the Norwegian Forest Cat is also being considered, but this test is a large insertion that would have to be set up on a separate platform. Controls of both affected and carriers will be needed before the test can be set up and offered.*

*White Spotting is a deletion. Partial insert of markers is dominant white, but full insertion is white spotting. Again, carrier/affected samples will be needed to set up this test.*

*The delay of some tests being considered is the result of difficulty of collecting samples from carrier/affected cats. I have solicited samples by private confidential e-mails with very poor response.*

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*We hope to have additional disease offerings available in the future.*

**Board Action Items:**

*None*

**Time Frame:**

*None*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*An update on CFA's DNA testing service*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Roger Brown, DVM, Chair*

**Hannon:** Is there anything else before we adjourn? Thank you all for coming. Have a safe trip home.

\* \* \* \* \*

Meeting adjourned at 1:06 p.m. Eastern Daylight Saving Time.

Respectfully submitted,  
Rachel Anger, CFA Secretary

**(45) DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS AND SUSPENSIONS.**

**Disciplinary Hearings And Suspensions:** Cases that have been reviewed by the Protest Committee and for which a recommendation was presented to the Board. The following cases were heard, tentative decisions were rendered, timely notice was given to the parties, and no appeal and/or appeal fee was filed. Therefore, final disposition is as follows:

**18-025        *CFA v. Wintershoven, Henny***

***Violation of Show Rules 1.03, 10.02, 11.01, 11.03, and 11.04***

***Other: Forgery of a European Pet Passport***

***GUILTY*** of violation of Show Rules 1.03, 10.02, 11.01, 11.03, and 11.04.

***Sentence of a three year suspension from all CFA services and a \$2,000 fine until paid in full. Suspension to be served concurrently with 18-026. [vote sealed]***

**18-026        *CFA v. Wintershoven, Henny***

***Violation of Show Rules 1.03, 10.02, 11.01, 11.03, and 11.04***

***Other: Forgery of a European Pet Passport***

***GUILTY*** of violation of Show Rules 1.03, 10.02, 11.01, 11.03, and 11.04.

***Sentence of a three year suspension from all CFA services and a \$2,000 fine until paid in full. Suspension to be served concurrently with 18-025. [vote sealed]***

**18-027        *CFA vs Proskurina, Irina Nikolaevna***

***Violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section 4(g)***

***GUILTY*** of violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section 4(g). Sentence of restitution to Complainant in the sum of € 1,800.00. Restitution is to be paid within 30 days or Respondent shall be suspended from all CFA services until paid in full. Upon receipt of restitution as ordered the cat will be registered in Respondent's name upon payment of the customary fees. [vote sealed]

**18-028        *CFA v Johnson, Shellie***

***Violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section 4(g)***

***GUILTY*** of violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section 4(g). Sentence of restitution to Complainant in the sum of \$1,000.00 and a fine of \$250.00 to CFA, both to be paid within 30 days or Respondent shall be suspended from all CFA services until paid in full. [vote sealed]

**Board-Cited Hearing:** The Board may consider any protest filed by any member of a member club or in any other manner brought to the attention of the Executive Board. The Board may delegate authority to one or more persons to review, investigate, and determine if probable cause exists for the filing of a formal protest. This case was heard on direct cite by the CFA Executive



Board. Timely notice was given to the party, and the matter was heard in open session, at the request of the respondent.

Tabled until February 2019.

**Appeals:** Cases that have been reviewed by the Protest Committee and for which a recommendation was presented to and heard by the Board, a tentative decision was rendered, timely notice was given to the party, an appeal and/or appeal fee was timely filed, and the appeal was heard by the Board of Directors. Therefore, final disposition is as follows:

**18-017**      ***CFA v. Danny Tai and Chris Wong***

***Violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section 4(b), (c) and (e), Violation of CFA Rules of Registration Section 9***

***GUILTY*** of violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section 4(b), (c) and (e), Violation of CFA Rules of Registration Section 9.

*As to **Mr. Wong**, Respondent shall be (i) suspended from all CFA services for a period of one year commencing October 9, 2018, and (ii) fined \$500 payable within 30 days or Respondent shall be suspended from all CFA services until paid in full. [vote sealed]*

*As to **Mr. Tai**, Respondent shall be (i) permanently suspended from participation in the CFA Judging Program, (ii) suspended from all CFA services for a period of three years commencing October 9, 2018, and (iii) fined \$2,000 payable within 30 days or Respondent shall be suspended from all CFA services until paid in full. [vote sealed]*

*The transfer of the cat in question will be set aside, and the cat will be re-registered in the names of the owners prior to the transfer.*