

**SUMMARY AND TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE CALL
CFA BOARD OF DIRECTORS
APRIL 24, 2018**

Secretary’s note: This index is provided only as a courtesy to the readers and is not an official part of the CFA minutes. The numbers shown for each item in the index are keyed to similar numbers shown in the body of the minutes.

(1)	BOARD CITES.....	7
(2)	JUDGING PROGRAM.....	8
(3)	PROTEST COMMITTEE.....	22
(4)	EUROPEAN AGREEMENTS.....	23
(5)	ASSOCIATIONS FOR REGISTRATION BY PEDIGREE.....	24
(6)	SHOW RULES.....	25
(7)	TREASURER’S REPORT.....	36
(8)	BUDGET REPORT.....	39
(9)	CENTRAL OFFICE REPORT.....	41
(10)	IT COMMITTEE.....	45
(11)	CLUB APPLICATIONS.....	46
(12)	AWARDS COMMITTEE.....	57
(13)	WINN FOUNDATION.....	62
(14)	CFA INTERNATIONAL SHOW.....	64
(15)	SHOW SPONSORSHIP.....	66
(16)	CAT SHOWCASE EVENT.....	74
(17)	HISTORICAL DATA SCANNING PROJECT.....	77
(18)	OTHER COMMITTEES.....	81
(19)	NEW BUSINESS.....	82
(20)	DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS AND SUSPENSIONS.....	83

Secretary’s Note: The Officers and Board of Directors of the Cat Fanciers’ Association, Inc. met on Tuesday, April 24, 2018, via teleconference. **President Mark Hannon** called the meeting to order at 9:00 p.m. EDT. A roll call by **Secretary Rachel Anger** found the following members present:

- Mr. Mark Hannon (President)**
- Mr. Richard Kallmeyer (Vice President)**
- Ms. Kathy Calhoun (Treasurer)**
- Ms. Rachel Anger (Secretary)**
- Mr. John Adelhoch (NAR Director)**
- Mrs. Pam Moser (NWR Director)**
- Ms. Kathy Black (GSR Director)**
- Mr. John Colilla (GLR Director)**
- Ms. Lisa Kuta (SWR Director)**
- Ms. Mary Auth (MWR Director)**
- Ms. Jean Dugger (SOR Director)**
- Mrs. Kayoko Koizumi (Japan Regional Director)**
- Mrs. Pam DelaBar (Europe Regional Director)**

Carla Bizzell, C.P.A. (Director-at-Large)
George Eigenhauser, Esq. (Director-at-Large)
Mrs. Carol Krzanowski (Director-at-Large)
Mr. Richard Mastin (Director-at-Large)
Mr. Peter Vanwonterghem (Director-at-Large)

Also Present:

John M. Randolph, Esq., CFA Legal Counsel
Teresa Barry, Executive Director
Verna Dobbins, Deputy Director
Melanie Morgan, Judging Program Chair
Monte Phillips, Show Rules Chair
Mary Kolencik, Awards Committee Chair

Absent:

Shino Wiley, Japanese Translator

SUMMARY

(1) BOARD CITES.

[see Agenda Item #20]

(2) JUDGING PROGRAM.

Mr. Eigenhauser moved to grant a medical leave of absence extension from judging to Pat Jacobberger until July 31, 2018. Seconded by **Mrs. Krzanowski, Motion Carried.**

Ms. Black moved to make bay check-in optional rather than mandatory. Seconded by **Ms. Anger, Motion Carried.**

Mr. Mastin moved that the two CFA judges performing bay check-in can Mandatorily Absent any cat in poor condition or having an obvious disqualification. Seconded by **Ms. DelaBar, Motion Carried.** Black voting no. Anger abstained.

Mr. Kallmeyer moved that the above two motions be effective May 1, 2018. Seconded by **Mr. Vanwonterghem, Motion Carried.**

Ms. Anger moved to approve the following advancements:

Advance to Apprentice:

Emiko Misugi (LH – 1st Specialty) 17 yes; 1 no (Adelhoch)

Advance to Approval Pending Specialty:

Wendy Heidt (Shorthair – 2nd Specialty) 18 yes
Teo Vargas (Longhair – 1st Specialty) 18 yes

Advance to Approved Specialty:

Nicholas Pun (Longhair – 2nd Specialty) 18 yes

Advance to Approval Pending Allbreed:

Nicholas Pun 18 yes

Advance to Approved Allbreed:

Kit Fung 18 yes

Marilee Griswold 18 yes

Suki Lee 18 yes

Danny Tai 18 no

(3) PROTEST COMMITTEE.

Chair Mr. Eigenhauser moved to accept the Committee's recommendation on the protests not in dispute. **Motion Carried [vote sealed].**

(4) EUROPEAN AGREEMENTS.

[Executive Session]

(5) ASSOCIATIONS FOR REGISTRATION BY PEDIGREE.

Following an executive Session discussion, **Ms. Anger** moved to reinstate registration reciprocity with the American Cat Association, effective immediately. Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser, Motion Carried.**

Ms. Anger moved to adopt a policy in which any changes to the list of Associations for Registration by Pedigree must come through the Breeds and Standards Committee, and be ratified by the Board of Directors. Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser, Motion Carried.**

(6) SHOW RULES.

Addressing Point Minimums for National Awards, **Ms. Black** moved to accept option #4 from the Awards Committee Report; that being, to leave the Championship, Premiership and HHP point minimums the same as the 2017-2018 show season, but lower the Kitten minimum to 1500 for the 2018-2019 show season. Seconded by **Mr. Mastin, Motion Carried.**

Ms. Black moved to award Top 25 in Household Pets for the 2018-2019 show season. Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser, Motion Carried.** Colilla voting no.

(7) TREASURER'S REPORT.

Treasurer Ms. Calhoun had no action items.

(8) BUDGET COMMITTEE.

Chair Ms. Calhoun moved to approve 2018/2019 Budget, as presented. **Tabled.**

[Secretary's Note: on April 30, 2018, upon motion made by **Ms. DelaBar** to approve the budget, as presented at the April 17, 2018 teleconference, as subsequently amended, seconded by **Ms. Calhoun, Motion Carried.** Anger, Calhoun and Eigenhauser abstained.]

(9) **CENTRAL OFFICE REPORT.**

No action items were voted on.

(10) **IT COMMITTEE.**

Liaison Mr. Kallmeyer presented no action items.

(11) **CLUB APPLICATIONS.**

Chair Ms. Krzanowski moved to change the club's name in CFA records from Rip City Cat Club a/k/a Rip City Cats to City Cats (Region 2), effective immediately. Seconded by **Ms. Anger**, **Motion Carried.**

The following club applications were presented for acceptance on standing motion by **Mrs. Krzanowski**, with a standing second by **Mr. Eigenhauser**:

- ADORE CAT FAMILY TIANJIN CLUB, International Division – Asia (China). **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.
- CAT FRIENDS OF KUWAIT, International Division – ROW (Kuwait). Seconded by **Vanwongerghem**, **Motion Carried** (contingent on the club changing officers). Eigenhauser, Calhoun, Auth, Moser, Black and Kuta voting no.
- MOUNTAIN CITY CAT FANCIERS CLUB, International Division – Asia (China). **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.
- OBSESS ORIENTAL CAT CLUB, International Division – Asia (China). **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.
- OCICATS OF NORTH AMERICA, Region 7. **Motion Carried.**
- ORIENTAL FASHION INTERNATIONAL CAT CLUB, International Division – Asia (China). **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.
- SHEN YANG FENG TIAN CLUB, International Division – Asia (China). **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.
- SOMETHING HEARTS CAT FANCIERS, Region 8. **Motion Carried.**
- SWIRE CAT FANCIERS' CLUB, International Division – Asia (China). **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.
- TIANJIN CAT FANCIERS CLUB, International Division – Asia (China). **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.
- XIJING CAT CLUB, International Division – Asia (China). **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

(12) **AWARDS COMMITTEE.**

[see Show Rules Report]

Liaison Mr. Hannon presented the Star Award nominations (**vote sealed**).

(13) WINN FOUNDATION.

Liaison Mr. Eigenhauser moved for CFA to make a \$50,000 donation to the Winn Foundation. Seconded by **Mr. Colilla, Motion Failed.** Eigenhauser voting yes.

Mr. Eigenhauser moved for CFA to make a \$30,000 donation to the Winn Foundation. Seconded by **Ms. Auth, Motion Failed.** Eigenhauser and Auth voting yes.

Mr. Eigenhauser moved for CFA to make a \$25,000 donation to the Winn Foundation. Seconded by **Ms. Auth, Motion Carried.**

(14) CFA INTERNATIONAL SHOW.

Mrs. Moser moved that each regional director buy 25 International pins to sell within their region. Seconded by **Ms. Auth, Motion Failed.** Moser and Auth voting yes.

(15) SHOW SPONSORSHIP.

Mr. Mastin had no action items.

(16) CAT SHOWCASE EVENT.

Mr. Mastin moved to approve moving ahead with the concept to produce this event in conjunction with TICA. The next step, pending Board approval, will be to approach TICA for discussion and alignment on date, location, goals, format, etc. Seconded by **Ms. Anger, Motion Carried.**

(17) HISTORICAL DATA SCANNING PROJECT.

Liaison Mr. Mastin presented no action items.

(18) OTHER COMMITTEES.

No action items were presented.

(19) NEW BUSINESS.

No action items were presented.

(20) DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS AND SUSPENSIONS.

[see page 83]

TRANSCRIPT

Hannon: Rachel, do you want to call the roll so we can get started? We've got a long night ahead. **Anger:** It sure will be. Mark Hannon. **Hannon:** Here. **Anger:** Thank you. Richard Kallmeyer. **Kallmeyer:** Here. **Anger:** Kathy Calhoun. **Calhoun:** Here. **Anger:** Rachel Anger is here. John Adelhoch. No John? Pam Moser. **Moser:** Here. **Anger:** Kathy Black. **Black:** Here. **Anger:** John Colilla. **Colilla:** Here. **Anger:** Lisa Kuta. **Kuta:** Here. **Anger:** Mary Auth. **Auth:** Here. **Anger:** Jean Dugger. **Dugger:** Here. **Anger:** Kayoko Koizumi. **Koizumi:** Here. **Anger:** Pam DelaBar. **DelaBar:** Here. **Anger:** Carla Bizzell. **Bizzell:** Here. **Anger:** George Eigenhauser. **Eigenhauser:** Here. **Anger:** Carol Krzanowski. **Krzanowski:** Here. **Anger:** Richard Mastin. **Mastin:** Here. **Anger:** Peter Vanwongerghem. **Vanwongerghem:** Good morning. **Anger:** Good morning. John Randolph. **Randolph:** Here. **Anger:** Teresa Barry. **Barry:** Here. **Anger:** Verna Dobbins. **Dobbins:** Here. **Anger:** Has John Adelhoch joined us? No. OK, is Kathy Chandler on the call. **Chandler:** I'm here. **Anger:** Great. Is there anyone whose name I have not called who is on the call? Wonderful. I will let our CFA Attorney take it from here. Thank you.

(1) **BOARD CITES.**

[see Agenda Item #20]

(2) **JUDGING PROGRAM.**

Committee Chair: *Melanie Morgan*
List of Committee Members: *Larry Adkison – General oversight and quality control*
Diana Doernberg – File Administrator (Regions 1-7)
Pat Jacobberger –Chair, Judges’ Education subcommittee
(Breed Awareness and Orientation School)
Becky Orlando – File Administrator (Region 9 and ID);
Mentor Program Administrator
Penny Richter –Applications Administrator
Sharon Roy – Ombudsman, General Communications
Representative
Jan Stevens – File Administrator (Region 8 and ID);
Member, Recruitment & Development subcommittee,
Liaison Protest Committee
Annette Wilson – Chair, Guest Judge subcommittee; Guest
judge paperwork review

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

The Committee members met by teleconference on March 27, 2018, to discuss the judge applications, advancements, and preparations for this board meeting.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Recent Deaths:

Bob Molino. *We were saddened to learn that long-time Colorpoint and Siamese breeder and retired CFA Allbreed Bob Molino passed away Saint Patrick’s Day weekend.*

*Bob had a long and distinguished career in the Navy and working in Washington for the Navy Department, but his real love was his cats and being a part of the cat fancy. Bob and his partner Bernie Hartman bred Siamese and Colorpoint Shorthairs under the cattery name “Sanlino.” He bred and showed to a National Win in the 1982-83 season, seal point Siamese female GC/NW Sanlino Mary Queen of Scots. Then Bob turned his hand to CPSHs and was the first to produce parti-color and solid point CPSH National winners: in the 1998-99 show season *his* parti-color – GC/BW/NW Sanlino Sophie Tortie, DM; in the 2001-2002 show season *his* solid point (cream point) – GC/BW/NW Sanlino American Beauty, and in the 2003-2004 season his parti-color – GC/BW/NW Sanlino Thoroughly Tortie Millie. Bob also enjoyed mentoring newbie exhibitor/breeders in his chosen breeds.*

Bob joined the CFA Judging Program in 1983, retiring in 2014. He also served on the Board of Directors as a director-at-large from 2007-2009. Since his bout with MERSA after hip replacement surgery, and his retirement from the CFA judging program after 30 years of judging, Bob had been dealing with health issues that kept him from traveling far from home and

visiting his friends at the cat shows. He continued to enjoy talking to his friends on the phone and hearing all the news about the fancy.

Jess Insall. Jess Insall passed away on March 21st in Tulsa, OK. He is survived by his wife, Sylvia Kraushaar Insall. Jess and his mother, Dovie bred and showed Burmese cats during the 1960's and 70's under the Arab'n Nts prefix. He and Sylvia showed Burmese and were members of the Gulf Shore Region. He was a member of the CFA judging panel serving as a Shorthair Specialty judge from 1976 to 1984. He also served in the United States Air Force from 1952 to 1956 in charge of finances. One of their regional winning cats in 2002 was GP/RW Charliecats Gemini Gal of Arab'n Nts.

Leave of Absence: On January 11, 2018, Allbreed Judge Pat Jacobberger requested and was granted a 3-month medical leave of absence from the Judging Program, effective January 11, 2018, until March 31, 2018. She has requested a 4-month extension to her medical leave of absence from the Judging Program until July 31, 2018.

Action Item: Grant a medical leave of absence extension from judging to Pat Jacobberger until July 31, 2018.

Morgan: Are we ready for Judging Program or did I interrupt something that needed to be finished? **Hannon:** No. We're waiting for you. **Morgan:** Waiting, with baited breath. My first action item is to grant an extension to the medical leave of absence for Pat Jacobberger until July 31, 2018. **Eigenhauser:** So moved. **Krzanowski:** Carol seconds. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Hannon: Melanie, is she going to be participating in the Breed Awareness school?
Morgan: We don't know. **Hannon:** Alright, but the medical leave of absence from the Judging Program means judging. **Morgan:** Just judging, not Education and Recruitment. She continues to work on that and do the yeoman's job that she always does. She's hoping to come to Atlanta and be able to help with the workshop, etc., but she just doesn't know yet. **Hannon:** And it's a long drive. **Morgan:** It is a long drive. Just a little bit. I certainly am hoping that she will be there because that will be the first workshop that I will be at and I would dearly love to have her there, plus it would be nice to see her. She sounds great.

Guest Judging Report:

International/Guest Judging Assignments: Permission has been granted for the following:

CFA Judges to Judge International Assignments:

Judge	Assn	Sponsor	City/Country	Date
Anger, Rachel	FIFe	World Cat Congress/FIFe/ANFI	Busto Arzizio, Italy	4/7/2018
Lawrence, Karen	CCA	National Cat Club	Niagara Falls, ON, Canada	6/9/2018
Lawrence, Karen	CCA	National Cat Club	Mississauga, ON, Canada	9/8/2018
Mathis, Anne	NSWCFA	Newcastle & Hunter Valley CC	Lambton, Australia	8/18/2018
Nasin, Doreann	CCA	Fundy Fanciers CC	Moncton, NB, Canada	4/15/2018

<i>Newkirk, Darrell</i>	<i>NZCF</i>	<i>Geyserland Cat Club</i>	<i>Tauranga, New Zealand</i>	<i>6/10/2018</i>
<i>Nye, Vicki</i>	<i>FIFe</i>	<i>Goteborgs Raskattklubb</i>	<i>Molndal, Seden</i>	<i>8/25/2018</i>
<i>Powell, Sharon</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>National Cat Club</i>	<i>Niagara Falls, ON, Canada</i>	<i>6/10/2018</i>
<i>Quigley, Neil</i>	<i>ACF</i>	<i>Cats NSW</i>	<i>Sydney, Australia</i>	<i>5/4/2019</i>
<i>Quigley, Neil</i>	<i>Catz Inc</i>	<i>Nine Lives</i>	<i>Hamilton, NZ</i>	<i>5/12/2019</i>
<i>Quigley, Neil</i>	<i>CCCA</i>	<i>FASA</i>	<i>Adelaide, Australia</i>	<i>5/18/2019</i>
<i>Raymond, Allan</i>	<i>Ind</i>	<i>HHP Fun Show</i>	<i>Bangkok, Thailand</i>	<i>3/16/2018</i>
<i>Rivard, Lorraine</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>CatFest Cat Club</i>	<i>Halifax, NS</i>	<i>7/7/2018</i>
<i>Rivard, Lorraine</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>Club Felin de Montreal</i>	<i>Brossard, Quebec</i>	<i>9/8/2018</i>
<i>Schleissner, Michael</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Foreningen Hallandskatten</i>	<i>Valberg, Sweden</i>	<i>10/13/2018</i>
<i>Tai, Danny</i>	<i>CCCT</i>	<i>Cat Control Council of Tasmania</i>	<i>Evandale, Tasmania</i>	<i>5/12/2018</i>
<i>U'Ren, Rod</i>	<i>FCCV</i>	<i>FCC Victoria</i>	<i>Melbourne, Australia</i>	<i>2/25/2018</i>
<i>U'Ren, Rod</i>	<i>NZCF</i>	<i>Pedigree Persian CF</i>	<i>Wellington, New Zealand</i>	<i>7/14/2018</i>
<i>U'Ren, Rod</i>	<i>ACF</i>	<i>Cats Victoria</i>	<i>Mulgrave, Australia</i>	<i>8/5/2018</i>
<i>U'Ren, Rod</i>	<i>CCCA</i>	<i>Foreign SH Cat Club of Adelaide</i>	<i>Adelaide, Australia</i>	<i>8/12/2018</i>
<i>U'Ren, Rod</i>	<i>NSWCFA</i>	<i>Braxton Wine Country CC</i>	<i>Hunter Valley, Australia</i>	<i>9/1/2018</i>
<i>U'Ren, Rod</i>	<i>FCCV</i>	<i>Australian Cat Club</i>	<i>Melbourne, Australia</i>	<i>9/16/2018</i>
<i>U'Ren, Rod</i>	<i>CCCT</i>	<i>Mersey Valley Cat Club</i>	<i>Davenport, Tasmania</i>	<i>9/22/2018</i>
<i>U'Ren, Rod</i>	<i>CCCA</i>	<i>CCA National Show</i>	<i>Sydney, Australia</i>	<i>7/20/2019</i>
<i>Zinck, Iris</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>CatFest Cat Club</i>	<i>Halifax, NS, Canada</i>	<i>7/8/2018</i>
<i>Zinck, Iris</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>Club Felin de Montreal</i>	<i>Brossard, Quebec</i>	<i>9/8/2018</i>

Non-CFA Judges requesting permission to guest judge CFA shows:

Judge	Assn	CFA Show	City/Country	Date
<i>Borras, Eduard</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Club Felino Espanol</i>	<i>Seville, Spain</i>	<i>3/3/2017</i>
<i>Counasse, Daniel</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Cat Club Sherry</i>	<i>Odessa, Ukraine</i>	<i>5/5/2018</i>
<i>Du Plessis, Kaai</i>	<i>IND</i>	<i>Region 9 Regional Show</i>	<i>Rotterdam, Netherlands</i>	<i>8/11/2018</i>
<i>Du Plessis, Kaai</i>	<i>IND</i>	<i>Java Feline Society</i>	<i>Jakarta, Indonesia</i>	<i>9/1/2018</i>
<i>Farrell, Terry</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>Shanghai Cat Lovers Society</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>3/3/2018</i>
<i>Farrell, Terry</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>China Superstar Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>3/10/2018</i>
<i>Farrell, Terry</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>China Superstar Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>4/21/2018</i>
<i>Gleason, Robert</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>Angel Fairy Sphynx</i>	<i>Beijing, China</i>	<i>3/3/2018</i>
<i>Gnatkevitch, Elena</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>China Int'l Pedigree CF</i>	<i>Shenyang, China</i>	<i>4/14/2018</i>
<i>Gnatkevitch, Elena</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>China Superstar Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>4/21/2018</i>
<i>Gnatkevitch, Elena</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>Anshan Asia CC</i>	<i>Beijing, China</i>	<i>4/28/2018</i>
<i>Grebneva, Olga</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>China Int'l Pedigree CF</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>3/24/2018</i>
<i>Grebneva, Olga</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>Beijing Int'l CC</i>	<i>Chengdu, China</i>	<i>4/29/2018</i>
<i>Grebneva, Olga</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>Cat Fanciers Club of Thailand</i>	<i>Bangkok, Thailand</i>	<i>7/28/2018</i>
<i>Grebneva, Olga</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>Region 9 Regional Show</i>	<i>Rotterdam, Netherlands</i>	<i>8/11/2018</i>
<i>Grebneva, Olga</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>Club Felino Espanol</i>	<i>Madrid, Spain</i>	<i>10/6/2018</i>
<i>Hamalainen, Satu</i>	<i>FIFe</i>	<i>United Feline Odyssey</i>	<i>Hong Kong</i>	<i>6/2/2018</i>
<i>Hamalainen, Satu</i>	<i>FIFe</i>	<i>Japan Singapura Cat Club</i>	<i>Kobe, Japan</i>	<i>9/22/2018</i>
<i>Hansson, John</i>	<i>GCCF</i>	<i>China Southern Cat Club</i>	<i>Qingdao, China</i>	<i>3/3/2018</i>
<i>Korotonozhkina, Olga</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>China Int'l Pedigree CF</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>3/24/2018</i>
<i>Korotonozhkina, Olga</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>L&L Cat Club</i>	<i>Beijing, China</i>	<i>4/7/2018</i>

<i>Korotonozhkina, Olga</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>Shanghai Cat Lovers Society</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>4/28/2018</i>
<i>Korotonozhkina, Olga</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>Club Felino Espanol</i>	<i>Madrid, Spain</i>	<i>10/6/2018</i>
<i>Lemaigre, Marie Claude</i>	<i>LOOF</i>	<i>Club Felino Espanol</i>	<i>Seville, Spain</i>	<i>3/3/2018</i>
<i>Ling, Christine</i>	<i>CCA</i>	<i>China Southern CC</i>	<i>Qingdao, China</i>	<i>3/3/2018</i>
<i>Merritt, Chris</i>	<i>CCCA</i>	<i>Shanghai Cat Lovers Society</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>3/3/2018</i>
<i>Merritt, Chris</i>	<i>CCCA</i>	<i>China Superstar Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>3/10/2018</i>
<i>Merritt, Chris</i>	<i>CCCA</i>	<i>L&L Cat Club</i>	<i>Beijing, China</i>	<i>3/24/2018</i>
<i>Merritt, Chris</i>	<i>CCCA</i>	<i>China Int'l Pedigree CF</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>4/14/2018</i>
<i>Merritt, Chris</i>	<i>CCCA</i>	<i>American Shorthair CC</i>	<i>Chengdu, China</i>	<i>4/28/2018</i>
<i>Mineev, Artem</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Feline Nation Cat Club</i>	<i>Cyberjaya, Malaysia</i>	<i>3/11/2018</i>
<i>Mineev, Artem</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Siam Blue-eyed Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>Bandung, Indonesia</i>	<i>3/31/2018</i>
<i>Mineev, Artem</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Asian Abyssinian Cat Club</i>	<i>Selangor, Malaysia</i>	<i>4/7/2018</i>
<i>Nazarova, Anna</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Tianjin Feiming</i>	<i>Beijing, China</i>	<i>5/19/2018</i>
<i>Nicholls, Julia</i>	<i>CCCA</i>	<i>King Kong China Cat Club</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>4/14/2018</i>
<i>Pobe, Pascal</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Cats'N Cats</i>	<i>Cluny, France</i>	<i>4/28/2018</i>
<i>Podprugina, Elena</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>Shanghai Cat Lovers Society</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>4/28/2018</i>
<i>Rozkova, Natalya</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Cat Club Sherry</i>	<i>Odessa, Ukraine</i>	<i>5/5/2018</i>
<i>Slizhevskaya, Tatiana</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>China Int'l Pedigree CF</i>	<i>Shenyang, China</i>	<i>4/14/2018</i>
<i>Slizhevskaya, Tatiana</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>China Superstar Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>4/21/2018</i>
<i>Slizhevskaya, Tatiana</i>	<i>RUI</i>	<i>Anshan Asia CC</i>	<i>Beijing, China</i>	<i>4/28/2018</i>
<i>Thistlewaite, Marisa</i>	<i>ACF</i>	<i>King Kong China Cat Club</i>	<i>Shanghai, China</i>	<i>4/14/2018</i>
<i>Tokens, Sally</i>	<i>GCCF</i>	<i>UK Cat Fanciers</i>	<i>Stanstead, England</i>	<i>2/24/2018</i>
<i>Tricarico, Nick</i>	<i>ACF</i>	<i>American Shorthair Club</i>	<i>Chengdu, China</i>	<i>4/28/2018</i>
<i>Tricarico, Nick</i>	<i>ACF</i>	<i>Cat Fanciers Club of Thailand</i>	<i>Bangkok, Thailand</i>	<i>7/28/2018</i>

Number of Shows Approved for Guest Judges to date (2017-18 and 2018-19 show seasons):

Judge	2017-2018	2018-2019	Grand Total
Balciuniene, Inga	6		6
Belyaeva, Olga	2		2
Borras, Eduard	1		1
Counasse, Daniel	5	1	6
Davies, Allan	10		10
Du Plessis, Kaai	10	3	13
Farrell, Terry	10		10
Gleason, Elaine	3		3
Gleason, Robert	3	1	4
Gnatkevitch, Elena	8		8
Grebneva, Olga	9	3	12
Gubenko, Dmitriy	5		5
Guseva, Irina	1		1
Hamalainen, Satu	7	2	9
Hansson, John	1		1
Kolczynski, Kamil	1		1
Komissarova, Olga	1		1

Korotonozhkina, Olga	10	1	11
Kurkowski, Albert	2		2
Lemaigre, Marie Claude	1		1
Licciardi, Sandra	1		1
Ling, Christine	6		6
Maignaut, Richard	1		1
Merritt, Chris	10		10
Mineev, Artem	6		6
Monkhouse, Kim	1		1
Nazarova, Anna	4	1	5
Nicholls, Julia	3		3
Pobe, Pascal	1		1
Pochvalina, Viktoria	2		2
Podprugina, Elena	10		10
Rakitnykh, Olga	2		2
Rozkova, Natalya		1	1
Rumyantseva, Nadejda	5		5
Savin, Artem	1		1
Slizhevskaya, Tatiana	7		7
Thistlewaite, Marisa	1		1
Tokens, Sally	1		1
Trautmann, Jurgen	4		4
Tricarico, Nick	1	1	2
U'Ren, Cheryle	10		10
U'Ren, Rod	7		7
Ustinov, Andrew	2		2
Zielinski, Karine	1		1
Grand Total	183	14	197

**cancelled, replaced with P. Pobe*

Note: Judges with 9 or more assignments approved have been notified.

Education and Recruitment update:

CFA JUDGING PROGRAM - EDUCATION – 2018-2019

2018 CFA Judge's Workshop – Atlanta, GA

This year's Judge's Workshop will be held on June 28, 2018 from 6:00 PM – 10:00 PM at the Crown Plaza: Atlanta Perimeter at Ravinia in Atlanta, Georgia. The American Bobtail and the Scottish Fold are our featured breeds.

Breed Awareness and Orientation Schools 2017-18:

A Breed Awareness and Orientation School (BAOS) was held on November 16, 17 and 18, 2017 in Portland, Oregon, USA in conjunction with the CFA International Show on November 18-19, 2017. The instructors were Ellyn Honey, Pat Jacobberger, Anne Mathis and Annette Wilson.

The following people participated:

<i>Yi Chang</i>	<i>LH</i>
<i>Cacang Effendi</i>	<i>LH</i>
<i>Terry Ferrell</i>	<i>LH</i>
<i>Karen Godwin*</i>	<i>LH</i>
<i>Wendy Klamm</i>	<i>LH</i>
<i>Lyn Knight</i>	<i>LH</i>
<i>Anne Paul</i>	<i>LH</i>
<i>Jennifer Redding</i>	<i>LH</i>
<i>Dmitry Gembitsky</i>	<i>SH</i>
<i>Rizuana Iqbal Hussein</i>	<i>SH</i>
<i>Kayoko Koizumi*</i>	<i>SH</i>
<i>Zhou Ning</i>	<i>SH</i>
<i>Yuko Nozuki</i>	<i>SH</i>
<i>Ikuko Sugita</i>	<i>SH</i>
<i>Ayumi Ueda*</i>	<i>SH</i>

**CFA Allbreed Judge attending for Continuing Education*

Breed Awareness and Orientation Schools 2018/2019:

A BAOS will be held on May 3,4 and 6 in Lommel Belgium. It will be held in conjunction with the Felinus International Cat Club show scheduled for May 5 and 6, 2018 in Hechtel-Eksel Belgium. Instructors are Pam DelaBar, Barbara Jaeger and Peter Vanwonderghem.

A BAOS will be held on October 11, 12 and 13, 2018 in Cleveland Ohio, USA. The school is scheduled to be held in conjunction with the CFA International Show on October 13-14, 2018. We are in the process of planning.

We are currently looking for an appropriate venue and date for a BAOS to be held in Asia in 2019.

Change in the Operational Guidelines for the BAOS:

Starting with the BAOS held in Portland, we began offering a stipend to judges participating as instructors in the school. The stipend is meant to provide some financial assistance with the cost of airfare, parking at home airport and dinners associated with their participation. Instructors who are judging the CFA International Show receive \$100.00 as the show pays their transportation. Instructors who are not judging receive \$300.00.

Judge's Open Book Examination:

The bi-annual Judge's Open Book Examination is currently being drafted. It will be an on-line exam, with written copy, that will be distributed in April 2018. It will cover changes to the CFA Show Rules and Show Standards for the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 show seasons.

Continuing Education Requirements for CFA Judges:

All our CFA judges who were required to complete 12 CEU's of Continuing Education for the past period have done so and have successfully submitted proof of compliance.

Breed Workshops:

We are working on scheduling Breed Workshops for Egyptian Mau and either the Bengal or Tonkinese in July 2018. The Workshop will be open to exhibitors and any interested judges and will be held in conjunction with the GEMS show July 28, 2018.

Morgan: Next, a quick update on Education and Recruitment. I'm not getting into a whole lot of things here. I do want to thank the board for supporting the breed summit for the Bengal and the Egyptian Mau. We will be setting up two workshops that will be open to judges and exhibitors alike on those two breeds at the end of July. There's a whole summary of everything we're doing on the BAOS. The judges' exam is out being beta tested now. Thank you to those that have already taken that test and getting it ready to go. It's up and online, and should be sent out in the next week or so. **Calhoun:** I just had a quick question about the breed awareness school, the one in Belgium. We're just trying to get reimbursement and those sorts of things. Since Peter is on, I didn't see that he was judging that show. **Vanwonderghem:** I'm not judging the show. **Calhoun:** OK. We just wanted to make sure we had the right amount of money that we will reimburse. **Morgan:** We have the schedule on what we reimburse them here, but certainly if there are questions afterwards, we can work it out and make sure we get it all right. We ended up with what, six Peter? **Vanwonderghem:** Eight participants. **Morgan:** Even better, eight. So, very good. Alright, any questions on Education and Recruitment? I know Patty wishes she could be there. I certainly do, as well, but I know you guys will do a fabulous job with it.

Bay Check-In update:

New Show Rule 10.22 was implemented for the first time the weekend of March 3rd and triggered every weekend since then except those weekends where necessary information regarding entries was not provided (April 7-8).

Bay Check-in Procedures

Rule # 10.22

b. At the conclusion of the advertised hour for check-in and before judging begins, all cats of each color class subject to bay check-in will be required to present themselves to the judging rings (starting with the lowest kitten number thru highest, then lowest championship number thru

highest, then lowest premiership number thru highest) starting with ring 1 and going thru the number of rings required to include all cats entered in that color-class. Judging Program Committee, in conjunction with an International Division Co-Chair, will select one of the contracted judges, who will then mark the absentee/transfer list MAb (Mandatorily Absent) for any cat/kitten not present in the numbered judging cage, and that cat will be considered absent in all rings to be held at that show, regardless of which day the color class for that category is judged. If more than one color class meets the requirement for bay check-in, they will be called up to the rings in breed/color class order as listed in the catalog. c. Before judging begins on the second day of a two-day show, the master clerk or designated representative will report all competitive transfers to each ring clerk who will notify the officiating judge of changes.

WHEN is Bay Check-in triggered?

When any color class or division has more than 16 kittens or 8 males (assuming that there are 16 cages). If the required number of cages is not available, then when there are more kittens or males than can fit in ONE ring at the same time.

Pre-Show

1 – International Division Chair receives Breed Summary from Central Entry Clerk

2 – International Division Chair contact Judging Program Chair and provides list of classes that trigger Bay Check-in.

3 – International Division and Judging Program designate lead judge and second for Check-in and provide them with list of classes that will require Bay Check-in.

Day of show

1 - Lead Judge and second arrive at show early and prepare for check-in

Count numbers in each check-in class and determine how many cages will be used for each class

Clerk or judge pull catalog numbers and sex them for each cat participating in Bay Check-in

Get Transfer and absentee sheet from the show package

2 – At conclusion of advertised check-in and BEFORE start of show, start check-in process

Call cats by number to rings on a class by class basis.

If there are more cats in the color class than can be accommodated in the available cages, call the extra cats to the ring in carriers – one cat per carrier.

Lead judge and second go from ring to ring and visually ascertain that the cat in the cage is present and nominally the proper color – cats do not need to be handled.

Mark any cat not present or obviously not correct color MAB (Mandatorily Absent) on transfer and absentee sheet.

Once all cats in a Bay Check-in class have been checked in dismiss that class, disinfect cages and call the next class until all triggered classes have been checked in.

Show may not start until ALL Bay check-in eligible classes have been successfully checked-in

If cat is not present for the Bay Check-in they are mandatorily absent for the entire show – NO EXCEPTIONS.

Lead judge should keep a copy of the Transfer and Absentee sheet and give the rest to the Show Committee who should give EACH officiating judge a copy and put a copy in the show package.

Here are the reports on the shows from the weekend of March 3rd:

Reports from shows

Angel Fairy Sphynx – Beijing China. Coordinating judge – Jan Rogers

We had 16 cages. The classes that were benched for bay check in were the British shorthair solids in kittens and in championship. All were present but 3 kittens. Went very smoothly=- show manager had given everyone a heads up and we used 3 rings to bring up ALL the cats at the same time (to avoid kitten/championship potential crossover cheating) and it took less than 5 minutes to check everyone in. The 3 absentees were marked MAB, and I personally notified the other 5 judges of those numbers. There were no issues.

China Southern Cat Club – Qingdao China. Coordinating Judge Kathy Black

We did the particolor Brits as an exercise. No issues. All present.

Shanghai Cat Lovers' Society – Shanghai China. Coordinating Judge Sat Allan Raymond, Sun Nick Pun. Peg Johnson both days

Bay Check in Shanghai. 1000 to 1045. 4 not present. Found 1 transfer error. Lesson learned don't remove numbers until cats are down. 11 BSH litters of 6 or 7 being shown all different moms. 1 owner has 32 cats entered. 6 other owners have 13 to 23 cats entered.

Sun. This morning only one MAB. Lots of color changes. Nick videoed all cats during bay check.

Great West China Cat Fanciers – Xi'An China. Coordinating judge Ellyn Honey

Delayed. Bumped to Gavin Cao – No report.

Take aways:

Arranging the coordinating judge is extremely time consuming upfront. It is also time sensitive as the Judges' Books and breed summaries are not finalized until Tuesday/Wednesday and many of the officiating judges leave for China Wednesday. Explaining the process and convincing judges to do it took a significant number of hours. If this rule is going to be enforced, then there is a strong possibility that this process will need to be repeated on a weekly basis. If that is the case then we need to look at staffing to handle this.

When a pre-arranged coordinating judge has travel delays it is nearly impossible to arrange for a replacement given the time frame involved.

Concerns

Time involved with setting up the process given time constraints imposed by travel.

In the three shows that reported back the first weekend and in subsequent shows there were very few MAB cats (average 3-7). Which has to beg the question – is Bay Check-in really relevant in this ever-evolving environment that is CFA in China? I suspect that although there is blatant count manipulation that the method has changed. For the most part, clubs have moved past the using one cat for multiple entries. If so, then we are going to be expending an inordinate amount of time and energy on a weekly basis addressing an issue that does not necessarily exist anymore.

My recommendation would be to revise the Show Rule to allow for random Bay Check-in, but not require it. I suspect the threat of it will keep this particular loop hole closed. I would also add that if the random check-in is implemented, that we implement it for all applicable shows on whatever weekend we determine to enforce it. I think it is important to do our best to not have it appear that we are singling any one club out.

My Second recommendation regarding Bay Check-in would be to allow the judges conducting the Check-in be allowed to mark any cats obviously out of condition (open wounds etc.) and or non-acceptable colors MAB for the show. Failure to do this does not accomplish what we originally intended with the Bay Check-in Rule. What is happening now is that the Show Committee is ensuring that they have enough live bodies to put in the cages for the check-in process. As soon as the show starts the “questionable cats” are substituted for cats that end up being shown multiple times as different cats.

The procedures we have put together have two CFA Judges conducting the Check-in process, so checks and balances are in place. If the issues are obvious to the naked eye then we should be addressing it when we first encounter it during the check-in process. Failure to do this not only undermines the true purpose of the rule but sends the message that we condone the practice of presenting obviously ill cats. Ostensibly we could actually ask that the cats MAB due to severe condition issues be removed from the show hall along with any other cats owned by the same owner.

Lastly, if the show rule remains mandatory for all shows, recommend that we transfer responsibility for assigning coordination of Bay Check-in to Central Office. They should have

access to contracts which should specify what days judges are officiating as well as access to entry information.

Morgan: The next item of discussion before we get into executive session on the Judging Program is bay check-in. We've been implementing that in conjunction with the International Division since the beginning of March. It tends to be necessary at every show, every weekend. I wrote out both the procedures that I put together, as well as my thoughts on it. Certainly the judges who participated have been more than generous in offering both their help doing it and feedback on it. What I tend to suspect is that this is a show rule that is addressing something that is no longer a major problem. They seem to be very capable of bringing a cat for every number and the coordinating of the bay check-in every weekend is pretty time consuming and confusing. One of the problems is, we don't know who is judging Saturday or Sunday. Another problem is, of course, by the time the shows are closed, it's usually Tuesday or Wednesday and many of the people who we need to be chatting with are already on the road. So, that has become an issue moving forward. My thought on this is that I think it's a good concept. It's maybe not necessary every single weekend at every single show. If the show rule were revised to state that we have the option of implementing it and on weekends where we want to implement it and we did it in any show that triggered it, that would perhaps accomplish what we're trying to accomplish without having it be as labor intensive as it is now. That's recommendation #1. Recommendation #2 is, if we're going to continue to have it be a show rule and have it be something that is mandatory, and that's the way the rule is written now, then we need to set it up so that Central Office can cover the coordinating of it every week, because it's just not something that I think is reasonable from my point of view if I'm traveling or Dick's point of view or whatever. It's virtually taking about a half a day out of every week, so 6 hours give or take. **Hannon:** Terri, do you want to address her suggestion having Central Office take on this role? **Barry:** I think Central Office can do it, but we can't do it in a real fast turn-around time. I would have to get with the IT chair because we don't currently have access to the entry clerk entire program. We only have access to part of it, so I don't know whether there is going to have to be a software change or what's going to take place. **Hannon:** I thought Melanie got the information from James. **Morgan:** No. Right now, Chrissie sends the judges' books to Dick, and Dick sends them to me. **Kallmeyer:** Or Tim sends it directly, but Mark, can I make a comment? I think that Melanie's idea that optional is a great idea. I know this weekend there were almost two tragic cases where people were penalized for something. I think Kathy Calhoun at her show and at the Chengdu show there were two people that drove three hours. They only had two cats, so they missed bay check-in and their entries were excluded. So, I think one of the side bad effects is that we're penalizing people that were not part of the problem. If we made it optional, when we suspect a show is going to be a problem then we could bring that in, in my opinion. **Hannon:** I would rather go with making it optional but leaving it where it is, rather than reassigning it to the Central Office. I don't think they are up to it. **Morgan:** That's fine. As long as it's not every weekend, week in week out, I'm fine with that. I think Dick and I or Wain and I can certainly handle that easily, but when you're talking 3 or 4 shows a weekend every weekend, it just becomes tedious.

Morgan: Then I have question #2 on bay check-in. This involves, what is the purview of the check-in process? The way that the procedures are put together – and this can certainly be changed, this is the way I've done it – we ask for two people to work on it at once, so there's

always a back-up. So, there's checks and balances, and only one person is put on the spot. Now, there are situations during bay check-in where when you look into the cage you can see open wounds on a cat, you can see cats that are obviously in deplorable condition, and based off the way that it is now, the judges are simply checking them in, checking them off where there's a warm body. Now, those cats may never see the ring in a breed judging, because once they have served their purpose of being a live body to check off that number, they may get left in the benching area and another cat that's maybe not in quite as bad shape might end up doing double duty as #88 and #90. In a sense, it's sending a message to the Chinese that, "well, these judges saw these cats and they said they were OK." So, I don't know that they understand what the scope is of our responsibilities on that. I personally think, especially given the fact that we have two judges working together, that they should be allowed to mandatory absent any cat that is – obviously, they're not taking them out of the cage, so there may still be many others – any cat that is in a cage with a number that is obviously in very poor condition and/or we can get into other issues, like the lilacs that we had, because what happened, we had another show where we had two lilacs. They were clearly lilac British Shorthairs but they never did go into a judging ring. By the time the show started, they used two blues. So, that has to be a decision made by the board. I'm bringing it up and I would like that defined.

Hannon: Let's do them one at a time. The first recommendation, which somebody needs to make a motion on, was that we make this optional rather than mandatory. Does somebody want to make that motion? **Black:** I make the motion. **Anger:** Rachel seconds. **Hannon:** Let's discuss it. Any discussion? **Black:** I agree with Dick. If Dick and Wain are the ones that want to trigger that, based on the information they receive from Chrissy, then they can trigger it; otherwise, it's going to be optional. If it is triggered, they will pick a judge or judges and let them know before the show. **Hannon:** Well, I don't think it has just been the two of them. Melanie, haven't you been involved in this? The emails I have seen have gone from Melanie to the judges. **Morgan:** Well, I haven't triggered. I basically managed the judges, they managed the club side.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Hannon: Your second item was that they would also be checking for poor condition? **Morgan:** For obvious issues with cats. **Hannon:** They would absentee, for example, a lilac British Shorthair. **Morgan:** And/or absentee a cat that has, say, open wounds or its eyes were swollen shut or there was green snot coming out of its nose. **Hannon:** Or other obvious health issues. **Morgan:** Correct. You can take those as two separate issues. I mean, there really are two separate issues; there's NA/Condition there and a disqualify issue. **Hannon:** Throw it into one motion. **Morgan:** OK. **Hannon:** Does somebody want to make the motion? **Mastin:** Rich will make the motion. **DelaBar:** I will. **Hannon:** Rich made the motion, Pam DelaBar seconded the motion. Is there any discussion? **Vanwongerhem:** I have one question. This will not be done by guest judges, right? **Morgan:** Never, no. **Calhoun:** I just have a comment. I did it this past weekend. I think that's an excellent addition, because kitties could get swapped out back. There was a kitty in the cage and you would have two judges – the judge and the back-up judge. You would have two opinions. I think that's an excellent idea. **Black:** My issue with this is that the cats are not being handled, and for a judge to no award or disqualify a cat, it has to be handled. This is not in the course of judging, and so I think this goes against some of our show rules. If a cat is obviously ill, then maybe the show manager needs to get involved or something, because

it's going to involve the other cats that person brought, also. Just saying they are mandatory absent because the cat came to my ring with watery eyes, it may be having an allergic reaction to something. I don't know, I just think it's involving a lot more than just the cats and looking at the cage, it involves all the cats that owner brought. **Hannon:** It depends upon the issue. If the cat has an open wound, that doesn't necessarily mean the other cats have an issue. **Black:** I agree, but if like she said the eyes are swollen shut or it's got green snot coming out its nose, then yeah. They are exposing all the cats in the show to that, the family of cats so to speak. I just think it's kind of crossing the line. This was just a check-in procedure to say the cat was here, that is what it was designed to do. Now we're judging those cats and acting like veterinarians. I think that's just kind of crossing the line a little bit. I understand the issue and I understand the intent, but I'm afraid in my opinion it goes too far. **Hannon:** Any other comments? **DelaBar:** Kathy, we have that ability as judges anyway. We act as quasi-veterinarians in the ring to begin with. I don't have a problem with it if the cat is obviously ill or obviously in bad condition. Nothing during check-in is going to prohibit anybody saying OK and handling the cat, and if you're feeling spine lines and stuff like that, then yes, that should be a mandatory absent or disqualified from showing, with all the ramifications that follow. **Hannon:** I'm going to call the question on this one.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Black voting no. Anger abstained.

Hannon: Melanie, the ball is back in your court. **Krzanowski:** When do we want this show rule to be effective? This change, as far as the random bay check-in. Let's address that first before we move on. **Morgan:** Dick? **Kallmeyer:** I would just say, effective new show season, May 1st or whatever. **Hannon:** You're saying next weekend, which is the last weekend of the show season, we still have the mandatory. **Kallmeyer:** Yes, I think we ought to follow through on that. **Morgan:** I agree. You think we can do it as soon as May 1st Dick? **Kallmeyer:** Yeah, I think so. **Hannon:** Make a motion, Dick. **Kallmeyer:** I make a motion for implementation of the new optional bay check-in is the beginning of next show season. **Hannon:** And the same with disqualifying cats that have obvious issues? **Kallmeyer:** And that, as well. **Hannon:** Alright, so the last two motions that carried would be effective May 1st. Is there a second? **Vanwongerhem:** Peter seconds. **Hannon:** Is there any discussion?

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Krzanowski: Monte will have to write a change to the bay check-in rules to make it random, but how do we actually want to word the – **Morgan:** I don't think you have to make that a show rule, do you? **Hannon:** I think we need to let people know that the judges have this authority. Otherwise, they could challenge it. **Morgan:** Oh, that's true. Alright, then we have to figure out a way to write that, Carol. **Hannon:** Carol, Melanie will work on that, OK? **Morgan:** I'll work with you and Monte on that. **Kuta:** I would maybe stay away from using the word "random" in the show rule, since that kind of has a mass meaning, like it wasn't picked for any reason, so I guess just kind of stay away from that word. **Kallmeyer:** How about the word "optional"? **Morgan:** Optional, I like that. Yeah. OK yes, alright. That's good. **Hannon:** Are we ready to go on to advancements? **Morgan:** We are.

Advancements: *The following individuals are presented to the Board for advancement:*

Advance to Apprentice:

Emiko Misugi (LH – 1st Specialty) 17 yes; 1 no (Adelhoch)

Advance to Approval Pending Specialty:

Wendy Heidt (Shorthair – 2nd Specialty) 18 yes

Teo Vargas (Longhair – 1st Specialty) 18 yes

Advance to Approved Specialty:

Nicholas Pun (Longhair – 2nd Specialty) 18 yes

Advance to Approval Pending Allbreed:

Nicholas Pun 18 yes

Advance to Approved Allbreed:

Kit Fung 18 yes

Marilee Griswold 18 yes

Suki Lee 18 yes

Danny Tai 18 no

*Respectfully Submitted,
Melanie Morgan, Chair*

(3) **PROTEST COMMITTEE.**

Committee Chair: George J. Eigenhauser, Jr.
Committee Members: Dick Kallmeyer, Betsy Arnold, Norman Auspitz,
Joel Chaney and Pam Huggins
Animal Welfare: Linda Berg
Europe Region liaison: Pauli Huhtaniemi
Japan liaison: Kayoko Koizumi
Judging liaison: Jan Stevens
Legal Counsel: John M. Randolph

Brief Summation/Current Happenings of Committee:

The Protest Committee met telephonically on April 3, 2018. Participating were George Eigenhauser, Dick Kallmeyer, Betsy Arnold, Norm Auspitz, Joel Chaney and Pam Huggins. Linda Berg and Jan Stevens participated in parts of the call. Pauli Huhtaniemi submitted comments on certain matters in advance of the meeting.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Ongoing protest investigations and recommendations.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "George J. Eigenhauser, Jr.", written in a cursive style.

George J. Eigenhauser, Jr.
Protest Committee Chairman

(4) **EUROPEAN AGREEMENTS.**

[Executive Session]

(5) **ASSOCIATIONS FOR REGISTRATION BY PEDIGREE.**

Following an executive session discussion, **Ms. Anger** moved to reinstate registration reciprocity with the American Cat Association, effective immediately. Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser**, **Motion Carried.**

Ms. Anger moved to adopt a policy in which any changes to the list of Associations for Registration by Pedigree must come through the Breeds and Standards Committee, and be ratified by the Board of Directors. Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser**, **Motion Carried.**

(6) SHOW RULES.

Committee Chair: Monte Phillips

Liaison to Board: Carol Krzanowski

List of Committee Members: Cathy Dunham, Kathy Gumm, Shirley Michaud-Dent

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

The Committee has reviewed and prepared the show rule change necessary to address the National Award point minimums for the following show season (and possibly more) as required by the current show rules. We have done this by creating four options from which the board should pick one. The first option would eliminate point minimums from all National Award areas, and hand out top 25 awards in all three areas. The second option would only eliminate the minimums from Regions 1-9, and leave point minimums in place for the other two National award areas, with the new minimums adjusted to those values provided in Option 4. The third option would eliminate the need to readjust the point minimums by board meeting every year, and would automatically set the point minimum at 90% of the points earned by the 25th placing cat in each category in Regions 1-9, rounded down to the nearest 100. The fourth option would leave the methodology as it currently is, but provide new point minimum values for the categories based on an analysis of points earned by the 25th placing cat the prior two show seasons, and where we project them to be at the end of this show season.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Updating/resetting National Award area award requirements per current show rules.

Future Projections for Committee:

The committee will be incorporating those rules adopted at this meeting into the version taking effect for the next show season.

Action Items:

1 – Address Point Minimums for National Awards

OPTION 1 – Abolish point minimums for all National Award Areas

Show Rules Article XXXVI - National Awards	Per Several Board Member Motions During this Show Season	
	Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
	National Awards <i>National Award Area Definition:</i> for the purposes of season end awards, the National Awards are	National Awards <i>National Award Area Definition:</i> for the purposes of season end awards, the National Awards are

<p>divided into three geographical areas as follows:</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in Regions 1 through 9</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in China (this does not include those cats residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in the International national award area (including those cats/kittens residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Awards/Titles for each of the above areas will be Best up to 25th Best Championship, Kitten, and Premiership, and Best up to 10th Best Household Pet*</p> <p>To obtain any national award and its associated title (National Winner - NW or Household Pet National Winner - HNW), the cat/kitten/HHP must earn a minimum number of points over the duration of the show season in the category to which the award will be earned. Those minimums are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for championship cats, the cat must earn a minimum of 4,300 points; for kittens, the kitten must earn a minimum of 1,800 points; for premiership, the cat must earn a minimum of 2,200 points, for Household Pets, the cat/kitten must earn a minimum of 1,100 points. Cats failing to meet these minimums are not eligible for any national award or title. The Board will review these minimums for potential adjustment for the next show season and the results of that review will be posted on the CFA website by the first of May. 	<p>divided into three geographical areas as follows:</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in Regions 1 through 9</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in China (this does not include those cats residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in the International national award area (including those cats/kittens residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Awards/Titles for each of the above areas will be Best up to 25th Best Championship, Kitten, and Premiership, and Best up to 10th Best Household Pet*</p> <p>To obtain any national award and its associated title (National Winner - NW or Household Pet National Winner - HNW), the cat/kitten/HHP must earn a minimum number of points over the duration of the show season in the category to which the award will be earned. Those minimums are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — for championship cats, the cat must earn a minimum of 4,300 points; for kittens, the kitten must earn a minimum of 1,800 points; for premiership, the cat must earn a minimum of 2,200 points, for Household Pets, the cat/kitten must earn a minimum of 1,100 points. Cats failing to meet these minimums are not eligible for any national award or title. The Board will review these minimums for potential adjustment for the next show season and the results of that review will be posted on the CFA website by the first of May.
--	--

RATIONALE: This option would award top 25 awards in championship, kittens, and premiership; and top 10 awards in household pets in ALL National Award Areas, regardless of how many points were earned by the cat winning the award. The show rules committee does not agree with this approach for two reasons. First, it would significantly degrade the meaning of a National Win. Cats could earn a National Award in Premiership or Household Pets in the International area with less than 100 points. That means a cat could earn the NW while it couldn't qualify for the BW. Meanwhile, cats in those same categories competing in Regions 1-9 would need more than 1,000 points for that same award. The second problem, which is a corollary of the first, would be the significant incentive to create National Award Area shopping. By that, a cat in the United States could be co-owned by someone in Hong Kong, attend one show in the International Award Area, and earn an International National Award Area National win with a significantly smaller number of points than would have been required to receive the same award in Regions 1-9. Using co-ownerships, cats/kittens could earn titles in the International National Award Area where the cat was only shown once, but earned the bulk of its points in Regions 1-9. We don't think this is fair to the cats that actually reside full time in those areas, no matter where those cats are shown.

OPTION 2 – Eliminate Point Minimums for Regions 1-9 National Award Area, Revise Required Point Minimums for Other Two National Award Areas

Show Rules Article XXXVI - National Awards	Per Board Member Request Options	
Existing Wording		Proposed Wording
<p style="text-align: center;">National Awards</p> <p>National Award Area Definition: for the purposes of season end awards, the National Awards are divided into three geographical areas as follows:</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in Regions 1 through 9</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in China (this does not include those cats residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in the International national award area (including those cats/kittens residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Awards/Titles for each of the above areas will be Best up to 25th Best Championship, Kitten, and Premiership, and Best up to 10th Best Household Pet*</p> <p>To obtain any national award and its associated title (National Winner - NW or Household Pet National Winner - HNW), the cat/kitten/HHP must earn a minimum number of points over the duration of the show season in the category to which the award will be earned. Those minimums are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for championship cats, the cat must earn a minimum of 4,300 points; for kittens, the kitten must earn a minimum of 1,800 points; for premiership, the cat must earn a minimum of 2,200 points, for Household Pets, the cat/kitten must earn a minimum of 1,100 points. Cats failing to meet these minimums are not eligible for any national award or title. The Board will review these minimums for potential adjustment for the next show season and the results of that review will be posted on the CFA website by the first of May. 		<p style="text-align: center;">National Awards</p> <p>National Award Area Definition: for the purposes of season end awards, the National Awards are divided into three geographical areas as follows:</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in Regions 1 through 9</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in China (this does not include those cats residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in the International national award area (including those cats/kittens residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Awards/Titles for each of the above areas will be Best up to 25th Best Championship, Kitten, and Premiership, and Best up to 10th Best Household Pet* <u>in all National Award Areas. However, to obtain a national award and its associated title (National Winner - NW or Household Pet National Winner - HNW) in the China or International National Award areas, the cat/kitten/HHP must earn a minimum number of points over the duration of the show season in the category to which the award will be earned. Those minimums are as follows:</u></p> <p>To obtain any national award and its associated title (National Winner - NW or Household Pet National Winner - HNW), the cat/kitten/HHP must earn a minimum number of points over the duration of the show season in the category to which the award will be earned. Those minimums are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for championship cats, the cat must earn a minimum of 4,300 <u>3,800</u> points; for kittens, the kitten must earn a minimum of 1,800 <u>1,300</u> points; for premiership, the cat must earn a minimum of 2,200 <u>2,000</u> points, for Household Pets, the cat/kitten must earn a minimum of 1,100 points. Cats failing to meet these minimums <u>in the China or International National Award Areas</u> are not eligible

	for any national award or title. The Board will review these minimums for potential adjustment for the next show season and the results of that review will be posted on the CFA website by the first of May.
--	---

RATIONALE: This approach is similar to Option 1 in that it automatically awards top 25/10 to cats in the regional national award area (R1-9), but still requiring point minimums in the other National Award areas. This is obviously discriminatory to those cats outside of North America/Japan/Europe, treating those exhibitors significantly different from those in the regions. While this significantly reduces the likelihood of National Award Area shopping that would occur if Option 1 were adopted, its discriminatory nature makes it a good reason not to go with this approach. The revised point minimums are discussed in the Rationale for Option 4.

OPTION 3 – Set Point Minimums for National Award Areas Automatically to 90% of Points Earned by 25th Placing Cat in Championship, Kittens, Premiership and 10th Placing Cat in Household Pets During Prior Show Season

Show Rules Article XXXVI - National Awards	Show Rules Committee Suggestion	
Existing Wording	Proposed Wording	
National Awards	National Awards	
<p>National Award Area Definition: for the purposes of season end awards, the National Awards are divided into three geographical areas as follows:</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in Regions 1 through 9.</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in China (this does not include those cats residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in the International national award area (including those cats/kittens residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Awards/Titles for each of the above areas will be Best up to 25th Best Championship, Kitten, and Premiership, and Best up to 10th Best Household Pet*</p> <p>To obtain any national award and its associated title (National Winner - NW or Household Pet National Winner - HNW), the cat/kitten/HHP must earn a minimum number of points over the duration of the show season in the category to which the award will be earned. Those minimums are as follows:</p>	<p>National Award Area Definition: for the purposes of season end awards, the National Awards are divided into three geographical areas as follows:</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in Regions 1 through 9.</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in China (this does not include those cats residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in the International national award area (including those cats/kittens residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Awards/Titles for each of the above areas will be Best up to 25th Best Championship, Kitten, and Premiership, and Best up to 10th Best Household Pet*</p> <p>To obtain any national award and its associated title (National Winner - NW or Household Pet National Winner - HNW), the cat/kitten/HHP must earn a minimum number of points over the duration of the show season in the category to which the award will be earned. Those minimums are as follows:</p>	

- for championship cats, the cat must earn a minimum of 4,300 points; for kittens, the kitten must earn a minimum of 1,800 points; for premiership, the cat must earn a minimum of 2,200 points, for Household Pets, the cat/kitten must earn a minimum of 1,100 points. Cats failing to meet these minimums are not eligible for any national award or title. The Board will review these minimums for potential adjustment for the next show season and the results of that review will be posted on the CFA website by the first of May.

- for championship/~~premiership/kittens~~, the cat/kitten must earn a minimum of ninety percent (90%) of the number of points earned by the cat that finished in 25th place in championship/~~premiership/kittens~~, respectively, during the previous show season, rounded down to the nearest 100 points. ~~4,300 points; for kittens, the kitten must earn a minimum of 1,800 points; for premiership, the cat must earn a minimum of 2,200 points,~~ fFor Household Pets, the cat/kitten must earn a minimum of 1,100 points. Cats failing to meet these minimums are not eligible for any national award or title. ~~The Board will review these minimums for potential adjustment for the next show season and the results of that review will be posted on the CFA website by the first of May.~~

RATIONALE: This option would automatically set the point minimums at the end of a show season based on the points earned by the last-placing cat/kitten earning 25th place the previous show season. The percentage used was 90 percent based on the fact that the average loss of cats/kittens per show season averages nine percent between 2012-2017, although that has been reduced to 5.5 percent over the 2015-2017 show seasons. This would eliminate the need for the Board to vote on setting new values every April (unless something drastic happened with household pets) and everyone would know before the very first weekend of the new season exactly what the new point minimums would be for the new show season. Had this been in effect for the current show season, the point minimums for the 2017-2018 season would have been 4,400 in Championship, 1,600 in kittens, and 2,300 in Premiership. Using my prediction program, this would have resulted in 23 awards in Championship, 23 awards in Kittens, and 25 awards in Premiership. Similarly, looking at where it looks like the totals will finish at the end of this season, the minimums would automatically adjust using this formula for the 2018-2019 season to 4,100 in Championship, 1,300 in Kittens, and 2,100 in Premiership. The Household pet number is left unchanged, as the methodology used to determine it was based on number of cats that could exceed the value being at least 15-25 HHPs, rather than a point adjustment. Competition this season is pretty much similar to the previous two years used to set the value, in that it looks like about 16 HHPs will exceed the point minimum by the end of the show season.

Since this methodology for setting the point minimum is based on a percentage, the point minimums can go up just as easily as they can go down based on points earned by that 25th place cat at the end of the prior show season, although given current trends, this is very unlikely. However, if a season has a precipitous loss of cats competing, the following season's adjusted minimums would automatically adjust for that. Trying to identify and set the value in April while the season is still going on with a precipitous drop in cats competing could lead to either not lowering the minimum enough, or lowering it too much.

Finally, although the committee chose 90 percent, the board could utilize any other value if it so chose. For example, to ensure that we would have had 25 cats/kittens in all categories, the percentage would have needed to be 85 rather than 90.

OPTION 4 – Leave Methodology for Point Minimums in Place, Adjust Minimums for Following Show Season

Show Rules Article XXXVI - National Awards	Show Rules Committee Calculations	
Existing Wording		Proposed Wording
<p style="text-align: center;">National Awards</p> <p>National Award Area Definition: for the purposes of season end awards, the National Awards are divided into three geographical areas as follows:</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in Regions 1 through 9</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in China (this does not include those cats residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in the International national award area (including those cats/kittens residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Awards/Titles for each of the above areas will be Best up to 25th Best Championship, Kitten, and Premiership, and Best up to 10th Best Household Pet*</p> <p>To obtain any national award and its associated title (National Winner - NW or Household Pet National Winner - HNW), the cat/kitten/HHP must earn a minimum number of points over the duration of the show season in the category to which the award will be earned. Those minimums are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for championship cats, the cat must earn a minimum of 4,300 points; for kittens, the kitten must earn a minimum of 1,800 points; for premiership, the cat must earn a minimum of 2,200 points, for Household Pets, the cat/kitten must earn a minimum of 1,100 points. Cats failing to meet these minimums are not eligible for any national award or title. The Board will review these minimums for potential adjustment for the next show season and the results of that review will be posted on the CFA website by the first of May. 		<p style="text-align: center;">National Awards</p> <p>National Award Area Definition: for the purposes of season end awards, the National Awards are divided into three geographical areas as follows:</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in Regions 1 through 9</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in China (this does not include those cats residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Cats/Kittens/HHPs residing in the International national award area (including those cats/kittens residing in the Special Administrative Regions of Hong Kong and Macau).</p> <p>Awards/Titles for each of the above areas will be Best up to 25th Best Championship, Kitten, and Premiership, and Best up to 10th Best Household Pet*</p> <p>To obtain any national award and its associated title (National Winner - NW or Household Pet National Winner - HNW), the cat/kitten/HHP must earn a minimum number of points over the duration of the show season in the category to which the award will be earned. Those minimums are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for championship cats, the cat must earn a minimum of 4,300 <u>3,800</u> points; for kittens, the kitten must earn a minimum of 1,800 <u>1,300</u> points; for premiership, the cat must earn a minimum of 2,200 <u>2,000</u> points, for Household Pets, the cat/kitten must earn a minimum of 1,100 points. Cats failing to meet these minimums are not eligible for any national award or title. The Board will review these minimums for potential adjustment for the next show season and the results of that review will be posted on the CFA website by the first of May.

RATIONALE: This option would make no changes to the strategy of point minimums, but would just adjust them for the following show season so that they would not loom to some as an insurmountable goal. Right now, many exhibitors look at early season counts and “throw in the towel” on working toward a national win because they don’t see the ability to actually achieve that minimum. This approach would lower the required number and leave the need to do continual adjustments in place. Coming up with what these values should be while the season is going on is, at best, a bit dicey. Therefore, I have turned to my predictor program, which usually comes in at within one percent of each cat’s final score, to determine what the bottom scores will look like at the end of this show season in Regions 1-9. Right now, it looks like 25th in Championship will be about 4530, 25th in Kittens will be about 1510, and 25th in Premiership will be about 2410. Since a significant portion of those points are earned in the last third of the show season, keeping the minimums where they are strongly supports that “throw in the towel” philosophy, even though they are ultimately obtainable (except kittens). With high minimums, many people don’t try to continue showing after the cat grands if it grands in November or later. Kittens are a unique situation. At the time the point minimums were set, every kitten that was present in a ring was part of the count. That changed shortly after point minimums were enacted, and the result was a precipitous drop in kitten official counts (not “really” kittens present – for example, at the Sainly City show I master clerked, the official kitten count was 31, but there were really 46 kittens present. Unfortunately 15 of them had no registration numbers so were not included in the official count). Looking at where kittens will actually end up at the end of this season, it warrants a significant drop (500 points) in the minimum to recognize that we are now counting only registered kittens.

When we originally established the point minimums (other than for household pets), we looked at the average scores over the two previous seasons before the China counts started to significantly skew the averages, and set the minimums approximately at 75 percent of the final score for 25th place. Those were based on 2011-2013 season counts. Unfortunately, counts have significantly declined since 2011-2012, so it makes more sense to just focus on the 2016-2018 seasons. The following table gives the 25th best scores for the cats in Championship, Kittens, and Premiership for those three seasons (using my prediction program for the 2018 season), along with the season before the Chinese scores sent scores in North America in Kittens and Championship into a tailspin.

Season	Championship	Kittens	Premiership
2015	5793.25	2417.85	2918.80
2016	4796.55	1503.10	2882.80
2017	4934.85	1795.05	2567.10
2018 Estimate	4531	1509.65	2410
AVERAGE of LAST 3 Seasons	4754	1602	2620
80 Percent of Average	3803	1282	2100
80 Percent of 2018 Season	3625	1208	1928

There are two important things to note from the above table (which lend support to going with Option 3 versus this option). With the exception of kittens and championship, which showed count spikes in 2016-2017, premiership has shown a continual decline all three show seasons. Working to an average score would, in fact, not recognize that the drop has been somewhat continual - it would make more sense therefore to work solely with this season’s data to recognize that decline. Next comes the percentage

option to set the minimums. Option 3 uses a 90% value because the average counts of cats in all categories tends to maintain its decline at about 7 percent per year on the average, with some years being more and others less. However, when the minimums were set, a percentage of 75% of the average was used. We feel this percentage may be a bit too low, and therefore went with using 80 percent as the value. This approach would significantly lower the point minimums for all categories.

In discussions with the Awards Committee Chairman, a drop of 500 points in Championship, 400 points in Kittens, and 200 points in Premiership seemed to be in order. Based on the above table, that's about where 80 percent of the last two seasons' average would come out. That is why the numbers selected for Option 1 and this Option of approximately 80 % of the prior three years' average were selected.

The awards committee also recommended lowering the Household Pet minimum point requirement to 1,000. This approach would put it more in line with the percentage approach used in computing Championship/Premiership/Kitten minimums rather than the "count the cats" approach that was used to create the original minimums. While this option does not drop that number, that is also an option available to the Board - adjust the HHP minimum to 1,000.

Hannon: Monte, Show Rules. **Phillips:** Show Rules. We have four options to handle the minimum point issue. The first option is basically to get rid of all minimum points in all national areas. We don't favor that, but that's an option. The second option is to keep minimum requirements in the two areas – International and China – but to get rid of them completely in North America/the Regions. The third option is to go with an automatic adjustment at a percentage of the points earned by the 25th placing cat in championship in the R1-9 category. That could cause the point minimums to go both directions, up or down, depending on what 25th is in the various years. The fourth option is to reset the numbers based on 80% of the average of what the points have been for the last three years. That would result, by the way, in lowering the numbers to 3,800 for Championship, 1,300 for Kittens and 2,000 for Premiership. **Black:** I have a procedural question. We have four options from the Show Rules Committee and four options from Mary [Awards Committee]. They are very similar in some ways, but other ways they're not. If we favor one of Mary's and not one of the Show Rules, how do we proceed with the vote, or do we want to discuss them all at one time? **Hannon:** We've got a quick abbreviation from Monte about his four. Mary, do you want to give us a quick one of yours? **Kolencik:** Yes, and what I would say to Kathy in response to her question is that somebody on the board has to make a motion for an option; either combine one of mine and Monte's or pick one or whatever. You guys make a motion and that's what you work with. **Hannon:** Before we do that, we want to hear what yours are.

Kolencik: OK. The first one is to do a very simple across-the-board 300 point lower except for Household Pets, because one of the things that people have a problem with is complexity in figuring this out, so they are very used to the minimums that we have now. We've had them for two years. If you just drop them by a certain amount, they'll understand that. It's best to keep this simple. We also don't favor a formula where it's going to go up or down, so that's why we thought just 300 points across the board, lower everything except for Household Pets. The second option is similar to one that the Show Rules Committee has, remove the point minimums for the regions and keep the point minimums in the International area and China, but drop them a little bit. The third option was to – and this I just threw in there, it's something to think about. I don't expect that you're going to want to do something this complicated tonight – merge the regions in the International area again to back the way it was before and keep China as

a separate area, and then we don't have to have the point minimums on the merged area. The last option is to leave the Championship, Premiership and Household Pet point minimums as is, since plenty of cats have made it across those minimums, and lower the Kittens to 1,500.

Hannon: Does somebody have a preference? They want to make a motion? **Black:** I do. I would like to make a motion of Mary's option 4, to be discussed in addition to Monte's Show Rule changes. **Hannon:** Alright, so give me a motion, not "Mary's option 4." Put that into a motion. **Black:** OK. I'll make the motion that we leave the points the same as we are for this show season, except we lower kittens to 1,500. **Mastin:** Point of clarification. **Hannon:** We need a second before we can discuss it. **Mastin:** Rich seconds. Kathy, your motion says, "this show season, 1,500." You mean next show season, correct? **Black:** I said, leave the point values the same for next show season as they are for this show season, except lower the Kittens to 1,500 for next show season. **Hannon:** The current show season, which ends in a week, would not be affected. The rule that has been in place all show season is in place next weekend. **Black:** Correct. **Hannon:** Awards for the 2017-2018 show season will be based on the current show rule. What Kathy is proposing is for the 2018-2019 show season. Is there any discussion? **Eigenhauser:** Among the various options, I like Monte's #4 the best – keep things as they are but adjust the minimums for Championship, Premiership and Kittens, because we have the same problem in all the classes. People feel like they are trying harder and harder, and the points just aren't there so they are getting frustrated. **Hannon:** But you're wrong. They are easily making those points in the Championship and Premiership classes. They are way ahead of the minimums. They're not having a hard time reaching those minimums. More than 25 cats have reached those minimums. It's the Kittens that didn't have a full 25. Am I correct on that, Monte? **Phillips:** There's a reason for the Kittens. **Hannon:** Would you agree that in the Championship and Premiership classes, they're not having a problem meeting the current minimum, correct? **Phillips:** No, and they won't have a problem making it this year for sure. There's a psychological issue there. People think it can't be done but it really can. **Hannon:** More than 25 are doing it. **Black:** I think that we have to strike a nice balance. We want it to be something that makes the award special, but not so low that it makes it un-special. Again, we don't want it so high that people look at it and say, "oh, I can't get there so I give up." The problem is with the Kittens. The Kittens have to have almost a double ring point average than you do in Championship because you only count 40 rings. There's different Kittens during the season, so we want that goal to be somewhere that they look at it and say, "I might can get there if I really hit the right shows, and I'm going to enter a couple extra kittens too, just to help my own cat," instead of saying it's totally out of luck. So I think we need to find a nice, happy medium and the fact that we have not given away top 25 Kittens the last two seasons, I think the number is too high. I think the others, we've got plenty of people jockeying for those last 5 positions. They have already met the minimum. I think the numbers look pretty good. Other than making the 90% rule where it changes automatically and we don't have to address this every season, I like lowering the kittens and leaving everything else where it is. **Hannon:** Any other comments on Kathy's motion to leave it where it is for Championship and Premiership, and to lower the Kittens to 1,500? **DelaBar:** I have a question. Is this changing any of the award areas, or just addressing the points? This motion? **Hannon:** She didn't say anything about the award areas. **Black:** It's just changing the points. It's not changing award areas. **DelaBar:** OK. I just wanted to make sure. **Hannon:** If you prefer one of the other options that either Mary or Monte gave us, you need to speak in favor of that and talk about it; otherwise, we're going to vote on this one.

Mastin: Question for Mary. Since Kathy is picking your option 4, where does your Committee stand on your four options? **Kolencik:** We don't have a problem with the point minimums as they are, except for Kittens. I did want to comment. Monte started to tell you something about the Kittens. When we picked the minimum for Kittens at 1,800, all the kittens were counting. Even the ones without numbers. Since then, the show rules changed so that kittens without numbers don't count. That's why we're seeing a lot more difficulty with the Kitten class and hitting that mark. I showed a cat this season and I've got to tell you, I had no problem hitting 4,300 points. Granted, my cat was a really good cat, but still I got 4,300 points in 16 shows, so the points are there. You just have to know where to go to get them. Definitely, someone else on the Awards Committee also hit the minimum in Premiership. We're not having a problem hitting these minimums, except for the Kitten class, so I would be fine, we would all be fine with option 4. **Mastin:** But do you have a preference, which of the four options you prefer? **Kolencik:** Option 4, because I think that the award should be meaningful. I worked my butt off for this award this year. I don't think somebody should get it just because people are afraid and you lower the point value just to placate people that complain. I think the point minimum has to be meaningful. These cats need to be competitive and they need to be out there and be winning, or they don't deserve it. **Kuta:** I heard from a lot of unhappy exhibitors in my region who thought the point minimums were too high. The ones who were really upset about it all ended up meeting it. So, this is the second part of it. I personally want it to be high, because I sometimes think maybe not 25 cats should get it, but then when I see especially as an entry clerk on how some of those minimums were hit, I know that even though I said I won't, I have a zero tolerance for stuffing. I know people concerned about the minimums have been stuffing. They would probably have still stuffed even without the minimums because it would have been the same issue, because they would still be competing for the 25 spots. I think it is a little disingenuous for us to have a high standard but then not recognize the reality behind it. **Hannon:** You just said they were complaining about a non-issue. They said they were too high and then they met them. So, it wasn't too high. **Kuta:** Yeah. Mark, I think what it is, is they wanted to meet it earlier in the season. **Hannon:** As somebody who met it late in the season – **Phillips:** The reality always has been – speaking as a campaigner from way back when – most of the points you're going to keep are going to be in the last four months of the season. **Hannon:** Correct, because that's when a lot of kittens turn into adults, so the counts increase in Championship or Premiership later in the season, and the Kitten counts go down later in the season here. That's not the case in China, but here. **Kolencik:** One of the benefits of these point minimums is that we're showing the cats longer. If there weren't so many specialty rings in our shows, my cat would have hit the minimum earlier much quicker with more allbreed rings, but by having this minimum we have to stay out longer and that's generating more entries for our shows. I don't see a problem here. **Kuta:** I think it's generating more entries for shows, but it's also discouraging some other people who were just going from regionals from bringing their cats out. [**Randolph** leaves the conference] **Hannon:** Any other comments on the motion? OK, I'm going to call for a vote on the motion, which was to leave the minimums alone for Championship and Premiership, and lower it to 1,500 for Kittens. What are we doing for Household Pets? Leaving it, Kathy? **Black:** I think that one of the options that Monte had was 1,100. **Phillips:** That's what it is now. **Hannon:** Monte is recommending we leave it alone for Household Pets. Is that right, Monte? **Phillips:** Correct. **Hannon:** So Kathy, are you willing to accept that? Leave it alone for Championship, Premiership and Household Pets, and lower it for Kittens. **Black:** Yes. **Hannon:** OK, so that's what we're voting on.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Hannon: Monte, what else have you got? **Phillips:** That's it. That's all I have. **Black:** I would like to make a motion that we award Top 25 in Household Pets next season. **Eigenhauser:** Second. **Hannon:** Mary, do you want to address that? **Kolencik:** Well, I wasn't prepared to, but I can tell you that we still do not have very many Household Pets competing. We have a lot of Household Pets that picked up a great number of points, but they are the same Household Pets being shown over and over again. We only had about 300 Household Pets shown; whereas, we have well over 1,000 shown in Premiership. Premiership is our smallest class, other than Household Pets. So, we have three times as many cats being shown in Premiership as we do in Household Pets. Do they really deserve 25 yet? That's my question. **Black:** I agree, Mary. The numbers are not there, but I talk all the time in every Household Pet judging I do I bring it up, and people are still not even aware that there are regional awards, you can grand your cat, there's a national award. The board has been tasked with getting more education out to the Household Pet exhibitors. I'm hoping that if we award 25 spots, then that will get more people involved and get them more active in supporting our local shows, and the numbers will follow. **Kolencik:** But we didn't award 25 spots right away in Premiership. Premiership went from 5 to 10 to 15 to 20 to 25. I mean, it took years for that to move up. This has only been one year. **Black:** I agree. I had a national winner when it was top 15 in Premiership. **Kolencik:** Are they even asking for this? **Eigenhauser:** Hey, are two people having a conversation, or can other people join in? **Kolencik:** I'm sorry. **Hannon:** Kathy, did you make a motion to do Top 25 Household Pets? **Black:** Yes. **Hannon:** Is there a second on that motion? **Eigenhauser:** I seconded it. **Hannon:** Alright. **Eigenhauser:** We have a lot more cats shown in Championship and Premiership, and we don't parse the awards between them based on the numbers in Championship versus the numbers in Premiership. Household Pets should be the same 25 as everybody else. **Hannon:** Anybody else have a comment? **Auth:** I would agree that we need to ramp it up and consider the Household Pets with that 25. With the plans that Kathy and I are going to present at the Annual meeting, I think we're going to get a lot of attention from Household Pets. **Mastin:** I just want to point out, keep in mind that there still is the point minimum of 1,100 points for Household Pets to earn it. **Hannon:** Anybody else have a comment? All those in favor of Kathy's motion to do Top 25 Household Pets next show season.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Colilla voting no.

Hannon: Any other Show Rules? Can we say good-bye to Monte? **Phillips:** Have a great day, guys. Good-bye.

What will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Nothing planned until after the Annual Meeting.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Monte Phillips, Chair*

(7) **TREASURER'S REPORT.**

*CFA Treasurer: Kathy Calhoun
Reporting Period: May 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017*

CFA's overall performance through December 31, 2017 remains strong.

Key Financial indicators

Overall Performance

Balance Sheet Items

The balance sheet shows an increase in cash of \$454,839 when compared to the same period year ago.

Specifically, as of December 31, 2017, the Wells Fargo investment account reflects an overall increase of \$73,851 since the investment was secured.

Ordinary Income

Total registration, which is the combination of litter registration and individual registration, delivered \$932,515 which is \$40% more than a year ago. When compared to the budget this represents a 54.5% increase. This increase is primarily due to deferred income from yellow slips being reclassified to the profit and loss statement.

The combination of new cattery and cattery renewals totals \$228,310 which is \$22,801 below last year which is a 9.0% reduction. Here are additional categories that were significantly over or under when compared to the same period and/or when compared to the current budget.

Category	\$ Over/Under Year Ago	% of Year Ago
<i>Club Dues/Application</i>	<i>5,300</i>	<i>19.05</i>
<i>Breed Council Dues</i>	<i>(3,750)</i>	<i>(11.28)</i>
<i>Website Advertising</i>	<i>(12,267)</i>	<i>(74.49)</i>
<i>Registration Via Pedigree</i>	<i>(14,235)</i>	<i>(19.97)</i>
<i>Expedited Services & Fees</i>	<i>(13,800)</i>	<i>(22.43)</i>
<i>Judging School Income</i>	<i>(7,365)</i>	<i>(61.45)</i>
<i>Show License Fees</i>	<i>14,630</i>	<i>60.46</i>
<i>Show Insurance</i>	<i>5,000</i>	<i>23.75</i>

Total Ordinary Income came in at \$1,708,466 which is \$226,304 more than last year and \$191,260 ahead of budget.

Publications

Almanac (Cat Talk, Newsletters, and the White Pages)

Income: Almanac income is \$5,654 above budget driven by Almanac On-line and Commercial Ads.

Expense: Almanac expenses are below budget by \$4,671.

Almanac YTD

Income	48,533.00
Expense	66,787.00
Net Revenue	(18,255.00)

Yearbook

Income: Yearbook income is above budget \$7,383 driven by Yearbook advertising.

Expense: Yearbook expenses are below budget primarily due to timing as the 2018 production costs were not realized by the end of December.

Yearbook YTD

Income	28,097.00
Expense	22,588.00
Net Revenue	5,509.00

Marketing and Merchandising: Activity has been minimal.

Annual

Income	55,140.00
Expense	190,989.00
Net Revenue	(135,849.00)

CFA International Show

Income	155,718.00
Expense	125,446.00
Net Revenue	30,272.00

Central Office Expense: Overall, Central Office expenses are \$9,893 under budget. The two major contributors are salaries and postage.

Computer Expense: This category is slightly over budget- \$4,345. Software rental is over budget but is offset by contract computer services and web hosting/support coming in under budget.

CFA Programs: Program expenses are under budget - \$24,558. This is largely driven by CFA Club Sponsorship coming in under budget.

Corporate Expense: This category is slightly under budget - \$7,546. This is driven by non-board meeting travel and board meeting expense coming in under budget.

Legislative Expense: On track as compared to budget.

The Bottom Line

The bottom line is that CFA realized a net income of \$488,706!

Respectfully Submitted
Kathy Calhoun, CFA Treasurer

Hannon: Kathy, Treasurer. **Calhoun:** I'm not going to read the report. Hopefully everybody has read it, but I did want to point out a couple of things, one being that we need to understand our bottom line is very, very healthy. We're almost at half a million, but that's greatly to do with the fact that we had revenue from yellow slips in deferred income, so we didn't count it in our bottom line. We decided that those yellow slips we would bring into the P&L is about \$240,000, so we benefitted greatly when we did that. We're probably going to have a really good year, but folks just need to understand that that is why. It was real money. It's not funny money. We didn't do anything unusual but we brought that out of deferred income into the P&L. So, I wanted folks to know that.

Calhoun: The other thing I wanted to talk about is that the International Show, we've got the International Show financials. There may be some puts and takes, but we're showing a net income of \$30,000 so we want to thank the entire Committee and CFA as a whole for making that happen, and also to call out Pam Moser for keeping us on the straight and narrow, and all the work that she did with that show. So, \$30,272. That's a great effort. We're glad to see that.

Hannon: Thank you Pam, thank you Kathy. **Kuta:** Can we give Pam a round of applause? APPLAUSE, APPLAUSE.

Hannon: Anything else on the Treasurer's Report? **Calhoun:** There's nothing else on the Treasurer's Report. **Hannon:** Any questions for Kathy or comments?

(8) **BUDGET REPORT.**

Committee Chair: Kathy Calhoun
List of Committee Members: Rich Mastin, Mark Hannon, Carla Bizzell, Terri Barry

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Budget committee participated in three calls to develop the 2018/2019 preliminary budget. This budget was reviewed by the CFA Board on 4/17/18.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Present the budget recommendation to the CFA Board for final approval. A few additions have been made to the budget since it's review with the Board.

The mentorship committee has been allocated \$10,000. In addition, a program has been added which if approved will target \$11,700 in 2018/2019 for Breed Councils to use to create and/or update their breed booths. Carrying over funds not spent by YFEP. Total 2018/2019 budget for this committee is now \$4700. Adding \$9,600 for Online Clerking School Training Program.

Board Action Item:

Approve 2018/2019 Budget

Respectfully Submitted
Kathy Calhoun, Chair

Hannon: Budget Report. As you are aware, at least two of us couldn't open your Budget Report. **Calhoun:** I sent it to everybody as an Excel file. You were talking about the Excel file? I don't know why you couldn't open the Excel file, but I sent it again about 10 minutes to 8 my time. **Hannon:** I didn't get it before the meeting started and I'm reluctant to have us vote on something that we haven't had a chance to review, because assumedly you made changes to it as we asked you to when we met last week. **Calhoun:** Yes. **Hannon:** I've not had an opportunity to look at it, and I'm sure there are some others that haven't had an opportunity to do it. I'm not comfortable voting on something we haven't reviewed. **Calhoun:** No one called it out to me that they couldn't open it up until 7:00 Central time tonight or I would have sent it out had I known for some reason it couldn't be opened. So, what do you want to do? **Hannon:** I'm not blaming you. I'm just talking about the situation that we're face with. I'm not comfortable voting on a budget that I haven't had a chance to see. **Calhoun:** OK. Do you want to open up the budget that I sent you and review it right now? **Hannon:** No, I want to be able to take my time and do it. I don't want to do it under the pressure of, "here, you've got a couple seconds to review it." I don't want to vote on it tonight. **Colilla:** I did not get it. **Eigenhauser:** I didn't get it either. **Black:** I have not received your email Kathy, but we're having issues with Yahoo all day today, so it just may not have been delivered yet. **Calhoun:** OK. Right now, my email is down. My internet is down so I can't resend it again. What do you want to do, Mark? Just tell me what you want to do and we'll do it. **Hannon:** I want us to have an opportunity to review it and then we can discuss

and vote on it online. I don't want to put it off until the next board meeting, but I don't feel comfortable voting on it tonight because we haven't seen the changes. **Calhoun:** Just so you know, the changes that were made since the last review was, as outlined in the report, we added \$10,000 to the Mentorship Committee. We added for Mary K's program that she had proposed for Breed Councils having additional support around breed booths for the International Show, we added \$11,700 for that. We added the carry-over funds to the Youth Feline Education Program and we added the \$9,600 for the Clerking Program. So, those were the adds and we will do it in a week or so, however you want to call it. **Hannon:** That's my opinion. What are the rest of you saying? Are you comfortable voting on it tonight or do you want to vote on it online after we've had a chance to review? **Auth:** I would like to wait. I haven't got the email and it's not on the Yahoo thing either, so I haven't even seen it. **Calhoun:** I'm not sure why it wouldn't open up in File Vista. **Auth:** It won't open on my File Vista, either. **Hannon:** The message I got was, there was an error and I couldn't open it. **Eigenhauser:** I got a file corrupted error. **Hannon:** Maybe you need to have Rachel remove what's there and put in a new file. **Anger:** I'm sending it now. I was able to open it, so I apologize. I was unaware. I would have spoken with Kathy and have her resend it in a different format. I'm sending out what I just opened and looked at, so if you have trouble with this, let me know. I'm also sending PDFs if there is still a problem with the Excel file on anyone's end.

[**Secretary's Note:** on April 30, 2018, **Ms. DelaBar** moved to approve the budget, as presented at the April 17, 2018 teleconference, as subsequently amended. Seconded by **Ms. Calhoun, Motion Carried.** Anger, Calhoun and Eigenhauser abstained.]

(9) CENTRAL OFFICE REPORT.

Committee Chair: Teresa (Terri) Barry
List of Committee Members: Teresa (Terri) Barry, Verna Dobbins, Allene Tartaglia

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Central Office IT update: Central Office continues to work with the IT Committee to assist with the implementation of new modules, fixes and updates as necessary to the system.

Advertised the Marketing and Communication Coordinator contracted position.

Central Office assisted the Budget Committee with the development of the 2018/2019 budget. Developed and submitted Central Office's operating, 2018 Annual, 2018 C.I.S. and capital budget for consideration.

Reached out to TICA and discussed the possibility of a joint special event that could take place in 2020.

The production of Show Rules and Show Standards was sent out for bid. A different supplier was selected which will result in a cost savings.

Work continued on the upcoming 2018 Annual in Atlanta, GA.

Site visits for the 2023 Annual were made the first week of March. Information will be submitted once additional requested information is received.

Current Happenings of Committee:

The IT report will be given by the Chair of the IT committee. Central Office continues to support the Committee and to assist with the implementation of new modules, fixes and updates as necessary.

Advertising of the Data Entry, Registration and Marketing/Communications Coordinator positions took place. The Data Entry and Registration positions were hired and interviews are continuing for the Marketing/Communication Coordinator.

Central Office continued to assist the Budget Committee with the development of the 2018/2019 budgets and also participated in the Board's conference call with the committee.

Central Office is conducting trial runs for all show year-end reports.

Regional Directors received their Regions' trial year-end reports to review. They are a little later this year than last, due to issues experienced with Computan.

Mary Kolencik, Kathy Durdick and Verna Dobbins are developing a process to allow for submission of show licensing requests online.

Show Rules and Show Standards production was placed out on bid. A different company was selected for printing saving CFA \$400.00.

Central Office established a committee to focus on a special event between CFA and TICA. Their first conference call was April 11th.

Central Office is in the process of implementing procedures to allow for electronic balloting as approved by the Board of Directors.

All information is online for the 2018 Annual. Dinner, prepaid lunch and room reservations are taking place. The Southern region is in the process of planning Friday night's hospitality and the delegate's bags.

The floor plan has been finalized, committee assignments are in place and vendors are being solicited for the 2018 C.I.S. Pin and keychains are on sale and can also be purchased from CFA's website.

Future Projects for Committee:

Central Office will continue to assist the IT Committee.

Central Office will continue working on the 2018 Annual and C.I.S.

Central Office will engage the accounting firm of Maloney + Novotny formally Smith Barta & Company to conduct the Association's 2017/18 year-end audit. The same team will conduct this audit that conducted last year's.

Central Office will continue preparing for year end. May 1, 2018 Central Office will start producing all reports necessary to support the regional banquets and the Annual.

Board Action Items:

Central Office requests the Board to approve the following motion, requested by a committee member to the following procedure for the processing of notification for Breed Council renewals:

Process change for Breed Council

Notification of Breed Council renewals will be sent by email to the address on file with Central Office.

The email will include the link to renew on line, renewal price and the breed codes for which they were members in 2017.

For any member that does not have an email address on file or if the email bounces back a hard copy will be sent via USPS.

Once the new application/renewal has been received and processed in C.O. the appropriate materials will be sent.

Members are asked to designate the item sent by choosing:

Option A Do NOT send the standards for every breed (you will still receive the standard for the individual breed(s) you are joining).

Option B Do NOT send ANY of the materials/mailings (you will still receive a membership card confirming your breed council).

Option C Send the full set of Standards, Show Rules and Rules for Registration.

If the member selects option A or B the items will be emailed.

Central Office will have a pdf of the Membership Card that will merge the information previously provided on the card.

Breed Council Memberships will run from April 1st to February 28th. However the deadline to be eligible to vote will be August 1st. Any membership request received after August 1st will not be permitted to vote for elections or standard changes.

This process will reduce postage and supply costs.

Verna Dobbins

Time Frame:

Items will be reported out when completed.

What will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

To be determined.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Teresa Barry, Chair*

Hannon: Next is Central Office. Is that what you have Rachel, next? **Anger:** It is. **Barry:** I don't have anything to add to the report, just the board action item if there's any questions. **Eigenhauser:** I have a comment on the board action item. It's unconstitutional. Breed council memberships do not run from April 1 to February 28. That's set out in the CFA Constitution. Breed council memberships in our Constitution run from May 1 to April 30, same as the show season. **Bizzell:** What they meant to say in that report was, that's when applications for membership will be accepted. I emailed Verna earlier today and told her that it was mis-stated in the report and needed to reflect that it is the application acceptance date, not the membership term. **Eigenhauser:** The date after which you can accept membership applications is also set out in the Constitution. **Hannon:** Why doesn't Carla work with the Central Office and then present something to us later? **Eigenhauser:** The only thing that needs to be changed is in

the paragraph that says, *Breed council memberships run from April 1 to February 28*. That needs to be changed from May 1 to April 30. **Bizzell:** But that's not what they are trying to accomplish. They are trying to accomplish parameters around when applications will be accepted for a given year. **Hannon:** Alright, but Carla, you agree that what's in the Central Office report is not correct? **Bizzell:** It's incorrect, yes. **Hannon:** What I'm suggesting is, you work with the Central Office and then online present a motion to us. **Bizzell:** OK. **Eigenhauser:** I think you guys are making this too complicated. It is just that one section that's wrong. *The deadline to be eligible to vote will be August 1st*. Yes, that's correct. That's in the Constitution. *Any membership request received after August 1st* are not accepted. That's in the Constitution. The only thing they need to correct is that breed council memberships run from May 1st to April 30. We're changing two dates in one line. That's it. Everything else is fine. **Bizzell:** Again, George, we weren't trying to change any of that. We were just trying to address – because the time period where they have been accepting applications has changed and we keep getting different thoughts. We used to accept them only from May 1 to August 1 and then we started – **Eigenhauser:** The Constitution governs when membership applications will be accepted. You can't put that as part of the motion. *Membership applications for any given year will not be accepted after August 1st of that year*. That's in the Constitution. The board can't change that. So, to fix the motion, all we've got to do is take out the wrong dates from when membership runs and put in the right dates. Everything else in the motion is fine. **Bizzell:** OK. We'll work on it, George. I'm just driving home from a 32 hour trip from Indonesia and I need to think clearly. **Eigenhauser:** All it needs is just a little, tiny amendment fixes it all. It doesn't have to be a big deal. **Hannon:** OK, but she's going to present it to us online, not now. **Eigenhauser:** Alright. **Hannon:** Terri, do you have anything else? **Barry:** No, I do not.

DelaBar: I have something for Terri. **Hannon:** Go ahead. **DelaBar:** When and how are the ballots going to be sent to the clubs? **Barry:** I did not hear what you asked. There was too much noise. **DelaBar:** I said, when and how are the ballots going to be sent to the clubs? What I'm trying to get at. **Barry:** The ballots were placed in the mail this afternoon. **DelaBar:** OK, and that is for every club, all over the world? **Barry:** Yes ma'am, unless there was a question. We had a couple of clubs that we had incorrect addresses on and we were following up with them this afternoon. **Hannon:** What about the email? **Barry:** That's going to be handled tomorrow. Tomorrow is Wednesday, or Thursday. We're trying to get them out ASAP, too. **DelaBar:** Is that an email notification, Terri? **Barry:** Yes, in addition to the snail mail. **DelaBar:** OK, in addition to the mail. Because I can tell you that I'm still waiting on something from Global Entry that was supposedly sent a month ago from Dallas, Texas to here and I still have not received that. **Hannon:** Alright, so she's sending it both ways. You're getting it snail mail and you're getting it as an email. It's basically the same things. **DelaBar:** OK, I'll pass that along to my clubs. Thank you. **Hannon:** Anything else for the Central Office? Terri, you understand that part of your role is to go back to the staff with things we've done here. For example, acceptance and advancements of the judges. That was an issue. **Barry:** I have all the notes here. **Hannon:** OK.

(10) IT COMMITTEE.

Committee Chair: Tim Schreck
Liaison to Board: Dick Kallmeyer
List of Committee Members: Steve Merritt, Dick Kallmeyer, Sheryl Zink and Seth Baugh

Brief Summation of Past Committee Activities:

Immediate

Response Time at Central Office has remained positive for the most part since the changes to eCats have been promoted allowing data entries to remain current. Continues response issues with foreign registrations have just recently been addressed by Computan and it appears they are also greatly improved at the present.

Agility entry processing has been added to the Entry Clerk program.

Current Happenings of Committee:

We are working toward implementation of our first ideas for ways to have color selections on new registrations checked, before and after entry.

The new server is up and running with Sonit currently running preliminary testing. I will be looking into this setup later this month with Central Office testing to follow upon completion of Year End awards processing. Central office staff is working on application documentation to aid in testing to evaluating the new server.

Testing of year end processing has begun with some issues being identified. Computan is now working on resolving these.

Initial testing of electronic master clerking should also be available next month.

Future Projections for Committee:

Defining programming specs for other applications still on the HP These will include Breed Council and Cattery of Distinction as well as all other functions still processed on the HP.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Progress on Master Clerk program and additional future possibilities.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Tim Schreck, Chair*

Hannon: IT Committee. We don't have Tim. Is that deliberate? **Kallmeyer:** No, he's still recovering. The report is as it is. **Eigenhauser:** There's no action items.

(11) CLUB APPLICATIONS.

Committee Chair: Carol Krzanowski

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

New clubs applying for CFA membership were reviewed and presented to the Board for consideration. Assistance and guidance were provided to clubs with questions and issues regarding membership and applications.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Club Name Change Request

Current Name: Rip City Cat Club, aka Rip City Cats (Region 2)

Proposed Name: City Cats

Conflict with

Existing Names: The new name does not conflict with any existing CFA club name.

Reason: The name Rip City is an obscure and nearly forgotten reference to a Portland, Oregon basketball team. None of the current active members live in Portland, and any future shows would likely be held either in Eugene, Oregon or Longview, Washington.

Action Item: *Approve the request by the Rip City Cat Club to change their name to City Cats, effective immediately.*

Hannon: Club Applications, Carol. **Krzanowski:** Due to the hour, do you folks want me to skip my normal notes on the clubs and just go through them quickly? I'll leave it up to the board to decide. **Eigenhauser:** Brevity is the soul of wit. **Hannon:** Why don't you just say the name of the club and make a motion to accept them? Somebody have a standing second.

Krzanowski: OK. I'm going to make a standing motion to accept all the applications, reserving the right to vote no. **Hannon:** George, do you want to make a standing second? **Eigenhauser:** Sure. **Hannon:** Go ahead, Carol. **Krzanowski:** Before we get into that, I do have one action item for a club name change request, from Rip City Cat Club to City Cats. It's in Region 2 and the information was in the report. I move that we approve this request, effective immediately.

Hannon: Any discussion.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

New Club Applicants

Eleven clubs were pre-noticed for membership. They are:

1. *Adore Cat Family Tianjin Club, International Division - Asia; Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs*
2. *Cat Friends of Kuwait, International Division – Rest of World (ROW); Pam DelaBar, Chair*
3. *Mountain City Cat Fanciers Club, International Division - Asia; Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs*
4. *Obsess Oriental Cat Club, International Division - Asia; Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs*
5. *Ocicats of North America, Region 7; Jean Dugger, Director*
6. *Oriental Fashion International Cat Club, International Division - Asia; Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs*
7. *Shen Yang Feng Tian Club, International Division - Asia; Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs*
8. *Something Hearts Cat Fanciers, Region 8; Kayoko Koizumi, Director*
9. *Swire Cat Fanciers' Club, International Division - Asia; Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs*
10. *TianJin Cat Fanciers Club, International Division - Asia; Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs*
11. *Xijing Cat Club, International Division - Asia; Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs*

***Adore Cat Family Tianjin Club
International Division - Asia; Tianjin, China
Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs***

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 13 members. Two of the officers and directors are members of another show producing club, and they are also officers and directors in that club. All of the members are active breeders with CFA registered cattery names, and most are currently exhibiting pedigreed cats. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to produce one show a year in Tianjin. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be used to rescue stray animals. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Co-Chairs support this club.

[subsequent presentation] This club is located in Tianjin, one of the four direct-controlled municipalities of China. With a population of nearly 15.5 million, it is the largest coastal city in northern China and gateway to the nation's capital. All the members are CFA breeders and most are actively exhibiting. Two of the officers and directors belong to another club and have show production experience through that club. If accepted, the club plans to produce one show a year in Tianjin.

Krzanowski: The first application is from Adore Cat Family Tianjin Club. It's in the International Division in Tianjin, China. **Hannon:** Dick, are you supporting this? **Kallmeyer:** Yes, and all the China clubs, to get ahead. **Hannon:** Any other discussion?

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

Hannon: Welcome Adore Cat Family Tianjin Club.

Cat Friends of Kuwait
International Division - ROW; Mumbarak Al Kabeer, Kuwait
Pam DelaBar, Chair

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 13 members. Three members are members of other clubs, including two officers who are also officers in those clubs. Nearly all of the members are active breeders and exhibitors with CFA registered cattery names. Three members have show production and other CFA experience, including one Master Clerk Instructor, a Master Clerk who is also a CFA Judge, and a Certified Clerk. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to produce one or two shows a year in Kuwait. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to local animal shelters. This club was pre-noticed and several negative letters were received to which the club responded. The International Division – ROW Chair supports this club.

[subsequent presentation] This club is located in Mumbarak Al-Kabeer in eastern Kuwait, one of the six governorates of that country. Kuwait has a population of over 4 million and is situated at the tip of the Persian Gulf, bordered by Iraq and Saudi Arabia. Nearly all the members are CFA breeders and exhibitors. Several members have show production experience, including a judge and three clerks. A letter of support was received past the deadline for comments. If accepted, the club plans to produce one or two shows a year in Kuwait.

Hannon: Next. **Krzanowski:** Next is Cat Friends of Kuwait in Mumbarak Al Kabeer, Kuwait, International Division – Rest of World. **Hannon:** Pam? **DelaBar:** Yes. I am highly supporting this club and I will tell you why. Every letter that you have received, basically if you put everything together, we have two groups of people who can never work together. To me, that says we need two clubs. Having more shows is just fine. I'm trying to say this nicely. Some of the letters that were written in, people are not members of the royal family. They are not all this other stuff. Then, to get threats that, "I'm not going to sponsor this or that," really turns me off. The original club forgets that it got its start by a great deal of help from Europe, yet some of the members are using that as, this new club should not be getting help from European members either. If we want peace in that area, we need two clubs, so I definitely support it. **Krzanowski:** I would just like to add one thing. I know that a major objection in the letters was that two of the officers are Region 9 residents. I just wanted to say that I believe the intent is to help the club become established, and then those people are going to step down. In fact, I did get an email over this past weekend that they wanted to change the officers to all residents of Kuwait. At that point in time it was too late to make a change prior to the board meeting. I just wanted to add that information. **Eigenhauser:** I have the concern about two of the officers. Out of a Kuwaiti club, you ought to be able to find four people to be officers. I would rather table this, let them change it to all Kuwaiti residents as officers and let them make the amendment. **Hannon:** Carol, what do

you want to do? **Krzanowski:** My question about doing that, I did discuss this with them briefly since they did wish to change their officers to all Kuwaiti residents. Would that require that the club would have to be pre-noticed again? Because it would essentially be a different application from what was pre-noticed for this meeting. **Eigenhauser:** I don't know if John [Randolph] is still on the call, but it's the club. They're still going to be members of the club. It's not going to change that, so if there was any objection to them personally it isn't going to change that. It's still the same club in the same area with the same people. We've held these over in the past and not charged them with – **DelaBar:** George, can you speak up? I can't hear you. **Eigenhauser:** I think if we table it, it's the same motion with just an amendment. **DelaBar:** This question really needs to be answered as soon as possible. Truly. **Eigenhauser:** What's the deadline? **DelaBar:** Like 6 months ago. We have people who have tried to join the established club that are not being allowed to come in. We have people that have tried to sponsor €10,000 and that has not panned out. It just gets more and more – I want some resolution. I'm spending far too much time trying to placate them. **Eigenhauser:** What if we take it up online in a week or two weeks? **Krzanowski:** Could we accept the club, contingent upon their changing their officers to the four Kuwaiti residents, as they indicated they wished to do over the weekend? I don't know if that's doable. **Hannon:** George? **Eigenhauser:** In the past when we've asked the club to change their officers, we used to have a real strict rule about the president and the secretary couldn't be in the same household. We've kind of let that go by the wayside, but back when we did we always carried it over with conditional approval. **Krzanowski:** I do make every effort to make sure the president and secretary are in different households. Very rarely is there an exception to that and I'm over-ruled. **Eigenhauser:** I wasn't saying – **Krzanowski:** I do – I'm very careful about that. The list of officers that they gave me over the weekend, the president and secretary were in different households. We can do it online if that's preferable. **Eigenhauser:** We could do it online in a couple of days. **Krzanowski:** OK. **DelaBar:** We have accepted clubs contingent upon them changing the name, doing any type of administrative work that needed to be done. I think we can do the same thing tonight, or this morning as the case may be. **Hannon:** Carol, you've got a motion. What do you want to do with your motion? **Krzanowski:** I'll withdraw my motion to accept this club so that this can be amended. Did George make a motion? I've kind of lost track of what happened there. **Hannon:** With the new applicants, you made all the motions and George seconded all of them. **Vanwongerghem:** I'm sorry, I really do not understand why we would table this. This doesn't make any sense. These two Europeans are really trying to help out this new club with all the information they have and all their experience. These people really want to create something beautiful and we're going to table it? That really doesn't make any sense. This region needs more clubs and for me I really don't understand why we would table this. **Black:** Well, you're saying that they're needing more clubs but there are very few cats that are being registered with CFA. It's a very small area. I don't quite understand how it can support two clubs. **DelaBar:** Can I answer that? They are not just attracting people from Kuwait, they're attracting Egyptians, they're attracting people from Qatar, they're attracting Europeans. The Kuwait shows are really rather extensive, so it's not just Kuwait where they're getting the interest. The only place that cannot come in from the Middle East into the Kuwaiti show is Israel. **Eigenhauser:** Mark, I have a question. I thought I heard Carol say that she withdrew this. **Krzanowski:** No, I didn't withdraw it. I withdrew my motion to accept. **Hannon:** Make a new motion. **Krzanowski:** Alright. I move that we accept this club contingent upon their changing officers to Kuwaiti residents. **Hannon:** And you already have an email directing you to do that? **Krzanowski:** Yes, I do. **Hannon:** Alright. **Vanwongerghem:** Peter seconds. **Hannon:** Any

more discussion on accepting them, based on changing those two officers? She's already got an email with names of the two new officers.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Eigenhauser, Calhoun, Auth, Moser, Black and Kuta voting no.

***Mountain City Cat Fanciers Club
International Division - Asia; Chongqing, China
Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs***

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 16 members. No member is a member of another club. Four members have CFA registered cattery names and are actively breeding and exhibiting. The remaining members currently own pedigreed cats and plan to exhibit. Some members intend to register catteries and have an interest in clerking. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to produce one show a year in Chongqing. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the club funds will be donated to an animal protection agency. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Co-Chairs support this club.

[subsequent presentation] This club is located in Chongqing, a major city in southwest China. Chongqing has a population of over 30 million and is one of China's four direct-controlled municipalities. Four members are CFA breeders and exhibitors. The remaining members own pedigreed cats and plan to exhibit. While inexperienced, the members are enthusiastic about CFA and some plan to register catteries. If accepted, this club plans to conduct lectures to promote CFA and pedigreed cats and produce one show a year in Chongqing.

Krzanowski: Next is Mountain City Cat Fanciers Club, International Division, Chongqing, China. **Hannon:** Dick? You said you supported all of these. **Kallmeyer:** I support all of them. **Hannon:** Any other questions or comments about Mountain City? All those in favor of accepting Mountain City.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion carried.** Moser voting no.

Hannon: Welcome to both Mountain City Cat Fanciers and Cat Friends of Kuwait.

***Obsess Oriental Cat Club
International Division - Asia; Suzhou, China
Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs***

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 12 members. No member is a member of another club. Many of the members have CFA registered cattery names and are actively breeding and exhibiting. Two members have clerking experience and three have show production experience. This is an Oriental breed club and if accepted, the club plans to produce one show a year in Qingdao. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the club funds will be donated to the Suzhou little animal protection center. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Co-Chairs support this club.

[subsequent presentation] This club is located in Suzhou, a major city in southeastern Jiangsu Province in eastern China. Suzhou has a population of nearly 11 million and is located approximately 60 miles to the northwest of Shanghai. Many of the members are CFA breeders and exhibitors. Three members have show production experience, and two of them also have clerking experience. If accepted, this club plans to promote the Oriental breed in China and produce one show a year in Qingdao.

Krzanowski: Next is Obsess Oriental Cat Club, Suzhou, China. **Hannon:** Dick already said he supported it. Anybody have any comments or questions? **Anger:** As the Oriental Breed Council Secretary, we are overjoyed with this club and Oriental Fashion. Please vote yes for both. Thank you. **Hannon:** Any other comments or questions?

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

Hannon: Welcome Obsess Oriental Cat Club.

***Ocicats of North America
Southern Region; Salisbury, North Carolina, USA
Jean Dugger, Regional Director***

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 22 members. Many members are members of other clubs, and one officer is also an officer in another club. This is an Ocicat breed club that was dropped from the CFA membership roster in June 2017 for failure to submit a 2017 club membership list. The members are all CFA breeders and exhibitors with a variety of extensive CFA experience. If accepted, the club plans to promote the Ocicat breed and perhaps co-sponsor a show with another club. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to Ocicat Rescue or the CFA Breeder Assist Program. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The Southern Regional Director supports this club.

[subsequent presentation] This club is based in Salisbury, North Carolina and because it is a breed club, the members are geographically scattered throughout Regions 1-7. This club was formerly a CFA member assigned to Region 4, but it was dropped last June because they did not submit their 2017 membership list. The new secretary resides in Region 7. All members are CFA breeders and exhibitors with a variety of extensive experience. If accepted, the club plans to promote the Ocicat breed and perhaps co-sponsor a show with another club.

Krzanowski: Next is Ocicats of North America, North Carolina, Southern Region. **Hannon:** Is Jean on the phone? Anybody else have any comments or questions? **Krzanowski:** I do know that she supports the club, because I had to verify that in advance. **Dugger:** I'm here. **Hannon:** Do you have any comments, Jean? **Dugger:** Other than I do know some of the people with Ocicats that had participated in it before. They are enthusiastic about trying to put a show on in that area. It's one area where we've lost clubs and shows in the North Carolina area, so I think it would be excellent if we can get a show back in that area, so I very much support that. **Hannon:** Right now in North Carolina there's one show in Raleigh and there are no shows in South Carolina. **Dugger:** That's correct. **Hannon:** Any other comments or questions on the Ocicats of North America?

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Hannon: Welcome Ocicats of North America.

***Oriental Fashion International Cat Club
International Division - Asia; Ningbo, China
Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs***

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 10 members. No member is a member of another club. Three members are active breeders and exhibitors with CFA registered cattery names, while several other members own pedigreed cats and plan to begin breeding. A number of members have show production experience, and two members have clerking experience. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club wishes to produce an annual show in Ningbo and to help promote CFA and pedigreed cats through educational seminars. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the club funds will be donated to a non-profit charitable organization. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Co-Chairs support this club.

[subsequent presentation] This club is located in Ningbo, a city in northeast Zhejiang Province in far eastern China. Ningbo has a population of nearly 8 million and is located on the coast on Hangzhou Bay, which separates the city from Shanghai to the north. Three members are CFA breeders and exhibitors, and several members plan to being breeding. Many members have show production experience and two have clerking experience. If accepted, the club plans to conduct educational seminars and produce one show a year in Ningbo.

Hannon: Next. **Krzanowski:** Next is Oriental Fashion International Cat Club. It's International Division, of course, Ningbo, China. **Hannon:** Dick has already said he supports it. Are there any other questions or comments about this one?

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

Hannon: Welcome Oriental Fashion International Cat Club.

***Shen Yang Feng Tian Club
International Division - Asia; Shenyang, China
Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs***

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 16 members. No member is a member of another club. One member is an active breeder and exhibitor with a CFA registered cattery name. The remaining members have no plans to register cattery names, and they are not currently exhibiting at CFA shows. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans conduct health seminars and produce one show a year in Shenyang. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the club funds will be donated to the China Animal Protection Association. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Co-Chairs do not support this club.

[subsequent presentation] This club is located in Shenyang, the capital of Liaoning Province in northeast China. Shenyang is the largest city in northeast China with a population of over 6 million. This club was formerly a CFA member but was dropped in June 2016 because they did not meet the membership requirements. The secretary is the same as in the previous club and is a CFA breeder and exhibitor. The remaining members are not breeding or exhibiting. If accepted, this club plans to conduct health seminars and produce one show a year in Shenyang.

Hannon: Next. **Krzanowski:** Next is Shen Yang Feng Tian Club in Shenyang, China. I'm not sure that Dick and Wain support this club. Dick, do you want to comment? **Kallmeyer:** It's fine with me now, I guess. **Hannon:** Anybody else have any comments on it? **Eigenhauser:** I would like to ask Dick why he's so unenthusiastic about it. **Kallmeyer:** I'm tired.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

Hannon: Welcome Shen Yang Feng Tian Club.

***Something Hearts Cat Fanciers
Japan Region; Kanagawa, Japan
Kayoko Koizumi, Regional Director***

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 31 members. One member is a member of another club. This is an allbreed club that was dropped from the CFA membership roster in June 2017 for failure to submit a 2017 club membership list. Over half of the members are breeders with CFA registered cattery names, and all members are actively exhibiting pedigreed cats. Many members have show production experience, including two Master Clerks. If accepted, the club plans to conduct veterinary seminars and produce one show a year in Tokyo. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the club funds will be donated to a local rescue group working with cats. This club was pre-noticed and one negative letter was received to which the club responded. The Japan Regional Director supports this club.

[subsequent presentation] This club is located in Sagamihara, a city bordering Tokyo in north-central Kanagawa Prefecture. This club was formerly a CFA member, but it was dropped last June because they did not submit their 2017 membership list. The majority of members are CFA breeders and exhibitors with show production experience, and the list includes two Master Clerks. If the club is accepted, they plan to conduct veterinary seminars and produce one show a year in Tokyo.

Hannon: Next. **Krzanowski:** The next application is Something Hearts Cat Fanciers, Japan Region, Kanagawa, Japan. **Koizumi:** I support. **Hannon:** OK, Kayoko supports Something Hearts Cat Fanciers. Any other comments or questions?

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Hannon: Welcome Something Hearts Cat Fanciers.

Swire Cat Fanciers' Club
International Division - Asia; Shanghai, China
Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 20 members. No member is a member of another club. Three members are active breeders and exhibitors with CFA registered cattery names, and the remaining members are currently exhibiting pedigreed cats either in CFA or other associations. Several members have show production experience and/or clerking experience, some of which is in other associations. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to help promote CFA and produce one show a year in Wuhan. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the club funds will be donated to a non-profit charitable organization. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Co-Chairs support this club.

[subsequent presentation] This club is located in Shanghai, one of four direct-controlled municipality on the Yangtze River Delta in the central area of the east China coast. Shanghai is considered the world's most heavily populated city with a population of over 24 million. Three members are CFA breeders and exhibitors, and other members are currently exhibiting either in CFA or other associations. Several members have show production and clerking experience, some of which is in other associations. If accepted, the club plans to produce one show a year in Wuhan.

Hannon: Next. **Krzanowski:** The next application is Swire Cat Fanciers Club, International Division, Shanghai, China. **Hannon:** Dick supports this. Any other questions or comments?

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

Hannon: Welcome Swire Cat Fanciers Club.

TianJin Cat Fanciers Club
International Division - Asia; Tianjin, China
Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 18 members. No member is a member of another club. Many of the members are active breeders and exhibitors with CFA registered cattery names, and the remaining members own pedigreed cats as pets. Six members have show production experience, and two members have clerking experience. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club wishes to conduct veterinary seminars and plans to produce one show a year in Tianjin. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the club funds will be donated to the Small Animal Protection Agency. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Co-Chairs support this club.

[subsequent presentation] This club is located in Tianjin, one of four direct-controlled municipalities of China. With a population of nearly 15.5 million, it is the largest coastal city in northern China and gateway to the nation's capital. Many members are CFA breeders and exhibitors with CFA cattery names, and the other members own pedigreed pet cats. Several

members have show production experience and two members have clerking experience. If accepted, the club plans to conduct veterinary seminars and produce one show a year in Tianjin.

Hannon: Next. **Krzanowski:** The next application is TianJin Cat Fanciers Club, International Division, TianJin, China. **Hannon:** Dick supports this. Any other comments or questions?

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

Hannon: Welcome TianJin Cat Fanciers Club.

Xijing Cat Club
International Division - Asia; Shaanxi, China
Wain Harding and Richard Kallmeyer, Co-Chairs

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 14 members. Two officers are also officers in other clubs. Most members are active breeders and exhibitors with CFA registered cattery names. The remaining members currently own pedigreed cats and want to become breeders. Four members have show production experience and/or clerking experience, including one Master Clerk. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to help promote CFA and produce one show a year in Xi'An. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the club funds will be donated to a local animal shelter. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Co-Chairs support this club.

[subsequent presentation] This club is located in Xi'an, the capital of Shaanxi Province in central China. With a population of nearly 9 million, Xi'an is one of the most heavily populated cities in that general region. It is one of the oldest cities in China and home to the Terracotta Army. Most members are CFA breeders and exhibitors, while the remaining members wish to become breeders. Four members have show production and clerking experience, and one is a Master Clerk. If accepted, this club plans to produce one show a year in Xi'an.

Hannon: Next. **Krzanowski:** The last application tonight is Xijing Cat Club, International Division, Shaanxi, China. **Hannon:** Dick supports this. Any other comments or questions?

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

Hannon: Welcome Xijing Cat Club. Anything else for us Carol? **Krzanowski:** No, that's all for tonight. Thank you.

Future Projections for Committee:

Process and submit new club applications for consideration by the Board.

Time Frame:

April 2018 to June 2018 CFA Board meeting.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

All new clubs that have applied for membership and satisfactorily completed their documentation.

*Respectfully submitted,
Carol Krzanowski, Chair*

(12) **AWARDS COMMITTEE.**

Committee Chair: Mary Kolencik
Liaison to Board: Mark Hannon
List of Committee Members: David Raynor, Linda Peterson

Current Happenings of Committee:

Point Minimum Adjustment

There is a question of whether we should continue to have point minimums for the National Awards. Well, let's consider first the purpose of the point minimums. [Note: The three national award areas are Regions 1-9, China, and the International Area. The International Division does not equal the International Area. The term "area" applies to national awards while "division" applies to divisional awards. Abbreviations and terminology can be confusing at times, so it is important to remember this distinction.]

When the board split CFA into three geographic areas, the split introduced an inequality in the level of effort it would take to achieve the NW title in some classes. The premiership class is not competitive outside of R1-9, and the kitten and championship classes do not have as much competition in the International Area as in the other two areas.

The point minimums are supposed to require the same base level of effort to achieve an NW title in all three areas in all classes. For example, without the point minimums a kitten in the IA would have received an NW last season after being in just 13 rings with just 378.75 points.

The following table lists the top 25 in championship in the three areas on the left, and the kittens on the right. Notice that all 25 cats in China and R1-9 met the point minimum while only 3 cats in the IA met the point minimum. Over half of the cats in the IA had 50 or fewer rings. Cat #25 in the IA had 1129.50 points. Cat #22 in the IA had just 17 rings. Imagine competing in as few as 17 rings and getting the NW title in Championship, the same as cats that had to compete nearly every weekend in the rest of the world!

All 25 kittens in China and 24 kittens in R1-9 met the point minimum. One kitten in the IA met the point minimum. Kitten #25 in the IA had just 378.75 points in 13 rings. Knowing how difficult it is to achieve a kitten NW in Region 1-9 even without minimums, imagine achieving a kitten NW with just 13 rings.

The cats from the IA certainly have the quality to be competitive for an NW, but there just are not enough shows yet in this developing area. Achieving an NW in the IA would be far too easy without the point minimums. This disparity increases the opportunity for area shopping.

This season we had the problem of area shopping with cats that can get to China to earn points while being scored in the IA. Without point minimums, area shopping would be on steroids. It would be trivial for someone in R1-9 to find a co-owner in the IA and get to just one show in the IA then get a few points in R1-9 and get a NW in the IA! Fortunately, the board recently changed

the show rules to prevent area shopping using China's high points, but the possibility is still there for cats from R1-9 to "area shop" to the IA. Point minimums make that much more unlikely since a cat that can hit those minimums is likely to be in the top 25 in R1-9 anyhow.

China Championship			International Area Championship			Regions 1-9 Championship			China Kittens			International Area Kittens			Regions 1-9 Kittens		
1	13250.90	100	1	5,022.75	100	1	7,851.20	100	1	4836.80	40	1	2513.70	40	1	3,291.50	40
2	12523.20	100	2	4,579.95	92	2	7,114.30	100	2	4685.15	40	2	1106.90	40	2	2,904.70	40
3	11032.45	100	3	4,540.95	100	3	6,927.25	100	3	4417.00	40	3	963.00	40	3	2,780.15	40
4	10825.70	100	4	2,746.35	56	4	6,718.45	100	4	4183.40	40	4	933.40	40	4	2,501.25	40
5	10134.15	100	5	2,730.60	56	5	6,585.35	100	5	4031.35	40	5	917.75	40	5	2,493.05	40
6	9862.95	100	6	2,502.95	83	6	6,346.40	100	6	4020.70	40	6	835.95	39	6	2,425.40	40
7	9841.45	100	7	2,425.00	49	7	6,179.10	100	7	3997.85	40	7	787.15	40	7	2,409.60	40
8	9788.20	100	8	2,306.70	50	8	5,960.65	100	8	3870.40	40	8	785.75	34	8	2,383.70	40
9	9759.30	100	9	2,220.25	55	9	5,958.90	100	9	3838.40	40	9	769.95	33	9	2,353.40	40
10	9097.30	100	10	2,178.75	47	10	5,913.10	100	10	3811.25	40	10	699.25	31	10	2,343.50	40
11	8799.40	100	11	2,012.25	83	11	5,822.70	100	11	3669.25	31	11	607.05	30	11	2,265.60	40
12	8785.35	100	12	1,735.70	46	12	5,717.10	100	12	3337.60	28	12	601.15	29	12	2,250.60	40
13	8471.50	99	13	1,655.65	53	13	5,641.30	100	13	3298.40	33	13	580.30	30	13	2,221.40	40
14	8045.05	100	14	1,613.45	34	14	5,524.20	100	14	3293.65	31	14	572.95	20	14	2,136.20	40
15	7980.45	100	15	1,400.15	41	15	5,450.60	100	15	3265.90	40	15	546.10	32	15	2,107.65	40
16	7895.05	100	16	1,375.80	24	16	5,422.35	100	16	3250.25	39	16	545.00	22	16	2,004.60	40
17	7843.35	100	17	1,371.35	38	17	5,382.95	100	17	3191.45	40	17	519.70	24	17	1,969.70	40
18	7727.70	100	18	1,350.10	45	18	5,372.85	100	18	2803.65	26	18	504.75	19	18	1,964.05	40
19	7689.70	100	19	1,344.75	50	19	5,159.30	100	19	2801.00	24	19	484.85	28	19	1,873.55	40
20	7586.95	100	20	1,318.20	40	20	5,120.25	100	20	2791.70	40	20	458.20	16	20	1,862.70	40
21	7530.35	100	21	1,242.30	21	21	5,070.95	100	21	2774.80	29	21	457.20	31	21	1,851.95	40
22	7527.05	100	22	1,237.60	17	22	5,011.10	100	22	2622.90	24	22	455.50	14	22	1,844.55	40
23	7323.35	100	23	1,175.80	54	23	4,996.45	100	23	2397.95	40	23	387.45	20	23	1,839.55	40
24	7273.30	100	24	1,157.20	37	24	4,981.85	100	24	2296.30	40	24	381.95	13	24	1,822.25	40
25	7040.80	100	25	1,129.50	21	25	4,934.85	100	25	2292.55	40	25	378.75	13	25	1,795.05	40

The disparity is even worse with the HHP and premiership classes. 10th best HHP in China last season had 24.60 points in 9 rings. A few cats in China's top 10 HHPs had only 8 rings. Best HHP had 75.05 points in 30 rings. 10th best HHP in the IA had 324.45 points last season in just 27 rings. There was a cat in the top ten with only 20 rings. We do not have national R1-9 HHP points for last season since the current season is the first for scoring HHPs nationally.

The next table shows the top 25 in premiership last season in the three areas. Of the top 25 in China and top 25 in the IA last season, 33 of those 50 cats did not even have 50 rings. Without the point minimum, a cat in China with just 26 rings or 425 points would earn an NW while a cat in the IA with just 30 rings or 368 points would earn an NW.

China Premiership			International Area Premiership			Regions 1-9 Premiership		
1	1683.05	93	1	2342.40	100	1	4851.90	100
2	1271.75	91	2	1252.25	57	2	4450.50	100
3	1135.35	67	3	1029.20	78	3	3785.90	100
4	1106.80	100	4	974.60	43	4	3748.05	100
5	1058.35	83	5	893.60	46	5	3625.55	100
6	1035.60	78	6	856.40	47	6	3513.90	100
7	1029.35	89	7	827.30	47	7	3449.25	100
8	1025.95	72	8	825.80	59	8	3379.15	100
9	863.25	68	9	779.15	41	9	3256.90	100
10	822.15	48	10	673.00	34	10	3244.60	100
11	751.20	45	11	626.65	44	11	3161.80	100
12	655.05	41	12	609.55	34	12	2952.65	100
13	649.00	54	13	581.00	40	13	2869.70	100
14	621.85	33	14	553.55	55	14	2848.45	100
15	610.60	36	15	525.30	31	15	2847.25	100
16	589.65	60	16	523.70	36	16	2841.85	100
17	536.30	28	17	509.40	32	17	2755.25	100
18	520.30	36	18	493.20	45	18	2735.20	100
19	505.85	34	19	457.05	47	19	2724.25	100
20	492.85	34	20	447.60	31	20	2718.10	100
21	490.15	26	21	438.60	30	21	2705.60	100
22	488.20	56	22	436.55	39	22	2695.30	100
23	488.00	29	23	411.05	31	23	2663.10	100
24	437.60	38	24	400.05	31	24	2611.45	100
25	424.45	37	25	367.55	32	25	2567.10	100

Another statistic to consider is the number of shows. Here are the show counts in each area at the end of the 2017-2018 season:

Regions 1-9 = 218 shows

China = 102 shows

International Area = 57 shows

Without the point minimums, the NW title would be grossly diluted and devalued by awarding the title to cats that have appeared in only a few rings in the International Area and in some classes in China. Why should anybody invest time and resources to work so hard in areas that are more competitive when other areas get the same title and prestige by default?

Every year since we have had three areas and point minimums for NWs, some people have complained that they did not want to try for the title because they felt they could not achieve the point minimum. Yet, plenty of cats have achieved the minimum! People should have to work for this title. The NW title *should* be difficult to achieve, this is a title that *should* go to only the

very best cats. We should not make the title trivial for some to achieve just to placate people who do not want to try.

On the other hand, what we do not want to happen is for the point minimums to stop too many people from trying. We need a balance, which is why the board revisits the minimums every year. Since the counts are declining in R1-9, we must consider lowering the minimums to avoid discouraging people from striving for our top awards. The question is how much should we lower the minimums? The minimum should be high enough to require cats to earn around 100 strong finals and kittens to earn around 40 strong finals while being low enough that people believe they can pass the minimum.

There are further constraints to consider. The point minimums must be easy to understand. People must know exactly how the board arrived at that particular number and not be confused about how or when the value changes. A computer program that nobody else has or complicated formula requiring points from multiple seasons are not transparent methods.

The point minimums should not go up without good reason; in fact, they should not change often up or down and definitely should not go up/down automatically every year just because the bottoms of the standings change. The board can set the minimums in April; we do not need to automate this. People need consistency, and the point minimums are easier to remember and understand if they change less.

Most of all, the point minimums should never change during the season, no matter how much some people complain. Complaints usually come from people who are biased because their own cats are affected, and making changes mid-season because of those complaints hurts everyone. Set the points once in April, review the following April, re-set if necessary.

The show rules committee suggested several methods to set the minimums. We suggest a simpler approach that is much easier for exhibitors to understand. Drop the minimum by the same amount in championship, premiership and kittens and no change to household pets. We suggest a drop of 300 points across the board, no change to HHPs.

In the HHP class, 15 cats in R1-9 have crossed the 1100 point minimum and 20 cats have crossed 1000. China and IA exhibitors are not patronizing the HHP class yet. The point minimum does not need to change for this class. In fact, the counts in the class should be increasing and participation increasing because of the addition of the HNW title.

There are other options to consider. The board could remove the point minimums in R1-9 in all classes since these are regions of CFA. As regions, area R1-9 has already established a significant level of difficult competition to merit NWs without point minimums. The point minimums could remain in developing areas, although lowered to encourage competition in those areas. Perhaps lower Championship to 4300 in the IA, no minimum in China; lower kitten to 1500 in the IA and China; lower premiership to 1900 in the IA and China; keep HHP as is in the IA and China; no point minimums in R1-9.

Another option is a little more drastic. Merge R1-9 and the International Area for all national awards and remove the point minimums in the merged area; remove the point minimum for

championship and kitten in China; lower the point minimum to 1900 for premiership and 1100 for HHP in China. Of course, there would be fewer NWs awarded this way. R1-9 would lose some NWs to the IA, but the cats in the IA that are currently getting NWs would still be capable of getting enough points to get them if ranked with R1-9. Using the standings as of today (23 cats have passed the minimum in R1-9, 12 in IA), if we merged R1-9 and the IA for championship, 8 of the cats in the IA would still get NWs and 15 of the cats in R1-9 would still get them. We would be back to the way it was before the split with only China isolated.

Option 1: Lower the point minimums by 300 points across the board except in HHP. Championship 4000, Kitten 1500, Premiership 1900, HHP 1100. This option is easy to understand and consistent for all areas.

Option 2: Remove the point minimums for all classes in R1-9 since these are regions and this area has substantially more competition than developing areas. Lower the point minimums for the International Area to 4000 Championship, 1500 Kitten, 1900 Premiership, leave HHP at 1100. Lower the point minimums for China to no minimum in Championship and Kitten, 1900 Premiership, 1100 HHP.

Option 3: Contract to two areas for National Awards. Merge R1-9 and the International Area, remove all point minimums in the new area. Set China's point minimums to no minimum in Championship, no minimum in kitten, 1800 premiership, 900 HHP. Change the BW titles to BW for the merged area and BWC for China.

Option 4: Leave the Championship, Premiership and HHP point minimums as is since plenty of cats achieved the minimum in those classes. Lower the Kitten minimum to 1500.

Future Projections for Committee:

None at this time.

Board Action Items:

Approve new point minimums.

Approve star award nominations.

Time Frame:

Current meeting

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Nothing planned as of now.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Mary Kolencik, Chair*

(13) WINN FOUNDATION.

Hannon: Winn Foundation donation. **Eigenhauser:** As you all know, over the last few years what we have been doing is waiting until the end of the year to see how we did before we decide on making any donations to the Winn Foundation. As Kathy mentioned, some of the profit we made this last calendar year was largely bookkeeping. Nevertheless, we're doing well. We're budgeted a little tight next year because of some improvements to the computer system and things like that, but generally CFA is doing well financially. In addition, this is Winn's 50th birthday. I really think we need to do something special. I think that for a 50th birthday, \$50,000 is something special and that's my motion. **Colilla:** Second. **Hannon:** Is there any discussion? **Calhoun:** While I can appreciate that, and George may know that I'm a little taken aback by the number – I appreciate it being the birthday and all that, but that's a tremendous amount of money. Granted, we are doing well but we do have a lot of expenses coming down the pike. It just seems to be a bit much, basing it on the fact that it's the 50th anniversary. I'm not hearing that there's a financial struggle, I'm not hearing that it's going towards something that's very difficult to accomplish, I'm just hearing it's because it is the 50th anniversary. I struggle with that. **Eigenhauser:** Let me just say, Winn is doing well. The money that we get largely goes into research grants, so the more money we have, the more good we do for cats. It's as simple as that. We would be grateful if every board member donated a dollar. Every donation is gratefully appreciated, but I think this is kind of a once-in-a-lifetime thing that we really have a chance to show our support. I'm just hoping enough board members will support it. **Black:** George, can you give us a run-down of what the donations have been the last 4-5 years? **Hannon:** From CFA? **Black:** Yes. **Eigenhauser:** I think last year it was \$10,000, the year before was \$10,000, and I think the year before was \$5,000. Then we had a period of like 7 years where we didn't donate anything. **Hannon:** Kathy, are you finished? **Black:** Yeah, that was my question. **Mastin:** I'm not 100% sure but maybe 95%. I think our donation last year was \$20,000 because we had such a great year. **Calhoun:** That's true, it was \$20,000. **Mastin:** I'm pretty sure it was \$20,000. **Eigenhauser:** That was my mistake then. I'm sorry. **Mastin:** I have no objections to supporting Winn. I think \$50,000 is a little too much for what we're about to go into with the 2018-2019 year with some unknown expenses. I would be more receptive if this number was less than that. I'm OK if it was at the same level as last year or even a little bit more than last year, but I think \$50,000 is just a little too much right now. **Hannon:** Any other comments or questions? **Eigenhauser:** I have a question for Rich. How many millions of dollars do we have in the bank right now? **Hannon:** Almost \$2.8. **Mastin:** I know the budget that we worked on I believe is showing a considerable net loss. Like I said, I'm not opposed to giving something to Winn. I just don't know that \$50,000 is – and I understand it's a birthday, but if we continue to have a good year, instead of giving nothing or \$5,000 or \$10,000, I would be more receptive to doing \$20,000 or \$25,000. If we do \$25,000 this year and we do another \$25,000 next year, I don't know. I just get concerned when I see this large number as a donation. **Vanwongerghem:** I agree with Rich. I think \$50,000 is too much money. We depend on one growing market that is bringing in money and that is China. Let's face it, there's quite some risk there. I don't think we should give away that much money at this point. I would also prefer to cut it in two. **Hannon:** Any other comments or questions? The motion is \$50,000 and it was seconded. All those in favor of \$50,000.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Failed.** Eigenhauser voting yes.

Hannon: George, do you want to make another motion? **Eigenhauser:** How about \$30,000? **Hannon:** Is there a second for \$30,000? **Auth:** Mary seconds for \$30,000. **Hannon:** Any other discussion on \$30,000? **Black:** I was hoping that George would come in at \$25,000 since that's kind of a number that was bantered out by Rich and seconded by Peter, so coming in at \$30,000 is still a lot of money. I was hoping you would come in at \$25,000 but we'll vote on \$30,000 if that's what you want. **Hannon:** All those in favor of \$30,000.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Failed.** Eigenhauser and Auth voting yes.

Hannon: Do you want to try again, George? **Eigenhauser:** Third time is the charm, \$25,000. **Auth:** Mary seconds. **Hannon:** Alright, we've already discussed it. All those in favor of \$25,000.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Hannon: Congratulations, \$25,000 to Winn. **Eigenhauser:** Thank you everyone. **Hannon:** Is there anything else, George? **Eigenhauser:** No.

[from after Show Sponsorship Report] **Calhoun:** Hey Mark, I just had a quick question on the Winn Foundation \$25,000. Is that request, George – when would they like that? **Hannon:** It's supposed to be out of this year's funds. **Eigenhauser:** It's the tail end of this year. **Calhoun:** So Terri, we need to cut that check this upcoming week. **Barry:** OK, not a problem, Kathy. I'll get with Cristal in the morning. **Calhoun:** OK, thanks.

(14) CFA INTERNATIONAL SHOW.

Committee Chair: *Rich Mastin*
Show Management Committee: *Rachel Anger, Kathy Calhoun, Jim Flanik, Linda Murphy, Teresa Sweeney, Allene Tartaglia*

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

- *Location: I-X Center, Cleveland OH*
- *Dates: October 13-14, 2018*
- *Presenting Sponsor: Royal Canin*

Current Happenings of Committee:

- *Show personnel assignments complete.*
- *Vendors being solicited.*
- *Two shows: purple and teal, 500 entry limit each show. Entries open August 1.*
- *Balloting for judges taking place and show flyer will be available as soon as balloting is completed.*
- *Royal Canin is sponsoring new breed banners with updated photos. Although Royal Canin is covering the expense, the banners are still owned by CFA.*
- *Two pin designs with an added option of keychains. \$10 for a pin or keychain. \$35 for a 4-pack (one of each). Pins and keychains will be sold online in addition to selling at shows, the Annual and the International. Janis Joplin is a Ragdoll and Elton John is a British Shorthair. The CFA logo is on the back of each item.*

Action Items:

- *None.*

Time Frame:

- *Ongoing until the event and beyond.*

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

- *Updates.*

*Respectfully Submitted,
Rich Mastin, Chair*



Hannon: Next on my agenda is the International Show, Rich. **Mastin:** I do not have any motions. It's just an update of where we are. If anybody has any questions, I'll take questions. **Hannon:** Any questions? **Moser:** I want to make a motion. **Hannon:** Go ahead. **Moser:** OK, I would like to make a motion for each regional director to buy 25 International pins to sell within their region. **Auth:** Mary seconds. **Hannon:** Is there discussion? **DelaBar:** They're ugly. I'm sorry, but these pins are ugly. **Moser:** The reason why I'm doing this is that I know from experience, it's very hard to sell the pins if you're doing it by yourself or doing it within a small

group of people, so I thought that would be good for each region to buy 25 pins – that’s \$250 – out of your regional money and then go ahead and as you sell them, just put it back into your region. I know 25 pins is not that many and I would think that each region could do that to support the International and CFA, so that’s why I thought it would be a good thing to do. Actually, Rich and I even kind of talked about it and thought that maybe we could make it even a little bit more interesting and maybe give an award, a dollar award, for the region that sells the most of at least \$20. **Hannon:** There was a motion and second already, right? **Anger:** Yes. **DelaBar:** I would rather personally write a check, as to not to have to deal with differences in dollars and krona and crowns and rubles and Euros. We essentially have no shows coming up until our regional show in August, because Europe goes on vacation. **Hannon:** Any other comments or questions? Let’s vote on the motion.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Failed.** Moser and Auth voting yes.

Hannon: Anything else on the International Show? **Moser:** No. **Auth:** I can’t find the International Show on the CFA website. Where is the International Show’s web page? **Hannon:** There is a blog at cfainternationalcatshow.org. We’ll have to ask Kathy Durdick to put something on the website. **Auth:** OK, I’ll take care of that. **Mastin:** Thank you, Mary. **Hannon:** Anything else about the International Show? **Black:** I have a question, Mark. Have the ballots been received? Do we need to push the clubs to vote? **Hannon:** I sent out a CFA News notice today announcing the deadline and telling people if they needed a duplicate ballot, to contact Sean. **Black:** OK, but you don’t have a feel for how the ballots have been received? **Hannon:** Verna, do you have a feel for it? **Dobbins:** I think that last month’s count was 300-some. **Hannon:** So, that’s close to half. You might want to put something out on your regional lists reminding clubs of the deadline, etc. **Black:** I have. OK, thank you. **Hannon:** Anything else on the International Show?

(15) SHOW SPONSORSHIP.

Committee Chair: Rich Mastin
List of Committee Members: Verna Dobbins

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

- *Review, approve and process requests as submitted*
- *\$165,000.00 submitted to Budget Committee for 2018 - 2019 Sponsorship Awards*
- *To support Clubs and Regions hosting two Shows a year and hosting New shows, the following enhancements are new for 2018 – 2019:*
 - o *Clubs and Regions will be entitled to request two (2) CFA Show Sponsorship Funding per year on two different weekends.*
 - o *Each Region will be entitled to \$2,000.00 in “New” Show Sponsorship Funding up to \$1,000.00 per Show (in addition to the \$1,000 CFA Show Sponsorship Funding).*
 - *Examples:*
 - *Two (2) shows awarded \$1,000.00 each (plus CFA Show Sponsorship Funding)*
 - *Or, four (4) shows awarded \$500.00 each (plus CFA Show Sponsorship Funding)*
 - o *Rationale for enhancements: Based on 2017 – 2018 Show Season, CFA is projected to distribute \$120,000.00 of the \$165,000.00 budget. There is room to award two sponsorships a year to Clubs and Regions hosting more than one Show and for “New” Shows.*
- *Reminders:*
 - o *CFA Show Sponsorship Funding and “New” Show Sponsorship Funding are two different programs and requests. Both sponsorships are available to “New” Shows*
 - o *Awards are granted on first come first serve basis while funding is available*
 - o *Must submit request(s) 30 days prior to first day of show*
 - o *First half of award is sent once request is approved, second half of award is sent when all post-show requirements are received in Central Office*
 - o *All requests are submitted to Verna Dobbins at vdobbins@cfa.org*
 - o *“New” Show Sponsorship Funding is for a “New” Show. Clubs moving off traditional date to a new weekend does not qualify*
 - o *Any Club or Region can request for “New” Show Sponsorship Funding even if you have already received/requested CFA Show Sponsorship Funding for an earlier/later Show*

- Two different Clubs on the same weekend in the same location is eligible for one (1) \$1,000.00 CFA Sponsorship Award, that can be evenly distributed to both clubs (\$500.00 each)

Current Happenings of Committee:

2017 - 2018 CFA Show Sponsorship

<i>Club</i>	<i>Reg</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Paid 1st</i>	<i>Docs Rec</i>	<i>Paid 2nd</i>
<i>Greater Lancaster Feline Fanciers</i>	1	5/6/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Seacoast Cat Club</i>	1	5/6/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>New Vision Cat Club</i>	7	5/7/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Anthony Wayne</i>	4	5/13/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Almost Heaven Cat Club</i>	4	5/20/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Golden Triangle</i>	4	5/27/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Colonial Annapolis Cat Fanciers</i>	7	6/3/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Gulf Shore Regional</i>	3	6/9/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Warwick Valley Feline Fanciers</i>	1	6/17/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Southwest Region</i>	5	6/17/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Great Lakes Region</i>	4	6/24/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>One Fine Day</i>	1	7/8/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Triple Crown Cat Fanciers</i>	4	7/8/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Stars & Stripes</i>	3	7/8/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Platinum Coast Cat Fanciers</i>	7	7/8/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Garden State Cat Club</i>	1	7/15/17	\$1,500.00	Y	\$1,500.00
<i>R6 Regional</i>	6	7/15/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Motor City Jazz</i>	4	7/22/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Slinky Cats Cat Club</i>	5	7/22/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Sternwheel Cat Fanciers</i>	4	7/30/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Midwest TGIF</i>	6	8/5/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Topeka Cat Fanciers</i>	6	8/12/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Hidden Peak Cat Club</i>	7	8/12/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Rebel Rousers</i>	7	8/19/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Monroe Shorthair Cat Club</i>	4	8/20/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Sacred Cat of Burma</i>	4	8/25/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>New England Meow Outfit</i>	1	8/26/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>High Sierra Cat Club</i>	2	9/2/17	\$500.00		

<i>National Siamese Cat Club</i>	4	9/2/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>National Capital</i>	7	9/9/17	\$3,000.00	Y	N/A
<i>Cats Without Borders</i>	1	9/16/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Thumbs Up Cat Fanciers</i>	4	9/16/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Salt City Cat Club</i>	1	9/17/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Twin City Cat Fanciers</i>	6	9/23/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Freestate Feline Fanciers</i>	7	9/23/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Foot of the Rockies</i>	3	9/30/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Kentucky Colonels</i>	4	10/7/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Cleveland Persian</i>	4	10/14/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Emerald Cat Club</i>	2	10/14/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Midlands</i>	6	10/14/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Cat of the Palm Beaches</i>	1	10/14/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Huntsville Cat Club</i>	7	10/21/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Cat Fanciers of Washington</i>	7	10/21/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Lucky Tomcat Club</i>	6	10/21/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Superstition Cat Fanciers</i>	5	10/21/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Indy Cat Club</i>	6	10/28/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>LTCF/Nova</i>	1	10/28/17	\$500.00		
<i>Hallmark Cat Club</i>	4	10/28/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Poinsettia City CC & Abyssinian Breeders</i>	5	10/28/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Cotton States Cat Club</i>	7	11/4/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>New Hampshire Feline Fanciers</i>	1	11/4/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Golden Gate Cat Club</i>	2	11/4/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Alamo City</i>	3	11/11/17	\$500.00		
<i>Dayton Cat Fanciers</i>	4	11/11/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Capital Cat Fanciers</i>	7	11/11/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Lewis & Clark</i>	2	12/2/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Ohio State Persian</i>	4	12/2/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Greater Baltimore Cat Club</i>	7	12/2/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Phoenix Feline</i>	5	12/9/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Show & Tell</i>	3	12/16/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Burmilla Enthusiast of America</i>	1	12/17/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Nashville Cat Club</i>	7	12/30/17	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Houston Cat Club</i>	3	1/6/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00

<i>Southern Indiana LH Soc. (\$500 new show)</i>	6	1/6/18	\$1,000.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Vermont Fancy Felines</i>	1	1/13/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Crown City</i>	5	1/13/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Cat Fanciers of Hawaii</i>	5	1/20/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>San Diego Cat Fanciers</i>	5	1/27/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Star City Cat Fanciers</i>	7	1/27/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Midwest Persian Tabby</i>	4	1/27/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Saintly City Cat Club</i>	6	1/27/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Wichita Cat Fancy</i>	3	2/3/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Pawprints in the Sand</i>	7	2/3/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Steel City Kitties</i>	4	2/10/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Friends & Family</i>	5	2/10/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Hawkeye Cat Club</i>	6	2/10/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Call of the Wild</i>	2	2/17/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>National Norwegian Forest</i>	1	2/24/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Malibu Cat Club</i>	5	2/24/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Magnolia State</i>	3	2/24/18	\$500.00		
<i>Lincoln State</i>	6	2/24/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Illini Cat Club</i>	6	3/3/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Genesee Cat Fanciers</i>	4	3/4/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Atlanta Phoenix</i>	7	3/10/18	\$500.00		
<i>Mo-Kan Cat Club</i>	6	3/10/18	\$500.00		
<i>Crab & Mallet</i>	7	3/10/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Ozark Cat Fanciers</i>	3	3/17/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Coastal Paws</i>	7	3/17/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Hawaii Hula Cats</i>	5	3/24/18	\$500.00		
<i>Tennessee Valley</i>	7	3/24/18	\$500.00		
<i>Los Colores Cat Club</i>	5	3/24/18	\$500.00	Y	\$500.00
<i>Cats Incredible</i>	1	3/25/18	\$500.00		
<i>Greater Baton Rouge</i>	3	3/31/18	\$500.00		
<i>Mid-Ohio Cat Fanciers</i>	4	4/7/18	\$500.00		
<i>Cats of Wisconsin</i>	6	4/7/18	\$500.00		
<i>Oklahoma City Cat Club</i>	3	4/7/18	\$500.00		
<i>Gasparilla Feline</i>	7	4/14/18	\$500.00		
<i>Puget Sound</i>	2	4/14/18	\$500.00		

<i>Cincinnati Cat Club</i>	4	4/15/18	\$500.00		
<i>Just Cat-In Around</i>	4	4/21/18	\$500.00		
<i>Madcatters Cat Club</i>	7	4/21/18	\$500.00		
<i>North Texas Cat Club</i>	3	4/21/18	\$500.00		
<i>Sign of the Cat</i>	1	4/28/18	\$500.00		
Sponsorships Awarded =			\$55,500.00	+	\$42,000.00
		Combined =			\$97,500.00
		20 - 2nd Payments Pending =			\$10,000.00
		Total =			\$107,500.00
Europe - R9					
Balance Forward & Deposits		Date	Allocated		
<i>Balance Forward</i>		5/1/2017	\$2,500.00		
<i>Deposit</i>		9/12/2017	\$1,000.00		
<i>Deposit</i>		9/14/2017	\$1,500.00		
<i>Deposit</i>		9/15/2017	\$1,000.00		
<i>Deposit</i>		10/18/2017	\$4,000.00		
<i>Deposit - \$1000 for New Shows</i>		12/19/2017	\$1,500.00		
Total Deposits =			\$11,500.00		
Club		Date	Paid		Docs Rec
<i>Cleopella Cat Fanciers</i>		10/21/2017	\$1,000.00		Y
<i>Cat Friends of Germany</i>		10/28/2017	\$1,000.00		Y
<i>Dutch Purrrpuss</i>		11/4/2017	\$1,000.00		
<i>Rolandus Cat Club</i>		11/11/2017	\$1,000.00		Y
<i>UK Cat Fanciers</i>		11/25/2017	\$1,000.00		
<i>Club Felino Espanol</i>		11/25/2017	\$1,000.00		Y
<i>Felinus International (\$500 new show)</i>		12/9/2017	\$1,000.00		
<i>Swedish Cat Paws</i>		1/13/2018	\$500.00		
<i>Cat Fanciers of Finland</i>		1/20/2018	\$1,000.00		
<i>44 Gatti</i>		1/27/2018	\$1,000.00		
<i>Cats N Cats (\$500 new show)</i>		4/28/2018	\$1,000.00		
Sponsorships Awarded =			\$10,500.00		
Available Balance =			\$1,000.00		

<i>International Division</i>				
<i>Balance Forward & Deposits</i>				
<i>Balance Forward</i>		<i>5/1/2017</i>	<i>\$2,500.00</i>	
<i>Total Deposits =</i>			<i>\$2,500.00</i>	
<i>Club</i>		<i>Date</i>	<i>Pd</i>	<i>Docs Received</i>
<i>Available Funds - 5/1/2017</i>				
<i>Taiwan International Cat Club</i>		<i>10/1/2017</i>	<i>\$1,000.00</i>	
<i>Taiwan Cat Fanciers</i>		<i>1/13/2018</i>	<i>\$1,000.00</i>	
<i>Sponsorships Awarded =</i>			<i>\$2,000.00</i>	
<i>Available Balance =</i>			<i>\$500.00</i>	

<i>Sponsorships Paid to Date -</i>			
<i>Regions 1 - 7</i>			<i>\$97,500.00</i>
<i>Region 9</i>			<i>\$10,500.00</i>
<i>International Division</i>			<i>\$2,000.00</i>
<i>Total Sponsorships Paid =</i>			<i>\$110,000.00</i>

<i>New Show Sponsorship Regions 1 - 9</i>				
<i>Club</i>	<i>Reg</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Amount</i>	
<i>Felinus International</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>12/19/17</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>	
<i>Magnolia State Cat Club</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2/24/18</i>	<i>\$1,000.00</i>	
<i>Southern Indiana LH</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>1/6/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>	
<i>Cats N Cats</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>4/28/18</i>	<i>\$500.00</i>	
<i>Total =</i>			<i>\$2,500.00</i>	

Time Frame:

- Ongoing throughout the year

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Updates and year to date report.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Rich Mastin, Chair*

Hannon: Rich, sponsorship. **Mastin:** I included some enhancements for 2018-2019. Does anybody have any questions? **Hannon:** I do. You're talking about the money for the in-conjunction shows, right? **Mastin:** No, that's not the enhancements but we can afford the in-conjunction shows from the CFA Show Sponsorship fund. We've got a budget of \$155,000 for the year, and year-to-date is probably about \$120,000 this year so there's some left over there. We're not meeting our initial budget, so I included a couple enhancements to allow clubs to apply for two shows per year on different weekends. In the past we have limited it to one. We've also included the regions to apply for two shows, whether it's an annual show or a fundraiser show. We're going to increase the new show sponsorship for each region to \$2,000 so they can pick two shows at \$1,000 each or four shows at \$500 each. Rachel talked earlier this week about putting some funds aside, roughly \$3,000 for the first three clubs who are willing to do an in-conjunction show to get \$1,000 plus the CFA sponsorship. So, there's enough funds there to support all these enhancements at this time. **Hannon:** OK, so here's my question. An in-conjunction show, if it's a new show, they get \$1,000 as every show, they get \$1,000 for a new show and they get \$1,000 for an in-conjunction show. So, an in-conjunction show that's an additional show can have \$3,000. **Mastin:** Assuming that region didn't already use the \$2,000 for a new show, that's correct. **Black:** Rich, have you considered revising your definition for a new club, a new show? We have several clubs that go together and do a 10 ring show – a 5x5 instead of a 6x6 – and under your current rules that club does not qualify for the sponsorship money because they're not a stand-alone club doing a 6 or 8 show. **Hannon:** They get \$1,000 that they can split, right? If it's two different clubs, it would be the same as a 6x6 with two different clubs. **Black:** If it's a 6x6 with two different clubs, they could have \$2,000. **Hannon:** No. **Mastin:** No. **Hannon:** They get \$1,000 for the weekend. **Black:** OK. **Hannon:** So, if it's a 5x5 or a 6x6 and it's different clubs, different days on the same weekend, same location, it's \$1,000 unless it's a new show. If it's not on a traditional date for one of those clubs, then they don't get \$1,000 each. **Black:** That's what I'm saying. We have a lot of new clubs that don't have the funds to hold a stand-alone show on their own, so they go in with another club and they do a 5x5. I want the new club to also be entitled to my new club money. You're saying they don't qualify. **Hannon:** What do you say, Rich? **Mastin:** Not if it's on a traditional date. They would not qualify. If it was on a new date with a new club or an existing club, it doesn't matter, a new show won't qualify. **Hannon:** A new show means a weekend that didn't have a show before. **Mastin:** That's correct. Even a club that received sponsorship earlier in the year that puts on a new show, they will qualify. **Black:** A new show has to be a new weekend. **Mastin:** That's correct. The program is geared around preserving CFA shows. We want to encourage – at least stop the bleeding of fewer shows. It doesn't have to be North America, it can be all over the world. We want to be able to put on more shows. Every time we lose a show, we lose customers so we need to try to save those shows. When we can bring out a new show, that's good for CFA. The hope is to take these funds and use at least half of that money towards marketing CFA's brand and to bring people into the CFA product, whether it's just to visit a show or to get

involved in pedigree cats or showing or whatever they do. **Black:** I agree with that. The problem is, I have these new clubs that don't have any treasury, so it's kind of risky for them to jump out there and put on a stand-alone show by themselves. So, they will go in with an existing club, use their traditional weekend, split the funds 50/50 and then they'll have some treasury where they can have a stand-alone show at their next show. I have several clubs that are wanting to do that but they were under the assumption, if it was a 6x6, that they would qualify for the funds. You're telling me that they don't. **Hannon:** No. We have never allowed that. We've always said they could have \$1,000 for the weekend. If it's a 6x6 with the same club, a 6x6 with different clubs, it doesn't matter. They get \$1,000. It's between them how they split it up. For example, this weekend there's Liberty Trail and Sign of the Cat on different days. It's a 6x6. They're not getting \$1,000 each, they're getting \$1,000 for the weekend. **Black:** But those are not new clubs. I'm talking about new show-producing clubs going in with an existing show-producing club. I can take this offline with Rich, but we're trying to help these new clubs get started. They can't just jump out there on their own the very first show. **Hannon:** You can talk to Rich about that. **Black:** OK. Alright.

Mastin: The only other thing I would like to point out on here is, we have three clubs highlighted in yellow. We want to pay them their second \$500. If the regional directors could help reach out to those clubs to get in their post-show requirements, that would be great. As I mentioned earlier, it looks like we are going to pay out roughly \$120,000 once we make all the second payments. That's all I have. **Hannon:** OK, the regional directors for Regions 1, 2 and 3, each of you have got one club that still owes paperwork so they can get the remaining \$500 for their sponsorship for the shows that have already been held. **Black:** Yes, already contact. **Hannon:** Anything else, Rich? **Mastin:** That's it on that.

(16) CAT SHOWCASE EVENT.

Committee Chair: *Allene Tartaglia*
Committee Board Liaison: *Rich Mastin*
Committee Members: *Carla Bizzell, George Eigenhauser, Lorna Friemoth, Mark Hannon, Mary Kolencik, Carol Krzanowski, Lisa Marie Kuta, Rich Mastin, Pam Moser, Teresa Sweeney, Allene Tartaglia*

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

- *During the Strategic Planning session of the CFA Board in February 2018 an interest in producing an event co-hosted by CFA and TICA was expressed. This committee was formed to determine the feasibility and scope of the event.*
- *First committee conference call held Wednesday, April 11 @ 7:00PM ET and a brief summation is provided under Current Happenings.*

Current Happenings of Committee:

- *Goals - attract media attention and spectators, provide a unified front to the general public (between the two associations), focus on a Meet the Breeds concept.*
- *The event needs to be different from the International.*
- *A 450-600 entry limit with priority given to extensive attractions for spectators: Meet the Breeds, seminars, demos, shopping, celebrity guests, make it the place to be seen on social media, interaction with cats, Agility, etc.*
- *Two separate shows, one CFA with CFA judges and one TICA with TICA judges, but all cats benched together by breed, regardless of which show entered, judges in the middle, one show catalog. Similar to the two shows for the International (purple and red (this year purple and teal). The top cats from each show/association will compete together for overall Best in Show. The committee realizes there are challenges with cats from these two associations competing together due to breed standards and names differing, etc. These details are not insurmountable and will be resolved.*
- *Targeted date is March 2020 dependent on show hall availability.*
- *Variety of locations being considered: Oaks PA, Dallas/Ft Worth TX, Los Angeles CA, Chantilly VA (Dulles Expo), Rosemont IL. New York City was discussed and is attractive from the national media standpoint but the expense will be very high.*

Action Items:

- *Approve moving ahead with the concept to produce this event in conjunction with TICA. The next step, pending Board approval, will be to approach TICA for discussion and alignment on date, location, goals, format, etc.*

Time Frame:

- *Ongoing until determined otherwise.*

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

- *Updates.*

*Respectfully Submitted,
Rich Mastin, Committee Liaison*

Hannon: Cat Showcase Event. Rich, you're up. **Mastin:** I do have an action item on this, in order for us to go any further. We did have a meeting two weeks ago and it came down to the conclusion that we need to get board approval to proceed with this event so we can reach out to TICA. My motion is there. **Hannon:** Is there a second? **Anger:** Rachel seconds. **Hannon:** The reason for this is, we didn't want to approach TICA and then find out the CFA board didn't agree with it. Before we go to TICA, we want to make sure the CFA board is behind this. This is something that came up during our strategic planning session in February. **Calhoun:** When are you tentatively planning this? **Hannon:** Target date is March 2020. **Moser:** I have a concern with doing two premier shows a year with the cost. This could be pretty costly, and I just – like I said, that's my concern, if we want to pay this kind of money to do this event. **Hannon:** The reason that we pulled this group together was because at the strategic planning session there seemed to be support for it, but you're right, there's going to be money involved. You made \$30,000 last year on the International. It would be nice to think that we could cover costs and make a few bucks off this, but there's no guarantee. **Mastin:** Pam, you're right. That is a concern that we need to be mindful of. When we get into discussion with TICA, we need to make that clear, as well, that this could be a positive venture or it could be an event where we break even. I would hope that it's not costly of a venture and we can break even, plus look towards doing something like this on a regular basis. I think the recommendation came from Pam DelaBar when she had talked about the Grand Prix. **Hannon:** In Moscow. **Mastin:** Yeah, in Moscow, so we kind of took that recommendation from the strategic planning session and said, let's work on it and see what we can do. Without board approval, it doesn't make sense to go any further with it. **Hannon:** Allene has met with Roeann about it, and Roeann seems to think that she can come up with corporate sponsorship to help out. **DelaBar:** I think that the way to approach this is to try to get – because I know that Roeann has a close relationship with Royal Canin – is to try to do a mirrored event for the continental U.S. that Moscow enjoys. I couldn't think of two better people to work on it than Allene for us and Roeann for TICA, to get this done. As for the money angle, that's for the two organizations to work out. Rich, you've got my support on this. **Hannon:** Any other comments or questions? If there's no other comments or questions, I'll call the question. All those in favor of moving ahead with the concept.

Hannon called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Hannon: OK, it's a unanimous decision to move ahead with the concept. The committee will come back to the board as they come up with clearer ideas of what they want to do. **Mastin:** Thank you.

(17) **HISTORICAL DATA SCANNING PROJECT.**

Project Manager: Karen Lawrence
Liaison to Board: Rich Mastin

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Activities:

New scanner was purchased

Scanning of the remaining un-scanned registration cards has started back up

- Detailed report is below

Current Happenings:

Continued scanning of registration cards

Future Projections:

The completion of scanning all files is projected to be within two years (by April 30, 2020)

Board Action Items:

None

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Updates

*Respectfully Submitted,
Rich Mastin*

Hannon: Historical Data. I believe that's Rich. **Mastin:** This is my last one. This is part of the agreement that we had, where Karen Lawrence was to submit a report for each board meeting. All it is, is it identifies everything that she's done since we gave her the approval to go ahead and begin the scanning. Any questions? **Hannon:** No action items, so you're through? **Mastin:** Yes.

Scanning of Registration Cards - Progress Report to CFA Board

	Apr-18	Jun-18	Aug-18	Oct-18	Dec-18
Total scanned	43,768				
NO PROGENY and/or UNCLASSIFIED					
0057 and 0058 - no progeny	3,926				
SB cards 83-99 All Breeds Non-Parents	10,168				
0880 (Color? Breed? M)	29				
0881 (Color? Breed? F)	22				
PERSIAN					
0103 (CE White Persian F) Balance	1,706				
0142-0143 (Peke-Face Red Tabby Persian M/F)	336				
0146 (Tortie Persian M)	30				
SIAMESE					
0270 (Choc Pt Siamese M)	2,241				
0271 (Choc Pt Siamese F)	4,931				
Currently scanning 0272 (Seal Pt Siamese M)	1,075				
BURMESE					
0400 (Sable Burmese M) Balance	275				
Currently scanning 0401 (Sable Burmese F)	5,721				
AMERICAN SHORTHAIR					
0737 (Silver Tabby American SH F)	1,985				
0738 (Blue Smoke American SH M)	10				
0739 (Blue Smoke American SH F)	8				
0740 (Red Tabby American SH M)	552				
0741 (Red Tabby American SH F)	283				
0744 (Brown Tabby American SH M)	644				
0745 (Brown Tabby American SH F)	729				
0747 (Tortoiseshell American SH F)	522				
0748 (Calico American SH M)	3				
0749 (Calico American SH F)	516				
0751 (Bluecream American SH F)	154				
0752 (Blue Tabby American SH M)	136				
0753 (Blue Tabby American SH F)	182				
0754 (Cream Tabby American SH M)	172				
0755 (Cream Tabby American SH F)	120				
0760 (Shell Cameo American SH M)	3				
0761 (Shell Cameo American SH F)	5				
0762 (Shaded Cameo American SH M)	12				
0763 (Shaded Cameo American SH F)	5				
0764 (Cameo Red Smoke American SH M)	3				
0765 (Cameo Red Smoke American SH F)	1				
0766 (Cameo Tabby American SH M)	73				
0767 (Cameo Tabby American SH F)	39				

0770 (Bi-Color American SH M)	197
0771 (Bi-Color American SH F)	192
0784 Silver Patched Tabby American SH M)	1
0785 Silver Patched Tabby American SH F)	12
0786 Brown Patched Tabby American SH M)	1
0787 (Brown Patched Tabby American SH F)	16
0789 (Blue Patched Tabby American SH F)	3
0798 (AOV American SH M)	59
0799 (AOV American SH F)	173

TURKISH ANGORA

0800 (Blue-eyed White Turkish Angora M)	74
0801 (Blue-eyed White Turkish Angora F)	80
0802 (Gold-eyed White Turkish Angora M)	60
0803 (Gold-eyed White Turkish Angora M)	82
0804 (Odd-eyed White Turkish Angora M)	37
0805 (Odd-eyed White Turkish Angora F)	61

MAINE COON

0820 (Maine Coon M)	77
0821 (Maine Coon F)	122

LEOPARD CATS

0830 (Leopard Cats M)	104
0831 (Leopard Cats F)	110

EGYPTIAN MAU

0840 (Egyptian Mau M)	38
0841 (Egyptian Mau F)	92
0842 (Silver Egyptian Mau M)	53
0843 (Silver Egyptian Mau F)	73
0844 (Bronze Egyptian Mau M)	26
0845 (Bronze Egyptian Mau F)	46
0846 (Smoke Egyptian Mau M)	15
0847 (Smoke Egyptian Mau F)	16

CORNISH REX

0890 (Tabby/White Cornish Rex M)	32
0891 (Taby/White Cornish Rex F)	592
0900 (Blue-eyed White Cornish Rex M)	47
0901 (Blue-eyed White Cornish Rex F)	72
0902 (Gold-eyed White Cornish Rex M)	292
0903 (Gold-eyed White Cornish Rex F)	297
0904 (Odd-eyed White Cornish Rex M)	55
0905 (Odd-eyed White Cornish Rex F)	70
0906 (Blue Cornish Rex M)	242
0907 (Blue Cornish Rex F)	221
0908 (Black Cornish Rex M)	345

0909 (Black Cornish Rex F)	354
0910 (Red Cornish Rex M)	30
0911 (Red Cornish Rex F)	12
0912 (Chocolate/White Cornish Rex M)	1
0913 (Chocolate/White Cornish Rex F)	1
0914 (Cream Cornish Rex M)	58
0915 (Cream Cornish Rex F)	25
0918 (Dilute Calico Cornish Rex M)	1
0919 (Dilute Calico Cornish Rex F)	35
0930 (Chinchilla Silver Cornish Rex M)	1
0931 (Chinchilla Silver Cornish Rex F)	3
0932 (Shaded Silver Cornish Rex M)	14
0933 (Shaded Silver Cornish Rex F)	11
0934 (Black Smoke Cornish Rex M)	147
0935 (Black Smoke Cornish Rex F)	217
0936 (Silver Tabby Cornish Rex M)	32
0937 (Silver Tabby Cornish Rex F)	33
0938 (Blue Smoke Cornish Rex M)	11
0939 (Blue Smoke Cornish Rex F)	12
0955 (Cream Tabby Cornish Rex F)	35
0960 (Bicolor Cornish Rex M)	210
0961 (Bicolor Cornish Rex F)	176
0970 (Pointed/White Cornish Rex M) Bicolors, not pointed	23
0971 (Pointed/White Cornish Rex F) Bicolors, not pointed	30
0980 (Color? Cornish Rex M)	1
0990 (ORC Cornish Rex M)	314
0991 (ORC Cornish Rex F)	433
0998 (AOV? Cornish Rex M)	16
0999 (AOV? Cornish Rex F)	27
SPHYNX	
Sphynx (Misc numbers)	69
BREEDER CARDS	
Started scanning Breeder cards	734
TOTAL	43,768

(18) **OTHER COMMITTEES.**

Hannon: Do we have other committees?

DelaBar: I don't know if this needs to be in executive session, but I am the liaison for the Animal Welfare Committee. Linda Berg will be resigning as of 1 April of next year. So, we need to think about somebody who is willing to go through the training that Linda and I did, which is animal cruelty investigation training, and take over the Committee. **Hannon:** I think an obvious person to ask would be Charlene Campbell. We can have Linda reach out to her and see if there's interest on her part, and then get her trained. Does that make sense to you, Pam?

DelaBar: That makes sense to me. That was the first name that came to my mind. **Hannon:** OK. Will you talk to Linda about approaching Charlene to see what her level of interest is? My assumption is, we're paying Linda and we would pay Charlene an equivalent amount. **Mastin:** Pam, I didn't get the date. What was the date she was resigning, as of? **DelaBar:** 1 April of 2019. **Mastin:** OK, thank you. **Eigenhauser:** I think it's better that we put this in open session, so if there's somebody else out there that we're not thinking of, maybe they will come forward. **Hannon:** Sure. Pam, is that alright with you? **DelaBar:** Yes. George, I don't know if it's alright with Linda at this point in time. **Hannon:** Do you want to check with Linda first and let Rachel know whether or not it should be in open or closed session? **DelaBar:** I will check with Linda and let Rachel know. **Hannon:** OK. **Anger:** Got it.

(19) **NEW BUSINESS.**

Hannon: Anything else? We got through this much faster than anticipated and I thank everybody for their participation tonight. Bye bye.

Adjourned at 12:51 a.m.

* * * * *

Respectfully submitted,
Rachel Anger, Secretary

(20) DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS AND SUSPENSIONS.

Board-Cited Hearing: The Board may consider any protest filed by any member of a member club or in any other manner brought to the attention of the Executive Board. The Board may delegate authority to one or more persons to review, investigate, and determine if probable cause exists for the filing of a formal protest. These cases were heard on direct cite by the CFA Executive Board. Timely notice was given to the parties, and the matters were heard in executive session.

18-009-032 CFA v. Vivian Qian (Qian Zhang)

Violation of CFA Show Rules 1.03, 9.03, 11.17

Violation of CFA Show Rule 6.34

Violation of CFA Show Rule 11.08

Violation of CFA Show Rule 14.02

Violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section 4 (g)

GUILTY. Sentence of: (i) a four year suspension from all CFA services commencing April 24, 2018; (ii) a fine in the amount of RMB 1,000,000.00 payable to CFA in immediately available equivalent U.S. funds on or before May 24, 2018; (iii) the forfeiture of all National, Regional and Divisional wins earned by any cats owned by Respondent during the 2017-2018 show season; and (iv) the exclusion of any Grand titles earned during the 2017-2018 show season from the determination of any cattery of distinction awards for any catteries registered in Respondent's name. [vote sealed]

No Case # Kathy Chandler – Reassignment of Region of Residence

The Board passed a resolution upholding its earlier decision to “Remove all of the cats owned by Kathy Chandler from Region 3 to list their Region of residence as Region 7 based on your residence in Acworth, GA” for the 2017-2018 CFA Show Year.”

Disciplinary Hearings And Suspensions: Cases that have been reviewed by the Protest Committee and for which a recommendation was presented to the Board. The following case was heard, a tentative decision was rendered, timely notice was given to the parties, and no appeal and/or appeal fee was filed. Therefore, final disposition is as follows:

18-001 CFA v. Nolte, Richard; and Smith, Guy Allen

Violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section ??

GUILTY. Penalty of letter of reprimand [vote sealed].

17-038 CFA v Damaini, Marica Cara

Violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section 4(b)

GUILTY. Sentence of restitution to Complainant in the sum of \$5,000.00 to be paid within 30 days or Respondent shall be suspended from all CFA services until it is paid in full [vote sealed].

18-005

CFA v. Halvorson, Amanda

Violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section 4(g)

***GUILTY.** Sentence of restitution to Birte (Von Kampan) Balderas in the sum of \$1,065.00; Christine Vosberg in the sum of \$3,808.07; Jamie Johnson in the sum of \$4,848.98; Tory Bryant in the sum of \$ 3,045.70; Jane Solovyov in the sum of \$1,343.18; and Tetyana Gekhman in the sum of \$1,749.40 to be paid within 30 days or Respondent shall be suspended from all CFA services until it is paid in full [vote sealed].*