

**CFA EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING
OCTOBER 4/5, 2014**

Index to Minutes

Secretary’s note: This index is provided only as a courtesy to the readers and is not an official part of the CFA minutes. The numbers shown for each item in the index are keyed to similar numbers shown in the body of the minutes.

Additions/Corrections/ Ratification of On-Line Motions	(1)
Ambassador Cat Program	(23)
Ambassador Program.....	(25)
Animal Welfare.....	(35)
Audit Committee.....	(11)
Awards Committee	(27)
Breeds and Standards.....	(23)
Budget Committee	(12)
Cattery Name Renewal Process.....	(36)
Central Office Operations.....	(8)
CFA Annuals – Central Office vs. Regional Responsibilities.....	(37)
Clerking Report.....	(22)
Club Applications	(9)
Club Marketing.....	(15)
Disciplinary Hearings and Suspensions.....	(40)
Finance Committee	(13)
Inter-Association Relations.....	(6)
International Division Report	(16)
IT Committee	(26)
Judging Program.....	(2)
Legislative Committee.....	(17)
Management Committee.....	(20)
Mentor/NewBee Program	(34)
New Business.....	(39)
Old Business	(38)
Ombudsman	(4)
Outreach and Education.....	(32)
Protest Committee.....	(3)
Regional Financial Matters.....	(5)
Scientific Advisory Committee	(19)
Show Formats – Eliminate 6x6 and 10 ring shows	(30)
Show Formats – Status of Specialty Rings.....	(31)
Show Rules	(28)
Statistical Analysis.....	(7)
Super Specialty Format.....	(29)
Treasurer’s Report	(10)
Web Oversight	(21)
Winn Feline Foundation	(18)
World Show Update.....	(14)
Youth Feline Education Program	(33)

Secretary's Note: The Officers and Board of Directors of the Cat Fanciers' Association, Inc. met on Saturday, October 4, 2014, in the Board Room of the CFA Central Office, 2nd floor, 260 East Main Street, Alliance, Ohio. President **Mark Hannon** called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. EDT with the following members present after a roll call:

- Mr. Mark Hannon (President)**
- Mr. Richard Kallmeyer (Vice President)**
- Barbara J. Schreck, J.D., C.P.A. (Treasurer)**
- Ms. Rachel Anger (Secretary)**
- Mrs. Geri Fellerman (NAR Director)**
- Mrs. Pam Moser (NWR Director)**
- Steve McCullough, D.C. (GSR Director)**
- Mr. John Colilla (GLR Director)**
- Ms. Lisa Marie Kuta (SWR Director)**
- Ms. Kathy Calhoun (MWR Director)**
- Mrs. Jean Dugger (SOR Director)**
- Mr. Edward Maeda (Japan Regional Director)**
- Mrs. Pam DelaBar (Europe Regional Director)**
- Roger Brown, DVM (Director-at-Large)**
- George Eigenhauser, Esq. (Director-at-Large)**
- Dennis Ganoe (Director-at-Large)**
- Mrs. Carol Krzanowski (Director-at-Large)**
- Mr. Richard Mastin (Director-at-Large)**
- Ginger Meeker, Ph.D. (Director-at-Large)**
- Mrs. Annette Wilson (Director-at-Large)**

Also present were: Teresa Barry, Executive Director; Edward L. Raymond, Jr., Esq., CFA Legal Counsel; Verna Dobbins, Director of CFA Services; Jodell Raymond, Communications/Special Events; Shino Wiley, Japanese Interpreter; and Brian Buetel of Central Office.

Secretary's Note: For the ease of the reader, some items were discussed at different times but were included with their particular agenda item.

(1) ADDITIONS/CORRECTIONS TO THE MINUTES.

RATIFICATION OF ON-LINE MOTIONS

	Moved/ Seconded	Motion	Vote
1.	Krzanowski Meeker 07/14/2014	Set aside Show Rule 12.04.c., which requires a club to submit a completed contract for any TBA judge 30 days prior to the opening day of the show. The relief from this rule applies to clubs that have a Shorthair TBA judge for shows in October, 2014.	Motion Failed. Calhoun did not vote.
2.	Executive	Due to serious weather in Japan, grant permission to the	Motion Carried.

	Moved/ Seconded	Motion	Vote
	Committee 07/14/2014	Passion Feline Fanciers to replace Megumi Yamashita with another judge at its July 11, 2014 show in Taiwan.	
3.	Executive Committee 07/14/2014	Due to an unavoidable health issue, grant permission to the Passion Feline Fanciers to replace Chloe Chung with another judge at its July 11, 2014 show in Taiwan.	Motion Carried.
4.	Wilson Ganoe 07/21/2014	Approve the selection by the Personnel Committee of Teresa (“Terri”) Barry for the Executive Director position.	Motion Carried.
5.	DelaBar Anger 08/22/2014	Approve the request of Moscow Cat Fanciers to participate in the Royal Canin Grand Prix, an “in-conjunction” show, in Moscow, Russia (Region 9) on December 6/7, 2014, along with WCF, FIFe, and two Russian associations - ICA and MFA (traditional participants in this show).	Motion Carried.

RATIFICATION OF TELECONFERENCE MOTIONS

	Moved/ Seconded	Motion	Vote
• From August 12, 2014 Teleconference •			
1.	Krzanowski Anger	Approve the acceptance of JOHOR BAHRU CAT CLUB – International Division, Malaysia.	Motion Carried.
2.	Krzanowski Kallmeyer	Impose a moratorium on new clubs from the following areas of China until the February 2015 Board meeting: Shenyang, Beijing, Shanghai and Shenzhen/Guangzhou. At that time the situation will be re-evaluated to determine if the moratorium should be lifted or continued.	Motion Carried. Anger, Eigenhauser, Schreck, Kuta, McCullough, Moser, Fellerman, Dugger voting no.
3.	Krzanowski Eigenhauser	That the Show Rules Committee present a proposal at the October 2014 Board meeting to the effect that Central Office must send out delinquency notification of non-claimed championships and premierships 45 days after the close of the show by paper copy and email, effective for the 2015-2016 show season.	Motion Carried.
4.	Krzanowski Eigenhauser	That the Show Rules Committee present a proposal at the October 2014 Board meeting to the effect that the response time will be 45 days for the non-claimed championship and premiership delinquency notification.	Motion Carried.
5.	Krzanowski Eigenhauser	That the Show Rules Committee present a proposal at the October 2014 Board meeting to the effect that a late filing fee of \$15 will be put into the fees section of the website.	Motion Carried.

	Moved/ Seconded	Motion	Vote
6.	Krzanowski Eigenhauser	That the Show Rules Committee present a proposal at the October 2014 Board meeting to the effect that regional and national points are forfeited until the filing of the claim form and fee.	Motion Carried. Schreck and McCullough voting no.
7.	Krzanowski Meeker	That the Show Rules Committee present a proposal at the October 2014 Board meeting to the effect that a cat will not forfeit the qualifying rings it earns if the claim form and fee have not been filed.	Motion Carried. McCullough voting no.
8.	Krzanowski McCullough	That the Show Rules Committee present a proposal at the October 2014 Board meeting to the effect that we eliminate the show rule which states that a claim form must be filed within 3 years of the time the cat first attends and earns a qualifying ring.	Motion Carried.
9.	Fellerman Anger	Grant an exception to Show Rule 12.04 and allow the New Hampshire Feline Fanciers to change its show license to add an allbreed ring, from 6 Allbreed/1 Specialty/7 HHP rings to 7 Allbreed/1 Specialty/8 HHP rings at its January 24/25, 2015 show in Dover, New Hampshire (Region 1).	Motion Carried.
10.	DelaBar Eigenhauser	Grant the request of Edelweiss Cat Club to hold an in-conjunction show with FARUS on December 20/21, 2014 in Moscow, Russia (Region 9).	Motion Carried.
11.	Eigenhauser McCullough	Approve the board liaisons, as presented.	Motion Carried.
12.	McCullough Krzanowski	Approve the liaisons for those committees that are chaired by non-board members.	Motion Carried. DelaBar and McCullough voting no.
13.	Eigenhauser Moser	That the Show Rules Committee present a proposal at the October 2014 Board meeting that would create a sliding scale on the number of minimum specialty rings to be required at shows, at the very least in North America, but look statistically at whether such a proposal would be workable in other areas, as well.	Motion Carried. McCullough, Calhoun and Kuta voting no.
14.	Eigenhauser Anger	Adopt a board policy that no Super Specialty shows will be permitted after the weekend of February 28/March 1. Up until that date, others may be added to the list.	Motion Failed. Ganoë, Wilson, Moser and Fellerman voting yes.
15.	Meeker Eigenhauser	Accept the Cat Registration Activity Tracker program, as presented, and that she would work with the new Executive Director to get it in place.	Motion Carried.
16.	Meeker Krzanowski	Accept the Cattery of Distinction – combining cattery procedure, with a fee of \$75 to accomplish the procedure.	Motion Carried.

	Moved/ Seconded	Motion	Vote
17.	Meeker Anger	Adopt the Cattery Name Renewal Process, as presented.	Motion Carried. Krzanowski, McCullough and Eigenhauser voting no.
18.	Moser McCullough	Starting in 2016, Central Office will take on all functions of the CFA Annuals, except the Thursday night hospitality which would be optional for the region, and the delegate bags.	Motion Failed. McCullough, Moser, Fellerman and Kuta voting yes.
19.	Anger Eigenhauser	Approve the name change request of A1 Cat Academy (Region 8, Club 1114) to Nyanko Yokohama.	Motion Carried.
20.	DelaBar Anger	Grant an exception to Show Rule 25.13 for the Rolandus Cat Club shows (Region 9) to be held in Kiev, Ukraine, on November 15/16, 2014 and March 21/22, 2015, to allow the use of up to 50% guest judges at their 8-ring shows.	Motion Carried. Ganoe voting no.
21.	Eigenhauser Meeker	Approve the legislative budget increase request in the sum of \$5,118.00.	Motion Carried.
22.	DelaBar Eigenhauser	That a committee consisting of Candilee Jackson, Pam DelaBar, Kathy Calhoun and Geri Fellerman bring a proposal to the board to revitalize the Ambassador Program.	Motion Carried.
23.	Anger McCullough	Provide a small item for the CWA bags for the BarkWorld Pet Expo in Atlanta, Georgia on October 30/November 1, 2014.	Motion Carried.
24.	Kuta McCullough	That, due to the local fire marshal's request that the aisles be wider than the original floor plan, the Santa Monica Cat Club is asking for permission to lower the entry limit from 325 to 275, at its August 23/24, 2014 show in Costa Mesa, California (Region 5).	Motion Carried.

On standing motion, **Ms. Anger** moved to ratify the online and teleconference motions.
Seconded by **Ms. DelaBar**, **Motion Carried.**

(2) **JUDGING PROGRAM.**

Ms. Anger moved to grant the following medical leaves of absence:

- To Patty Jacobberger, commencing immediately and ending December 1, 2014.
Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker**, **Motion Carried.**
- To Donna Jean Thompson, commencing immediately and ending January 1, 2015.
Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser**, **Motion Carried.**

Chair Mrs. Wilson moved to grant an exception to Show Rule 12.04 and allow the Cleveland Persian Society and Tonkinese Breed Association to change their show licenses to replace Loretta Baugh with John Hiemstra (LH/SH Specialty) on Saturday and to replace Donna Jean

Thompson with Loretta Baugh on Sunday at their October 11/12, 2014 show in Parma, Ohio (Region 4). Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Carried.**

Mrs. Wilson moved to adopt the following Judging Program Rules, effective with the next reprinting:

Rule #	SECTION I – DEFINITIONS	
Existing Wording		Proposed Wording
<p>MENTOR. An Allbreed Judge with a minimum of five (5) years judging experience as Approved Allbreed, who has agreed to assist an individual considering applying to the CFA Judging Program. Mentors may not act in the capacity for family members or individuals with whom they co-own or co-breed cats. A Mentor may assist a maximum of two individual at a time. Mentors will be appointed by the Applications Administrator and have approval of the Judging Program Committee before being assigned.</p>		<p>MENTOR. An Allbreed Judge with a minimum of five (5) years judging experience as Approved Allbreed, who has agreed <u>agrees to assist provide assistance and support to</u> an individual considering applying to the CFA Judging Program. Mentors <u>A Mentor</u> may not act in the capacity for family members or individuals with whom they co-own or co-breed cats, <u>nor may a mentor be a member of the current Judging Program Committee.</u> A Mentor may assist a maximum of two individuals at a time. <u>The role of a Mentor will neither supersede nor interfere with the role of any member of the Judging Program Committee.</u> Mentors will be appointed <u>by the designated Judging Program Committee member(s).</u>Applications Administrator and have approval of the Judging Program Committee before being assigned.</p>
<p>RATIONALE: To clarify role and assignment of Mentors.</p>		

Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser, Motion Carried.**

Rule #	SECTION VII – TRAINEES, Paragraph 2.c.	
Existing Wording		Proposed Wording
<p>c. Trainees in Japan must complete a minimum of two (2) sessions working with judges from the United States. These sessions may be completed in Japan or the United States for longhair. For shorthair, two (2) sessions must be completed in the United States.</p>		<p>c. Trainees in Japan must complete a minimum of two (2) sessions working with judges from the United States. These <u>two (2) sessions</u> may <u>must</u> be completed in Japan <u>or</u> the United States for longhair. <u>For shorthair, two (2) sessions must be completed in the United States.</u></p>
<p>RATIONALE: Shows in Japan no longer have a preponderance of longhair breeds. The Japan Regional Director, Mr. Maeda, believes that judges residing in Japan will have better training if they go to the U.S. for two sessions, for both longhair and shorthair specialties.</p>		

Seconded by **Mrs. Krzanowski, Motion Carried.**

Rule #	SECTION IX – REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES FOR ADVANCEMENT FOR APPRENTICE AND APPROVAL PENDING JUDGES, subparagraphs i. & k.	
	Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
	<p>i. For each show judged by an apprentice or approval pending judge, an evaluation form, supplied by the Judging Program must be completed and signed by a majority of the show committee and sent to the Judging Program Committee within thirty days (30) of the date of the show.</p> <p>j. ...</p> <p>k. Apprentice and approval pending judges and trainees judging any show, including household pet shows, must notify the Judging Program File Administrator of any judging assignments they have accepted, a minimum of thirty (30) days prior to the first day of the show, in order that evaluation forms may be sent to the contracting club in a timely manner. No credit will be given for the work at any show for which such pre-notice has not been sent to the Judging Program Committee at the stipulated time, the exception being shows for which the judge is acting as a last minute substitution, in which case the Judging Program Committee must be notified when the contract is signed.</p>	<p>i. For each show judged by an apprentice or approval pending judge, an evaluation form, supplied by the <u>apprentice or approval pending judge</u> Judging Program must be completed and signed by a majority of the show committee and mailed to the Judging Program File Administrator within thirty days (30) of the date of the show.</p> <p>j. ...</p> <p>k. Apprentice and approval pending judges and trainees judging any show, including household pet shows, must notify the Judging Program File Administrator of any judging assignments they have accepted, a minimum of thirty (30) days prior to the first day of the show. in order that evaluation forms may be sent to the contracting club in a timely manner. No credit will be given for the work at any show for which such pre-notice has not been sent to the Judging Program Committee at the stipulated time, the exception being shows for which the judge is acting as a last minute substitution, in which case the Judging Program Committee must be notified when the contract is signed.</p>
<p>RATIONALE: It is the responsibility of the apprentice or approval pending judge to supply the club with an evaluation form.</p>		

Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser, Motion Carried.**

Rule #	SECTION XII – CONTINUING EDUCATION OF ALL TRAINEES AND JUDGES	
	Existing Wording	Proposed Wording
	<p>Starting May 1, 2011, all Trainees and Judges must accrue twelve (12) continuing education units (CEU's) over a five (5) year period to continue to be licensed as a CFA Judge. Judges may select from the following methods to meet the requirements.</p> <p>1. Classroom/Seminar Education:</p>	<p>Starting <u>Beginning</u> May 1, 2011, all Trainees and Judges must accrue twelve (12) continuing education units (CEU's) over a five (5) year period to continue to be licensed as a CFA Judge. <u>The five (5) year period begins on the date an individual enters the CFA Judging Program.</u> Judges may select from the following methods to meet the requirements:</p>

<p>a. Attendance at a CFA Judge’s Workshop. Each workshop earns three (3) CEU’s.</p> <p>b. Attendance at the general session and a LH or SH portion of the CFA Judging School/BAOS including the practical lab (equal to ten (10) CEU’s).</p> <p>c. Participation as an instructor at a CFA Judging School/BAOS which includes the presentation of three (3) breeds and conducting a portion of the practical lab (equal to twelve (12) CEU’s).</p> <p>2. Online Education:</p> <p>a. Completion of breed presentations and posttests from the online library of CFA Breed Presentations. Each presentation is equal to one (1) CEU. Judges who want to review some or all of the topics for personal reasons, as well as to fulfill continuing education courses, are encouraged to complete as many as they wish.</p> <p>b. A completed Continuing Education Report Card must be submitted to the Judging Program sixty (60) days before the end of each five (5) year period.</p> <p>3. Continuing Education Report Card:</p> <p>a. Each CFA Judge/Trainee is responsible for the completion and submission of a CEU Report Card no less than sixty (60) days before the end of each five (5) year period.</p> <p>b. The Record Keeper for the CFA Judging Program will record and provide information to the Judging Program Chair as judges complete their records.</p>	<p>1. Classroom/Seminar Education:</p> <p>a. Attendance at a CFA Judge’s Workshop. Each workshop earns three (3) CEU’s.</p> <p>b. Attendance at the general session and a LH or SH portion of the CFA Judging School/BAOS including the practical lab (equal to <u>earns</u> ten (10) CEU’s.)</p> <p>c. Participation as an instructor at a CFA Judging School/BAOS which includes the presentation of three (3) breeds and conducting a portion of the practical lab (equal to <u>earns</u> twelve (12) CEU’s).</p> <p><u>d. Working with a Trainee at a CFA Show for an official supervised or solo session earns five (5) CEU’s.</u></p> <p>2. Online Education:</p> <p>a. e. e. Completion of breed presentations and posttests from the online library of CFA Breed Presentations. Each presentation is equal to <u>earns</u> one (1) CEU. Judges who want to review some or all of the topics for personal reasons, as well as to fulfill continuing education courses, are encouraged to complete as many as they wish.</p> <p>b. A completed Continuing Education Report Card must be submitted to the Judging Program sixty (60) days before the end of each five (5) year period.</p> <p>3. Continuing Education Report Card:</p> <p>a. Each CFA Judge/Trainee is responsible for the completion and submission of a CEU Report Card no less than sixty (60) days before the end of each five (5) year period.</p> <p>b. The Record Keeper for the CFA Judging Program will record and provide information to the Judging Program Chair as judges complete their records.</p>
<p>RATIONALE: Training Judge CEU’s needed to be added to the Rules; clarification, reformatting and housekeeping.</p>	

Seconded by **Mrs. Krzanowski, Motion Carried.** DelaBar voting no.

Mrs. Baugh moved to accept the following applications and advancements:

Accept as Trainee:

<i>Laura Gregory (Longhair – 1st Specialty)</i>	<i>18 no, 1 yes (Eigenhauser), 1 abstain (Maeda)</i>
<i>Jennifer Reding (Shorthair – 1st Specialty)</i>	<i>20 yes</i>

Advance to Apprentice:

<i>John Hiemstra (SH – 2nd Specialty)</i>	<i>20 yes</i>
<i>Teresa Sweeney (SH – 2nd Specialty)</i>	<i>20 yes</i>

Advance to Approval Pending:

<i>Karen Godwin (LH – 2nd Specialty)</i>	<i>20 yes</i>
---	---------------

In an executive session motion, guest judging approval was suspended for Elena Chernova.

(3) PROTEST COMMITTEE.

Chair Mr. Eigenhauser moved to accept the Committee's recommendations on the protests not in dispute. **Motion Carried [vote sealed].**

(4) OMBUDSMAN.

No report submitted.

(5) REGIONAL FINANCIAL MATTERS.

No action items were presented.

(6) INTER-ASSOCIATION RELATIONS.

No action items were presented.

(7) STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.

No action items were presented.

(8) CENTRAL OFFICE OPERATIONS.

Mr. Kallmeyer moved to grant an exception to Show Rule 15.08e to allow the Hong Kong International Club (International Division) to allow 12-14 cages per ring at its December 7, 2014 one day, 5 AB ring show. Seconded by **Ms. Anger**, **Motion Carried.**

(9) CLUB APPLICATIONS.

The following club application was presented for acceptance:

- CHINA EAST CAT FANCIERS – International Division– Asia (China). **Tabled.**

(10) TREASURER'S REPORT.

No action items were presented.

(11) AUDIT COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS.

No action items were presented.

(12) BUDGET COMMITTEE.

No action items were presented.

(13) FINANCE COMMITTEE.

No action items were presented.

(14) WORLD SHOW UPDATE.

No action items were presented.

(15) CLUB MARKETING.

No action items were presented.

(16) INTERNATIONAL DIVISION.

No action items were presented.

(17) CFA LEGISLATION COMMITTEE.

No action items were presented.

(18) WINN FOUNDATION.

No action items were presented.

(19) SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

No action items were presented.

(20) MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE.

No action items were presented.

(21) WEB OVERSIGHT.

No report submitted (see previous agenda item).

(22) CLERKING PROGRAM REPORT.

No action items were presented.

(22) AMBASSADOR CAT PROGRAM.

No action items were presented.

(23) **BREEDS AND STANDARDS COMMITTEE.**

Mr. Ganoë moved to reconsider (untable) the 2013 Maine Coon breed council ballot items which were tabled at the February 2014 board meeting. Seconded by **Mrs. Fellerman, Motion Carried.** Calhoun, Krzanowski and Eigenhauser voting no.

Ms. DelaBar moved to consider the ballots that passed by 60% on the Maine Coon ballot. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Carried.**

MAINE COON

Part I – Maine Coon Cat Rules of Registration Changes

1. **PROPOSED:** Change the CFA Maine Coon Cat Rules of Registration to REMOVE the Ticked Tabby from the “Do Not Accept for Registration” list for the Maine Coon Cat.

Motion Carried. Calhoun and Eigenhauser voting no.

2. **PROPOSED:** Change the Maine Coon Cat Rules of Registration to REMOVE the Spotted Tabby from the “Do Not Accept for registration” list for the Maine Coon Cat.

Motion Carried. Wilson, Eigenhauser and Calhoun voting no.

Part II - Maine Coon Cat Standard Changes

3. **PROPOSED:** ADD the following Ticked Tabby pattern description to the **MAINE COON CAT COLORS, Tabby Patterns** section of the Maine Coon Cat standard. No pattern description for Ticked Tabby exists in the standard.

Motion Carried. Wilson, Eigenhauser and Calhoun voting no.

4. **PROPOSED:** REMOVE the ticked tabby pattern from the Disqualify section of the Maine Coon Cat Breed Standard.

Motion Carried. Calhoun and Eigenhauser voting no.

5. **PROPOSED:** Add Ticked Tabby Maine Coon Cats to the Maine Coon Cat AOV class.

Motion Carried.

6. **PROPOSED:** Add the following Spotted Tabby pattern description to the **MAINE COON CAT COLORS, Tabby Patterns** section of the Maine Coon Cat standard. No pattern description for Spotted Tabby exists in the current standard.

No action.

7. **PROPOSED:** Add Spotted Tabby Maine Coon Cats to the Maine Coon Cat AOV class.

No Action.

8. PROPOSAL: Change the OMCC color class description to delete ~~the unpatterned agouti on the body (i.e. Abyssinian type ticked tabby)~~ and to add Cats listed as AOV are to be registered and shown under AOV color class.

Motion Carried. Eigenhauser voting no.

Mr. Eigenhauser moved to make the ticked tabby AOV registrations effective November 1 of this year, and that we will charge the incremental difference if they already registered the cat. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Carried.**

Ms. DelaBar moved that the ticked tabby AOV showing is effective May 1, 2015. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Carried.**

(25) AMBASSADOR PROGRAM.

Ms. DelaBar moved to accept the Ambassador Program with a total budget of \$6,000, some which was already in an approved budget and some of which would be in addition to. Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser, Motion Carried.**

(26) IT COMMITTEE.

No action items were presented.

(27) AWARDS COMMITTEE.

Mr. Eigenhauser moved to confirm the existing policy on the Cattery of Distinction. Seconded by **Mr. Kallmeyer, Motion Carried.**

Ms. Anger moved for approval to seek out corporate sponsorship for our national awards. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Carried.**

(28) SHOW RULES.

1 – Items Pre-noticed to the Annual Meeting Delegates and passed by greater than 2/3 margin.

Rule # 6.16: Annual Show Resolution #5 passed by greater than 2/3, revise Rule 6.16 (OLD 1.24) to Extend the valid time for a Temporary Registration Number (TRN) from 30 days to 60 days.

RATIONALE: The change to capitalize Longhair Exotic should be considered housekeeping. “Printed” is used instead of “listed” to avoid confusion with the way the term “listed” is defined at the beginning of the show rules. The main point of this change is to lengthen the effective period of the TRN from 30 days to 60 days. We are losing breeders and exhibitors who have been discouraged when they have not received any correspondence from CFA within the 30-day timeframe. In Moscow alone, registrations on over 20 cats were not acted on in time to allow the exhibitors to continue showing in CFA. [NOTE: The portion of the resolution text that dealt with new rule 2.30 was removed (first sentence) as it was not modified in this resolution.]

At the annual meeting, this rule passed the delegation by greater than a 2/3 margin.

Motion Carried.

Rule # 11.24.c & 12.10: Annual Show Rule Resolution #6 passed by greater than 2/3, provide a ‘three bites and you’re out’ requirement in the Show Rules by revising Rules 11.24c (OLD 28.17c and 3.06) and 12.10 (OLD 18.07)

RATIONALE: There is no rule that prevents a cat that habitually bites judges from continuing to be shown by its owner. This conduct is inappropriate, as it puts the judge at risk of serious infection, even with prompt first-aid attention at the show. This rule is proposed to use similar wording that is currently used to track cats that are given NA/IM to prevent them from continuing to show after three judges have determined that the cat is a “biter.” The three strikes approach is used here, as in the NA/IM rule, to ensure that the behavior is not a “rare” occurrence. [NOTE: The resolution text for 3.06 and 28.17c (duplicate rules) is listed as if it had been prepared in the revamped format – 11.24c, where the only text of this rule will be. All duplicates have been deleted in the revamped rules.]

At the annual meeting, this rule passed the delegation by greater than a 2/3 margin.

Motion Carried.

Rule # 10.23c: Annual Show Rule Resolution #7 passed by greater than 2/3, allow correction of missing registration number at the time of entry via the catalog correction process by revising Rule 10.23c (OLD RULE 11.04b)

RATIONALE: There should be no obstacles for exhibitors to enter and show cats that have obtained bona fide registration numbers, even if this involves months of waiting for completion of the registration process. If the breeder has submitted the required pedigrees or other paperwork for registration and wants to show, they should be able to do so without paying an additional fee to obtain a TRN when they have a valid registration number for the cat by the start of the show. To require these exhibitors to also obtain TRN numbers is a duplication of effort and an additional expense for the exhibitor.

At the annual meeting, this rule passed the delegation by greater than a 2/3 margin.

Motion Carried.

Rule # 14.05: Annual Show Rule Resolution #8 passed by greater than 2/3, delete the rule prohibiting the giving of gifts to judges in Show Rule 14.05 (OLD RULE 11.35)

RATIONALE: The definition of “gift” is basically “an item given to someone without the expectation of payment.” This show rule is ambiguous in wording and perhaps is better presented in the Judging Program Rules rather than Show Rules. Those who fervently police show rules would jump at the chance to file protests for those judges receiving grand cakes, birthday cakes, glasses of champagne for new grands, glass of beer, Coke, etc. Blatant showering of gifts is not acceptable but anyone wanting to ‘get around’ this rule would just give a token payment to the giver. [NOTE: This rule was placed in the rules as it is a duplication of the Board Policy Statement passed at the October 2013 Board Meeting (Pages 14-19). As such, additional action will be necessary to address the policy statement.]

At the annual meeting, this rule passed the delegation by greater than a 2/3 margin.

Motion Carried.

Rule # 20.04e: Annual Show Rule Resolution #10 passed by greater than 2/3 at annual , 1e – modify the exclusion concerning the requirement for prepaid airfare being provided to judges by revising

Show Rule 20.04e (OLD RULE 26.02e)

RATIONALE: Mexico was added to those countries already stated in North America as it is part of Regions 3 and 5. It does not take much travel for a Region 9 judge to travel outside the country of residence, but within Region 9. For example, in the time it took to fly from San Antonio to Dallas, Texas, one can now fly from Helsinki, Finland to Stockholm, Sweden, Tallin, Estonia, St. Petersburg, Russia, etc. Countries in Europe are like the States in the United States especially with the free movement within the European Union and the Schengen Agreement countries. Clubs in Region 9 are no less honest about travel payment than clubs in the US.

At the annual meeting, this rule passed the delegation by greater than a 2/3 margin.

Motion Carried.

Rule # 3.13: Annual Show Rule Resolution #12 passed by greater than 2/3 at annual; for purposes of requiring CFA judges to be used at a licensed show, revise Rule 3.13 (OLD RULE 25.13) to exempt Russia from Region 9 requirements and place them with International Division requirements

RATIONALE: No clubs within the CFA family must spend the amount of money for show production that the clubs within Russia must spend. Russia has two CFA allbreed judges, the remaining judges must come from outside Russia, excepting qualified guest judges. Not only must the clubs pay for judges' visas (over \$300 US minimum for US citizen judges, over \$100 for European judges) PER JUDGE, PER SHOW, but also must pay the Russian government for each official invitation needed to apply for Russian visas. This is in addition to judges' airfares (\$1200 to \$1500 for US judges, about \$500 for European judges). Visa processing time for US citizens is approximately 21 days. Judges have had to cancel at the last minute due to Russian visa and passport requirements which cause additional expense in order to contract replacement judges.

The major show-producing club in Russia has had to reduce the amount of shows from nine to five per year because of costs. Russia is one of the major growth areas for CFA because of the great interest in the cat fancy. The largest show in the world for 2013 was in Moscow.

Allowing the Russian CFA clubs the opportunity to contract possibly one additional guest judge (in the case of a 12 ring show) not only helps the clubs financially, but also sends a message this delegation understands their problems and would like to assist them.

At the annual meeting, this rule passed the delegation by greater than a 2/3 margin.

Motion Carried. Kuta and Ganoe voting no.

Article XXXV: Annual Show Rule Resolution #16 passed by greater than 2/3 at annual; specify a minimum point requirement for Best of Breed Winner by amending Article XXXV (OLD ARTICLE XXXVII), AWARDS, National Awards

RATIONALE: The BW award is considered by many to be among the most prestigious titles of all CFA awards. However, there have been several Breed Winning cats over the years with less than 50 points. Cats that will carry the BW title should be of sufficient quality to have made finals. Additionally, there is currently a 200 point minimum for achieving a best of Color win, but no minimum for a Breed Win. To have a cat potentially be awarded Best of Breed but not be eligible for Best of Color is inconsistent with how CFA gives awards in any other context.

At the annual meeting, this rule passed the delegation by greater than a 2/3 margin.

Motion Carried. Ganoë voting no.

2 – Resolutions that passed by majority or from the Floor at the Annual Meeting (Advisory to Board) – Presented Here for Approval

Rule # 20.05: Annual Show Rule Resolution #11 passed by majority at annual; amend the rules concerning hotel accommodations for judges when they accept two consecutive assignments “overseas” – Rule 20.05 (OLD RULE 26.03)

RATIONALE: All clubs in CFA must be ready to provide basic room and board for judges traveling from overseas and are judging consecutive weekends. Co-sponsoring clubs share the expense.

This proposal passed by teller vote 197-192.

Motion Carried.

Rule 2.07a, 5.01f, 7.09d, 10.17, 11.27 24.01c, Article XXIX – Exotic & Persian Standards, Article XXXV – only those parts affected by this proposal; Annual –Floor Resolution #18 passed at annual with a favorable recommendation; amend the National/Regional Awards to change the title of National Winner to Global Winner and the award to Global Awards – Various Articles

RATIONALE: The CFA is truly a global organization. As such, our top award should not be limited by its title as a “national” award when cats competing and winning that title are coming from Japan, Europe, China, and other countries outside of the United States. The rules listed above would all be revised to reflect the global nature of our top awards. The new title would be Global Winner (GW), and Central Office would be required to re-program the computer so that all titles printed out for cats having already earned a National Award would have their titles revised to reflect being a Global Winner. [NOTE: As called, the motion on the floor was “I’m calling for a vote on changing the title from national win to global win, with the realization it merely goes to the board if it passes, with a recommendation.”]

Passed from the Floor for Board action.

Motion Carried. DelaBar, Ganoë, Dugger, McCullough, Calhoun, Wilson and Kuta voting no.

Create Awards for Household Pets – Various Rules

NEW Rule 2.24 (all other numbers in Article II from 2.24 will go up one number); Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried. Wilson and Schreck voting no.

Rule 5.01f; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried. Wilson voting no.

Rule 5.02h; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried.

Rule 7.07; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried. Kuta voting no.

Rule 7.09d; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried. Wilson voting no.

Rule 7.12; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried.

Rule 10.23; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried.

Rule 11.32; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Withdrawn.

Rule 12.13b; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried.

Rule 12.19; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried.

Rule 13.09d & m; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried.

Rule 13.10 (current 13.10 will be renumbered as 13.11); Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried.

Rule 14.01; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried. Meeker, Colilla, Fellerman, Moser, McCullough, Kuta, Brown and Anger voting no.

Ms. Krzanowski moved that the dollar amount for going back to claim points would be the same as for pedigreed cats, which is currently \$50. Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser**, **Motion Carried.**

Article XXIX – Titles – Household Pets (all articles will be re-numbered from this point on); Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

Motion Carried. Schreck, Colilla, Moser, Calhoun and Wilson voting no.

Article XXXV; Annual Show Rules Resolution #17; to create awards for Household Pets

RATIONALE: Currently, there are no provisions to provide any recognized awards or titles to Household pets other than those that may be received solely in conjunction with a licensed show. This proposal comes from the Awards Committee's work associated with Resolution 17 at the annual, which was passed overwhelmingly. That resolution requested CFA create an award structure for Household Pets similar to the current one in place for championship, premiership, and kittens beginning with the 2015-2016 show season. This proposal incorporates all of the elements described in the Awards Committee's report to establish such an awards structure. The full rationale for all of the proposed rules can be found in the details of the Awards Committee's report.

Passed at annual with favorable recommendation.

Motion Carried. Wilson, Calhoun and Eigenhauser voting no.

Mr. Eigenhauser moved to amend Article XXXV (under Regional Awards), and remove the 200 points required for a regional win. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker**, **Motion Failed.** DelaBar, Meeker, Ganoë, McCullough, Eigenhauser and Mastin voting yes.

Mr. Eigenhauser moved to amend Article XXXV (under Regional Awards), and remove the 200 points required for a regional win and replace it with 100 points being required for a regional win. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker**, **Motion Carried.** Schreck, Moser, Calhoun, Krzanowski, Wilson, Kuta, Brown and Anger voting no.

3 – Rules proposed based on Board discussions or Show Rules Committee Recommendations

Rule 6.30, 6.31, 10.23c, 12.13a: accompanying change to be consistent with Resolution #7 (passed by 2/3); revised entry requirements for cats transferring to open from novice via catalog correction

RATIONALE: While the show rule associated with resolution 7 (see rule proposal 1c above and its associated rationale) was passed by a greater than 2/3 majority to allow the use of a catalog correction to add a permanent registration number to a cat entered as a Novice, that resolution did not revise the rules actually associated with the transfer of that Novice into the championship/premiership classes as an Open and into the judges books as a champion/premier. The above rules revisions accomplish that goal, thus completing what the rationale provided for that resolution wishes to accomplish.

Motion Carried.

Article XXXV: accompanying change to be consistent with Resolution #16 (passed by 2/3); require minimum points for 2nd and 3rd Best of Breed National Winners

RATIONALE: With the passage of Resolution 16 at the annual meeting (show rules change 1g), we have created an award inconsistency within the breed awards. Let me use the following example. For Longhair LaPerms, the best of division earns 140 points, 2nd best of division earns 100 points, and 3rd best of division earns 50 points. As the rules now stand, the 2nd and 3rd best winners would receive their breed awards of a trophy and rosette, but the best of division would receive nothing. Also, the 2nd best of division would not receive a color award, as it didn't achieve the 200 point minimum requirement that now exists. The Show Rules Committee feels that this is inconsistent with the intent of the imposition of

the 200 point minimum requirement on best-of-breed/division. Part of the original rationale pointed out that the color award would not be awarded to the breed winner. In the above scenario, that would still be the case for the 2nd best of breed/division winner (breed/division award, but not eligible for corresponding color award). To be consistent, the 200 point minimum requirement should be placed on ALL breed winners to receive that award. It is already required for the color winners, so putting that requirement on all breed winners would be consistent with those best and 2nd best of color requirements and prevent the unusual situation where 2nd and 3rd best of breed awards are given without a best.

Motion Carried. Ganoë voting no.

Rules 27.05c & 28.01 (OLD RULE 8.05c, 8.06 (which is duplicated in 11.25)): handling of non-confirmed Champions/Premiers

RATIONALE: As currently written, show rules 27.05c and 28.01 are unclear. Show rule 27.05c specifies a cat has three years to claim its CH/PR title from its first show where it obtains its first qualifying ring (winners ribbon), while at the same time 28.01 implies that after some shorter period of time, all grand points for a cat who earned them will forfeit them. 28.01 (old 8.06 and 11.25) currently requires Central Office to send out a notice to an exhibitor who has not filed the claim form, but provides no time frame for when such a notice should be sent. Similarly, the current rule does require grand points to be forfeited if the claim form is not filed within 20 days of that notice, but one needs a course in logic to be able to figure that out. Finally, while the current rule, in combination with rule 34.01 implies that any cat shown as a grand at any time without having filed the claim form will have all of its awards voided by Central Office, this isn't clear in the rule either. The proposed rule was discussed with the Board at its August board meeting to obtain guidance on how this proposal should be written. The proposed rule incorporates all of that guidance. Specifically, it provides a 45 day time-frame for issuance of the notice to the exhibitor, it specifies the means and location of the address to which such a notice is to be sent, it specifies the time frame the exhibitor has to respond to the notice, and it clearly delineates the penalties that will apply if the claim form is not filed within that time frame. It is in bullet form so that each responsible party (Central Office and the Exhibitor) have each required action specified, and at what time those actions apply. The revision was made to clarify the responsibilities involved so that the rule can easily be understood, especially the penalties and when they apply.

Motion Carried.

Rule 9.04 & 9.08n: allow specialty judges to share rings for same-day judging – Board request from June pre-annual Board meeting – amend various rules

RATIONALE: At the June 26, 2014, board meeting, the Board discussed the experimental format committee's report and its recommendation to allow specialty judges to share a ring. During that discussion, the Board requested the Show Rules Committee to put forth a change to allow specialty judges to share rings if the total entry of cats at that show was 180 or less, and put a blanket exemption to the current rules in place for the remainder of this show season to essentially implement the not yet written rule. Rules proposal 9.08n accomplishes that request. The committee expanded that proposal to also address the rare situation where a club has a judge only judging HHPs and Veterans. That judge may now also share a ring with a single specialty judge per rules proposal 9.04.

Motion Carried. Moser voting no.

Rule 7.02 & 7.10: clarify wording – word error – Show Rules Committee Proposal

RATIONALE: This request was made by an exhibitor who felt the current wording of the rule used the wrong term, as sequential was not as restrictive as consecutive. That is, the numbers 4, 6, 11, 19 are in sequential order, but not consecutive order. The intent of the original proposal was to allow just such a use in the catalog, so they ARE necessarily sequential, just not consecutive. This clarifies that intent in both the catalog and judges books.

Motion Carried.

Modify Rule 11.23a (OLD RULE 28.16a) to clarify that a cat with three NA/IMs may be shown as a Household Pet – Show Rules Committee Proposal

RATIONALE: As currently worded, once a cat has received three NA/IM markings from three judges, the cat “is ineligible for entry at any CFA show.” There is no caveat - the cat can never again be entered in any class at a CFA show. Had that same cat started its show career as a household pet, it would never have been barred from future showing. The SRC feels the ability to show the same cat as a HHP shouldn’t matter where the cat is first shown, especially since we allow cats with missing eyes, legs, tails, and ears to be shown as household pets. It seems that a cat that doesn’t even come close to its breed standard should also be allowed to be shown as a household pet even if it starts its show career in Championship or Premiership. This rule revision would allow those cats to still be entered as household pets only.

Motion Carried.

Modify Show Rule 4.07 (OLD RULE 12.07) to require a minimum number of specialty rings for the licensing of any show in Regions 1 – 7, and potentially expand the proposal based on analysis of show statistics to Regions 8, 9, and the International Division – Show Rules Committee Proposal

RATIONALE: At the August 2014 board meeting, the SRC was specifically directed to write a rule that would mandate specialty rings on some sliding scale for all shows in Regions 1-7, and provide an analysis on whether such a rule should be expanded into Regions 8, 9, and the International Division.

This proposal was considered necessary for two reasons – first, the training of judges requires the existence of specialty rings. As can be seen from the following table, we are down about 30 percent in the number of specialty rings we had just five years ago. If you go back even further in the United States (sorry, I don’t have data prior to 2008-2009 season on the rings outside of the United States), that drop is a whopping 70 percent loss of specialty rings, from its old value around 25 percent.

Percentage of Specialty Rings to Overall Rings					
	Worldwide	R 1-7	Region 8	Region 9	ID
2013-2014	7.5%	8.2%	12.7%	3.3%	4.6%
2012-2013	8.7%	9.9%	15.5%	2.8%	3.8%
2011-2012	9.2%	10.8%	11.7%	0.0%	4.3%
2010-2011	9.2%	10.8%	12.8%	0.0%	1.1%

2009-2010	9.7%	10.2%	17.8%	1.4%	1.4%
2008-2009	12.2%	12.5%	19.1%	4.8%	0.0%

Second, specialty rings attract local exhibitors, but at the same time, they may cause travelling exhibitors to shun that show. Mandating specialty rings ensures that they exist for the local exhibitor base, while reducing the competition between shows for the most allbreed rings in a particular weekend to attract those travelling exhibitors. This should maximize the increase in exhibitors while minimizing the loss of exhibitors. The problem with a club “going it alone” is that while they may increase that local exhibitor base, they WILL reduce the travelling exhibitor base, so at best, they will end up where they already are (a known value). The risk is too great that the loss will outweigh the increase. That is why a mandatory approach is necessary – to reduce or eliminate that risk.

Some have suggested that rather than write the rule to mandate specialty rings, we should use an incentive program to encourage clubs to adopt them on their own. As noted above, that is a big risk if the other clubs with shows on that club’s weekend don’t do the same thing. Very few, if any clubs want to take that risk. In addition, to make such an incentive worthwhile to a club, a fee structure would need to be put in place that was fairly onerous. For example, to not license one of the specialty rings required would cost an additional \$ 150.00 to license the show, to not use two of the specialty rings required would cost an additional \$ 300.00 to license that show, to not use three of the specialty rings required would cost an additional \$ 450.00; and finally with a 6x6 with all allbreed rings the additional cost to the clubs would be \$ 300.00 for each of the two shows above the standard license fee to license the shows. To lower these fees, or go with a surcharge reduction, does not provide a sufficient incentive to accomplish the goal. This approach would be discriminatory to those clubs that don’t have a deep treasury, putting clubs with such a treasury at a significant advantage over the other clubs requesting licenses against that clubs show weekend. This is why the SRC did not opt to go with an incentive program.

The above rules text redefines requirements to license a show. For all shows of 4 rings or less, no specialty rings are required. The sliding scale comes into play as soon as a club wishes to go beyond four rings, with the requirement that additional rings would be licensed as follows: 1S, 1A, 1S, 1A, 1S, 1A, 1S, 1A. The latter two examples would be license sharing requirements for the two shows licensed as a 6X6. Based on the statistical analysis presented in the following tables the SRC revised the sliding scale for mandatory specialty rings in Regions 1-8, and did not make it applicable to the International Division. We felt that the high percentage of longhair cats shown in that area warranted no specialty ring requirements at this time as it would severely discriminate against Shorthair Specialty cats from being able to compete for any divisional award other than a breed win. That is because a shorthair cat competing for a divisional award must earn all of its divisional points in the International Division, and not even all countries in the International Division given the severe quarantine restrictions. A separate analysis was also done for Region 9, and that will be presented later on. Region 9 is similar to the International Division in that all points for a regional win must be earned within the region. They cannot travel outside of Region 9 and earn any points that would qualify for a regional win.

From the above table, you can see that there is no need to exclude Region 8 from this proposal as they would already almost meet the requirement the way they license their shows currently. That leaves Region 9 and the International Division. The concern in both of these areas deals with the high percentage of cats being shown of a particular specialty, namely longhairs. The following table analyses the percentage of longhair cats present (compared to all cats present) for the same set of show seasons. I have added a comparison to Region 2, where the predominant specialty is shorthairs so these percentages can be compared to what currently exists inside North America.

Percentage of Longhair Cats Competing to Overall Cats Competing					
	Worldwide	Region 2	Region 8	Region 9	ID
2013-2014	51.1%	42.1%	48.2%	59.4%	65.9%
2012-2013	51.6%	41.2%	46.2%	59.8%	65.3%
2011-2012	50.4%	42.4%	51.2%	58.5%	69.0%
2010-2011	51.6%	49.2%	44.5%	58.1%	71.4%
2009-2010	51.8%	48.2%	51.7%	61.3%	69.1%
2008-2009	51.3%	48.7%	48.5%	62.1%	64.7%

As can be seen from the table, in the International Division, longhairs significantly outnumber shorthairs by an average of a 2:1 margin (that means out of 100 cats present, about 67 would be longhairs and 33 would be shorthairs). With this high of a discrepancy, we have chosen to exclude the International Division from the proposal. On the other hand, while Region 9 has a high percentage of longhair cats present, it isn't that different from the shorthair cats present in Region 2. For example, if you average the last three show seasons, Region 9 had an average of 59.2% longhairs while Region 2 had an average of 58.1% shorthairs. Those two numbers are not that different. However, longhair cats in Region 2 have the opportunity to go to shows in other regions (3 and 5, for example), where the shorthair percentages are not as high, while cats in Region 9 do not have that opportunity. As such, we have proposed a revised sliding scale for Region 9 where the first six rings could all be allbreed, and then specialty rings would be added as follows: 1S, 1A, 1A, 1S, 1A, 1A, where the last two are the combined 6x6 format shows. We feel this is fairer to the shorthair cats shown in Region 9, where the specialty finals will reduce the number of points available to a shorthair cat to earn given the inability of those cats to travel outside of the region to earn any qualifying points towards a regional win. Of note, a cat would have to travel the equivalent of coast to coast in the United States every week, with appropriate entry visas for several of the countries (which the exhibitor would have to pay to the country in question to be able to enter with their cat), to be able to earn 100 rings toward a Regional win in Europe during a show season. That is because in Europe, there are only 14% of the total number of rings available compared to the United States, where cats can compete in any US region to earn points towards a regional win.

Given the above, the SRC wants to note that this is the kind of proposal that we would not normally take directly to the Board for approval had it come directly from our initiative. This is similar to a proposal we are working on to redefine how regions are assigned to co-owned cats – it would not be left to an exhibitor to select their choice, it would be based on where the cat is shown. Those are proposals we would normally take to the delegates at an annual meeting for approval.

Mrs. Krzanowski moved to table until after the board has an opportunity to discuss the show formats. Seconded by **Ms. DelaBar**, **Motion** [to table] **Carried**.

Mrs. Krzanowski moved to accept the proposal, as written. Seconded by **Mr. McCullough**, **Motion Carried**. Meeker, Anger, Kuta, Dugger, Mastin and Brown voting no.

Modify Show Rule 3.02b and d (Old Rules 25.02b & d) to revise rules regarding requests for judges to judge and impose guest judging limitations in ID that currently exist in all other regions – Show Rules Committee Proposal

RATIONALE: Section 3.02b is revised to clarify the intent of the rule and to allow for judges in both Japan and the International Division to train in those respective areas. Section 3.02d was revised to place the same restriction on guest judging assignments in the International Division that currently exists in Regions 1-9.

Subparagraph b. **Withdrawn.**

Mrs. Krzanowski moved to reconsider. Seconded by **Mrs. Wilson, Motion Carried.** DelaBar, Meeker and Eigenhauser voting no.

Mrs. Krzanowski moved to approve the proposal as written. Seconded by **Mr. McCullough, Motion Carried.**

4 – Differences Between Revamped Rules Text and Old Version (Printed) for 2014-2015 Show Season

Mrs. Krzanowski moved to accept the revamped show rules. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Carried.** DelaBar and Ganoe voting no.

(29) SUPER SPECIALTY FORMAT.

Tabled.

(30) SHOW FORMAT PROPOSALS - TEN RING AND 6X6 FORMATS.

Mr. McCullough moved that we continue with our 10 ring show format. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Carried.** Ganoe, Eigenhauser and Wilson abstained.

Reserving the right to vote no, **Ms. DelaBar** moved to remove the 6x6 option from our show formats. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Failed.** Ganoe voting yes.

(31) SHOW FORMAT PROPOSALS – STATUS OF SPECIALTY RINGS.

See Show Rules Report item #3.g.

(32) CFA OUTREACH/EDUCATION.

No action items were presented.

(33) YOUTH FELINE EDUCATION PROGRAM.

No action items were presented.

(34) MENTOR/NEWBEE PROGRAM.

No action items were presented.

(35) ANIMAL WELFARE REPORT.

No action items were presented.

(36) CATTERY NAME RENEWAL PROCESS.

No action items were presented.

(37) CFA ANNUALS – CO VS. REGIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES.

Mrs. Moser moved to adopt the proposal as written, with the \$30 fee going to CFA for the delegate fee, and that all regions participate in the 25¢ surcharge, effective with the 2016 Annual in Las Vegas. Seconded by **Ms. Calhoun**, **Motion Carried.** DelaBar voting no.

(38) OLD BUSINESS.

No action items were presented.

(39) NEW BUSINESS.

No action items were presented.

(40) DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS AND SUSPENSIONS.

Appeals: Cases that have been reviewed by the Protest Committee and for which a recommendation was presented to and heard by the Board, a tentative decision was rendered, timely notice was given to the party, an appeal and/or appeal fee was timely filed, and the appeal was heard by the Board of Directors. Therefore, final disposition is as follows:

[to be provided after 30 day appeal period expires]

Respectfully submitted,
Rachel Anger, CFA Secretary