

**SUMMARY AND TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE CALL
CFA BOARD OF DIRECTORS
JANUARY 8, 2013**

Secretary's note: This index is provided only as a courtesy to the readers and is not an official part of the CFA minutes. The numbers shown for each item in the index are keyed to similar numbers shown in the body of the minutes.

| | |
|--|-----|
| Show Rules | (1) |
| Central Office Report | (2) |
| (a) Name Change Request – Kii Cats | |
| (b) Retiring Clubs | |
| (c) Format change – Lincoln State Cat Club | |
| (d) Out of Region Request – Ameridream Cat Club/Sacramento Valley CF | |
| (e) World Cat Congress Request | |
| Club Applications | (3) |
| Proposed Change to Unofficial Count Form..... | (4) |
| Show Scheduling | (5) |
| (a) Broad Policy Discussion on Conflict Weekends | |
| (b) Implement Resolution 17 regarding Show Rules 12.03.c. and 12.03.d. | |
| (c) Bluff City/Tiger's Lair Issue | |
| Sponsorship for Show Entries..... | (6) |
| Judging Program (Baugh)..... | (7) |
| (a) Advancements | |
| (b) Judging Program Rule Revisions | |
| (c) Discussion Regarding Exit Strategies | |

Secretary's Note: The Officers and Board of Directors of the Cat Fanciers' Association, Inc. met on Tuesday, December 4, 2012, via teleconference. President **Jerold Hamza** called the meeting to order at 9:00 p.m. with the following members present:

Mr. Jerold Hamza (President)
Mr. Mark Hannon (Vice-President)
Carla Bizzell, C.P.A. (Treasurer)
Ms. Rachel Anger (Secretary)
Ms. Sharon Roy (NAR Director)
Ginger Meeker, Ph.D. (NWR Director)
Ms. Carissa Altschul (GSR Director)
Mrs. Loretta Baugh (GLR Director)
Mr. Michael Shelton (SWR Director)
Ms. Kathy Calhoun (MWR Director)
Mrs. Tracy Petty (SOR Director)
Mrs. Kayoko Koizumi (Japan Regional Director)
Mr. Pauli Huhtaniemi (Europe Regional Director)
Roger Brown, DVM (Director-at-Large)
George J. Eigenhauser, Esq. (Director-at-Large)
Mr. Richard Kallmeyer (Director-at-Large)
Mrs. Carol Krzanowski (Director-at-Large)
Mr. Darrell Newkirk (Director-at-Large)
Mr. David White (Director-at-Large)
Mrs. Annette Wilson (Director-at-Large)

Also Present:

Edward L. Raymond, Jr., Esq., CFA Legal Counsel
Donna Jean Thompson, Director of Operations
Jeri Zottoli, President, CFA Judges' Association
Monte Phillips, Chair, Show Rules Committee

Not Present:

Roeann Fulkerson, Director of Marketing and Public Relations

SUMMARY

(1) SHOW RULES.

Removal of Judging Restrictions - discussed at June 28, and October 7th Board Meetings

| Rule 25.02 | Show Rules Committee |
|---|---|
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| <p>Invitations from clubs affiliated with foreign cat associations are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program/Board and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed or Approved Specialty judges. Invitations from CFA clubs in Region 9 or the International Division may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed (eligible for specialties) and Approved Specialty judges. A judge may judge only the specialty in which he/she is approved.</p> <p>Judges residing in Region 9 or the International Division may judge in Region 9 or the International Division.</p> <p>Approval of the Judging Program/CFA Board must also be obtained for non-CFA shows. Household Pet, 4-H, pet fairs and seminars require notification of the Judging Program Chairman.</p> <p>After appropriate permission is received from the Judging Program/CFA Board for a judge to accept a non-CFA foreign guest assignment, he/she must send a CFA Judging Contract in triplicate to the club. When signed and dated by the judge, this constitutes an offer to officiate. This offer will live for a period of 35 days from the date set opposite the judge's signature. Unless the club accepts this offer and communicates its acceptance to the judge within 35 days of said date by returning two copies of this agreement executed by the club to the judge, the offer dies and the judge is not obligated further. Judges must send a signed copy of all approved guest judging contracts to the CFA Central Office.</p> <p>If a CFA judge who is under contract to officiate at 2, 3 or more consecutive, non-CFA sanctioned shows as a guest judge, has cause to cancel these foreign assignments in response to a change of circumstances domestically (excluding illness or incapacitation) then the judge is allowed to accept a CFA show on any of these same weekends.</p> | <p>a. Invitations from clubs affiliated with <u>non-CFA foreign</u> cat associations are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program/Board and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, <u>Approved Specialty, or,</u> Approval Pending Allbreed or <u>Approved Specialty</u> judges. <u>Household Pet, 4-H, pet fairs and seminars may be accepted by any licensed CFA judge, who should notify the Judging Program Chairman prior to accepting the invitation.</u></p> <p><u>After appropriate permission is received from the Judging Program/CFA Board for a judge to accept a non-CFA foreign guest assignment, he/she must send a CFA Judging Contract in triplicate to the club. When signed and dated by the judge, this constitutes an offer to officiate. This offer will remain in effect for a period of 35 days from the date set opposite the judge's signature. Unless the club accepts this offer and communicates its acceptance to the judge within 35 days of said date by returning two copies of this agreement executed by the club to the judge, the offer expires and the judge is not obligated further. Judges must send a signed copy of all approved guest judging contracts to the CFA Central Office.</u></p> <p><u>If a CFA judge who is under contract to officiate at 2, 3 or more consecutive, non-CFA sanctioned shows as a guest judge, has cause to cancel these foreign assignments in response to a change of circumstances domestically (excluding illness or incapacitation) then the judge is allowed to accept a CFA show on any of these same weekends.</u></p> <p>b. Invitations from CFA clubs in Region 9 or the International Division may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, or Approval Pending Allbreed (eligible for specialties) and Approved Specialty judges. A judge may judge only the specialty in which he/she is approved<u>licensed</u>. <u>Note: Once a judge is approved in one specialty, they may judge the other specialty once licensed as an apprentice.</u></p> <p>Judges residing in Region 9 or the International Division may judge in Region 9 or the International Division.</p> <p>c. <u>Invitations from CFA clubs for non-CFA Judges are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program Committee and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed or</u></p> |

Approved Specialty Judges whose license from an accepted association is on file with the Judging Program Committee. A Judge may only judge the level at which they are licensed. Approval of the Judging Program/CFA Board must also be obtained for non-CFA shows. Household Pet, 4 H, pet fairs and seminars require notification of the Judging Program Chairman.

~~After appropriate permission is received from the Judging Program/CFA Board for a judge to accept a non-CFA foreign guest assignment, he/she must send a CFA Judging Contract in triplicate to the club. When signed and dated by the judge, this constitutes an offer to officiate. This offer will live for a period of 35 days from the date set opposite the judge's signature. Unless the club accepts this offer and communicates its acceptance to the judge within 35 days of said date by returning two copies of this agreement executed by the club to the judge, the offer dies and the judge is not obligated further. Judges must send a signed copy of all approved guest judging contracts to the CFA Central Office.~~

~~If a CFA judge who is under contract to officiate at 2, 3 or more consecutive, non-CFA sanctioned shows as a guest judge, has cause to cancel these foreign assignments in response to a change of circumstances domestically (excluding illness or incapacitation) then the judge is allowed to accept a CFA show on any of these same weekends.~~

d. For shows in Regions 1-9, individuals may guest judge for CFA a maximum of five (5) times per show season and a maximum of two (2) times per club per show season.

RATIONALE: At the October and December Board meetings, the consensus was to clarify this rule to address the three ways judging assignments could occur involving CFA clubs/judges and non-CFA clubs/judges, and to restrict foreign assignments to approval-pending or approved judges. The first case defines CFA judges accepting assignments in non-CFA shows, the second case involves CFA judges accepting assignments at CFA shows in the International Division (removing the current restriction on Region 9), and the third option is where a non-CFA judge is invited to judge at a CFA show. The proposal expands the ability of CFA Approval-pending specialty judges to judge at non-CFA shows, but only in the specialty in which they are licensed.

Regarding the restriction on guest judging assignments, in Regions 1- 9 the large majority of guest judges officiate at 3-4 CFA shows in a show season. There is a small percentage that judge three to four times as many shows. Most of those who guest judge a large number of CFA shows are in the process of applying to the CFA Judging Program and the restriction would not impact them. For the few who choose not to come to CFA it is not appropriate, nor does it send a positive message, to have those individuals able to influence regional/national standings. Limiting an individual to two shows per club per show season will serve to allow all clubs to have equal access to guest judges.

Upon motion by **Mrs. Baugh**, seconded by **Ms. Anger**, **Motion Carried. Newkirk** voting no.

Correct error from December Board meeting on Grand Point requirements for Premiership in certain parts of the International Division

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| 9.03b | Show Rules Committee | |
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| | Two hundred (200) points are required for Grand Championship; seventy-five (75) points for Grand Premiership in Regions 1 through 9 with the exceptions of the Maritime Provinces of Canada, Malta, the Ukraine, Hawaii, Russia (east of the Ural mountains), and the International Division. For cats residing and competing in Hawaii, Malta, Russia (east of the Ural mountains), the International Division (except Hong Kong, China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia), and the Maritime Provinces of Canada seventy five points (75) are required for Grand Championship; twenty-five (25) points are required for Grand Premiership. In Hong Kong, China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia one hundred twenty-five (125) points are required for Grand Championship. In the Ukraine two hundred (200) points are required for Grand Championship. In Hong Kong seventy-five (75) points are required for Grand Premiership; in Ukraine and Russia (east of the Ural mountains) twenty-five (25) points are required for Grand Premiership. | Two hundred (200) points are required for Grand Championship; seventy-five (75) points for Grand Premiership in Regions 1 through 9 with the exceptions of the Maritime Provinces of Canada, Malta, the Ukraine, Hawaii, Russia (east of the Ural mountains), and the International Division. For cats residing and competing in Hawaii, Malta, Russia (east of the Ural mountains), the International Division (except Hong Kong, China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia), and the Maritime Provinces of Canada seventy five points (75) are required for Grand Championship; twenty-five (25) points are required for Grand Premiership. In Hong Kong, China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia one hundred twenty-five (125) points are required for Grand Championship. In the Ukraine two hundred (200) points are required for Grand Championship. In Hong Kong, <u>China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia</u> seventy-five (75) points are required for Grand Premiership; in Ukraine and Russia (east of the Ural mountains) twenty-five (25) points are required for Grand Premiership. |
| RATIONALE: At the December Board meeting, this rule was revised, but the inclusion of Grand Premiership requirements for China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia was inadvertently left off. This corrects that error. | | |

Upon motion by **Mrs. Baugh**, seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser**, **Motion Carried.**

Define Weekend for the purposes of show scheduling:

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| Rule # 1.25 (new) | Show Rules Committee | |
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| | (none) | <u>A WEEKEND is a two-day consecutive period occurring either on a Friday/Saturday, Saturday/Sunday, or Sunday/Monday.</u> |
| RATIONALE: The phrase “weekend” is used in several places in our rules, but never defined. In many countries, the weekend is Friday/Saturday, not Saturday/Sunday. Also in the United States, there are several weekends where Monday is a holiday. As such, clubs conceivably could schedule a weekend show for a holiday weekend’s Sunday/Monday. This rule would define the term weekend to mean any two consecutive-day period (can’t have three without specific Board exemption approval) between Friday and Monday. | | |
| Rule # 2.14 | Show Rules Committee | |
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| | No cat or kitten shall compete in more than 1 two-day show or 2 one-day shows (total 12 rings maximum) within four calendar days of any previous benching. If a cat/kitten is scheduled to be judged on only one day of a two day show, it will be considered to be benched only | No cat or kitten shall compete in more than 1 two-day show or 2 one-day shows (total 12 rings maximum) within four <u>three</u> calendar days of any previous benching. If a cat/kitten is scheduled to be judged on only one day of a two day show, it will be considered to be benched |

| | |
|--|--|
| on that day. Cats benched in violation of this rule will receive no credit for the awards/points achieved in the latter show. | only on that day. Cats benched in violation of this rule will receive no credit for the awards/points achieved in the latter show. |
| RATIONALE: Assuming the above definition of weekend was passed, this rule needs to be revised for the rare case that could exist where a cat went to a Sunday/Monday set of shows one weekend, and a Friday/Saturday set of shows the following weekend. | |
| Rule # 12.07a.2 and 12.07a.3 | Show Rules Committee |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| <p>2. a one-day show format consisting of six rings held on Saturday or Sunday with an entry limit of 225 cats. This format will permit up to six judgments per entry in any combination of Allbreed or Specialty rings.</p> <p>3. Two six ring, one day shows in the same location (6x6) consisting of six rings held on Saturday and six rings held on Sunday with an entry limit of 225 cats. This format will permit up to six judgments per entry each day.</p> | <p>2. a one-day show format consisting of six rings held on Saturday or Sunday with an entry limit of 225 cats. This format will permit up to six judgments per entry in any combination of Allbreed or Specialty rings.</p> <p>3. Two six ring, one day shows in the same location (6x6) consisting of six rings held on <u>the first day Saturday</u> and six rings held <u>the second day on Sunday</u> with an entry limit of 225 cats. This format will permit up to six judgments per entry each day.</p> |
| RATIONALE: These rules currently specifically identify the weekend as Saturday and Sunday. This revision eliminates that specificity, and is in concert with the above addition of a definition of weekend. The restriction to just Saturday and Sunday is eliminated with this change to allow licensing a show on any date of a weekend (which as defined earlier is at most two consecutive days over the Friday to Monday period). | |

Tabled.

Revise 17.02 to make it clear an entry clerk can accept a Novice entry without a registration number

| | |
|--|--|
| Rule # 17.02 | Show Rules Committee |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| The entry clerk shall be responsible for reviewing each entry form received to verify that all necessary information is included. The entry clerk is prohibited from accepting Championship, Premiership, Provisional (kittens or adults) and AOV entries (kittens or adults) whose entry form does not contain a registration number. In the case of cats requesting a temporary registration number, the entry clerk will contact Central Office and obtain the number for inclusion in the show catalog and complete, if not already completed by the owner, an application for temporary registration number that will be attached to the pedigree. The cat's pedigree, application form, and associated registration fee must be provided no later than the start of judging for the show or the cat will be entered as a novice. | The entry clerk shall be responsible for reviewing each entry form received to verify that all necessary information is included. The entry clerk is prohibited from accepting Championship <u>(unless the entry is for a Novice)</u> , Premiership <u>(unless the entry is for a Novice)</u> , Provisional (kittens or adults) and AOV entries (kittens or adults) whose entry form does not contain a registration number. In the case of cats requesting a temporary registration number, the entry clerk will contact Central Office and obtain the number for inclusion in the show catalog and complete, if not already completed by the owner, an application for temporary registration number that will be attached to the pedigree. The cat's pedigree, application form, and associated registration fee must be provided no later than the start of judging for the show or the cat will be entered as a novice. |
| RATIONALE: This rule as currently worded appears to preclude the acceptance of entries of Novices in any show. It is being modified to clarify that the entry clerk can enter a cat in a show as a Novice without a registration number. | |

Upon motion by **Mr. Hannon**, seconded by **Mrs. Baugh**, **Motion Carried**.

Revise 1.24 to clarify that temporary registration numbers can be provided for non-championship category cats (kittens, AOVs, Provisional, and Miscellaneous) as well

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Rule # 1.24 | Show Rules Committee based on Board Member request | |
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| | <p>A TEMPORARY REGISTRATION NUMBER is provided to listed cats who wish to compete at a CFA show and earn awards, i.e., points earned and qualifying rings will be credited to the cat. The temporary registration number is obtained for the exhibitor from the CFA Central Office via the Entry Clerk. Temporary Registration numbers will be issued by the entry clerk upon receipt of an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), and the appropriate fee, which is in addition to the club's entry fee. This must be provided to the entry clerk no later than the beginning of judging for the show. The fee, application form, and pedigree will be provided to Central Office in the show package. Upon review, the registration number will either remain valid for 30 days from the first day of the show, or be voided if CFA registration requirements are not met for the breed being registered. Central Office will notify any exhibitor whose temporary registration number is voided with the bases for such decision. Temporary registration numbers will be listed in the catalog as if they were permanent. Cats may compete and continue to earn points for 30 days from the first day of the first show where they have obtained a temporary registration number. That number should be used on all subsequent entries after the first show for the 30-day period or until the cat obtains a permanent registration number. At the end of this 30-day period, the cat may not be shown without a permanent registration number.</p> | <p>A TEMPORARY REGISTRATION NUMBER is provided to listed cats who wish to compete at a CFA show and earn awards <u>in any competitive category, e.g. i.e.</u>, points earned and qualifying rings will be credited to the cat. The temporary registration number is obtained for the exhibitor from the CFA Central Office via the Entry Clerk. Temporary Registration numbers will be issued by the entry clerk upon receipt of an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), and the appropriate fee, which is in addition to the club's entry fee. This must be provided to the entry clerk no later than the beginning of judging for the show. The fee, application form, and pedigree will be provided to Central Office in the show package. Upon review, the registration number will either remain valid for 30 days from the first day of the show, or be voided if CFA registration requirements are not met for the breed being registered. Central Office will notify any exhibitor whose temporary registration number is voided with the bases for such decision. Temporary registration numbers will be listed in the catalog as if they were permanent. Cats may compete and continue to earn points for 30 days from the first day of the first show where they have obtained a temporary registration number. That number should be used on all subsequent entries after the first show for the 30-day period or until the cat obtains a permanent registration number. At the end of this 30-day period, the cat may not be shown without a permanent registration number.</p> |
| <p>RATIONALE: This rule as currently worded is not clear as to whether a temporary registration number can be issued for a kitten, miscellaneous, AOV, or provisional cat. This change clarifies that these numbers can be issued to these cats (non-championship competitive category).</p> | | |

Upon motion by **Mr. Hannon**, seconded by **Mrs. Meeker**, **Motion Carried**.

Revise 1.24 to not only clarify that temporary registration numbers can be provided for non-championship category cats (kittens, AOVs, Provisional, and Miscellaneous), but also can be provided to those registered via CFA-registered sire and dam

| | | |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Rule # 1.24 | Show Rules Committee based on Board Member request | |
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| | <p>A TEMPORARY REGISTRATION NUMBER is provided to listed cats who wish to compete at a CFA show and earn awards, i.e., points earned and qualifying rings will be credited to the cat. The temporary</p> | <p>A TEMPORARY REGISTRATION NUMBER is provided to listed cats who wish to compete at a CFA show and earn awards <u>in any competitive category, e.g. i.e.</u>, points earned and qualifying rings will be credited to</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>registration number is obtained for the exhibitor from the CFA Central Office via the Entry Clerk. Temporary Registration numbers will be issued by the entry clerk upon receipt of an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), and the appropriate fee, which is in addition to the club's entry fee. This must be provided to the entry clerk no later than the beginning of judging for the show. The fee, application form, and pedigree will be provided to Central Office in the show package. Upon review, the registration number will either remain valid for 30 days from the first day of the show, or be voided if CFA registration requirements are not met for the breed being registered. Central Office will notify any exhibitor whose temporary registration number is voided with the bases for such decision. Temporary registration numbers will be listed in the catalog as if they were permanent. Cats may compete and continue to earn points for 30 days from the first day of the first show where they have obtained a temporary registration number. That number should be used on all subsequent entries after the first show for the 30-day period or until the cat obtains a permanent registration number. At the end of this 30-day period, the cat may not be shown without a permanent registration number.</p> | <p>the cat. The temporary registration number is obtained for the exhibitor from the CFA Central Office via the Entry Clerk. Temporary Registration numbers will be issued by the entry clerk upon receipt of an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), and the appropriate fee, which is in addition to the club's entry fee <u>for registration by pedigree; or an accurate pedigree showing the CFA registration number for both the sire and dam of the cat/kitten, and the appropriate fee, which is in addition to the club's entry fee.</u> This must be provided to the entry clerk no later than the beginning of judging for the show. The fee, application form, and pedigree will be provided to Central Office in the show package. Upon review, the registration number will either remain valid for 30 days from the first day of the show, or be voided if CFA registration requirements are not met for the breed being registered. Central Office will notify any exhibitor whose temporary registration number is voided with the bases for such decision. <u>Note: wins will also be voided if a cat competes in a competitive category not otherwise eligible based on its permanent registration, e.g., offspring of a "not-for-breeding" cat competing.</u> Temporary registration numbers will be listed in the catalog as if they were permanent. Cats may compete and continue to earn points for 30 days from the first day of the first show where they have obtained a temporary registration number. That number should be used on all subsequent entries after the first show for the 30-day period or until the cat obtains a permanent registration number. At the end of this 30-day period, the cat may not be shown without a permanent registration number.</p> |
| Rule # 4.03 | Show Rules Committee |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| <p>It is the responsibility of the owner to enter a cat or kitten correctly under its exact registered name, registered ownership, region/area of residence, and when required, registration number. (See Paragraph 2.03.) Exhibitors that request a temporary registration number will also include an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), with all cats on the pedigree being acceptable for that breed per current registration requirements. This would include longhair exotics shown as Persians and Oriental Shorthairs shown as Colorpoint Shorthairs (see rule 2.04).</p> | <p>It is the responsibility of the owner to enter a cat or kitten correctly under its exact registered name, registered ownership, region/area of residence, and when required, registration number. (See Paragraph 2.03.) Exhibitors that request a temporary registration number will also include an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required) <u>for cats to be registered by pedigree, or a pedigree identifying the CFA registration number of the sire and dam for cats to be registered otherwise,</u> with all cats on the pedigree being acceptable for that breed per current registration requirements. This would include longhair exotics shown as Persians and Oriental Shorthairs shown as Colorpoint Shorthairs (see rule 2.04).</p> |
| Rule # 11.03 | Show Rules Committee |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>It is the responsibility of the owner to enter a cat or kitten correctly under its exact registered name, registered ownership, region/area of residence, exact color/tabby pattern, and when required, registration number. (See paragraph 2.03, 11.04.) Entries that request a temporary registration number will also include an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), with all cats on the pedigree being acceptable for that breed per current registration requirements. This would include longhair exotics shown as Persians and Oriental Shorthairs shown as Colorpoint Shorthairs (see rule 2.04) and appropriate fee submitted.</p> | <p>It is the responsibility of the owner to enter a cat or kitten correctly under its exact registered name, registered ownership, region/area of residence, exact color/tabby pattern, and when required, registration number. (See paragraph 2.03, 11.04.) Entries that request a temporary registration number will also include an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required) <u>for cats to be registered by pedigree, or a pedigree identifying the CFA registration number of the sire and dam for cats to be registered otherwise</u>, with all cats on the pedigree being acceptable for that breed per current registration requirements. This would include longhair exotics shown as Persians and Oriental Shorthairs shown as Colorpoint Shorthairs (see rule 2.04) and appropriate fee submitted.</p> |
|---|---|

RATIONALE: This rule as currently worded is not clear as to whether a temporary registration number can be issued for a kitten, miscellaneous, AOV, or provisional cat. This change clarifies that these numbers can be issued to these cats (non-championship competitive category). In addition, this rule includes a provision whereby a temporary registration number can be obtained for a cat/kitten that has both a sire and dam registered with CFA.

Upon motion by **Mr. Kallmeyer**, seconded by **Ms. Anger**, **Motion Carried.**

Revise Award Structure in International Division to reflect quarantine problems – ID Awards Committee report – September , 2012 Board Meeting

| | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Rule # 4.05.01 | Show Rules Committee | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

RATIONALE: To create an award structure for many different geographical areas within the International Division, Central Office needs to be able to identify from where a cat competing is crediting those points towards its associated Divisional Award geographical area. This revision identifies the full spectrum of geographical areas addressed. In addition to the geographical areas listed, a catch-all “other” area is defined as any country in the world not already addressed by a specifically identified geographical area.

Withdrawn.

| Article XXXVII – International Division Awards | Show Rules Committee |
|---|---|
| <p style="text-align: center;">Existing Wording</p> <p style="text-align: center;">International Division Awards</p> <p><i>International Division Definition:</i> for the purposes of season end awards, the International Division is as defined in the CFA constitution.</p> <p><u>International Division</u> Best Cat*: Trophy 2nd-20th Best Cat*: Certificate Best Kitten*: Trophy 2nd-20th Best Kitten*: Certificate Best Cat in Premiership*: Trophy 2nd-15th Best Cat in Premiership*: Certificate</p> <p>*The title of “International Division Winner (DW)” is given to cats receiving these awards.</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">Proposed Wording</p> <p style="text-align: center;">International Division Awards</p> <p><i>International Division Definition:</i> for the purposes of season end awards, the International Division is as defined in the CFA constitution <u>divided into the following geographical areas based on quarantine requirements: A=Africa and western Asia (including the middle east (minus Israel), Turkey, Iran, India, Maldives, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, etc.); C=China; H=Hong Kong; I=Indonesia; K=South Korea, L=Israel, M=Malaysia/Philippines/Vietnam/Brunei, P=Singapore, S=South or Central America, including the Caribbean nations, T=Thailand, and W=Taiwan.</u></p> <p><u>International Division</u> <u>For the above geographical areas, numbers of awards in each area are based on the following formula:</u></p> <p><u>5-9 rings sponsored in the area = 1 award;</u> <u>10-30 rings sponsored in an area = 3 awards;</u> <u>31-44 rings sponsored in an area = 5 awards;</u> <u>45-70 rings sponsored in an area = 10 awards;</u> <u>>70 rings sponsored in an area = 15 awards.</u></p> <p><u>To be eligible for an award, in the International Division, cats must earn a minimum of the following: 50 points in championship, 30 points in kitten, and 25 points in premiership.</u></p> <p><u>Awards are as follows:</u> Best Cat*: Trophy 2nd-2015th Best Cat, as appropriate*: Certificate Best Kitten*: Trophy 2nd-2015th Best Kitten, as appropriate*: Certificate Best Cat in Premiership*: Trophy 2nd-15th Best Cat in Premiership, as appropriate*: Certificate</p> <p>*The title of “International Division Winner (DW)” is given to cats receiving these awards.</p> |
| <p>RATIONALE: Unlike most of CFA’s regions (with the exception of Hawaii and Japan), the International Division is plagued with multiple and various quarantine requirements that prevent cats from competing at any show in the division. For example, cats from Malaysia can go to Hong Kong and vice versa. If a Malaysian cat goes to Thailand, it cannot go to Hong Kong for 6 months. Cats from Thailand and Indonesia can go to Malaysia, but not Hong Kong. Effectively, there is no real cross-border competition. Then, Israeli cats cannot go to Dubai, Kuwait or Egypt. Kuwait and Egypt cats could go to Malaysia, but it would cost a lot. Finally, South American cats can’t go anywhere cheaply. Given all of this geographical quarantine isolation, it makes sense to provide an award structure that recognizes those restrictions. The above was discussed at the September 2012 board meeting, and is the consensus from that meeting. Specifically, rather than work to a number of shows formula, the proposal is based on a number of rings formula.</p> | |

Upon motion by **Mr. Kallmeyer**, seconded by **Mrs. Meeker**, Motion Carried.

(2) **CENTRAL OFFICE REPORT.**

Ms. Calhoun moved to approve the name change of Kii Cat Fanciers (Region 9) to Prime Gemini Cat Club. Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser, Motion Carried.**

Ms. Calhoun moved to accept the request to permanently retire the following Region 6 clubs:

- (a) *Wisconsin Longhair Fanciers*
- (b) *Somali Feline Fanciers*
- (c) *Dandylions Cat Club*
- (d) *Crown Royal Shorthair Fanciers*
- (e) *Crown Royal Longhair Fanciers*
- (f) *American Wirehair Cat Society*
- (g) *Archangel Society*
- (h) *Dynamics Persian Society*

Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Carried.**

Ms. Calhoun moved to grant a show format change request to Lincoln State Cat Club (Region 6) for their February 16/17, 2013 show in Palatine, Illinois, to expand to a two-day, 8 ring show, with a 6 Allbreed/2 Specialty format. Seconded by **Ms. Altschul, Motion Carried.** Hannon and Baugh voting no. Wilson abstained.

No Action was deemed necessary on the request of Ameridream Cat Club (Region 8) for an additional sponsor for their March 30, 2012 show in Tokyo, Japan.

The request of TICA to permit a CFA Judge to guest judge the World Cat Congress event on March 7-10, 2014 in Miami, Florida, was **Tabled.**

(3) **CLUB APPLICATIONS.**

The following club applications were presented for acceptance:

- SOUTHWEST JAPANESE BOBTAIL FANCIERS (Region 5). **Mr. Eigenhauser** moved to accept. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Carried.** Anger voting no.
- CHOCOLATE HEART (International Division-Asia [China]). **Mrs. Meeker** moved to accept. Seconded by **Ms. Calhoun, Motion Carried.**

(4) **PROPOSED CHANGE TO UNOFFICIAL COUNT FORM.**

Mr. Eigenhauser moved to accept the amended Unofficial Count Form. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Carried.**

(5) **SHOW SCHEDULING.**

- (a) **Broad Policy Discussion on Conflict Weekends**

Tabled to the February meeting.

- (b) **Implement Resolution 17 regarding Show Rule 12.03.c.**

No Action was deemed necessary to implement Resolution 17.

- (c) **Bluff City/Tiger's Lair Issue**

Following an executive session discussion, **Mrs. Baugh** moved to withdraw (rescind) the December 2013 show license of Bluff City. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker, Motion Carried.** Bizzell and Wilson abstained.

(6) SPONSORSHIP FOR SHOW ENTRIES.

No action items were presented.

(7) JUDGING PROGRAM.

(a) Advancements

Mrs. Baugh moved to accept the following applications and advancements:

Advance to Approval Pending Specialty:

Jacqui Bennett *LH – 2nd Specialty* 19 yes
Buford, Georgia

Advance to Approved Specialty:

Anne Mathis *LH – 2nd Specialty* 19 yes
Fowlerville, Michigan

Advance to Approval Pending Allbreed:

Anne Mathis 19 yes
Fowlerville, Michigan

(b) Judging Program Rule Revisions

Tabled to the February board meeting.

(c) Discussion Regarding Exit Strategies

Following an executive discussion, a committee was formed, consisting of the following: Jeri Zottoli, President, CFA Judges’ Association; Edward L. Raymond, Jr., Esq., CFA Legal Counsel; Loretta Baugh, Chair, CFA Judging Program; Rachel Anger, Secretary; and Ginger Meeker, Director, Region 2.

* * *

TRANSCRIPT

Hamza: Does it sound like everybody is here? **Anger:** There are some that are missing, but if you would like I can call the roll and as they log on, we can catch up with them. **Hamza:** OK. Why don't you call the roll call then, please. **Anger:** OK. Jerry Hamza. **Hamza:** I'm here. **Anger:** Mark Hannon. **Hannon:** Here. **Anger:** Carla Bizzell. **Bizzell:** Here. **Anger:** Sharon Roy. **Roy:** Here. **Anger:** Rachel Anger is here. Sharon Roy. **Roy:** Here. **Anger:** Ginger Meeker. **Meeker:** Here. **Anger:** Carissa Altschul. **Altschul:** Here. **Anger:** Loretta Baugh. No Loretta yet. Michael Shelton. **Shelton:** Here. **Anger:** Kathy Calhoun. **Calhoun:** Here. **Anger:** Tracy Petty. **Petty:** Here. **Anger:** Pauli. **Huhtaniemi:** I'm here. **Anger:** Roger Brown. **Brown:** Here. **Anger:** George Eigenhauser. **Eigenhauser:** Here. **Anger:** OK, we've got feedback going already. Dick Kallmeyer. **Kallmeyer:** Here. **Anger:** Carol Krzanowski. **Krzanowski:** Here. **Anger:** Darrell Newkirk. **Newkirk:** Here. **Anger:** David White. **White:** Here. **Anger:** Annette Wilson. **Wilson:** Here. **Anger:** Kayoko Koizumi. **Koizumi:** Here. **Anger:** Ed Raymond. **Raymond:** Here. **Anger:** Is Donna Jean Thompson on the call. **Thompson:** Yes. **Anger:** Is Roemann Fulkerson on the call? And is Jeri Zottoli on the call? **Zottoli:** Yes. **Anger:** Is there anyone on the call whose name I have failed to mention? **Phillips:** We've got Monte. **Anger:** Oh, Monte, welcome to the call. Monte Phillips. **Phillips:** That would be me. **Anger:** We're good then. Thank you.

(1) SHOW RULES.

Committee Chair: Monte Phillips
List of Committee Members: Cathy Dunham, Kathy Gumm, Shirley Michaud-Dent

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

The Committee has reviewed and prepared show rules to address the definition of a show weekend, and a new awards structure for the International Division.

Current Happenings of Committee:

The committee has prepared this report based on input provided by members of the International Division Committee that was formed to address these awards, specifically, Dick Kallmeyer, Jerry Hamza, and Darrell Newkirk. Per the September and October Board meetings, a solicitation was made to the clubs in the International Division regarding the concept of the specific proposal, and general approval was received from those clubs submitting responses to the proposal.

Future Projections for Committee:

The committee is still obtaining statistics regarding the number of grand champions and grand premiers that have occurred over the past two years (since we moved opens into the champion count) to see if we should raise the number of points required for those awards from present values. The Committee feels, based on comments we've received by e-mails and on the CFA list, that such a proposal should go to the delegates initially for approval. Therefore, we propose to use data for the period May 2011 through December 2012 to determine what proposals should be developed, and then put the proposals before the Board for comments, and finally to the delegates at the 2013 Annual Meeting for approval.

Action Items:

Removal of Judging Restrictions - discussed at June 28, and October 7th Board Meetings

| Rule 25.02 | Show Rules Committee |
|---|---|
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| <p>Invitations from clubs affiliated with foreign cat associations are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program/Board and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed or Approved Specialty judges. Invitations from CFA clubs in Region 9 or the International Division may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed (eligible for specialties) and Approved Specialty judges. A judge may judge only the specialty in which he/she is approved.</p> <p>Judges residing in Region 9 or the International Division may judge in Region 9 or the International Division.</p> <p>Approval of the Judging Program/CFA Board must also be obtained for non-CFA shows. Household Pet, 4-H, pet fairs and seminars require notification of the Judging Program Chairman.</p> <p>After appropriate permission is received from the Judging Program/CFA Board for a judge to accept a non-CFA foreign guest assignment, he/she must send a CFA Judging Contract in triplicate to the club. When signed and dated by the judge, this constitutes an offer to officiate. This offer will live for a period of 35 days from the date set opposite the judge's signature. Unless the club accepts this offer and communicates its acceptance to the judge within 35 days of said date by returning two copies of this agreement executed by the club to the judge, the offer dies and the judge is not obligated further. Judges must send a signed copy of all approved guest judging contracts to the CFA Central Office.</p> <p>If a CFA judge who is under contract to officiate at 2, 3 or more consecutive, non-CFA sanctioned shows as a guest judge, has cause to cancel these foreign assignments in response to a change of circumstances domestically (excluding illness or incapacitation) then the judge is allowed to accept a CFA show on any of these same weekends.</p> | <p>e. Invitations from clubs affiliated with <u>non-CFA foreign</u> cat associations are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program/Board and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, <u>Approved Specialty, or,</u> Approval Pending Allbreed or Approved Specialty judges. <u>Household Pet, 4-H, pet fairs and seminars may be accepted by any licensed CFA judge, who should notify the Judging Program Chairman prior to accepting the invitation.</u></p> <p><u>After appropriate permission is received from the Judging Program/CFA Board for a judge to accept a non-CFA foreign guest assignment, he/she must send a CFA Judging Contract in triplicate to the club. When signed and dated by the judge, this constitutes an offer to officiate. This offer will remain in effect for a period of 35 days from the date set opposite the judge's signature. Unless the club accepts this offer and communicates its acceptance to the judge within 35 days of said date by returning two copies of this agreement executed by the club to the judge, the offer expires and the judge is not obligated further. Judges must send a signed copy of all approved guest judging contracts to the CFA Central Office.</u></p> <p><u>If a CFA judge who is under contract to officiate at 2, 3 or more consecutive, non-CFA sanctioned shows as a guest judge, has cause to cancel these foreign assignments in response to a change of circumstances domestically (excluding illness or incapacitation) then the judge is allowed to accept a CFA show on any of these same weekends.</u></p> <p>f. Invitations from CFA clubs in Region 9 or the International Division may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, or Approval Pending Allbreed (eligible for specialties) and Approved Specialty judges. A judge may judge only the specialty in which he/she is approved licensed. Note: <u>Once a judge is approved in one specialty, they may judge the other specialty once licensed as an apprentice.</u></p> <p>Judges residing in Region 9 or the International Division may judge in Region 9 or the International Division.</p> <p>g. <u>Invitations from CFA clubs for non-CFA Judges are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program Committee and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed or Approved Specialty Judges whose license from an</u></p> |

accepted association is on file with the Judging Program Committee. A Judge may only judge the level at which they are licensed. Approval of the Judging Program/CFA Board must also be obtained for non-CFA shows. Household Pet, 4 H, pet fairs and seminars require notification of the Judging Program Chairman.

~~After appropriate permission is received from the Judging Program/CFA Board for a judge to accept a non-CFA foreign guest assignment, he/she must send a CFA Judging Contract in triplicate to the club. When signed and dated by the judge, this constitutes an offer to officiate. This offer will live for a period of 35 days from the date set opposite the judge's signature. Unless the club accepts this offer and communicates its acceptance to the judge within 35 days of said date by returning two copies of this agreement executed by the club to the judge, the offer dies and the judge is not obligated further. Judges must send a signed copy of all approved guest judging contracts to the CFA Central Office.~~

~~If a CFA judge who is under contract to officiate at 2, 3 or more consecutive, non-CFA sanctioned shows as a guest judge, has cause to cancel these foreign assignments in response to a change of circumstances domestically (excluding illness or incapacitation) then the judge is allowed to accept a CFA show on any of these same weekends.~~

h. For shows in Regions 1-9, individuals may guest judge for CFA a maximum of five (5) times per show season and a maximum of two (2) times per club per show season.

RATIONALE: At the October and December Board meetings, the consensus was to clarify this rule to address the three ways judging assignments could occur involving CFA clubs/judges and non-CFA clubs/judges, and to restrict foreign assignments to approval-pending or approved judges. The first case defines CFA judges accepting assignments in non-CFA shows, the second case involves CFA judges accepting assignments at CFA shows in the International Division (removing the current restriction on Region 9), and the third option is where a non-CFA judge is invited to judge at a CFA show. The proposal expands the ability of CFA Approval-pending specialty judges to judge at non-CFA shows, but only in the specialty in which they are licensed.

Regarding the restriction on guest judging assignments, in Regions 1- 9 the large majority of guest judges officiate at 3-4 CFA shows in a show season. There is a small percentage that judge three to four times as many shows. Most of those who guest judge a large number of CFA shows are in the process of applying to the CFA Judging Program and the restriction would not impact them. For the few who choose not to come to CFA it is not appropriate, nor does it send a positive message, to have those individuals able to influence regional/national standings. Limiting an individual to two shows per club per show season will serve to allow all clubs to have equal access to guest judges.

Hamza: Here we go with Show Rules. Who wants to start off? **Phillips:** Well, I assume I'm going to start off. **Hamza:** Alright, go ahead. **Phillips:** The first one on the list of the Show Rules is the one we've been talking about for the last couple of months. That's the 25.02 revision that has to do with judging assignments. It's broken now into three sections: basically a non-CFA club requesting a CFA judge, first section; CFA club requesting a CFA judge in the International Division, second section; and a CFA club requesting a non-CFA judge, third section. What's changed? For the first section, which has to do with a non-CFA club requesting a CFA judge, we've allowed apprentices or anybody who has a license to judge Household Pets, 4H, pet fairs

and seminars. That's the only thing that's been changed there. In the second section, we've taken Region 9 out because they are technically one of the main regions, as opposed to being in the International Division, and we've made a note that once you're licensed as an approved judge, you can go ahead and judge the other specialty as an apprentice. Third section, basically it's no change from what was there before, in the sense that if you're a CFA club and you want a non-CFA judge, they have to basically be an approved or approval pending equivalent judge in at least one of our specialties. We've added a fourth section, which goes to that as well – I'll call those guest judges – basically limiting the number of assignments that a guest judge can do within CFA to 5 times per show season and 2 times per club. You're going to see that one again, as I understand it, from Loretta when you get to the Judging Rule proposals in [agenda item] 7.b. That's 25.02. **Hamza:** OK. Does anybody have any questions? **Newkirk:** This is Darrell. **Hamza:** Go ahead, Darrell. **Newkirk:** I really object to limiting the number of guest judging assignments. I mean, I don't care if we limit it to 2 per club, but I don't understand why this even came about. What was the impetus to put this in the rules? **Phillips:** You will need to talk to Loretta on that one, because that's where it came from. **Baugh:** Alright, and it came from Wayne [Trevathan] and Peter [Vanwonterghem] specifically; Wayne especially, because of the number of shows. We have just a couple individuals who have done more than that. It's only going to affect 2 or 3 individuals, but we had one judge who did 13 CFA shows and has not, has made – I can't think of the word I want – has indicated an interest in CFA but has never followed through with it. Thirteen guest assignments is an awful lot of assignments, and an awful lot of influence on regional and national awards. **Newkirk:** I would think that that person would be popular and a good judge if they got invited that many times, and so that's the thing that concerns me is, why the limitation? I think I know who you're talking about, and he is an employee of the organization where he currently judges in. **Baugh:** Right, he is. **Newkirk:** And so, we are putting a rule in to basically affect him and maybe one other person? **Baugh:** No. There were actually – the feeling is that it's far too much influence on the national and regional scoring – on the regional scoring and also the national scoring. I'm fairly certain that Pauli welcomes this, as well, and he agreed with it, both on the limitation for the number of times and the number of shows. Is Pauli on the call? **Phillips:** He was to start with. **Hannon:** It's like 4 in the morning there. He fell asleep. **Huhtaniemi:** Yes, I'm here. I have no – I support the limitations. I don't see it as a problem, because it can only affect a couple of judges. But, I want to have the limitation of 2 shows per club, which would allow the other clubs in our region to use those same judges. If we don't have a limitation per club, it might be that a judge is going to be on the judging roster from Ukraine. Most of the clubs in Europe are supporting this. **Hamza:** Any other comments? **Hannon:** This is Mark. On another part of this show rule, are we through with discussing this aspect of it? **Hamza:** Unless anybody else has anything to say. **Hannon:** My question has to do with apprentices, I guess, on up, being able to judge overseas. Is not the current rule that anybody that's a licensed judge can judge overseas? **Phillips:** No. **Hannon:** No? **Phillips:** No. **Baugh:** We changed that in June. **Phillips:** The current rule is Approved Allbreed or Approved Specialty. **Baugh:** No. We passed it in June that it could be anybody. **Hannon:** That's what I thought. So, my question is, I don't have a problem with limiting it, as Monte is proposing it, but I'm wondering if we already have someone who doesn't meet that criteria, that's already contracted to judge a show. Do we have somebody that's not yet approved single specialty doing both specialties? **Hamza:** Donna Jean, do you know if there is such a person in the works? **Hannon:** I'm wondering how we're going to deal with that. **Phillips:** Well, you would need an exception to the current rule, because they would be violating 25.02, as written. **Hamza:** Or a grandfathered understanding. **Hannon:** Right. That's what I want to do. I don't want to have somebody have to cancel and cause a hardship on a club because we changed the rule midstream. **Baugh:** Originally when we passed it in June, it was for anybody. I got a lot of

feedback at the judges' workshop. **Hannon:** I have gotten a lot of feedback, too. **Baugh:** They really felt that they needed more experience. **Hannon:** I agree with this. Like I say, I just want to grandfather in or something, if somebody is already contracted. **Hamza:** We can vote on that, with the understanding that any judges under contract that don't conform will be grandfathered in. **Hannon:** OK. **Hamza:** And it would have to pre-date today's date. **Baugh:** OK. **Hamza:** Any other questions? **Baugh:** I'll make a motion we vote on – we support Rule 25.02's changes. **Hannon:** a., b., c. and d. **Baugh:** a., b., c. and d. **Hamza:** With the caveat that – **Baugh:** With the caveat that if there's an apprentice judge, they be grandfathered in. **Anger:** Rachel seconds. **Phillips:** And the 5 times per show season limit. That's what you are voting on. **Baugh:** All of it. **Eigenhauser:** The whole package. **Hamza:** Yeah. We're voting on the whole thing. **Phillips:** Got it. **Kallmeyer:** The 5 times limit is for Regions 1 through 9, right? **Baugh:** Right. Not for the International. **Phillips:** Right. Not ID. **Hamza:** OK.

Hamza called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Newkirk voting no.

Correct error from December Board meeting on Grand Point requirements for Premiership in certain parts of the International Division

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| 9.03b | Show Rules Committee | |
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| | Two hundred (200) points are required for Grand Championship; seventy-five (75) points for Grand Premiership in Regions 1 through 9 with the exceptions of the Maritime Provinces of Canada, Malta, the Ukraine, Hawaii, Russia (east of the Ural mountains), and the International Division. For cats residing and competing in Hawaii, Malta, Russia (east of the Ural mountains), the International Division (except Hong Kong, China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia), and the Maritime Provinces of Canada seventy five points (75) are required for Grand Championship; twenty-five (25) points are required for Grand Premiership. In Hong Kong, China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia one hundred twenty-five (125) points are required for Grand Championship. In the Ukraine two hundred (200) points are required for Grand Championship. In Hong Kong seventy-five (75) points are required for Grand Premiership; in Ukraine and Russia (east of the Ural mountains) twenty-five (25) points are required for Grand Premiership. | Two hundred (200) points are required for Grand Championship; seventy-five (75) points for Grand Premiership in Regions 1 through 9 with the exceptions of the Maritime Provinces of Canada, Malta, the Ukraine, Hawaii, Russia (east of the Ural mountains), and the International Division. For cats residing and competing in Hawaii, Malta, Russia (east of the Ural mountains), the International Division (except Hong Kong, China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia), and the Maritime Provinces of Canada seventy five points (75) are required for Grand Championship; twenty-five (25) points are required for Grand Premiership. In Hong Kong, China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia one hundred twenty-five (125) points are required for Grand Championship. In the Ukraine two hundred (200) points are required for Grand Championship. In Hong Kong, <u>China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia</u> seventy-five (75) points are required for Grand Premiership; in Ukraine and Russia (east of the Ural mountains) twenty-five (25) points are required for Grand Premiership. |
| RATIONALE: At the December Board meeting, this rule was revised, but the inclusion of Grand Premiership requirements for China, Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia was inadvertently left off. This corrects that error. | | |

Phillips: OK. The next one is a screw-up on my part that I need to fix. That's the best way to describe it. **Hamza:** OK. **Phillips:** When we voted on 9.03 last month, I forgot to put the Premiership point requirements in for China, Malaysia, Thailand and Indonesia. So, this puts them in, and puts them in at the full 75. **Hamza:** OK. Can I get a motion to accept, unless anybody has got a reason not to? **Baugh:** This is Loretta. So moved. **Hamza:** Second? **Eigenhauser:** Second.

Hamza called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Define Weekend for the purposes of show scheduling:

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Rule # 1.25 (new) | Show Rules Committee | |
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| (none) | | <u>A WEEKEND is a two-day consecutive period occurring either on a Friday/Saturday, Saturday/Sunday, or Sunday/Monday.</u> |
| <p>RATIONALE: The phrase “weekend” is used in several places in our rules, but never defined. In many countries, the weekend is Friday/Saturday, not Saturday/Sunday. Also in the United States, there are several weekends where Monday is a holiday. As such, clubs conceivably could schedule a weekend show for a holiday weekend’s Sunday/Monday. This rule would define the term weekend to mean any two consecutive-day period (can’t have three without specific Board exemption approval) between Friday and Monday.</p> | | |
| Rule # 2.14 | Show Rules Committee | |
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| | No cat or kitten shall compete in more than 1 two-day show or 2 one-day shows (total 12 rings maximum) within four calendar days of any previous benching. If a cat/kitten is scheduled to be judged on only one day of a two day show, it will be considered to be benched only on that day. Cats benched in violation of this rule will receive no credit for the awards/points achieved in the latter show. | No cat or kitten shall compete in more than 1 two-day show or 2 one-day shows (total 12 rings maximum) within four <u>three</u> calendar days of any previous benching. If a cat/kitten is scheduled to be judged on only one day of a two day show, it will be considered to be benched only on that day. Cats benched in violation of this rule will receive no credit for the awards/points achieved in the latter show. |
| <p>RATIONALE: Assuming the above definition of weekend was passed, this rule needs to be revised for the rare case that could exist where a cat went to a Sunday/Monday set of shows one weekend, and a Friday/Saturday set of shows the following weekend.</p> | | |
| Rule # 12.07a.2 and 12.07a.3 | Show Rules Committee | |
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| | <p>2. a one-day show format consisting of six rings held on Saturday or Sunday with an entry limit of 225 cats. This format will permit up to six judgments per entry in any combination of Allbreed or Specialty rings.</p> <p>3. Two six ring, one day shows in the same location (6x6) consisting of six rings held on Saturday and six rings held on Sunday with an entry limit of 225 cats. This format will permit up to six judgments per entry each day.</p> | <p>2. a one-day show format consisting of six rings held on Saturday or Sunday with an entry limit of 225 cats. This format will permit up to six judgments per entry in any combination of Allbreed or Specialty rings.</p> <p>3. Two six ring, one day shows in the same location (6x6) consisting of six rings held on <u>the first day Saturday</u> and six rings held <u>the second day on Sunday</u> with an entry limit of 225 cats. This format will permit up to six judgments per entry each day.</p> |
| <p>RATIONALE: These rules currently specifically identify the weekend as Saturday and Sunday. This revision eliminates that specificity, and is in concert with the above addition of a definition of weekend. The restriction to just Saturday and Sunday is eliminated with this change to allow licensing a show on any date of a weekend (which as defined earlier is at most two consecutive days over the Friday to Monday period).</p> | | |

Phillips: OK, the next 3 rules are all associated with the term “weekend”. As you may or may not know, in Muslim countries they do not really consider Saturday and Sunday to be the weekend, they consider Friday and Saturday to be the weekend, but we actually have rules that specify Saturday and Sunday. So, what I’ve done here is, I’ve done 3 things. First of all, I’ve redefined “weekend” to be a two-day period, either Friday/Saturday, Saturday/Sunday or Sunday/Monday. That also allows weekends where you have a Monday holiday in the United States and you could actually do a show on a Sunday and a Monday. That’s the 1.25 portion. The 2.14 portion changes the requirement to have a 4-day space between shows to a 3-day space. That way, you could go to a Sunday/Monday one weekend and a Friday/Saturday the next weekend. The 12.07a.2. and a.3., a.2. is a one-day show so it specifically takes out the dates of Saturday or Sunday and just leaves that out, because it’s a one-day show format anyway. The 2-day show format now says “first day/second day” as opposed to saying “Saturday/Sunday”. That’s it. **Baugh:** This is Loretta. **Hamza:** Go ahead Loretta. **Baugh:** Pauli sent out an email and did make a question that probably needs to be addressed. I don’t know if it’s something that is going to be affected by this or not, but our Constitution defines the end of the show season as the last full weekend in April. Now, does our definition of a weekend going to mean that if the show has a Saturday the 30th and May 1st, is that going to be considered a weekend by our definition or not? **Phillips:** We have that right now, Saturday the 30th and Sunday the 1st. That’s considered the first weekend in the following show season. **Baugh:** Right. **Eigenhauser:** But now we have Sunday/Monday weekends. **Phillips:** Yes, this could give you a situation where you have a Friday the 30th and a Saturday the 1st. That would end up being now the first weekend of the following show season. **Shelton:** This is Mike. **Hamza:** Go ahead Mike. **Shelton:** It doesn’t just affect how we define the beginning and end of a show season, it affects how we define traditional weekends. Is the first weekend the first full Saturday and Sunday, or the first full Friday/Saturday/Sunday/Monday of a given month? That’s how we define traditional dates. **Phillips:** Actually, it’s the other way around, Mike. It’s the last weekend of the month, and then the first weekend is the following weekend after that. It’s the last weekend that gets to be the full weekend. **Shelton:** In a general sort of way, though, we would need to clarify that. **Hamza:** It shouldn’t affect our dates, the way we look at our dates. You know, the way we have traditionally done these dates is based on a Saturday/Sunday weekend and that part of it should stay. How we define where things begin and end, because we’ve got a lot of tradition in that. This should only affect the clubs’ ability to operate within the seasons. I mean, one doesn’t have to modify the other. **Shelton:** I think that’s the most logical way to handle it, but I think we would be well served to make that clear. **Hamza:** I agree, I agree. **Phillips:** I don’t disagree with that, either. I don’t have a problem with adding another rule for the next board meeting to define the traditional date. **Hamza:** Alright. Why don’t you bring this all – that part back at the next board meeting so that when we vote on it, it’s all there and it’s all right. **Phillips:** Do you want to add that as part of the weekend definition and do the whole thing at once? **Hamza:** Right. **Phillips:** Tabled, got it. **Hannon:** So, what have we tabled? Which ones? **Phillips:** Well, right now you have tabled 1.25, 2.14 and 12.07a.2. and a.3. You have tabled all three. **Hamza:** It just makes sense to have it as one body of work and not make it confusing. **Hannon:** I just want, for purposes of putting out my notes when I publish them, to understand what we’ve tabled. We’ve tabled the whole thing. **Hamza:** Yeah. **Phillips:** And then what we’ll do is define a full weekend. **Hannon:** OK. **Phillips:** For end of month.

Tabled.

Revise 17.02 to make it clear an entry clerk can accept a Novice entry without a registration number

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Rule # 17.02 | Show Rules Committee | |
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| | <p>The entry clerk shall be responsible for reviewing each entry form received to verify that all necessary information is included. The entry clerk is prohibited from accepting Championship, Premiership, Provisional (kittens or adults) and AOV entries (kittens or adults) whose entry form does not contain a registration number. In the case of cats requesting a temporary registration number, the entry clerk will contact Central Office and obtain the number for inclusion in the show catalog and complete, if not already completed by the owner, an application for temporary registration number that will be attached to the pedigree. The cat’s pedigree, application form, and associated registration fee must be provided no later than the start of judging for the show or the cat will be entered as a novice.</p> | <p>The entry clerk shall be responsible for reviewing each entry form received to verify that all necessary information is included. The entry clerk is prohibited from accepting Championship <u>(unless the entry is for a Novice)</u>, Premiership <u>(unless the entry is for a Novice)</u>, Provisional (kittens or adults) and AOV entries (kittens or adults) whose entry form does not contain a registration number. In the case of cats requesting a temporary registration number, the entry clerk will contact Central Office and obtain the number for inclusion in the show catalog and complete, if not already completed by the owner, an application for temporary registration number that will be attached to the pedigree. The cat’s pedigree, application form, and associated registration fee must be provided no later than the start of judging for the show or the cat will be entered as a novice.</p> |
| <p>RATIONALE: This rule as currently worded appears to preclude the acceptance of entries of Novices in any show. It is being modified to clarify that the entry clerk can enter a cat in a show as a Novice without a registration number.</p> | | |

Phillips: 17.02 is housekeeping and basically the issue there is very simple. As currently written, 17.02 says you’ve got to have a registration number to enter in championship or premiership. Well, we have a novice class in championship and premiership, and they don’t have registration numbers by definition. So, theoretically as written, one can never take an entry for a novice because they don’t have a registration number, per this rule, so this just cleans up 17.02 basically to say, “yeah, if you’re a novice, we understand you don’t have a registration number.”

Raymond: Monte, this is Ed. **Hamza:** Go ahead Ed. **Raymond:** I’m looking at the language of the amendment, and it would seem that the new words, *unless the entry is for a Novice*, would apply not only to championship and premiership, but also to provisional and AOV entries, which I believe otherwise in the Show Rules aren’t allowed to be novices. **Phillips:** That’s correct. **Hamza:** So you would have to add language that would exclude those. **Phillips:** Right now you don’t have novices except in championship and premiership. **Hannon:** Why don’t we move the underlined phrase, *unless the entry is for a Novice*, further up so that it’s before provisional and AOV entries. **Phillips:** We can do that. **Raymond:** I would put it as a parenthetical after the word *Championship* and a parenthetical after the word *Premiership*. **Phillips:** That makes sense. **Hamza:** Alright. Any other comments? Can I get a motion to accept, with the mentioned changes? **Hannon:** So moved. **Hamza:** Alright. Second please. **Baugh:** Second.

Hamza called the motion. **Motion Carried.** **Hamza:** Monte, you will make those changes, right? **Phillips:** Right. I’ll send it all to Rachel later on tonight.

Revise 1.24 to clarify that temporary registration numbers can be provided for non-championship category cats (kittens, AOVs, Provisional, and Miscellaneous) as well

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Rule # 1.24 | Show Rules Committee based on Board Member request | |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording | |
| <p>A TEMPORARY REGISTRATION NUMBER is provided to listed cats who wish to compete at a CFA show and earn awards, i.e., points earned and qualifying rings will be credited to the cat. The temporary registration number is obtained for the exhibitor from the CFA Central Office via the Entry Clerk. Temporary Registration numbers will be issued by the entry clerk upon receipt of an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), and the appropriate fee, which is in addition to the club’s entry fee. This must be provided to the entry clerk no later than the beginning of judging for the show. The fee, application form, and pedigree will be provided to Central Office in the show package. Upon review, the registration number will either remain valid for 30 days from the first day of the show, or be voided if CFA registration requirements are not met for the breed being registered. Central Office will notify any exhibitor whose temporary registration number is voided with the bases for such decision. Temporary registration numbers will be listed in the catalog as if they were permanent. Cats may compete and continue to earn points for 30 days from the first day of the first show where they have obtained a temporary registration number. That number should be used on all subsequent entries after the first show for the 30-day period or until the cat obtains a permanent registration number. At the end of this 30-day period, the cat may not be shown without a permanent registration number.</p> | <p>A TEMPORARY REGISTRATION NUMBER is provided to listed cats who wish to compete at a CFA show and earn awards <u>in any competitive category, e.g. i.e.</u>, points earned and qualifying rings will be credited to the cat. The temporary registration number is obtained for the exhibitor from the CFA Central Office via the Entry Clerk. Temporary Registration numbers will be issued by the entry clerk upon receipt of an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), and the appropriate fee, which is in addition to the club’s entry fee. This must be provided to the entry clerk no later than the beginning of judging for the show. The fee, application form, and pedigree will be provided to Central Office in the show package. Upon review, the registration number will either remain valid for 30 days from the first day of the show, or be voided if CFA registration requirements are not met for the breed being registered. Central Office will notify any exhibitor whose temporary registration number is voided with the bases for such decision. Temporary registration numbers will be listed in the catalog as if they were permanent. Cats may compete and continue to earn points for 30 days from the first day of the first show where they have obtained a temporary registration number. That number should be used on all subsequent entries after the first show for the 30-day period or until the cat obtains a permanent registration number. At the end of this 30-day period, the cat may not be shown without a permanent registration number.</p> | |
| <p>RATIONALE: This rule as currently worded is not clear as to whether a temporary registration number can be issued for a kitten, miscellaneous, AOV, or provisional cat. This change clarifies that these numbers can be issued to these cats (non-championship competitive category).</p> | | |

Hannon: Are we now down to 1.24? **Phillips:** Yes. 1.24 comes in two parts. **Baugh:** We didn’t do 17.02. **Phillips:** The two issues that I was requested to address, and they are now two issues – **Eigenhauser:** We skipped over 17.02. **Phillips:** You’re going to see 1.24 come up twice. **Hannon:** What about 17.02? **Phillips:** The first issue was temporary registration. **Hamza:** What about 17.02? **Baugh:** We skipped 17.02. **Phillips:** Oh, did I skip 17.02? I’m sorry. [transcript goes to 17.02]

Phillips: 1.24 comes in two parts. I was asked to address two different things. The first one is, temporary registration numbers for kittens, AOVs, miscellaneous and provisional. The first version of 1.24 allows one to get a temporary registration number for those cats. The second issue I was asked to address and is in the second version of 1.24 is the ability to get a temporary registration number for a cat that has a CFA-registered sire, CFA-registered dam; i.e., a cat that would normally be registered by a blue slip. **Meeker:** Why wouldn’t it be? **Phillips:**

The Show Rules Committee is in favor of the first one. We're not really in favor of the second one, but we went ahead and wrote it up anyway. **Hannon:** Let's talk about them separately then. **Phillips:** Yes, let's please talk about them separately. **Hamza:** OK. To me, the first one looks just fine. Does anybody have any issues with the first one? Can I get a motion? **Hannon:** So moved. **Meeker:** Second.

Hamza called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Revise 1.24 to not only clarify that temporary registration numbers can be provided for non-championship category cats (kittens, AOVs, Provisional, and Miscellaneous), but also can be provided to those registered via CFA-registered sire and dam

| Rule # 1.24 | Show Rules Committee based on Board Member request | |
|-------------|---|--|
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| | <p>A TEMPORARY REGISTRATION NUMBER is provided to listed cats who wish to compete at a CFA show and earn awards, i.e., points earned and qualifying rings will be credited to the cat. The temporary registration number is obtained for the exhibitor from the CFA Central Office via the Entry Clerk. Temporary Registration numbers will be issued by the entry clerk upon receipt of an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), and the appropriate fee, which is in addition to the club's entry fee. This must be provided to the entry clerk no later than the beginning of judging for the show. The fee, application form, and pedigree will be provided to Central Office in the show package. Upon review, the registration number will either remain valid for 30 days from the first day of the show, or be voided if CFA registration requirements are not met for the breed being registered. Central Office will notify any exhibitor whose temporary registration number is voided with the bases for such decision. Temporary registration numbers will be listed in the catalog as if they were permanent. Cats may compete and continue to earn points for 30 days from the first day of the first show where they have obtained a temporary registration number. That number should be used on all subsequent entries after the first show for the 30-day period or until the cat obtains a permanent registration number. At the end of this 30-day period, the cat may not be shown without a permanent registration number.</p> | <p>A TEMPORARY REGISTRATION NUMBER is provided to listed cats who wish to compete at a CFA show and earn awards <u>in any competitive category, e.g. i.e.,</u> points earned and qualifying rings will be credited to the cat. The temporary registration number is obtained for the exhibitor from the CFA Central Office via the Entry Clerk. Temporary Registration numbers will be issued by the entry clerk upon receipt of an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), and the appropriate fee, which is in addition to the club's entry fee <u>for registration by pedigree; or an accurate pedigree showing the CFA registration number for both the sire and dam of the cat/kitten, and the appropriate fee, which is in addition to the club's entry fee.</u> This must be provided to the entry clerk no later than the beginning of judging for the show. The fee, application form, and pedigree will be provided to Central Office in the show package. Upon review, the registration number will either remain valid for 30 days from the first day of the show, or be voided if CFA registration requirements are not met for the breed being registered. Central Office will notify any exhibitor whose temporary registration number is voided with the bases for such decision. <u>Note: wins will also be voided if a cat competes in a competitive category not otherwise eligible based on its permanent registration, e.g., offspring of a "not-for-breeding" cat competing.</u> Temporary registration numbers will be listed in the catalog as if they were permanent. Cats may compete and continue to earn points for 30 days from the first day of the first show where they have obtained a temporary registration number. That number should be used on all subsequent entries after the first show for the 30-day period or until the cat obtains a permanent registration number. At the end of this 30-day period, the cat may not be shown without a permanent registration number.</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| Rule # 4.03 | Show Rules Committee |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| It is the responsibility of the owner to enter a cat or kitten correctly under its exact registered name, registered ownership, region/area of residence, and when required, registration number. (See Paragraph 2.03.) Exhibitors that request a temporary registration number will also include an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), with all cats on the pedigree being acceptable for that breed per current registration requirements. This would include longhair exotics shown as Persians and Oriental Shorthairs shown as Colorpoint Shorthairs (see rule 2.04). | It is the responsibility of the owner to enter a cat or kitten correctly under its exact registered name, registered ownership, region/area of residence, and when required, registration number. (See Paragraph 2.03.) Exhibitors that request a temporary registration number will also include an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required) <u>for cats to be registered by pedigree, or a pedigree identifying the CFA registration number of the sire and dam for cats to be registered otherwise</u> , with all cats on the pedigree being acceptable for that breed per current registration requirements. This would include longhair exotics shown as Persians and Oriental Shorthairs shown as Colorpoint Shorthairs (see rule 2.04). |
| Rule # 11.03 | Show Rules Committee |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| It is the responsibility of the owner to enter a cat or kitten correctly under its exact registered name, registered ownership, region/area of residence, exact color/tabby pattern, and when required, registration number. (See paragraph 2.03, 11.04.) Entries that request a temporary registration number will also include an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required), with all cats on the pedigree being acceptable for that breed per current registration requirements. This would include longhair exotics shown as Persians and Oriental Shorthairs shown as Colorpoint Shorthairs (see rule 2.04) and appropriate fee submitted. | It is the responsibility of the owner to enter a cat or kitten correctly under its exact registered name, registered ownership, region/area of residence, exact color/tabby pattern, and when required, registration number. (See paragraph 2.03, 11.04.) Entries that request a temporary registration number will also include an accurate four-generation pedigree (or whatever is required for registration of that breed if fewer than four generations are required) <u>for cats to be registered by pedigree, or a pedigree identifying the CFA registration number of the sire and dam for cats to be registered otherwise</u> , with all cats on the pedigree being acceptable for that breed per current registration requirements. This would include longhair exotics shown as Persians and Oriental Shorthairs shown as Colorpoint Shorthairs (see rule 2.04) and appropriate fee submitted. |
| RATIONALE: This rule as currently worded is not clear as to whether a temporary registration number can be issued for a kitten, miscellaneous, AOV, or provisional cat. This change clarifies that these numbers can be issued to these cats (non-championship competitive category). In addition, this rule includes a provision whereby a temporary registration number can be obtained for a cat/kitten that has both a sire and dam registered with CFA. | |

Hamza: Go ahead on the second one. **Phillips:** OK. The second one allows you to get a temporary registration number with a CFA-registered sire and dam. The Show Rules Committee has one major problem with this, because it does bypass the blue slip process. Suppose you, as a breeder, sell someone a cat and you give them their pedigree but you are holding the blue slip while you wait for them to neuter or spay the cat. This would allow them to get a temporary registration number and show the cat in championship. **Kallmeyer:** Dick here. **Hamza:** Go ahead Dick. **Kallmeyer:** A couple things. By the way, we had a – related to the CFA parents, we had an interesting thing 2 weeks ago in that somebody had a novice with a TRN and it turned out one of the CFA parents was marked “not for breeding”, so that’s – effectively we threw that one

out since it shouldn't have existed in the first place. But I think Pauli would even say that there are cases in Europe where a person from FIFe or another organization has two CFA parents, and so this would be a way that they could – they are cats with CFA parents and this would be again the “try and buy” that we want with novices. So, you know, unless that person who was holding a blue slip that the cat be spayed or neutered released that cat within a 30 day period, you know, they couldn't register to get the points anyway. **Hannon:** I have a question. **Hamza:** Go ahead Mark. **Hannon:** Dick, don't we currently prevent this? Don't we currently permit a cat with 2 CFA-registered parents to get a TRN currently? **Meeker:** No. **Kallmeyer:** Yes. **Hannon:** Yes we do. **Hamza:** Yeah. **Hannon:** The board may not have intended it, but that's what we're doing right now. We permit it. **Kallmeyer:** Right. **Hannon:** So, this is just putting in language to do what we're doing. **Hamza:** Well let me ask a question and maybe, Donna Jean, maybe you know it or not, or maybe Dick, you've peeked into some of these statistics. I mean, how many cats is this affecting? **Kallmeyer:** Well, who knows now? I mean, I think we've had one or two that I know about that have CFA parents, but most of the impediments, by the way, the current rule says that if you have CFA parents, you still have to provide the pedigrees and not too many people have the pedigrees of the sire and dam to get the TRN, so that's another complication. **Hannon:** And it's ironic that they have to come to CFA to get the pedigrees, to return to CFA. **Kallmeyer:** Right. So, I mean, that's almost self-limiting. **Hamza:** Ironically profitable. **Kallmeyer:** Right. Now, let me be the devil's advocate. Actually, I do favor the CFA parents, but you know, one of the implications here is that it could be a way around the expedite fee. So, instead of paying for an expedite fee to get the registration number for 2 CFA parents, you could just pay the \$15 TRN fee and you save yourself what, \$11 or so by not expediting. **Hamza:** Yeah, but not after you buy the pedigrees. **Kallmeyer:** Well, that's true, but you could have the pedigrees. Let's say you had them. So, I think there's a benefit. This would be a case where again, if people are laggards, that they do get the cat shown for points in Europe [Newkirk leaves the conference], those places that do have two CFA parents that really are not involved in CFA, this is another way to start bringing them in. **Hannon:** But how do we separate those from the people that really are active in CFA? **Kallmeyer:** You can't. You can't. **Hamza:** I just don't think that this encompasses enough cats to raise it to a level of concern. **Kallmeyer:** OK, so we're going to make a statement then. Are we going to make a statement with the new rules? If the cat has CFA parents, they cannot be shown with a TRN. I think we need a positive statement coming out of this. **Hannon:** Are we in favor of this or not? **Phillips:** Now I'm confused. **Kallmeyer:** Let Pauli speak, because I think he has a case where it is beneficial to Region 9. **Hamza:** Pauli, are you there? Do you have any thoughts? **Huhtaniemi:** Can you hear me? **Hannon:** Yes. **Huhtaniemi:** OK. The TRN requires that there will be a pedigree. We have a lot of cases where one or maybe sometimes two parents are CFA registered, but they are registered in different organizations. The one who buys the kitten from this breeder out of two CFA parents might not even know that the cat is CFA registered, as you might not see a CFA registration number anywhere. So, this cat has a pedigree from a different organization. I think we should allow them to come as a novice in the shows and have a TRN number. **Hamza:** So, Pauli, you're in favor of this? You feel that it helps Region 9? **Huhtaniemi:** Yes. **Hamza:** OK. That's valid. **Huhtaniemi:** It's not only Region 9. I think that the novice case that we had from – was it Canada, earlier last year? **Hamza:** Yes. **Huhtaniemi:** It's kind of the same situation. I think everything what benefits CFA, it should not be only about the pedigree. **Hamza:** Right. OK. Any other thoughts on this? **Shelton:** Yeah. Jerry, this is Mike. **Hamza:** Go ahead Mike. **Shelton:** Moving down – if there's no other comments about the other part, moving down to the second part that's been added here, *wins will also be voided if a cat competes in a competitive category not otherwise eligible*, we're using the example of a not-for-breeding cat competing in championship. I don't think there is a prohibition against a not-for-breeding cat being shown in

championship, so that would be a bad example. It's certainly not that I can find in the Show Rules where it says you can't show. **Hamza:** No, but that particular – even if you fill that out on the pedigree, it just prevents offspring from being registered. Am I not correct on that?

Kallmeyer: Right. **Shelton:** You can't register any kittens out of it, but you can show it in championship if you want to. **Hamza:** Right. **Shelton:** So I don't think we should include that as an example in the show rules. **Kallmeyer:** Wait a minute, Mike. The kitten – the cat being

shown had parents that were marked “not for breeding”, not the cat being shown. **Shelton:** That's not the way this note reads. If a cat competes in a competitive category not otherwise eligible, based on its permanent registration. For example, a not-for-breeding cat competing in championship. This says the not-for-breeding cat is competing, not that it, for example, sired the cat. **Phillips:** We can always add the word “offspring” behind “not-for-breeding cat”.

Eigenhauser: Offspring of a. **Shelton:** Yeah, offspring of a not-for-breeding cat competing in championship or any other class. There shouldn't be any offspring of a not-for-breeding cat.

Kallmeyer: But don't forget, too, for the novice category, it is a cat that would be registerable by our rules, so that offspring could not be registerable by our rules, right? **Phillips:** Correct.

Eigenhauser: I think Mike is right, though. We need that amendment. **Kallmeyer:** It wouldn't hurt. **Eigenhauser:** Easy – “offspring of a not-for-breeding cat competing” period. **Hamza:** OK.

Any other comments? Can I get a motion to accept? **Kallmeyer:** I'll move. **Eigenhauser:** As amended, right? **Hamza:** Yeah, as amended. **Phillips:** And 4.03 isn't amended at all. **Hamza:**

Do I have a second? **Anger:** Rachel seconds.

Hamza called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Revise Award Structure in International Division to reflect quarantine problems – ID Awards Committee report – September , 2012 Board Meeting

| Rule # 4.05.01 | Show Rules Committee | |
|--|---|---|
| | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| | <p>It is It is the responsibility of the owner to indicate the correct region/area of residence on the entry form using the following designations: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or D (see CFA's Constitution, Articles VIII and IX for region and division boundary specifications). 1=North Atlantic, 2=Northwest, 3=Gulf Shore, 4=Great Lakes, 5=Southwest, 6=Midwest, 7=Southern, 8=Japan, 9=Europe, D=International Division.</p> | <p>It is It is the responsibility of the owner to indicate the correct region/area of residence on the entry form using the following designations: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or D, <u>A, C, H, I, K, L, M, P, S, T, or W</u> (see CFA's Constitution, Articles VIII and IX for region and division boundary specifications). 1=North Atlantic, 2=Northwest, 3=Gulf Shore, 4=Great Lakes, 5=Southwest, 6=Midwest, 7=Southern, 8=Japan, 9=Europe, <u>A=Africa and western Asia (including the middle east (minus Israel), Turkey, Iran, India, Maldives, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, etc.);</u> <u>C=China;</u> <u>H=Hong Kong;</u> <u>I=Indonesia;</u> <u>T=Thailand;</u> <u>K=South Korea,</u> <u>L=Israel,</u> <u>M=Malaysia/Philippines/Vietnam/Brunei,</u> <u>P=Singapore,</u> <u>S=South or Central America, including the Caribbean nations,</u> or <u>W=Taiwan,</u> D=International Division.</p> |
| <p>RATIONALE: To create an award structure for many different geographical areas within the International Division, Central Office needs to be able to identify from where a cat competing is crediting those points towards its associated Divisional Award geographical area. This revision identifies the full spectrum of geographical areas addressed. In addition to the geographical areas listed, a catch-all “other” area is defined as any country in the world not already addressed by a specifically identified geographical area.</p> | | |

Phillips: OK, now for the fun one. 4.05.01 goes away. We're not going to vote on that. We're not going to discuss it according to – **Hannon:** What happened to 4.03? **Phillips:** I thought that was part of what you just voted on. My apologies. **Hannon:** Is it? Do we agree? **Phillips:** It should have been. **Eigenhauser:** Yeah. I thought we were voting on the package. **Hamza:** Yeah, I thought so, too. **Hannon:** OK. **Hamza:** As amended. **Hannon:** Fine. **Phillips:** And 11.03, while you're at it. **Raymond:** 4.03 has repetition of the first two words. I think it's just a type-o. **Hamza:** Yeah, that's a type-o. **Shelton:** It could be the existing wording. **Raymond:** The existing wording of 4.03, according to the Show Rules, is correct. It just says, *It is the responsibility ...* **Anger:** OK, it's fixed. Let's move on. **Phillips:** I'm glad you fixed it, Rachel, because I haven't found it yet. OK, 4.05.01 goes away. We're not going to vote on that. We don't need it, per Dick, so throw that out. **Eigenhauser:** So, we're done? **Kallmeyer:** No, no such luck. **Hannon:** Alright. So, we're saying it's withdrawn. **Phillips:** It's withdrawn, right. I like that word better.

Withdrawn.

| Article XXXVII – International Division Awards | Show Rules Committee |
|--|--|
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| <p style="text-align: center;">International Division Awards</p> <p><i>International Division Definition:</i> for the purposes of season end awards, the International Division is as defined in the CFA constitution.</p> <p><u>International Division</u> Best Cat*: Trophy 2nd-20th Best Cat*: Certificate Best Kitten*: Trophy 2nd-20th Best Kitten*: Certificate Best Cat in Premiership*: Trophy 2nd-15th Best Cat in Premiership*: Certificate</p> <p>*The title of “International Division Winner (DW)” is given to cats receiving these awards.</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">International Division Awards</p> <p><i>International Division Definition:</i> for the purposes of season end awards, the International Division is as defined in the CFA constitution <u>divided into the following geographical areas based on quarantine requirements: A=Africa and western Asia (including the middle east (minus Israel), Turkey, Iran, India, Maldives, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, etc.); C=China; H=Hong Kong; I=Indonesia; K=South Korea, L=Israel, M=Malaysia/Philippines/Vietnam/Brunei, P=Singapore, S=South or Central America, including the Caribbean nations, T=Thailand, and W=Taiwan.</u></p> <p><u>International Division</u> <u>For the above geographical areas, numbers of awards in each area are based on the following formula:</u></p> <p><u>5-9 rings sponsored in the area = 1 award;</u> <u>10-30 rings sponsored in an area = 3 awards;</u> <u>31-44 rings sponsored in an area = 5 awards;</u> <u>45-70 rings sponsored in an area = 10 awards;</u> <u>>70 rings sponsored in an area = 15 awards.</u></p> <p><u>To be eligible for an award, in the International Division, cats must earn a minimum of the following: 50 points in championship, 30 points in kitten, and 25 points in premiership.</u></p> <p><u>Awards are as follows:</u> Best Cat*: Trophy 2nd-2015th Best Cat, as appropriate*: Certificate Best Kitten*: Trophy 2nd-2015th Best Kitten, as appropriate*: Certificate Best Cat in Premiership*: Trophy 2nd-15th Best Cat in Premiership, as appropriate*:</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| | <p>Certificate</p> <p>*The title of “International Division Winner (DW)” is given to cats receiving these awards.</p> |
| <p>RATIONALE: Unlike most of CFA’s regions (with the exception of Hawaii and Japan), the International Division is plagued with multiple and various quarantine requirements that prevent cats from competing at any show in the division. For example, cats from Malaysia can go to Hong Kong and vice versa. If a Malaysian cat goes to Thailand, it cannot go to Hong Kong for 6 months. Cats from Thailand and Indonesia can go to Malaysia, but not Hong Kong. Effectively, there is no real cross-border competition. Then, Israeli cats cannot go to Dubai, Kuwait or Egypt. Kuwait and Egypt cats could go to Malaysia, but it would cost a lot. Finally, South American cats can’t go anywhere cheaply. Given all of this geographical quarantine isolation, it makes sense to provide an award structure that recognizes those restrictions. The above was discussed at the September 2012 board meeting, and is the consensus from that meeting. Specifically, rather than work to a number of shows formula, the proposal is based on a number of rings formula.</p> | |

Phillips: Article XXXVII completely revises the International Division awards structure. Now, there was also a proposal that was sent, I don’t know, to all the board members or just a few from Russell out in Hong Kong. Their proposal basically just pulls China out and gives them their own set of awards, otherwise returning everything to the status quo. It has its advantages and it’s simple, but its disadvantage is it doesn’t address the quarantine issue at all. This proposal addresses not only the quarantine issue, but also provides clubs in those countries an incentive by which to put on more shows or put on more rings, however you want to say it, to basically increase the potential for awards. What it does is, it divides the International Division up into approximately 10 geographical areas – I believe that’s the right number – and it gives the award structure based on the number of rings sponsored in that area. If it only has 1-4, there’s no award in that area. Everything above that number is defined right under the words *International Division*, and to be eligible for any award, the cat has to achieve a minimum point count – 50 points in championship, 30 points in kittens and 25 points in premiership. So, even if they do have X number of shows, if there’s an insufficient point count, they still wouldn’t get the award. It addresses the quarantine issue by basically dividing the entire International Division up into quarantine areas. Dick, I’ll turn the rest of it over to you. **Kallmeyer:** OK. I think we’ve discussed this before. There’s two purposes. One is that the cats aren’t really competing against one another in the International Division. It could be because of government quarantines, it could be just geographic distance. The distance from Asia to South America is extreme. The people in the outlying areas really don’t feel that they’re being involved or that they’re getting a chance to get any wins except color wins. If we look at even close into Asia, first of all the distances are very large. It’s just that it’s very difficult to cross boundaries legally because of government issues, quarantine issues and even things such as outright bribery just to get across the border. There’s quite a large difference in economic power, too. For instance, the Thailand people can go to Malaysia, but the difference in per capita income is fairly significant, so it’s not something that they typically would travel for. There are cases in which countries can cross borders to compete and this way they will still be allowed to. We need an incentive to help grow in this area as a way to get more participation. **Hamza:** So Dick, basically what you’re saying is that, for the most part, the people in the International Division will profit and/or have agreed that this is a good thing for them. **Kallmeyer:** Yeah. I think most of them will agree something has to be done. There are pockets that feel that if they split China off, the problems will be solved but that doesn’t help the people in the Middle East or Africa or even parts of Asia. So, I think this would be a way, really, to expand our presence in that area. **Hamza:** It seems like it might relieve some tension in some areas. **Kallmeyer:** Well, hopefully. **Hamza:** Hopefully. **Kallmeyer:** Definitely, there’s a tremendous amount of interest. I know Thailand is talking about putting on 3 or 4 more shows than they are doing now just to bring people in. **Hamza:** I know we have incredible

growth potential in Asia and I think we need to cater to that. **Kallmeyer:** Not only Asia – Middle East and South America. **Hamza:** I agree. **Kallmeyer:** We've got to jump start those areas, especially Latin America we have to get going. **Hamza:** OK. Any comments? Can I get a motion? **Kallmeyer:** I'll move. I so move. **Meeker:** I'll second.

Hamza called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Time Frame:

At the current board meeting.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Unless a significant issue is identified between completion of this report and the date when inputs are due to the Board for the March meeting, we do not anticipate making a presentation to the February meeting. We plan to hold off until we have the full calendar years' worth of data before proposing any changes in grand scoring requirements that would affect all exhibitors, which, when ready, will be submitted directly to the delegates for their action.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Monte Phillips, Chair*

Phillips: That's it for me. All I'll have for next month will be the one on weekends. **Hamza:** Yeah, that will be great. Thank you so much, Monte. **Phillips:** You are welcome. I'm going to go away now. **Anger:** Thanks Monte. **Hamza:** Thank you. [**Phillips** leaves the conference]

(2) **CENTRAL OFFICE REPORT.**

Committee Chair: Donna Jean Thompson
List of Committee Members: Kristi Wollam – Administrative Assistant

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

It is back to Business as Usual here in Central Office. The Breed Council Balloting is completed and all BC Secretaries have been notified of the results in preparation for the February meeting.

Club dues/membership notices have been mailed and many clubs are responding in a timely manner. January 1, 2013 was the deadline for receipt of the club dues and current membership list.

Current Happenings of Committee:

January 2, 2013 Breed Council Renewal notices were mailed. August 1, 2013 is the deadline to be eligible to vote in the Breed Council annual Questionnaire.

Future Projections for Committee:

We will begin final preparation for the February Board Meeting to be held February 2-3, 2013 in the Alliance location.

2012 realized an excellent improvement over the stumbling blocks of the 2011 move. As our staff settled in our response and accuracy rates improved. We're still not perfect, but 2013 looks to be the year to fine tune and smooth the rough edges.

Action Items:

1. Club Name Change Request:

Current Name: KII CAT FANCIERS
Proposed Name: Prime Gemini Cat Club
Conflict with
Existing Names: None known
Reason: The new name better reflects the demographics of the increased membership and their commitment to a successful club.

Hamza: OK Donna Jean. **Thompson:** OK. The first thing on the action items is the Kii Cat Fanciers changing their name to Prime Gemini Cat Club. I think you – you considered this at the last meeting, but it was too close to one of our CFA clubs and the Kii Cat Fanciers submitted a new name. **Hamza:** And I've never heard anything like it, so does anybody have any comments? Can I get a motion? **Calhoun:** So moved. **Eigenhauser:** Second.

Hamza called the motion. **Motion Carried.** **Hamza:** Good luck with the new name.

2. Club Resignations:

The following clubs have requested to be permanently retired:

- (a) *Wisconsin Longhair Fanciers (Region 6)*
- (b) *Somali Feline Fanciers (Region 6)*
- (c) *Dandylions Cat Club (Region 6)*
- (d) *Crown Royal Shorthair Fanciers (Region 6)*
- (e) *Crown Royal Longhair Fanciers (Region 6)*
- (f) *American Wirehair Cat Society (Region 6)*
- (g) *Archangel Society (Region 6)*
- (h) *Dynamics Persian Society (Region 6)*

Hamza: Go ahead. **Thompson:** OK. We received a notation from the Midwest Region, from the club secretary asking to permanently retire several clubs. I think you all have that. There's 8 of them. **Meeker:** Why? **Hamza:** Don't ask why. I think that they moved out of region. **Calhoun:** Jerry? **Shelton:** Yeah, these were all about to become Region 5 clubs within the next few months. These are all Mary Beth Minkley's clubs. **Calhoun:** Right. This is Kathy. **Hamza:** Go ahead Kathy. **Calhoun:** I was going to say, I'm aware of this and Mary Beth felt that this was the appropriate thing to do. **Hamza:** I'm in favor. I think it's the right thing to do and I applaud her for doing it. **Hannon:** I have a question. **Hamza:** Go ahead. **Hannon:** She wants these to be permanently retired. What if a new group comes along and decides they want to have something called American Wirehair Cat Society. Are we bound by this to say they can't use that? **Hamza:** I don't think it covers the name. Yeah, I think it just covers the existence of these clubs, that they're permanently disbanded. **Hannon:** OK. As long as that's in the minutes so we can go back to it if it ever comes up. **Eigenhauser:** George here. **Hamza:** Go ahead George. **Eigenhauser:** I just want to commend the clubs for stepping up to the plate and doing this. Normally what people would do if they wanted to retire a club is, they would just not pay the dues and we would send them reminders and more reminders. **Hannon:** Or they would sell it. **Eigenhauser:** Yeah. This is the cleanest way to do it and I appreciate that, as Mark said, they could have sold it or they could have put us through a lot of unnecessary paperwork, reminding them to pay dues that are never going to come. So, I appreciate them stepping forward and doing this. **Hamza:** I agree. Can I get a motion please? **Calhoun:** So moved. **Meeker:** I'll second.

Hamza called the motion. **Motion Carried.** **Hamza:** Thank you Mary Beth.

3. Show Format Request:

Club Name: Lincoln State Cat Club
Show Date: February 16-17, 2013
Location: Palatine, Illinois
Currently licensed as a one day show Sunday February 17 (6 AB)
Requesting permission to expand to a two day 8 ring (7 AB/1 SP)

Hamza: Go ahead. **Thompson:** Show format request. Lincoln State Cat Club, they are having their show February 16th and 17th in Palatine, Illinois. They are currently licensed as a one-day show on Sunday, February 17th with 6 allbreeds. They want to request – they're requesting permission to expand to a two-day, 8 ring, 7 allbreeds with one specialty ring. **Hamza:** Any comments? **Hannon:** Mark. **Hamza:** Go ahead Mark. **Hannon:** One of my concerns is that this club's request for a show that weekend helped contribute to the – is it Kittyhawk in Dayton that same weekend? They cancelled their show, and part of that was the Raleigh situation which was the final nail in the coffin, but Lincoln State is what started that problem. What we in effect are doing is rewarding them for harming another club. **Calhoun:** Wait. This is Kathy. **Hamza:** Go ahead Kathy. **Calhoun:** I'm not really so sure that's the case.

There was a compromise that was made. One club would have Saturday and the other club was going to put on a different forum on Saturday and then the show on Sunday. That was the compromise. I don't know how bloody and how bad it was to get to this compromise, but it was a compromise. **Hannon:** This is Mark. **Calhoun:** Kittyhawk – let me finish, and then, let me finish. Kittyhawk made a decision to cancel their show, independent of anything about Lincoln State. Once the compromise was reached, they made an independent decision to cancel their show. There is absolutely – I mean, Lincoln State in the past has had a two-day show. They will not be successful. The forum on Saturday will not draw the amount of people it would have in conjunction with a show. The show on Sunday will not draw as much if they didn't have the educational rings and things that they would have, that they've had in the past. So, I'm not sure why – it seems like there was words thrown around being predatory. I don't get that. I wasn't there when all this happened, so I'm probably looking at it from a different lens, but I certainly don't think that we should – they didn't have anything to do with Kittyhawk cancelling. **Meeker:** Jerry, this is Ginger. **Calhoun:** That was independent of it. **Hamza:** Mark is next and then Ginger. Go ahead Mark. **Hannon:** Kathy is starting the story in the middle. The beginning of the story is, Lincoln State refused the compromise. They said, "we want a two-day show and we will not agree," at which point the board said, "then you can't have a show." **Calhoun:** OK, but the outcome is the same. The agreement, what was licensed was two one-day shows. As I said, I don't know how agreeing got, but are we going to punish them because there was an ugliness and they had a gnarly way of getting to this? **Hamza:** I want to take a business approach to this. Ginger, you had something to say? **Meeker:** Yeah. I'm basically going to support what Mark is saying. I was there and it was bloody and there was no compromise and everybody had the attitude, "it was my way or the highway." I agree with Mark. If now we can go back and they can have a two-day show, it's like, "OK, so what, we won." I don't like the attitude and I was one of the people who used "predatory" because that's how this whole thing has felt from the start and there was a lot of angst and a lot of ill will created, and I can't support this. **Hamza:** OK. Loretta? **Baugh:** This is Loretta. I agree with Mark and Ginger. It took up a tremendous amount of board time to come to that compromise. The compromise was reached, and I disagree with Kathy in the fact that Lincoln State holding their show had nothing to do with Kittyhawk's cancelling. **Hamza:** Well, I have a question for you. **Baugh:** Let me finish. If Lincoln State wasn't holding their show, Kittyhawk would have gone ahead, but having a show in Raleigh and one in Lincoln State and having another one in Pennsylvania was too much. I'm sorry, go ahead. **Hamza:** Are they planning on having a show next year? **Calhoun:** Lincoln State? **Hamza:** No, no. Kittyhawk. **Baugh:** Yes. They would like a date change, but they are planning to hold their show next year. **Hamza:** And they're planning on moving off of this weekend? **Baugh:** No, no. They would like to, but it's their traditional weekend. It is not Lincoln State's traditional weekend. **Hamza:** OK. **Hannon:** And Lincoln State only had a one-year approval to move to this date. **Baugh:** Yeah, just one time only. **Hannon:** They said that their hall was available on their traditional date in Illinois for 2014. It was only in 2013 that they had a problem. **Calhoun:** That's the case. **Hamza:** Kathy, are they moving off this date next year? **Calhoun:** Yes. **Hamza:** So, this – **Calhoun:** They are moving back to their traditional date. **Hannon:** They're going back to their traditional date. **Hamza:** So, really, we're talking only this year. **Hannon:** Correct. **Calhoun:** Correct. **Hamza:** And this year only, Kittyhawk is not having a show but Lincoln State is having a show. **Hannon:** Correct. **Calhoun:** Correct. **Baugh:** By approving this, we are negating a compromise that took a tremendous amount of board work. **Hamza:** I understand. **Baugh:** There was an agreement in place. There was an agreement in place, and I think we should be held to it. **Wilson:** This is Annette. **Hamza:** Go ahead Annette. **Wilson:** Maybe I remember all of this differently from everybody else, and I'm going to abstain because I'm judging Lincoln State, but there was, whatever got worked out got worked out and I think

finalized at the May or June board meeting. Kittyhawk only recently cancelled their show and my understanding from talking to someone in Kittyhawk was that they did it because when Raleigh licensed their show, Black Diamond increased the number of rings for their show, or something like that. They just decided it was too much. Now, was part of it too much because Lincoln State was also having a show? Possibly, but since Kittyhawk cancelled their show, why is Lincoln State being punished for that, when for at least 6 months both clubs knew that one was going to have a show on Saturday and one was going to have a show on Sunday? **Hamza:** One thing I want to mention here, and it's something that needs to come into this equation. You know, we know what the background is, but we've got to make sure we don't punish the general exhibitor. **Altschul:** This is Carissa. **Hamza:** Go ahead Carissa. **Altschul:** I'm in agreement with Jerry and Kathy. Write that one down. In the original compromise, and I did read the minutes – I wasn't there for the meeting, and I'm sure it was a lot uglier than it appeared in the minutes – was between Kittyhawk and Lincoln State. I understand the point of view that it seems like Lincoln State is going back on their compromise. However, the compromise was made with a club that's no longer having their show. As Jerry said, why are we going to punish the exhibitor on this? Most exhibitors would prefer to have an 8 ring show. They will certainly support Lincoln State better, and it's better for them to get 8 rings in. Then, people prefer a 2-day show. We've seen on the CFA List – I know it's not official, don't care – that people prefer a 2-day show to a 1-day show. I understand that it feels like the bratty child is getting their way, but on the other hand, our job is to do the best thing for CFA, and the best thing for CFA might be to let Lincoln State go ahead and change its format to a 2-day show. That's my opinion. **Hannon:** This is Mark. **Hamza:** Go ahead Mark. **Hannon:** I rarely disagree with Carissa, but I do in this case. I think what we're doing is encouraging predatory practices by rewarding Lincoln State. We ran this down Kittyhawk's throat. They did not want Lincoln State on their weekend in any way and we forced this issue on them. "If you want to have a show, Kittyhawk, this is how you're going to have a show." **Anger:** This is Rachel. **Hamza:** Go ahead Rachel. **Anger:** Has anyone asked Kittyhawk their feelings about this? **Calhoun:** Yes. I have an email, and I can send it to the board, from a member of Kittyhawk. I would have to pull it up to get the details, and I'll do that right now, wishing them well on their show weekend. **Hamza:** Let me ask this. How unpalatable would it be if we approved the 2-day format but let them know that they'll never be allowed to move on this weekend ever again. **Baugh:** They already know that. **Hannon:** We can't force a future board's hand. **Altschul:** This is Carissa. I have an idea. **Hamza:** Go ahead. **Altschul:** What if we make them have more specialty rings than they are asking for? **Hamza:** I kind of like that. What do you think? About 5 and 3? **Calhoun:** I'm not sure I understand the point behind that. Let Carissa finish. **Altschul:** I was just thinking, originally they could only have had 6 rings that were allbreed, so if they want to add 2 rings, make them add 2 specialty rings. That's what the local exhibitors prefer anyway. That way, they're still going to be limited to 6 allbreed rings, so it's a compromise within a compromise. Or 5 and 3. I would be good either way, but I'm just saying. **Hannon:** They've already got contracts with 6 allbreed judges. **Altschul:** True, but if they can change their format, they technically could go back to the judges and ask them if any of them would be willing to change to specialty. That happens a lot. **Eigenhauser:** George here. **Hamza:** Go ahead George. **Eigenhauser:** I'm not in favor of getting into too much detail by rewriting this, but I have to keep coming back to the fundamental question: how does it benefit CFA if we turn this down? I just don't think it does. I think it's better for the exhibitors if we let it happen. I don't think at this point Kittyhawk has a dog in the fight anymore, so to me, however it got here, we have to deal with the situation that's sitting in front of us now – not ancient history, not old battles – and based on what's sitting in front of us right now, here today, it benefits the exhibitors to let this change go forward. I don't know any club that is specifically being harmed by letting them change, and I think if we start micromanaging the judging,

especially when they are only a month away from the show – a little over a month away – I think we're being a little too cute. I would be willing to approve it, as requested. **Hamza:** And having said that, we still have the option if we wanted to throw a 6 and 2 at them, because they need to pick up 2 judges. **Eigenhauser:** Or one to do double specialty. **Hamza:** Yeah. Sounds like a good compromise to me, folks. **Meeker:** Are we talking about a 6 and 2? **Hamza:** Yeah. You know, the part we don't want to do is, we don't want to punish people that had nothing to do with this fight. Those are most of the people going to the show. **Meeker:** Jerry, one of the things that's been discussed quite a bit is the number of allbreed rings and expanding rings to pull from one show to another. You know, like expanding from an 8 to a 10 ring. **Hamza:** But, you know what I don't understand is that the statistics go against that. They don't support it. **Meeker:** They don't support more allbreed rings? **Hamza:** The expanding of shows. It doesn't necessarily mean your show is going to make money. You know, it's ending up being the same thing it always was. The better run shows do better, and the poorer run shows are having trouble. Everybody thought that going to a 6x6 was going to be a panacea and would fix everything, but that's not what's happening. Statistically, that's not what's coming out. **Meeker:** No, statistically the clubs that are doing the most allbreeds for a single entry fee are doing the best. **Hamza:** That's the market economy. People want the most bang for their buck. Don't you? **Meeker:** Absolutely, but I don't want the other clubs that have already set their format for this same weekend to be hurt by somebody expanding their allbreed pulling power. **Hamza:** Well, that's why 6 and 2 doesn't affect that. **Calhoun:** Jerry, this is Kathy. So, are we going to make it a policy of this board whenever a club changes format that we are going to dictate what that format looks like, based on competition? **Hamza:** Probably not, but in truth, Kathy, this is not what's gone on. This isn't just a regular club wanting to expand. And you weren't here, and there was a lot of heartache over this. And there was lines in the sand and very emotional, very hurt feelings. So, that can't be disregarded. **Calhoun:** I'm not disregarding it. **Hamza:** We're trying to make a compromise here that works, because if you haven't picked it up in the voices on some of the people on this call, there's some people who feel that Lincoln State shouldn't be rewarded. **Calhoun:** I think I've gotten enough emails aside from what's been on this call to glean that, but I just want to make sure that we're setting a precedent that may come back. **Hamza:** I think this is a unique situation. You know, I mean, there's basically 3 options to what this board is going to do. Option 1 is deny it, option 2 is allow it, and option 3 is to give them an option for a 6 and 2. Like I've said 100 times before, I haven't voted yet. It's up to you folks, but we've got to get past this. It's 10:45 and we're into the second agenda item tonight. So, the way I see it is 3 options, so somebody make a motion on one of those options and we'll go through all 3 if we have to and come up with a decision. **Altschul:** I'll make a motion. **Calhoun:** Jerry? **Hamza:** Go ahead Kathy. **Calhoun:** This is Kathy. I'll make a motion that we allow Lincoln State to expand their show to Saturday and Sunday with a 6 and 2 format. Let's just cut to it. **Hamza:** OK. Do I have a second. **Altschul:** I'll second.

Hamza called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Hannon and Baugh voting no. Wilson abstained. **Hamza:** OK, I believe that's Mark and Ginger voting no, and Annette abstaining. Is that correct? **Baugh:** No. Mark and Loretta. **Meeker:** Mark and Loretta. **Hamza:** Mark and Loretta, OK.

Meeker: Jerry, what if Lincoln State does not accept this format? What happens? **Hamza:** Well, I think they will be out of time because there won't be enough time to hit it at the next board meeting. **Calhoun:** They'll accept it. They will. **Hamza:** OK. So, it carries. **Calhoun:** Can I communicate that to them so they can get going? **Hamza:** Yes, you can. You can communicate that to them as soon as you would like. **Calhoun:** OK.

4. **Out-of-Region Show Request:**

Club Name: Ameridream Cat Club with sponsors Fukuoka Cat Fanciers and Japan Dancing Cat Club (Region 8)

Show Date: March 30, 2012

Location: Tokyo, Japan

Additional Sponsor Request: Sacramento Valley Cat Fanciers – Region 2

Hamza: Donna Jean, go ahead. **Thompson:** OK. #4 was an out-of-region show request and it's actually for sponsorship. The Ameridream Cat Club, who already had two other clubs sponsoring, wish to add the Sacramento Valley Cat Fanciers as one of their sponsoring groups, and that club is in Region 2 and the show is going to be held in Region 8, Tokyo, Japan.

Hannon: This is Mark. **Hamza:** Go ahead. **Hannon:** What does that mean, additional sponsor?

Are they licensing the show under 4 different club names, or want to? **Thompson:** No, they licensed the show as Ameridream Cat Club, listing Fukuoka Cat Fanciers and Japan Dancing Cat Club as sponsors. **Hannon:** As additional sponsors. Why do we have to approve that? **Hamza:** I don't think we do. **Eigenhauser:** We don't. **Hamza:** No, not if their name is not going to be on the license. If they're just sponsoring a ring. **Thompson:** Well, their name is on the license as a sponsor. **Hamza:** Yeah, that's fine. Ed, you don't see any reason we've got to vote on it, do you?

Hannon: No. Come on. **Hamza:** OK.

5. **World Cat Congress Request:**

Date of Event: March 7 – 10, 2014

Location: Miami Florida

Request: TICA has waived their number limit of guest judges and are requesting a CFA Judge be permitted in the judging panel.

Hamza: We're tabling the World Cat Congress thing.

* * *

We are receiving more and more registration ownership issues. We have held steadfast to the practice of receiving the green registration slip with the appropriate signatures necessary to create a transfer of ownership as well as the registration rules involved with the death of an owner, etc.

I have learned there were practices (legal) followed in the past where people could submit notarized documents, etc. but have not found any procedures in writing. The stories range from tear jerkers to the staff and/or I becoming somewhat less than bottom dwellers when we question their stories.

I am seeking procedural guidelines in the handling of these and similar problems.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Donna Jean Thompson*

(3) **CLUB APPLICATIONS.**

Committee Chair: Liz Watson

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Presented new clubs applying to the CFA to be approved by the Board.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Two tabled clubs are applying for membership. They are:

| | |
|--|--|
| <i>Southwest Japanese Bobtail Fanciers</i> | <i>Region 5</i> |
| <i>Chocolate Heart</i> | <i>International Division, Asia, China</i> |

***SOUTHWEST JAPANESE BOBTAIL FANCIERS
Region Five – Michael Shelton, Director***

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are fifteen members, several members participate in other CFA clubs. This is a specialty club with focus on the Japanese Bobtail. The focus is fundraising to support rescue. However, they may put on a show if a date becomes available. The dues have been set and if disbanded, monies will be donated to an organization along the guidelines of CFA. One negative letter has been received regarding this club. That letter and the response are enclosed. The Regional Director approves of this club. This was tabled because no club directors were named, so the application was considered incomplete. That has been rectified so the application is now complete.

Hamza: Club applications. Rachel, you want to do that please? **Anger:** I can do it. The first club on the report – **Hannon:** Southwest Japanese Bobtail Fanciers. **Anger:** – is the Southwest Japanese Bobtail Fanciers. That’s Region 5, Mike Shelton’s region. This club was tabled so that we could get more information. They have provided the information and here it is again. **Meeker:** This is Ginger. **Hamza:** Go ahead Ginger. **Meeker:** Mike, do you know if the situation has been worked out, to get the paperwork back to the other J-Bob club? **Shelton:** If it has been, I am not aware of it. I saw Jennifer [Reding] this weekend. She did not indicate to me that she was going to be turning over the records. I haven’t heard that any records were turned over. **Hannon:** What are we talking about? I thought there was a problem that we didn’t have directors listed, and we now do. **Shelton:** We now do, but there was a negative letter received with this club, which goes back to a pre-existing incident from a few years ago. **Hamza:** And as Director in this region, do you endorse this club? **Shelton:** I do. I am listed as a member, so I’ll abstain on the vote, but I do. I don’t – a protest was already filed over the issue where the negative letter was received and the board decided that this was strictly a club issue and didn’t – CFA didn’t really have any jurisdiction on adjudicating what’s essentially a club issue. That has been brought forth and dismissed once before. **Hamza:** Does anybody have any other comments on this club? **Eigenhauser:** George will move we accept. **Meeker:** I’ll second.

Hamza called the motion. Eigenhauser and Calhoun voting yes. Anger voting no. Shelton abstained. **Hannon:** Who was a no? **Eigenhauser:** Two yesses and one no. **Hamza:** I don’t even think we’ve got a quorum going. **Hannon:** Who voted no? **Anger:** Rachel. **Hannon:** Why? **Anger:** Because of the negative letter that was received and the outstanding unresolved

issues. **Hamza:** I am going to assume on the math that the motion carried. You folks need to vote. Well, congratulations. Next club Rachel.

Anger: Still on this club, there has also been a request, based on the fact that the Kii Cat Fanciers was requested to change their name due to a similar club name – the Twins Cat Club and the Twin City Cat Club – the Japanese Bobtail Fanciers, which has been a club since 1984, requests that the Southwest Japanese Bobtail Fanciers name be changed. **Hannon:** We just accepted it. **Hamza:** They're different enough. I mean, you know, they are both Japanese Bobtail clubs, so they should be allowed to use the breed. **Anger:** I disagree. **Hamza:** OK.

CHOCOLATE HEART

International Division – Asia (China) – Richard Kallmeyer, Chair

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are eighteen members. No member is a member of another club. This is an allbreed club that wishes to produce shows in Beijing or mainland China. The dues have been set and if disbanded, the monies will go to CFA. No negative letters have been received. The International Chair is aware of this club. This club was tabled because of a lack of addresses of the officers, directors and members. The Board felt that these addresses were necessary as the application was incomplete without them. That has been rectified so the application is now complete.

Hannon: Chocolate Heart. **Hamza:** Pardon? **Hannon:** Chocolate Heart. **Hamza:** Yeah, Chocolate Heart, alright. Our friends in China. **Anger:** This application was sent back to get address information. We wanted to know where they lived in Beijing and that information has been provided. The application is now complete and has come forward for acceptance. **Hamza:** Any comments Dick? **Kallmeyer:** Yeah. I do support it. I think this would help the situation in Beijing. It's actually a different group, splitting one of the power blocks up. Why I support this, I kind of want to put out the idea that maybe we need a moratorium of new Chinese clubs until a lot of issues get sorted out, or at least a moratorium on existing cities. There will be 13 protests in the works right now on rejected entries, there's been physical assaults and the situation is getting out of hand, but having said that, I think this club might actually be beneficial to attenuate some of the problems going on. **Hamza:** Alright. Well, we'll have to address the moratorium after we address this club. Any other comments on Chocolate Heart? **Meeker:** I move to accept, Jerry. **Calhoun:** Second. Kathy seconds.

Hamza called the motion. **Motion Carried.** **Hamza:** Congratulations.

Future Projections for Committee:

Process and submit new club applications for consideration by the Board.

Time Frame:

January, 2013 to Board meeting in February, 2013.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

All new clubs that have applied for membership

*Respectfully submitted,
Liz Watson, Chair*

Hamza: That's it for clubs. Now Dick, you wanted us to endorse a moratorium on Chinese clubs? **Kallmeyer:** Let's hold off until the February meeting, but I just want to put that idea out there. **Hamza:** OK. I think you need to get with Ed so it doesn't sound like we're excluding one. **Eigenhauser:** George here. **Hamza:** Go ahead George. **Eigenhauser:** Yeah. We had a moratorium on clubs for a while in an area and we disbanded it many years ago. I took the position at the time, and I still take it, that the Constitution says they can submit an application. There's no power for the board to say, we will not accept applications from you, and I don't see anywhere in the Constitution where it says we can impose a moratorium. That being said, we can vote down any club that comes before us. But, I think saying, "we will not allow, we will not even consider your application, we will not exercise any judgment", or simply saying you know you can't even submit it, I don't think that's appropriate. I don't think it's an appropriate way for us to do business, but I do think we can let the word get out that we think there's probably too many clubs in that area right now, they haven't shown a need for more clubs, they're still getting organized, and so we're not going to look at them with much favor.

Hamza: And I want to make a statement here that appears on the record. I think that any club that is turning down legitimate within-the-rules applications for entries to a show, I consider that a very serious breach of CFA rules, and if it can be borne out, I will do everything I can in my power to ensure that those clubs go a long time before they get a show license again. **Eigenhauser:** If we let them stay as a club. **Hamza:** That's right.

(4) **PROPOSED CHANGE TO UNOFFICIAL COUNT FORM.**

Hamza: OK, having said that, on to proposed change to unofficial count form, and that's you George, right? **Eigenhauser:** It's a pretty simple concept. We have the unofficial count form. I just want to squish the text a little bit up and make a line for Household Pets and make a line for Veterans. I understand – years ago I asked for this and they said, “well, Household Pet doesn't really matter when you're scoring.” Well, Championship doesn't matter when you're showing a kitten, either, but it's nice to know. I think it's a courtesy to put it in there and if there aren't any, just put in a zero. It's not that much extra work. I move we change the form. **Shelton:** This is Mike. **Hamza:** I just have one question. You would want this to take effect in the new show season, right? It gives everybody a chance. **Eigenhauser:** Whenever they get around to it in the normal course. We have the form online. Whenever they get around to amending it. I'm not saying that they need to do it today or tomorrow. **Shelton:** Jerry, this is Mike. **Eigenhauser:** There's no harm doing it before the beginning of the show season if they've got it ready. It's not a – it's not going to change the way people do business. **Hamza:** I agree. Mike. **Shelton:** I would just say, if we're going to change the form anyway, we ought to modify Champions and Premiers to say Champions + Opens and Premiers + Opens, because there are still people who are confused as to whether or not opens are included in the champion count. **Hamza:** OK. That makes perfect sense. **Eigenhauser:** And I'll amend to add that, as well. **Hamza:** OK. Does anybody else have any comments? Can I get a motion to change the count form? **Eigenhauser:** I moved. **Meeker:** Second.

Hamza called the motion. **Motion Carried.** **Hamza:** Donna Jean, make sure, you know, this is in your wheelhouse. **Thompson:** Yes. I'm making a note of it. **Hamza:** If you have time to contemplate the [name omitted] question, you have time to contemplate – you've got time to do this.

The Cat Fanciers' Association, Inc.

UNOFFICIAL COUNT

Master Clerk _____

Show _____ Date _____

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| LONGHAIR KITTENS | |
| SHORTHAIR KITTENS | |
| TOTAL KITTENS | |
| LONGHAIR CHAMPIONSHIP CATS | |
| SHORTHAIR CHAMPIONSHIP CATS | |
| TOTAL CHAMPIONSHIP CATS | |
| LONGHAIR CHAMPIONS/OPENS | |
| SHORTHAIR CHAMPIONS/OPENS | |
| TOTAL CHAMPIONS/OPENS | |
| LONGHAIR PREMIERSHIP CATS | |
| SHORTHAIR PREMIERSHIP CATS | |
| TOTAL PREMIERSHIP CATS | |
| LONGHAIR PREMIERS/OPENS | |
| SHORTHAIR PREMIERS/OPENS | |
| TOTAL PREMIERS/OPENS | |
| HOUSEHOLD PET | |
| VETERANS | |

(5) **SHOW SCHEDULING.**

(a) **Broad Policy Discussion on Conflict Weekends**

Hamza: Show scheduling. I can hardly wait. Discussion on conflict weekends. You know, has anybody counted how many we've had since I've been President? There hasn't been that many. **Hannon:** No. **Meeker:** Two or three. **Hamza:** Two or three. That's an average of one a year, so what's – what do we want the scope of this discussion to be? **Eigenhauser:** George here. **Hamza:** Go ahead George. **Eigenhauser:** If we're going to have a kind of a brainstorming session on things, that might be something we could keep for the face-to-face. Let's do the hard core business tonight before we start getting too punchy, and have some of these wandering conversations when we're together. **Hamza:** OK, and we certainly – next month we're all together. **Meeker:** I agree with George. **Hamza:** Does anybody have a problem with moving this to February? <no> Maybe we can even have an ad hoc meeting with a couple beers. **Eigenhauser:** That sounds good. **Hamza:** Sounds good to me, too.

(b) **Implement Resolution 17 regarding Show Rule 12.03.c.**

– 17 – Lilac Point Fanciers; Carolina Sophisticats; Moonport Cat Club; Tennessee Valley Cat Fanciers, Inc.; Black Diamond Cat Club; Greater Lancaster Feline Fanciers

RESOLVED: Amend Show Rule 12.03.c as follows, effective immediately:

12.03 c. Any show held on a weekend or in a city different from the previous year must have written permission of either the Regional Director for the region (region 1-9) in which the show is planning to be held or from the International Division Chair for International Division shows. Written permission must accompany the show license application. Before granting permission, the Regional Director shall notify all subscribers of the CFA News announcements or a similar CFA-managed email list of such a license request. Clubs wishing to provide comment may do so to their Regional Director within 7 days of the announcement. While the Regional Directors will consider all input, they are not bound by it. This is in addition to any other policy used by the CFA Executive Board to manage the show schedule.

RATIONALE: On a few occasions, for various reasons, a Regional Director has given approval for a change without first getting input from another affected club. It can be very difficult for the RDs to know which shows will be affected by these changes. This proposal will aid the RDs in managing the traditional dates by having a blanket pre-notice of all clubs, then anyone who wants to comment can do so and the RDs can determine the merits of the comments (as they do now). This only applies to cases where the RD is considering granting the request, not every request that comes in. If the RDs plan to deny the request (some requests are obviously bad moves and can be quickly denied), then there is no need to go further.

When the RDs are considering granting a request, notifying all clubs through an email list removes any need for the RDs to determine which clubs are affected or to figure out mileage or to worry about clubs with dates before and after the show. Nobody will be left out. Clubs who care about such notifications will make sure they have members subscribed to the necessary lists.

The 7 day requirement is enough time for a club to provide input without unduly delaying the licensing process. If a club needs more than 7 days, they can always make an initial comment and ask for a little more time.

This is the same as pre-notice of applicants to the judging program and applicants for new clubs, it is simply an opportunity for anyone with a concern to receive a notification of a proposed new show and to have the opportunity to comment. This is solely about the opportunity to provide input, it is up to the RDs to determine whether the input is relevant or has merit.

This rule is effective immediately if passed by 2/3rds because it is a requirement on the RDs and is easy to implement since we already have a CFA News announcement process and a show scheduling process in place. It is not necessary to wait until next season to implement this rule.

...

Motion Carried by 50%.

Hamza: OK, implementing Resolution 17. You know, the sentiment was to try to figure this when we had the IT situation better in hand. Is it so urgent that that's not an option?

Hannon: I thought this was just a matter of sending out a CFA News announcement. **Baugh:** No, it's beyond that. It goes to the implementation of what happens when a club objects.

Hannon: What's that got to do with – **Baugh:** I guarantee you – no, this is talking about show scheduling implementation. **Hannon:** But Jerry was talking about waiting. He thought we were going to wait on this until we got the new IT system up, and I don't understand what this has to do with the IT. **Hamza:** I thought this was – well, you know, does this – in the interpretation, this is strictly letting clubs know that there's a – **Hannon:** – a request. **Hamza:** A request for a change of date or venue. There is no actionable anything beyond the – it's just informative only.

Hannon: It's sending out information and telling them if they have comments, to give it to their regional director. And what the regional director does with it isn't addressed. You know, they can do what they want with it. **Baugh:** That's what this regional director wants to talk about. We don't have to do it this time. We can do it next meeting, but I do want to talk about what happens after that, when the no's come in. **Hamza:** There are some things that have got to be – you know, one of the things is, we've got to set up a situation then timing-wise. **Hannon:** It was in the resolution that they be given a week to respond. **Hamza:** I don't mean that. Let's – Mark, I will try to, as these come down the pike – Loretta, you and I talked about creating some forms for this, correct? **Baugh:** Yeah. Rachel sent the form out because I sent it to her. That was not discussed with the RDs because I thought it was still in a draft state. **Hamza:** Let me explain, and it all ties in together. I had Loretta draw up a form for this requesting of changing of a venue or of a date or of a format, and in our policy we try to inform neighboring directors. In a recent case, we had a misunderstanding of what the response was, so I thought that it would be prudent if we had a form, either electronic or paper, that the regional director requesting the change would distribute to the neighboring regions and so that there will be something that we could track on the request. Now, what would work with this is that if, when the request comes in, we have a copy sent to the person who is doing the News, which would be Mark at this point. Would that work? **Hannon:** I don't see why we need this form. We've had one problem – the Raleigh situation – in two and a half years. **Hamza:** I agree, I agree, but you know what? There's a lot of hard feelings and I think it just – you don't think it's good policy just to have – that way it's a clear understanding? Yes doesn't mean no, no doesn't mean yes. **Hannon:** I think this is going to add to the paperwork and potential problems. If we've only had one problem in two and a half years, or ever, I don't know why we need to create a form for it. I mean, it was strictly a misunderstanding on Tracy's part. I don't think we need to create a form for it. **Meeker:** I don't, either. **Petty:** This is Tracy. **Hamza:** OK. You know, I just thought it was a way that we could go back. You know, it would also be a way to keep track of who asks for what if people ever want to go back and figure it out. Like let's say clubs were jockeying and had compromises on a weekend. But, you know, hey, if I don't have to do the work, that's fine. **Hannon:** Tracy wanted to say something. **Hamza:** Go ahead Tracy. **Petty:** I talked to Mary [Kolencik] about this because I wouldn't – there's really nothing stopping us from doing this now. It's just that this resolution will make it mandatory, so we can be trying this out now and seeing if there's anything that needs to be tweaked on it. My only concern was, exactly what is the process of

implementing it? I was late doing it, but I did draw up a process that I forwarded to the regional directors today. There's no reason not to do it. It's just asking for input from people who might not otherwise know that we're considering approving a show that weekend. **Hannon:** Let's try it a couple times and see how it works. **Hamza:** That's fine. So, we don't even need to vote on it. The regional directors, when you get a request for a change of date, forward it on to Mark, and Mark, you put it up one week before the board meeting. **Hannon:** I'll put it up when I get it and say you've got a week to respond. We may not need to go to the board meeting with it. If the regional directors in the neighboring regions and the regional directors all agree on that particular request, then the board never sees it. **Hamza:** Right. **Hannon:** It only comes to the board if one of the regional directors objects and the club wants the whole board to review the situation. **Hamza:** So, the resolution is just to send you the requests and you put them on the news. **Hannon:** Yes. We'll do it a couple times and if everything runs smoothly then, you know, we're happy. If we see some issues, we'll deal with it, talk about it. **Hamza:** OK. It's a process. **Hannon:** Does everybody agree with that approach? <yes> **Hamza:** Alright.

(c) **Bluff City/Tiger's Lair Issue**

Hamza: Bluff City/Tiger's Lair. Well, come on regional directors. **Altschul:** This is Carissa. Can we take this to executive session please? **Hamza:** Yeah. We can take this to executive session.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

Following an executive session discussion, **Mrs. Baugh** moved to withdraw (rescind) the December 2013 show license of Bluff City. Seconded by **Mrs. Meeker**, **Motion Carried**. **Bizzell** and **Wilson** abstained.

(6) SPONSORSHIP FOR SHOW ENTRIES.

Hamza: We're back into open session. I don't see any difficulties with sponsorship for show entries, is there? **Hannon:** What? You don't see a problem with that? **Hamza:** No, go ahead. This is your baby. **Hannon:** It is my baby. We have a rule that once you publish fees, a club cannot change the fees. For example, within the past month there was a club, and I think it was in Region 6, that posted that they were lowering their entry fees by \$5 between now and closing. They were told, "that's a violation of the Show Rules, you can't do that." So, what's to stop them from getting a sponsor to say, "oh, I'll kick in \$5 for every entry." It's the same concept. **Hamza:** No, they can't do that. Ed, there's not a loophole like that, is there? **Hannon:** Sure there is. It's called sponsorship. You just said you have no problem with sponsorship. **Hamza:** What I meant I had no problems was that I didn't think there was an issue here. I think it was pretty straightforward. **Calhoun:** Are we in open session or are we still in closed? **Hamza:** This is open session. Ed? **Raymond:** As I interpret the Show Rules, this would be in violation. **Hamza:** That's what I thought. **Hannon:** Last weekend, [club name omitted] held a show and they posted – and you all have a copy of their post – that between now and closing tomorrow, I think the way it was phrased was, "we have a mystery sponsor that's going to cover all the kitten entry fees." **Hamza:** That's against Show Rules. Did that happen? <yes> **Altschul:** This is Carissa. I just talked to the club. That did happen, but they paid whatever the entry fee would have been. Is there a Show Rule that says one person can't pay for another person's entry? **Hamza:** No. How can you stop that? It happens all the time? **Altschul:** So, how can a sponsorship be against the rules? They didn't lower the entry fees. According to [club name omitted] and I spoke to their president, the sponsor paid whatever the fee would have been. They were not given a discounted rate. They paid exactly whatever the entry fee would have been, so if it was the first entry for somebody, then they paid the fee that would have been first entry. If it was the fourth entry for someone, then they paid whatever a fourth entry would have been. **Hannon:** Alright, so how is that any different than what happened in Region 6 where they said they were going to lower the fee by \$5 for anyone that enters between now and closing, or if they had a sponsor that said, "I'll kick in \$5 for every entry that comes in"? **Altschul:** I think that's two different situations. **Hannon:** I don't. I don't see it as any different. Somebody is out of their pocket donating money to the club. **Hamza:** But it's not a reduction. I understand what you're saying, Mark. **Hannon:** It's a complete reduction. It's freebie. It's far better than \$5 off. It's a freebie. **Hamza:** Right, but the full entry fee hasn't been altered on the flyer. I understand – **Hannon:** And it's not altered in the other case. **Hamza:** It's a loophole. **Calhoun:** Yeah. They just worded it differently but the result is the same. **Hamza:** I don't know that there's any way we can do anything. How do we – what do we do? Let's say Mark sent me beautiful pictures of his garden all year and a wonderful Christmas video, and I appreciated it so much that I paid for his kitten entry at the next show because he's my friend. Is that wrong? **Baugh:** Jerry, what the perception is out there and what people are all up in arms about is, someone is running a kitten so they pay for the kitten entries. In essence, they are buying points, and they wanted to address that. **Hamza:** I think the anonymous donation of a full entry is reprehensible. What it does is, it insults people's morality and their intelligence. But, we've got to be really careful how we address that. **Hannon:** When I put this on the agenda, it was not for the purpose of resolving it tonight, but I thought we should open a discussion, alright? I think we've accomplished that. I don't think we're going to get a result tonight, but somebody that's interested in a high count in a particular class can offer incentives. **Hamza:** Carissa, do you know who offered that incentive? **Altschul:** I do not know. I literally do not know. I have my suspicions. **Hannon:** It was a mystery sponsor. If you had entered on time and hadn't waited until the last minute, you would have had to pay the entry fee. That's going to discourage people. **Altschul:** Which I did. I had 3

kitten entries and I paid for the entries. So, OK, I talked with several people at the show this weekend who had kitten entries, those who paid for them and those who didn't. I had 3 kitten entries, I paid for them because I entered the day before the sponsorship showed up. I usually enter at the last minute. If a club decides to take these, or an entry clerk does, then it means a lot more work for them because all of a sudden, if people start getting used to this, they're all going to wait until the last minute and drive the club insane. I will tell you one thing, though. This is really important to know. This has been going on underground for years. I heard about a particular club that I know that is in my region that for years has been known that if you go to the entry clerk and tell them, "I want to get entries for this division," they will pick up the phone and start calling people, "hey, do you have some extra cats? They will be paid for." It's been going on privately for years, which I really didn't like. I kind of like when it's out in the open and anybody can take advantage of it, instead of a special few. **Hamza:** I think I would like to see a rule that says that if you're going to advertise that as a show, that if you are having a sponsorship for a certain class, every cat in that class has to be sponsored then. **Hannon:** Put it on the flyer. **Hamza:** Yeah. If somebody wants to pay for 100 kittens, heck, I'll have them at my show. **Eigenhauser:** George here. **Hamza:** Yeah, go ahead George. **Eigenhauser:** #1, I don't think we can stop people from making gifts. If I suddenly decide that I want to pay for Carissa's entry to a show, I don't think any CFA rule is going to prevent it. So, we need to understand that if people are making gifts of money to each other for whatever nefarious reasons, we're not going to be able to control that. The problem is, there is a show rule that says clubs have to adhere to their published fees. When it's done with an anonymous sponsor, there's no transparency. For all we know, the club paid for these. We don't know for sure. We just know what's been put on the list, so I think what we need to do is, send a little note to the club saying, "you know, there's no such thing as an anonymous sponsor, you need to prove to use that these fees were, in fact, paid and you did, in fact, adhere to the show flyer and get back to us by the next board meeting." **Hamza:** George, why don't I put that in your hands, as Chair of the Protest Committee? I think that's a valid point. Can you do that? **Eigenhauser:** Yeah. I'll write them a note, but basically it looks to me, you know, like if this was truly done arm's length – this isn't the club doing it under the table – but this is truly an independent person paying for entries, you know, it's their money, they can throw it around any way they want. But if it's the club doing something under the table, then that's clearly a Show Rule violation and it just creates an awkward situation when they do it anonymously. It would be one thing if they published who it was, but as people have been pointing out, they have been doing it under the table for years anyway. **Hamza:** Yeah, but I want to know – I want to clarify one thing. Did the club itself advertise this? **Hannon:** Yes. **Eigenhauser:** Yes, it went out on the list. **Altschul:** It went out on the list from the club president. **Hamza:** That's pretty shady behavior. **Eigenhauser:** As long as they're simply stating a true fact and it isn't coming from a third party. **Altschul:** I do believe it was true because I did talk to them. I talked to the club president and I don't think this club had the wherewithal to pay for those entries, because they were quite worried about breaking even. Their show hall and overhead cost them substantial money. I don't know if they want me to say it. **Hamza:** There's no reason to. **Altschul:** But it was substantial. They have a substantial overhead. **Eigenhauser:** Even if it were not the club, per se, I would have concern if it was a club member. **Hannon:** And I would be even more concerned if it were a campaigner. If somebody wanted Kitten of the Year and they were willing to pay enough money, they could buy it. **Hamza:** The other thing we can do if we find out who it is, you know, nothing like the light of day to make cock roaches hide. **Eigenhauser:** Exactly. **Altschul:** How is it any different than money makes the top wins anyway? Whoever has the most money can pick their shows and fly to the shows that have the biggest count, so money has always determined the top wins. I'm sorry but that's the way it is. **Hamza:** You know what? Everybody understands that having money in a campaign helps.

Hannon: It's demoralizing to have somebody who is campaigning a cat pay the entry fees for points. **Meeker:** Jerry? **Hamza:** Yeah Ginger. **Meeker:** I think there's another aspect of this that we really need to consider, and that's how it hurts other clubs with shows the same weekend. **Hamza:** It's awful. This is awful. This is – you know what? It's like trying to legislate morality. You can't, but what this really is, is a violation of the tenets of sportsmanship. Any way you cut it, this is not good sportsmanship. **Meeker:** Last year there was a weekend in April, it was end of the season, everybody is double entered. A group comes on and says, "oops, anonymous sponsor", and by looking at the catalogs, it was pretty clear that 28 cats got pulled from one show. That really damaged the club. **Hamza:** You know what? Let's let George loose on the situation and we'll have some answers by the next board meeting maybe. **Eigenhauser:** Hopefully. **Kallmeyer:** Related to that, by the way, is maybe one club in the ID putting on 6 or 7 shows to help one of their members become an International Division winner. **Hamza:** You know, it's always been one of the challenges for a sanctioning body to try to keep the competition as fair and pure as it can. We certainly face those challenges, and I don't think we can – we do what we can. So, you know, George, I'm anxious. I would like to be kept in the circle on this one. **Eigenhauser:** Sure. **Hamza:** OK. I don't think there's any reason to prolong this discussion. We'll have ample bites at the apple in February, with more information.

(7) **JUDGING PROGRAM.**

- Committee Chair:** *Loretta Baugh* – Letters of Complaint; Board of Directors Meeting Reports; General Communication and Oversight
- List of Committee Members:** *Norman Auspitz* – Representative on the CFA Protest Committee; Mentor Program Administrator; Domestic Training and File Administrator
- Pat Jacobberger* – Education Chair
- Ellyn Honey* – Domestic Training and File Administrator
- Rick Hoskinson* – Domestic Training and File Administrator
- Jan Stevens* – Domestic Training and File Administrator; Secretary (keeps all files/records and compiles for Board report)
- Donna Isenberg* – New Applicants (inquiries, queries, follow ups, counseling); May teach Judging Application Process at Breed Awareness & Orientation School, Application/Advisor Coordinator
- Wayne Trevathan* – Japan and International Division Trainee and File Administrator; guest judge (CFA judges in approved foreign associations, licensed judges from approved foreign associations in CFA)
- Peter Vanwongerghem* – European Liaison; Application Advisor - Europe

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

It is with great sadness that we report the death of Rita Swenson, former CFA Allbreed Judge, CFA Board member and the Regional Vice President for more than 25 years; on December 8, 2012 Rita had just celebrated her 100th birthday on November 12, 2012.

Rita came into CFA obviously for her love of cats, especially Persians, having acquired her first show cat, a blue eyed white Persian in 1939 at which time she established her cattery, Casa Loma Cattery and began to work with many colors in the Persian breed. She also worked with Siamese, American Shorthairs, Manx and an Aby or two.

In 1952 Rita was elected to the CFA Board where she served to through 1953. In 1955 she was elected to the then new office of Regional Vice President for the North Atlantic and continued in this position until 1960. Then she won an “at large” position in 1961 which she held until 1955. In 1966 she was elected as Executive Vice President, an office she held until her voluntary retirement in 1980 which makes a total of 27 years as a CFA director.

Rita became a judge in 1955 and in 1966 was appointed at the CFA Judging Program Chairman by the then President, Louise Sample. Under Rita’s strong leadership, as a tutor, disciplinarian and supervisor for 14 years, the Judging Program grew laying the background of what it has become today. She was a tough task master and one would know better than to go to her and whine about when they are going to be advanced or think of asking for special favors. Forget it? You try that and you will find yourself knocked back a peg or worse! This being said a judge would be rewarded based on how hard they worked and extended themselves; this led to some

judges being advanced more quickly than others as she believed in the merit philosophy which would encourage every applicant and those in the apprentice and approval pending stages to do their best. And just because a judge may be an approved judged, step out of line and a protest came to her attention....look out? Every judge who worked under her knew what was expected and they became better judges for it.

Rita did so much more for CFA in the way of scoring and getting programs through which helped to elevate CFA into being the #1 leader in the cat fancy we all enjoy today.

For all that knew her and were fortunate to be one of her judging pupils, their memories will run deep and full of appreciation for the opportunity they had in being able to do so.

(Thank you to Kim Everett for sharing this bit of history on Rita).

Current Happenings of Committee:

CFA BREED AWARENESS AND ORIENTATION SEMINARS – 2012-2013

December 23, 2012

CFA Breed Awareness and Orientation Schools 2012:

- **November 15-17, 2012** – This school was held in conjunction with the CFA World Show in Columbus, Ohio on November 15-17, 2012. Instructors are Rachel Anger, Carla Bizzell, Nancy Dodds, Pat Jacobberger and Darrell Newkirk.

Fourteen people registered and attended:

Longhair:

Michele Beaudry
Tim Murphy
Neil Quigley

Shorthair:

Lauren Castle
Claire Dubit
Hope Gonano
Marilee Griswold
Shuk Man Lee
Kwok Yin Nicholas Pun
Allan Raymond
Jennifer Reding
Adilah Roose
Miki Sakaguchi
Brian Tripp

CFA Judge's Workshops/Breed Awareness and Orientation Schools for 2013:

- **January 14, 2013** – Wayne Trevathan is arranging and conducting a Judging School/Judge's Workshop in conjunction with the Region 8 show that is planned in Tokyo. The other instructor is Melanie Morgan. The following breeds will be covered: Egyptian Mau, Chartreux, Burmilla, Maine Coon & Persian.

- **March 29, 2013** - Bob Zenda has put together a Breed Seminar in Tokyo, Japan. He has enlisted a number of CFA AB judges to teach at this workshop. Thus far, he has the following sessions and instructors planned:

Abyssinian: Kim Everett and Darrell Newkirk
 American Shorthair: Carolyn Lyons-Prisser (*tentative*) and Bob Zenda
 Japanese Bobtail (LH/SH): Douglas Myers
 Maine Coon:
 Norwegian Forest Cat
 Persian & Exotic: Pam & Brian Moser
 Siamese: David Mare

This is not an endorsed offering through the CFA Judging Program. Judges attending will not receive credit towards their continuing education. Judges acting as instructors will not receive credit either.

- **February 28-March 1 and March 3, 2013** – The plans are made for this offering that will be held in conjunction with the Chatte Noir show in Moscow, Russia scheduled for March 2-3, 2012. The school would be on February 28-March 1, 2013 using judges who have been invited and contracted. Handling will be done on SUNDAY so that the instructors could judge on Saturday as all would be needed to conduct the handling portion of the school. Instructors will Carla Bizzell, Pat Jacobberger and Wayne Trevathan.

At this time, we have eleven (11) attendees enrolled. Many of them are Guest Judges who have judged for CFA in the past.

- **June 27, 2013** – The CFA Judging Program will once again host a Judge’s Workshop in conjunction with the CFA Annual Meeting. The breeds to be presented will be the Abyssinian and the Maine Coon Cat.
- **July 18-20, 2013** – The CFA BAOS has been invited back to hold a school in conjunction with the Garden State Cat Club Expo. We will plan on it!

CFA BAOS Does Social Networking!

We have established a presence for the CFA BAOS on Facebook. We have our very own page at: https://www.facebook.com/Voyageur13661?ref=tn_tnmn#!/pages/CFA-Judging-SchoolBreed-Awareness-and-Orientation-School/191026980780 and I have been setting up an “Events Page” for each separate school.

As always, it is an honor to serve the CFA Judging Program and the association as the Judge’s Education Chair and coordinator for the CFA Judging Workshops and BAOS events.

Respectfully Submitted,
 Pat Jacobberger



(a) **Advancements**

Acceptance/Advancements:

The following individuals are presented to the Board for advancement:

Advance to Approval Pending Specialty:

Jacqui Bennett Buford, GA LH – 2nd Specialty 19 yes

Advance to Approved Specialty:

Anne Mathis Fowlerville, MI LH – 2nd Specialty 19 yes

Advance to Approval Pending Allbreed

Anne Mathis Fowlerville, MI 19 yes

Respectfully Submitted,
Loretta Baugh,
Committee Chair

Hamza: Rachel, do you have the ballots? **Anger:** Yep, I sent them to Loretta. **Hannon:** Well, one of you tell us. **Anger:** I was trying to acquiesce to Loretta. Both candidates got unanimous votes. 18 board members voted, so they both passed with flying colors. [NOTE: an additional ballot was received after this statement, so the vote is 19]

(b) **Judging Program Rule Revisions**

Action Item:

Adopt the following proposed Judging Program Rule revisions:

Tabled to the February board meeting.

(c) **Discussion Regarding Exit Strategies**

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

Following an executive discussion, a committee was formed, consisting of the following: Jeri Zottoli, President, CFA Judges' Association; Edward L. Raymond, Jr., Esq., CFA Legal Counsel; Loretta Baugh, Chair, CFA Judging Program; Rachel Anger, Secretary; and Ginger Meeker, Director, Region 2.

* * *

Hamza: Are we done or do we want to stay up another half hour? **Eigenhauser:** Good night all. **Hannon:** Bye.

Meeting adjourned at 12:19 a.m. EST.

Respectfully submitted,
Rachel Anger, Secretary