

**CFA EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING
OCTOBER 7/8, 2006**

Index to Minutes

Secretary's note: This index is provided only as a courtesy to the readers and is not an official part of the CFA minutes. The numbers shown for each item in the index are keyed to similar numbers shown in the body of the minutes.

Animal Welfare/Purebred Rescue Committee	(13)
Annual Meeting 2007	(28)
Awards and Honors.....	(18)
Business Development Committee	(11)
Central Office Operations	(5)
CFA Foundation	(22)
CFA/Iams Cat Championship Show	(6)
Club Applications	(3)
Clerking Program.....	(16)
Community Outreach/Education.....	(24)
Corrections to/Approval of the Minutes	(1)
Disciplinary Hearings and Results	(30)
Ethics	(20)
Feline Agility/Course Design	(19)
Future Board Meeting Site Selection.....	(27)
International Division Report	(4)
International Show	(7)
Judging Program	(8)
Junior Showmanship Program	(17)
Legislation	(10)
Management.....	(29)
Marketing Research	(20)
Mentor Program	(23)
Ombudsman	(26)
Protest Committee.....	(9,30)
Publications.....	(21)
Show Rules	(12)
Show Schedule Coordination.....	(25)
Technology/Web Site.....	(15)
Treasurer's Report	(2)
Winn Foundation	(14)

Secretary's Note: The Officers and Board of Directors of the Cat Fanciers' Association, Inc. met on Saturday, October 7, 2006, at the Marriott Detroit Airport, Romulus, Michigan. President **Pam DelaBar** called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. with the following members present:

Ms. Pam DelaBar (President)
Ms. Kitty Angell (Vice-President)
Ms. Kathy Calhoun (Treasurer)
Ms. Rachel Anger (Secretary)
Ms. Debbie Kusy (NAR Director)
Mr. Dick Kallmeyer (NWR Director)
Mr. James Watson (GSR Director)
Mrs. Loretta Baugh (GLR Director)
Ms. Regina Shaffer (SWR Director)
Mrs. Kay Janosik (MWR Director)
Ms. Peg Johnson (SOR Director)
Mrs. Yayoi Satoh (Japan Regional Director)
Mr. Stan Barnaby (Director-at-Large)
Ms. Jo Ann Cummings (Director-at-Large)
George Eigenhauser, Esq. (Director-at-Large)
Ms. Joan Miller (Director-at-Large)
Mr. Darrell Newkirk (Director-at-Large)
Mrs. Elizabeth Watson (Director-at-Large)
Mrs. Annette Wilson (Director-at-Large)

Also present were Ms. Allene Tartaglia, Executive Director; Mrs. Carol Krzanowski, Associate Director; Ms. Roemann Fulkerson, Director of Marketing and Public Relations; Fred Jacobberger, Esq., CFA Legal Counsel, and Ms. Sanai Inami, Japanese Translator, who arrived later due to weather delays.

Secretary's Note: For the ease of the reader, some items were discussed at different times but were included with their particular agenda.

(1) **CORRECTIONS TO THE MINUTES.**

Secretary Rachel Anger submitted a correction which reclassifies a suspension from published “Administrative Suspension” status to non-published “Temporary Suspension” status. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

No corrections to the June, 2006 minutes having been submitted, **Angell** moved to accept the minutes. **Motion Carried.**

(2) **TREASURER/BUDGET COMMITTEE REPORT.**

Treasurer Kathy Calhoun gave the following report with a standing motion and the right to vote no:

This report is based on financial reports for the period beginning May 1, 2006 through August 31, 2006

Key Financial Indicators

Total Assets (primarily cash)

Key cash reserves have declined due to the losses last year as reported at the June board meeting. There will be additional comments regarding cash reserves at the end of this report.

Ordinary Income – Registrations and related services

Revenue from registration which is the single largest contributor to CFA's financial health and our core business is below last year's level but ahead of budget. Total revenue generated from litter registration is down 9.1% when compared to the same period of time last year. Total revenue from individual registration is down 9.7%. Both categories are ahead of budget ~ 17.5% and 2.1% respectively. Basically, registration continues to decline at over 9% but this decline was forecasted in the budget.

Other important categories which have experienced significant changes as compared to the same period last year (May through August of last year) include the following:

- *Cattery registrations decreased 11.3%*
- *Booklet/Form Sales decreased 34.6%*
- *Breed council dues increased 33.4%*

Overall, revenue from Ordinary Income was down for the first four months of the fiscal year compared to prior year. This represents a 6.4% decrease.

Yearbook and Almanac

The Yearbook is falling short of anticipated revenue. This is primarily due to the fact that sales of prior volumes are down compared to last year. Also yearbook advertising is down. Expenses are lower than this time last year because the book is delayed; therefore, the expenses are delayed. These expenses will accrue in later periods.

The Almanac is at parity with last year and ahead of budget from an income perspective. Expense on the other hand is ahead of last year. This is primarily due to production costs which are 98% more than last year.

Merchandise

Both ornament sales and book/video sales are down 57% compared to last year and down 53% when compared to the budget. The associated costs of goods sold are lower than last year so the net impact upon revenue is not significant.

Marketing Income

Net marketing income (income less salary, commission and benefits) was reported.

Central Office

- *Building maintenance is up over the prior year.*
- *Office supplies is 98% more than last year.*
- *Property taxes paid are more than prior year.*
- *Professional fees are up 33% over prior year.*

Computer Expenses

Year to date are more than last year primarily due to depreciation and computer supplies.

CFA Programs

This category is 40% more than last year. This is largely due to development of online services which has increased over prior year.

Corporate Expense

This category exceeded last year primarily due to board meeting expense and liability insurance.

Legislative

The legislative spending is on budget and at parity with last year.

The budget predicted a deficit, so we are at parity with expectations.

General Comments:

As mentioned earlier in this report CFA's cash position has changed. There was a net decline in cash due to last year's losses. This decline in account balances compounded with the Central Office staff's adjustment to managing these accounts without an Executive Director resulted in an overdraft in the Allaire Community Bank account. To address this issue, a transfer was made from the Chase account which is maintained in Chicago to support the CFA-IAMS Championship show and the International Show.

Eigenhauser: When we adopted the \$1 per cat surcharge for cat shows, we were trying to offset some of the costs involved in cat shows. Then we gave back 50 cents on the dollar to the regions, so it clearly does not cover costs. How much of Central Office operations is devoted to putting on, scoring, managing and answering questions about cat shows? We may consider an adjustment so that it's closer to a full cost recovery. **Calhoun:** It would be good for us to review that. Even if we don't act on it, we need to know where we are. **DelaBar:** The cost for materials, shipping the materials and scoring is barely touched by the show license fee. The clubs are having the same problems we are. Before we put anything else on the members, we need to see what we can do.

The CFA-IAMS Championship and the International are not covered in this report as it is premature to assess their outcome from a financial perspective.

If registration continues to decline at the current rate and no other income is generated to supplement registration shortfalls, CFA will experience operational difficulties in the next 12 to

18 months. Efforts must be made to generate new revenue, bring in new Fanciers and retain our existing Fanciers. Non-essential spending must be put on hold until after the first of the year, at which time we can further assess the outcome of the two corporately sponsored shows and determine next steps.

Action Item:

1. Close the Bank America account and transfer those funds to the Allaire Community Bank.

Calhoun: This is an administrative issue that will help address cash flow. The account was opened when we did business in Houston with the International Show. **Eigenhauser:** FDIC insurance is one of the justifications for having multiple bank accounts at different institutions. We're below those numbers now. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

2. Executive Committee schedule monthly conference calls to discuss both the upcoming major events and monthly financials, and report to the Board via email.

DelaBar called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

3. The Treasurer to provide the full Board a monthly condensed report to make sure that every Board member is fully informed.

Newkirk: Do we have a cash flow statement on a monthly or weekly basis to see if money coming in matches what's going out? **Calhoun:** We can break it down into any period that you want to see it. That's what the financials do. The income versus expense is there. **Barnaby:** How do you get the information that you put into the statements? **Calhoun:** We have financial software that generates the statement, reviewed by an accountant, line item by line item. I oversee it. It's hard to digest. **Wilson:** It would be like a checkbook and it would not include accruals. It's hard to get that from the regular monthly report. On a monthly basis, it would not paint a very good picture of anything other than cash out and cash in. **Calhoun:** Every single line item has to be seasonalized. **Wilson:** The annual audit done by the accounting firm has a statement of cash flow in it. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

*Respectfully Submitted,
Kathy Calhoun, Treasurer*

(3) **CLUB APPLICATIONS.**

Club Membership Chair **Jo Ann Cummings** presented the following club applications for approval, reserving the right to vote no:

Committee Chair: Jo Ann Cummings
Liaison to Board: NA
List of Committee Members: NA

Current Happenings of Committee: *One club is up for consideration.*

CAT FANCIERS OF THE BALTICS
Region – International Division


International division

Constitution and By-Laws meet CFA guidelines.


- *Sixteen members.*

 *Club Interest*

- *Applying for Allbreed status.*
- *Sponsor and host at least one CFA show a year, hopefully two shows a year.*
- *Shows to be held in Riga, Vilnius, Tallinn.*

 *Club activities*

- *Popularization of CFA in the Baltics, Organize seminars, etc. Activities to promote education of responsible cat ownership and breeding purebred cats, and Rescue.*

 *Objectives:*

- *To promote all cats' well being.*
- *To promote the breeding of pedigree cats in accordance with standards accepted by The Cat Fanciers Association (CFA).*
- *To organize and sponsor cat shows according to CFA show rules.*
- *To Promote professional cat keeping and breeding.*
- *To highlight new achievements in veterinary science.*
- *To promote further friendship of members, participation in joint actions, exchange of experience.*

As of this date September 12, 2006 no letters have been received.

Respectfully Submitted,
Jo Ann Cummings, Chair

Miller: What do we know about these people? This is the problem with international. We don't know any of them. **DelaBar:** That's why we rely on the Chair of the International Division. **Newkirk:** About a year ago, I met 5 or 6 of the members at the Germany show in Halle. I got a positive feeling. I'm hoping we will get some activity in the Baltics. **DelaBar:** I

received emails from them. **Janosik:** I find it a little troubling that two members can make decisions for the club. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Club Criteria/Analysis Chair Jo Ann Cummings presented the following report:

Committee Chair: *Jo Ann Cummings*
Liaison to Board: *NA*
List of Committee Members: *Peg Johnson, Jay Lehman*

Peg Johnson has some ideas on the study of CFA clubs location. Peg is considering purchasing a mapping software program. She has asked Jay Lehman to join us; considering Jay's computer knowledge, this will benefit this study immensely. Instead of hard-to-read listings, the mapping software program will show the club locations on the map and will be easier to follow. I hope that we can use color coding to tell which clubs are show producing clubs, which clubs are active, and which clubs are non-active.

I sent out a note yesterday to the CFA List reminding them that the CFA Club Activity Report is due. This report, once completed, could also help with the club location study. I will forward that information to Jay and Peg upon completion.

*Respectfully submitted,
Jo Ann Cummings*

(4) **INTERNATIONAL DIVISION.**

International Division Chair Darrell Newkirk gave the following report:

Committee Chair: *Darrell Newkirk*
Committee Members: *Loretta Baugh (Board Member also helping with Russia/Ukraine)*
Robert Zenda (Asia Liaison)
Joan Miller (Russia/Ukraine Liaison)
Dennis Lysterburg (European Rep)
Wilson Ng (Asia/LA Rep)
Rob Loot (ID Clerking Liaison)
Alenka Unk (ID Legislative Liaison)
Manfred Pszak (ID show Scheduling)
Rob Loot/Henny Wintershoven (ID Website)
George Cherrie (Sister Club Liaison)

Recent Happenings in the International Division:

International Division Representatives

I reported last meeting the results of the International Division representatives. Wilson Ng and Dennis Lysterburg are being utilized in their new positions as ID Representatives. The concept and implementation of one ID representative from each area of the International division is working out very well.

2006 International Awards shows

Hong Kong Cat Lovers Society hosted the first Asia/Latin America show in Hong Kong on August 5-6, 2006. The show drew well over 400 entries and the judges for this event were Jan Stevens, Darrell Newkirk, David Mare, Aki Tamura, Donna Fuller and Carla Bizzell. Bob Zenda attended the show and presented the Breed awareness show case. The Awards Banquet was held in the Hong Kong convention center and was very well attended. What a spectacular view of the Harbor during the awards banquet! The "On the Road" slide show was excellent, with slides from the various shows held across Asia.

Newkirk passed around the award banquet booklet. Bob Zenda worked with them and they put on a spectacular awards show. It could not have been better. It was just wonderful.

Cat Friends of Germany was the host the European Awards show July 15-16, 2006 in Colon, Germany. This was another very well organized and executed show and banquet sponsored by CFG.

News from Thailand, Linda Galloway

The news I have from Thailand is that they are being encouraged to have a 4 ring show next year, especially by the International Advisor Wilson Hong. The attendance and support was

good for this past July's 3 ring show. We need only to convince the club to get rid of the serious "noise pollution" they allow during the show! I'm working on that now that Thailand's doctors have reported serious deafness in children from this horrible mis-usage of microphone noise in Thailand, and also it results in deafness in adults! We could use the help of CFA in this arena – maybe an official ruling on noise levels at cat shows so that the cats and exhibitors are not so disturbed.

The club needs to develop the shorthair championship division more. There were about 3 more cats added from last year, besides my own (7 in #), but none of the new 3 were Champions. This makes it difficult for any shorthair cat to gain points unless they final. But so far the club is not making any overt efforts to bring this shorthair championship division up more. The focus is intensely upon longhair cats. Premiership cats are seriously diminished at the shows, probably because Thai people do not believe in neutering/spaying. So education wise, we need more work here. This is happening indeed, but the effects will be slow in coming. Kittens are not extensive, but this division is better than Premiership.

Chiang Mai was considering developing a club, and the paperwork is ready. However the proposed club president has asked me to wait before submitting. So I am not sure where we stand right now. The problems there are the lack of hotels that allow pets -same as for Bangkok (where I know of only 2 not so well-known places). Other reasons for waiting are not yet clear to me.

Linda Galloway

News from Russia and the Ukraine, Joan Miller

I have started to make contact with club members in Russia and Ukraine and have asked for news or concerns. I would also encourage judges who go to these countries to send their comments on the shows, club activities, quality of the cats and issues of interest.

Yury Novitskiy, Nika Feline Center, writes that in his opinion further development of CFA in Russia means establishing clubs in other parts of the country. Now clubs only want to hold shows in Moscow, but this will not increase the numbers of cats, nor will it encourage new breeders/exhibitors. He adds that approval of Exotic Longhairs for Championship would increase show counts in his opinion.

Rolandus Cat Club in Ukraine puts on 2 shows a year. Andrew Ustinov and Olga Rakitnyh provided some information to the International Committee on the development of CFA in Ukraine. Excerpts follow.

"Our activities were initially guided by the American CFA-trend. CFA was and has remained for us a pattern of competent, serious and responsible work. As a matter of fact we have become advocates of CFA philosophy and the organization itself in Ukraine.

In the year 2000 we got Rolandus Cat Club registered with CFA and the CFA Cat Show was arranged and held in Ukraine on September 9, 2000. (The first CFA Cat Show (3 AB rings) in

Ukraine was held by Cat Club Sherry in 1998 and it did not arrange CFA Cat Shows henceforth).

We consider Liz Watson, who has done so much for the competent and high quality activities of our organization, to be the godmother of CFA promotion in Ukraine. Her advice, theoretical assistance and support in the times of hardship were invaluable for us. We appreciate assistance, advice and support rendered to us by Jo Ann Cummings, Joan Miller, Wayne Trevathan, Betty White, Wain Harding, Victoria Nye, and Gary Veach.

We started practically from nothing. After the disintegration of the Soviet Union the Ukraine faced hard political and economic times. There was no serious felinological work. The majority of breeders and club organization men were satisfied with WCF. We started the activities guided by our own belief in the prospects of CFA progress in Ukraine and in the support of a small group of devotee-breeders, who could be counted on our fingers.

We suggest you acquainting yourself with the analytical information on CFA Cat Shows in Ukraine.”

CFA Cat Show, Rolandus Cat Club, Kiev, UKRAINE

Date of Show	Cats of Ukrainian breeders							Cats of Russian breeders							Cats of European breeders							ALL
	KIT	NO V	OP N	CH	GC	PR	ALL	KIT	NO V	OP N	CH	GC	PR	ALL	KIT	NO V	OP N	CH	GC	PR	ALL	
9/9/2000	15	29	11	1	-	-	56	8	-	-	4	1	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	69
2/23/2002	10	34	4	3	-	-	51	2	-	-	10	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63
3/22/2003	22	34	13	3	-	-	72	3	2	7	6	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90
3/13/2004	17	54	9	7	-	-	87	11	2	3	8	-	-	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	111
12/4-5/2004	29	40	9	13	-	-	91	11	6	2	20	-	-	39	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	132
4/2-3/2005	18	38	6	12	-	-	74	2	1	3	11	4	-	21	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	97
11/26-27/2005	22	42	8	5	-	2	79	15	4	13	14	1	-	47	7	-	2	4	3	2	18	144
3/24-25/2006	13	33	5	3	1	-	55	7	8	16	8	2	-	41	-	-	2	4	3	-	9	105

The note: because of parliamentary elections in Ukraine in March on Sunday 26, 2006 Cat Show 03/24-25/2006 has been transferred on the Friday-Saturday, therefore many Ukrainian breeders could not participate in that Show

“You can see the number of the Shows’ participants and CFA registered cats gradually increasing, geography of the participants widening. During all these years we have done a lot to set up, promote and consolidate CFA positions in Ukraine. We have dedicated all our lives to this business, and these are not simply fine words.

We have initiated 8 rings at CFA Cat Shows not owing to the improved living standards, increased number of CFA breeders in Ukraine (practically all CFA breeders have grown out of Rolandus), their financial ability to acquire new animals, but, on the contrary, despite hard

economic situation of the country on the whole and the majority of the breeders in particular. We put all burden of arranging such scaled action on ourselves in order to show CFA huge potential and make our Shows attractive for the breeders. At present one has to admit that, as shown in the analytical chart, we have managed to make our Shows attractive for Russian and European breeders. And we are convinced that it will most favorably tell on our Ukrainian breeders' confidence as to the right choice of our way.”

Andrew and Olga expressed concern that there may be new show rules to increase the number of points for Grands from 75 to 200. They do accept and understand the rationale for increasing winners ribbons from 4 to 6 for shows with eight rings.

They remind us that the economic situation in Ukraine is far worse and more complicated than that of Russia. Rolandus Cat Club holds two shows per season and the vast majority of cat breeders in the country cannot afford to travel to CFA shows in other countries, even to Russia. They said it is important to consider that “if a goal is unattainable then the sense of striving for it is lost”.

This comment expresses the delicate balance that needs to be considered in all parts of the International Division – how to maintain CFA’s high standard of competition but assure that it is possible to achieve the goals of individuals.

Asia/Latin America Report (Robert Zenda):

Activity in the Asia portion of the Asia/LA Division has been exceptional since the CFA Annual Meeting in June, with many “firsts” and promises of yet more to come. Following is a recap of CFA activities:

July 2: Feline Fanciers Society of Singapore – 1AB (Nagayama); 80 entries, 57.5% Novice in CH & PR Classes.

July 7: Feline Society of Malaysia CFA Clerking School – 10 students (Zenda Instructor)

July 8-9: Feline Society of Malaysia (Kuala Lumpur) – 1st 8 ring show in Asia/LA Division with 7AB & 1 Specialty (Newkirk, Nagayama, Shimada, Raymond, Zenda, Sada, Pollock & Vanwongerghem) and also 1st Junior Showmanship (Newkirk); 184 entries, 9.3% Novice in CH & PR classes. Linda Galloway, who resides in Chaing Mai, Thailand, completed requirements at this show to become only the 2nd CFA Certified Clerk in the Asia/LA Division.

July 23: Cat Fanciers Club of Thailand (Bangkok) 6th Championship Show – 3 AB (Hayata, Nagayama & Zenda); 121 entries, 41.5% Novice in CH & PR classes.

August 5-6: Hong Kong Cat Lovers’ Society 10th Championship Show – 6 AB (Stevens, Newkirk, Bizzell, Fuller, Mare & Tamura); 421 entries, 1 Novice (0.04%) in CH & PR classes. This show attracted 40,000 visitors and the club presented CFA with a beautiful hand painted and annotated scroll containing 100 cats by famous Chinese artists in honor of CFA’s 100th birthday.

Dick Kallmeyer served as Master Clerk and Bob Zenda presented breed showcases to show visitors.

August 6: 1st Asia/LA Division Awards Banquet, superbly hosted by the Hong Kong Cat Lovers' Society.

August 19: Philippine Cat Lovers' Society CFA Clerking School – 10 students (Zenda Instructor, assisted by Rothermel)

August 20: Philippine Cat Lovers' Society 1st Championship Show – 4 AB (Rothermel, K & Y Takano, Zenda); 79 entries, 26.6% Novice in CH & PR classes.

September 3: Singapore Cat Club – 3AB (Rothermel, Satoh, U'ren); 140 entries, 25.4% Novice in CH & PR classes.

September 15: China International Cat Club (Beijing) CFA Clerking School – 11 students (Zenda Instructor)

September 16-17: China International Cat Club 1st Championship Show and 1st 4-ring show in mainland China – 4AB (Colilla, Everett-Hirsch, Hawke, Zenda); 121 entries, 25% Novice in CH & PR classes.

September 17: Feline Society of Malaysia (Kuala Lumpur) – 2 AB (Nagayama & TBA)

KNOWN SCHEDULE FOR THE FUTURE:

October 14-15: Shanghai Cat Love Club – 3AB (Angell, Orlando, U'Ren)

October 21: Formosa Top Show Cat Club (Taipei) – 2 AB (DelaBar, Orlando)

October 28-29: China Cat Fanciers (Beijing) – 4 AB (Bizzell, Imboden, McIntyre, U'Ren)

December 2: Feline Fanciers Society of Singapore CFA Clerking School – 10 students (Zenda Instructor)

December 3: Feline Fancies Society of Singapore – 2 AB and Junior Showmanship (Zenda, TBA)

December 9-10: Philippine Cat Lover's Society 2nd Championship Show – 2 AB (Nagayama, TBA)

December 30 (t): Hong Kong Cat Fanciers Club 2nd Championship Show – format and judges TBA pending confirmation of show venue and sponsorship.

In addition to these shows & clerking schools, three new club applications are expected to be submitted for consideration by the CFA Board of Directors at the February meeting. They are:

Guangzhou Cat Fans Club (Fox Meng, Guangzhou, China)
Hong Kong Shorthair Cat Club (Vera Wang, Hong Kong)
Hong Kong Exotic SH Club (Suki Lee, Hong Kong)

I initially had concerns that the existing clubs in Hong Kong would not support two new clubs but I discussed that issue with Rarteo Lo, President of the Hong Kong Cat Lovers' Society and he told me that he (and the HKCLS) had no problem with it and in fact believes that the cat fancy and CFA is so strong in Hong Kong and the New Territories that several show producing clubs could co-exist very nicely.

Also on the table for the October meeting of the CFA Board will be a request from the Shanghai Cat Love Club to change their name to the Shanghai Cat Lovers' Club. I coordinated with Marion Donahue in the Central Office and provided the club president (Vivian Qiang) with information about the process that needs to be followed. She indicates that Juelian Wang (Club Secretary) will submit the official request.

Respectfully submitted,
Bob Zenda
CFA International Division Liaison for the Far East (except Japan)

Future Happenings

We have a great deal of Interest in Russia and China in the area of forming new clubs. We have two, maybe three, new clubs forming in Hong Kong and China. I have had an inquiry from the far East of Russia for a club to form there. This club is located just across the sea from Japan! We also have another club submitting an application from Russia. So we may have up to 5 new club applications for consideration at the February meeting.

Action Items:

None.

There are two show rules changes that have come from the ID. The one that we discussed at the June meeting will narrow the ability of cats to compete as a Novice at ID shows. We hope that you will support this show rule resolution.

The other show rule change deals with the winner ribbon requirement to complete the requirements to transfer to champion. This rule, as you will recall, is being submitted due to the occasional 8 ring (4 rings back to back) at a few of the ID shows where cats have completed their championship on Saturday and due to the large entry of opens, were able to complete their grand championship on Sunday. This show rule resolution will address this issue.

We are asking that you support these two show rule resolutions

This report is respectfully submitted by,

Darrell Newkirk, Chair
CFA International Division Committee

(5) **CENTRAL OFFICE OPERATIONS.**

CFA Acting Executive Director Allene Tartaglia gave the following report, with **Eigenhauser** making a standing motion to approve all action items, reserving the right to vote no:

1. *Out of Region Show Request*

- a) *Club Name:* Tonkinese Breed Association
Home Region: Gulf Shore (3)
Show Date: August 15-16, 2009
Proposed Location: Wayne MI (Great Lakes Region 4)

Baugh: This club moves their show around through all the different regions. I support it without any question. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion carried.**

- b) *The Enchanted Cat Fanciers (Region 8) show licensed for December 10, 2006 has asked to add 3 co-sponsors: Ancient Capital Cat Society (Region 8), Keystone Cat Fanciers (Region 4) and Portland Cat Club (Region 2). All four officers for Keystone CF reside in Japan and 3 out of 4 officers for Portland CC live in Japan. However, the clubs have not been out-of-region for 5 years so the official regions are still Region 4 and 2 respectively. The Regional Directors for Regions 4 and 2 have approved this request, however, the current Regional Director for Japan has not and asked the matter of allowing the out-of-region co-sponsors be brought to the Board.*

Barnaby: A show is being held in Region 8 which is co-sponsored by a club in Region 4 and a club in Region 2. While I realize that they will become Region 8 clubs, they are not yet. The previous and current Japan Regional Directors both say no. **Tartaglia:** The show is licensed. It's just a matter of adding co-sponsors. The Regional Directors for Region 4 and 2 approve this request. The Japan Regional Director wishes the board to address the situation. **Eigenhauser:** We have an on-going issue of clubs transferring regions, but the issue that is presented here is co-sponsoring shows. I don't see why we would care that there are additional co-sponsors or where they are from. I would prefer to see a board policy, if you want to have additional clubs help you with the show, but you are legal to have that show, the more the merrier. **Baugh:** This issue comes around frequently, not just in Japan. **Anger:** Why do the co-sponsors want to be on the flyer and receive official status? **Miller** moved to table until the translator arrives from Japan. **DelaBar** called the motion (to table). **Motion Carried.**

Satoh: The former Japan Regional Director declined to support the out-of-region show in Japan. The club already passed out flyers saying that the show is approved by CFA. They know the board should decide this first. **Newkirk:** If we are going to decline co-sponsors by clubs that are moving into another region, we are going to have to do it for all regions. We can't have one set of rules for Japan and another set of rules for the United States. **Eigenhauser:** Is the show being put on by a club that is legal to put on a show in a location and on a date that is legal for that club? If the answer is yes, adding an additional club to assist a club that is already putting on a legal show hurts no one. Additional sponsors should be able to come on according to their

willingness to help the additional clubs. **Shaffer:** If we want clubs to be strong and work together, we can't tell them they can't work together. The emphasis should be on clubs working together. **Anger:** Was it appropriate of them to put the other clubs on the flyer without board approval? **DelaBar:** We don't have board approval on any others. **Satoh:** This club is owned by one person, who the Japanese Regional Director and most Japanese clubs feel is a harmful person. **Newkirk:** This stems from, the Japanese don't want clubs coming into Japan. That was voted on at the annual and was soundly defeated. **Satoh:** Most Japanese clubs don't want new clubs. **Johnson:** This isn't really as much an issue of who is co-sponsoring this show, it's an issue of clubs being transferred from region to region. Japan feels more strongly about that. I move that we follow our current policy of approving out-of-region show requests for the primary club only. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

c)	<i>Club Name:</i>	<i>National Birman Fanciers</i>
	<i>Home Region:</i>	<i>North Atlantic (#1)</i>
	<i>Show Date:</i>	<i>May 26-27, 2007</i>
	<i>Proposed Location:</i>	<i>Temple TX (Gulf Shore Region #3)</i>

J. Watson: It's on the schedule for Region 3, so there is no problem with that. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

2. *Void Transfer.* *A breeder has requested a cat currently in her possession (and of her breeding) be placed back into her ownership without the normally required paperwork (signed transfer statement), essentially voiding the transfer. The cat, a retired Russian Blue Grand Premier, was petted out and the buyer's check came back as "account closed." The breeder tried contacting the buyer to no avail and drove from Baton Rouge to Houston to retrieve the cat. She was invited into the buyer's home and when she asked about the cat, the cat could not be located. A neighbor said they had seen a "gray" cat earlier that day in his front yard. The breeder stayed overnight at a friend's home in Houston and posted "lost cat" signs the next day. Fortunately, the cat was found by someone and given to the breeder. The buyer had already transferred the cat into his name (effective October 4, 2005) and has not responded to any requests (including two certified return receipt letters which were never claimed) for return of the signed transfer statement which would revert ownership back to the breeder.*

J. Watson: I have the original "account closed" check with me. We have originals of the receipt request envelopes that were sent, that were not picked up. **Eigenhauser:** All we are doing is fixing a registration where somebody bounced a check. There wasn't a contract. **J. Watson:** The check was account closed, not bounced. There was no intention for payment to be made and it was fraudulent to begin with. **Johnson:** I move that we let Central Office transfer this cat back to the breeder. **Calhoun:** The cat is OK if we do nothing until we hear the other side of the story. **Cummings:** I don't care to hear their side, if they don't care enough to keep the cat inside. **Wilson:** We did hear from the other party when they wrote a bad check. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

3. *Declarations Via Fax.* *We received three (3) declarations for Breed Council Secretary for three separate breed councils via fax on the declaration deadline, August 1. Our policy has always been to require an original signature and not accept declarations via*

fax. The constitution states a signature is required but doesn't specify "original" and does not address a fax situation or an electronic signature (e.g. a PDF of a scanned file which shows a signature). Are these faxed declarations acceptable? The same policy should apply to all declarations including Officers, Regional Directors and Directors-At-Large.

Eigenhauser: Does our Constitution require original signatures? **DelaBar:** Our Constitution was written before we had the ability to fax. Now that we are international, it is open for interpretation and for the board to set the policy. **Jacobberger:** The Constitution states, "... by written declaration signed by the candidate." It doesn't specify what kind of signature. The board is free to determine if electronic signatures are acceptable. **Shaffer:** The electronic signature would be the actual signature, as opposed to a typed word. **Miller:** Real estate transactions are done by fax. I see no problem accepting electronic signatures in a scan file or fax. I move that we interpret our Constitutional requirement for written declarations signed by the candidate to include electronic signature sent by electronic scanned file or fax signature. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Eigenhauser moved to accept fax and email protests. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

4. *Breed Council Membership.*

- a) *The Breed Council Standing Rules for membership state: (#4) A judge or an officer of another cat registering association is not eligible (for membership). Someone has applied for CFA Breed Council membership who is a Breed Committee Chair for another association. According to the other association's constitution/by-laws, the members of each breed group elect the breed committee and the highest vote-getter is named committee chair, similar to how CFA's breed councils elect their Breed Council Secretary. Does CFA consider the position of Breed Committee Chair an "elected" position relative to CFA's Breed Council membership rules?*

Tartaglia: Our current policy is that a judge or an officer of another cat registering association is not eligible for membership. Do we consider a breed committee chair an officer? **Jacobberger:** The only officers of CFA are our four elected officers. **Kusy:** We have past precedent. **Eigenhauser** moved that for purposes of our breed council membership, a breed committee chair in another association is not an officer of that association. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Johnson, Shaffer, Calhoun, Angell, Janosik, Barnaby, Kusy and DelaBar voting no.

Eigenhauser: To some extent, there ought to be a minimum standard [for breed council secretary eligibility] but it's up to the electorate. In a small breed where there is not a big presence in CFA but a lot of cross-pollination with another association, this is going to happen. If that's the person they want to represent them, if that's their voice, if that's the person who understands their breed, it's up to the electorate. **Miller:** We want to encourage some of these people to come into CFA, and this would help do that. **Wilson:** Once a breed is accepted breed for championship, I would like to see the rules tightened up. **Johnson** moved for Breeds and

Standards to come up with a board-sponsored Constitutional amendment for new rules for breed council secretary eligibility. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

- b) *An application has been received from an individual who is a judge for another association. We do not recognize this registry for purposes of registration using a certified pedigree. Do we recognize their judging credentials for the purposes of determining breed council membership eligibility?*

Eigenhauser: a) and b) are two separate issues. We don't allow judges of other associations to be breed council secretaries because of the potential conflict of interest and divided loyalty issues. We don't accept registrations from another association if we don't accept the quality of their registrations. A lower quality registration does not make it less of a conflict of interest. **Newkirk:** Our rules are, any judge that judges for another organization, recognized or unrecognized by CFA, is not allowed to belong to our breed council. I move that we abide by the current rules. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

5. *Certified Pedigrees. In June 2005, the Board approved the implementation of a research fee for completing certified pedigrees for cats born prior to 1980. We are asking the research fee also apply, at our discretion, to cats whose background include cats born prior to 1980. This charge was instituted due to the often excessive time needed to complete a pedigree that contains cats not currently found in our registration database which require pulling the records from our old paper files, transferring the data from cards to computer and then refileing the cards in our archives. Depending on the number of cards that need to be pulled, up to 6 hours are needed to produce a certified pedigree vs. the normal time of 5 minutes. The research fee is \$80 an hour billable in 15 minute increments. This charge would be in addition to the usual certified pedigree fee (3 generation, 14 ancestors - \$20; 4 generation, 30 ancestors - \$30; 5 generation, 62 ancestors - \$45, 6 generation, 126 ancestors - \$60).*

DelaBar: This is a stop gap measure until such time as we get those records on the system. **Angell:** This is very specialized for people who are doing line chasing and going back for certified pedigrees of 26-year old cats. They're using our staff. **Calhoun:** What is the significance of 1980? **Tartaglia:** As of 1980, everything was computerized. **Baugh:** What about charging per cat? **Eigenhauser:** Charging hourly is too open ended. We need to give an exact amount. **Calhoun:** That's why we have an imbalance in our financials now; because we continue to give away services and we don't charge appropriately for them. **Tartaglia:** I'll document the requests, how many cats there are, and we'll come up with a per-cat charge that covers our expenses. **Wilson:** People want a searchable data base.

6. *Club Resignations. Requests to resign a club from CFA membership are received on an infrequent basis. Normally, the letter is received from the Club Secretary. CFA's policy for many years has been to have the resignation confirmed by another club officer, usually the President, and then remove the club from CFA's membership roster. Sometimes the matter takes care of itself if the club does not pay the club fees and submit a current membership list.*

- a) *Gilt Edge Burmese Cat Fanciers.* The President sent a resignation letter late May with a \$273.59 check payable to CFA Purebred Rescue. Our attempts to verify the resignation with the Club Secretary have failed, a phone call indicated she was not aware of the resignation and has not confirmed the resignation in writing. We have not removed the club from the membership roster and are holding the check pending input from the Board.

Janosik: The secretary had agreed to the resignation over the phone. There is a majority of the club wishing to resign. **Barnaby** moved to accept the resignation. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

- b) *Superstition Cat Fanciers.* A letter of resignation was received on July 5, 2006 signed by the President and Secretary and we removed the club from our membership records. Further correspondence was received from members of the club stating they were not given the opportunity to decide the future of the club. It seems the vote was split to disband the club with some in favor of doing so and others not. A group of members are asking we reject the letter of resignation.

DelaBar: We have a majority of the club now saying they want to stay. **Shaffer:** This club is in my region. The president and vice president did this on their own. When the membership found out, a majority of them did not wish to resign and give up their membership status in CFA. I am in favor of retaining this club. **Barnaby** moved to reinstate the club. **Miller:** Our rules require a signature by the president and the secretary to resign. If a faction of the club doesn't want to resign, they can reapply. **Eigenhauser:** We have the power to look at the substance. Did this club in fact resign, or have two people gone renegade? If there is a serious factual dispute as to whether officers have authority, we have an obligation to look beyond the superficial and determine whether in fact this club has resigned. **Wilson:** Did they dissolve the club according to their constitution and bylaws? **Shaffer:** It doesn't appear they did. They didn't have a bona fide meeting. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Eigenhauser abstained.

7. *Breeder Bucks.* At the June 2006 Board Meeting the board voted to stop the Breeder Bucks program with an effective date of December 31, 2006. The question has come up as to whether this date applies to the issuance of breeder bucks, the use of breeder bucks or both. If we stop issuing breeder bucks as of 12/31/2006 we should give customers an opportunity beyond that time to redeem their breeder bucks. I propose we extend the use of breeder bucks to April 30, 2007.

Wilson: We need to make it clear that breeders have a deadline to redeem Breeder Bucks. **Eigenhauser** moved to stop issuing Breeder Bucks on December 31, 2006, and stop redeeming Breeder Bucks on April 30, 2007. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

8. *Persian Show Standard.* During the revision process to the show standards in 2003, the descriptions for bi-color and smoke and white were erroneously deleted from the Persian standard. This occurred at the same time all references to "van" were deleted. This was brought to my attention a few months ago. I will make the correction (add the colors) to the next printing of the show standards for the new show season. I believe this does not

require any action by the Board. I simply wanted to have an official record of what is being done.

DelaBar: Can we make sure this gets out to the judges and that the Persian breed council secretary gets it to her members?? **Tartaglia:** I'll send out a revised standard to all the judges.

9. Club Name Changes.

- a) Current Name: *Hong Kong Millennium Cat Club
(International Division)*
 Proposed Name: *Hong Kong International Cat Club*
 Other existing similar club names: *None*

Baugh moved to accept the change. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

- b) Current Name: *Kobe Blue Wave Cat Club (Region 8)*
 Proposed Name: *Japan Aphrodite Cat Club*
 Other existing similar club names: *None*

Eigenhauser moved to accept the change. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

9. Show Date and Judging Contracts. *Chris Fog was suspended after his hearing at the June 2006 board meeting. He was the Secretary of record for Hillcrest Allbreed Club and Compadres de los Gatos. Hillcrest Allbreed Club has not provided us with a new Club Secretary and will not receive any correspondence from Central Office until a Secretary is appointed. The President of record, Pam Cohen, claims she is not the President or even a member of Hillcrest Allbreed Club. Compadres de los Gatos has provided us with a new Club Secretary and officers. On June 30, a letter was sent by Rhonda Avery releasing judges holding contracts from all future dates for these clubs and releasing the show dates. I believe the board discussed and voted (using the Board Members list) to accept Rhonda Avery's letter re the judging contract matter and this needs to be ratified. The release of the traditional show dates needs to be resolved. The show dates we have on record are April 8 and April 29, 2007 for Compadres de los Gatos and January 20, 2007 for Hillcrest Allbreed.*

Newkirk moved to ratify the previous vote to accept Rhonda Avery's letter releasing judges. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** **Eigenhauser** abstained.

10. Club Secretary. *FYI, there is one individual who is the Club Secretary of record for 11 clubs in the Midwest Region. Secretary appointments were done properly and there are no rules in place limiting the number of clubs for which a person can be listed as Secretary. Based on our experience, this is excessive and I think you need to be aware of the situation.*

(6) **CFA/IAMS CAT CHAMPIONSHIP SHOW.**

CSNY Liaison Pam DelaBar presented the following report:

Committee Chair: Pam Huggins
Liaison to Board: Pam DelaBar
Committee Members: Dawn M. Shiley-Danzeisen (Show Manager)
David White (Assistant Show Manager)
Allene Tartaglia (CFA Director of Special Projects)
Roanne Fulkerson (CFA Director of Corporate
Marketing and Public Relations)

Committee Activities since June Board Report:

Following the annual in June, although the committee continued to work diligently on the various aspects of the show, final decisions could not be made until the corporate co-sponsorship (Iams) contract was signed and details made available. Unfortunately, this did not occur until mid September which delayed committee activities considerably. Consequently, although the show committee worked in unison with the CFA Director of Corporate Marketing and CFA Director of Special Projects, many of our decisions were intertwined and totally dependent on the specific requirements or special activities that would not be known until the intricacies of the co-sponsors contract could be determined.

When we were finally able to learn of the details and determine the requirements of the co-sponsor, we immediately went into high gear and were able to finalize the following:

- *All space requirements affecting floor plan;*
- *Number of available vendor and/or event booths; and,*
- *Type of special events we could or could not include (agility, vet presentations, grooming presentations, etc) all of which were dependent on space requirements and sponsor(s) participation;*
- *Addition or deletion of show committee personnel needed, based on special event activities; and*
- *Impact on budget based on personnel needs and related expenses of same.*

Due to the domino effect one decision had on another, the puzzle quickly started falling into place and we rapidly made progress. Based on this, we were also able to further define and adjust costs accordingly on the following:

- *Madison Square Garden requirements (decorator, tables, chairs);*
- *Mid Atlantic Show Service (cage service, agility set up, Breed Showcase and Best of the Best set-up);*
- *Website updates;*
- *Decorations;*
- *Signage; printing and catalog needs; and*

- *Rosette requirements.*

Other Issues:

Unfortunately, in late June, additional sponsorship issues presented and the committee was advised that 3 sponsors had pulled or were no longer viable. Specifics will be addressed by the Director of Corporate Marketing but is mentioned here due to the obvious impact on the income and potential success of the show. We immediately went “back to the drawing board” on the budget and tried to find additional areas to cut and refine even more.

Of prime consideration however, when attempting to cut costs on this show, is the related impact on the quality of the show, how it presents CFA to the media, exhibitors, spectators and sponsors. Be assured, every effort is being made to cut costs but still produce and maintain a quality event.

Good News:

- *“Trained Cats” will be at the Garden. Thanks to our co-sponsors, the “trained cats” will be at the show – a first for MSG/CICC. We are building this heavily into the PR and believe it will have a beneficial impact on the gate.*
- *Fox’s NY morning show, “Good Day New York” will be broadcasting “live” from the site of the press conference (October 11) at the Garden.*
- *Interest from CBS Early Show, as well as multiple other radio and TV representatives contacted.*
- *Numerous newspaper ads placed.*
- *More PR related efforts ongoing.*

Late Breaking News: Video Clip on Marquee at MSG: *A 15 second video clip of multiple CFA breeds, the CFA 100 year logo, and the Iams logo is running consistently in a 15 minute loop as one of the videos on the MSG Marquee. The MSG display Marquee is located on 7th Avenue directly in front of the main entrance to the Garden. This clip will run 24/7 until 16 October, providing another excellent opportunity to increase our gate.*

History making event: “Ring of the Bell” at NYSE. *The CFA President and Vice-President will join representatives from Iams, Proctor & Gamble, and 4Kids Entertainment for the “Ring of the Bell” at the New York Stock Exchange on Thursday, 5 October 2006, in celebration of our 100 year centennial! This is an exciting, history making event and has already greatly increased media attention to the show.*

Future Projections for Committee:

- *The committee continues to work towards their goal of producing a well run, well attended show offering tremendous media potential to CFA, in spite of the loss of budgeted revenue.*

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

- *Following the show, an after action report will be submitted to include financial information as well as feedback and recommendations from committee personnel.*

*Respectfully Submitted,
Pam Huggins, Chair*

DelaBar: We started the PR efforts with the ringing of the bell at the New York Stock Exchange. We will have a pre-press preview. The #1 rated morning show in the New York area, Fox & Friends, will broadcast live from the pre-press preview. We have Regis & Kelly after the show. We've got the CBS Morning Show, Good Morning America on the weekend show and a 15 second film segment for the video marquee at Madison Square Garden that has been running since last weekend. We will also have the Adopt-a-Thon. **Baugh:** All of us are getting questions in the field. Can we expect to make the show successful? **Fulkerson** updated the board on sponsorship. **Johnson:** How are we doing on working towards the budget? Are we saving money in some places? Are we seeing extra money from other places? **DelaBar:** We are undercutting a lot of expenses. Pam Huggins has been very good at cutting costs and being very judicious with expenses. **Johnson:** Are we committed for a show next year? **Angell:** We have judges, but we're not committed. **Shaffer** pulled the following tie breaker judges from the hat: Don Williams, Kim Everett-Hirsch and Barbara Sumner.

(7) **CFA INTERNATIONAL SHOW.**

CFA International Show Committee Chair Debbie Kusy gave the following report:

Plans are moving forward for the fast-approaching 2006 International Show in San Mateo, CA. Our first hotel, the Crowne Plaza, reports that the hotel is full. The overflow Hotel, the Hilton Gardens is also near capacity. Hopefully this will signal a full 850-cat entry for this year.

*As you may know, portions of the show are being filmed for broadcast on the Game Show Network. As discussed at the June board meeting, some adjustments will have to be made to accommodate their requests for filming. They expressed a concern that the constant overhead announcing would be a problem for them – so we will have screens posted around the show hall, announcing the numbers of the cats that are currently needed in the ring. We can “practice” this on Friday as they won’t be filming on that day, and will also have a note to the exhibitors in their welcome packages telling them that they will have to watch the screens for their numbers. In addition, the GSN folks wanted to see a “Top 60” presentation of the top cats in show – these will be made up of the Best Cat of each breed as chosen by a single judge at the completion of the regular judging. The highest scoring Kitten, Cat and Premiership cat will be brought to a ring, and the best will be called to the “Top 60” ring to be included in the filming. This will not affect the scoring, these cats will simply be included in the filming that will appear on the Game Show Network. This will not provide us with a total of 60 cats (as we don’t have 60 breeds and not all breeds are represented) – the additional cats will be selected as follows: From those that did not make best of their breed, the balance of the cats for this presentation ring will be selected as the highest scoring, based on percentage of points, available in their competitive class, until we reach a **total** of 60 kittens, Championship Cats and Premiership cats.*

As you all know, we will also be debuting the wonderful Chinese Scroll donated by the club in Hong Kong. In conjunction with this, we will be celebrating CFA’s 100th anniversary, by displaying some artifacts along with the scroll. Dee Dee Cantley has agreed to take on this project – she will give brief talks at the show throughout the three days, about CFA’s history.

Of course we have photos of the first “Best Cat” at Madison Square Garden. Dee Dee has agreed to bring last year’s Cat of the Year, if she is not pregnant or nursing kittens.

The scroll will take some extra effort to display, as it is silk and about 27-feet long, we are hoping for sponsorship for the display stand, as of this writing, nothing is firm. After the show, the scroll will pass to CFA.

Our PR firm, Landis Communications is again doing their bang-up job this year. They have secured a listing in Vanity Fair Magazine, as well as a spot on the “Ellen” show. Again, as of this writing, plans have not been finalized on this, but at this point, Ellen is looking at having some of the Agility cats on their show. More on this as it happens. The Tonight Show has also expressed interest – nothing firm yet.

KGO-TV (they are an ABC affiliate) will be a TV sponsor – they will be broadcasting a portion of the day directly from the show. Also, KCBS-AM is a radio sponsor and well-known anchor Susan Leigh Taylor is tentatively scheduled to broadcast live from the show on Saturday.

We will again have a Media Preview as we did last year, however, this year it will be held at the Crown Plaza, rather than at the show hall. The Media Preview invites the local press to come and meet the contestants, this was very popular last year and got us quite a bit of airtime prior to the show, which we feel boosted the gate attendance. However, since setup is still going on at the show hall, we felt that the media might be more comfortable at the hotel.

Interest in vending space is once again very high – the vendors were very pleased at the show last year and want to come back.

One last item – one of the attendees of the Cat Writers, which is held in conjunction with the show has a dog, Chipper, who travels with her. This is Arden Moore, she buys a vending space at the show. She had the dog with her at the show last year, her request to have the dog there came in after the October board meeting and we got the approval of the Executive Board to allow the dog to attend. Ms. Moore is well aware that the dog cannot go into the cat judging area, or anywhere near the aisle that runs from the vending area to the judging area. There were no problems at all with the dog last year, no one complained. However, since the dog is not an official “assistance” dog, the committee would like the board’s approval to allow the dog to attend again this year.

Action item – allow “Chipper” the dog to accompany writer Arden Moore to the show.

*Respectfully submitted,
Debbie Kusy
International Show Chairperson*

Kusy: We want to keep the exhibitors happy. We will have a Top 60 presentation. There will be one overall highest scoring for each breed. One judge will pick the best of breed from the highest scoring kitten, championship cat and premiership in each breed to go into the breed event ring, which will be presented on the Game Show Network presentation. The remaining cats will qualify by percentage of available points. At the end of that presentation, we will take the scoring from the show for the top six for three judges to select best in show. The top three breed winners’ names will be scrolled, they will be published in the Almanac and will receive rosettes. Dee Dee Cantley will present various artifacts from the CFA Foundation, including a Ming-style scroll painting which was commissioned by the Hong Kong Cat Lovers’ Society in honor of CFA’s 100 year anniversary, as well as flags that flew at the New York Stock Exchange bell ringing. The ABC affiliate will be broadcasting live from the show. We have a local radio sponsor. **Miller:** As background on Chipper the Dog and Arden Moore, Arden is one of the most prominent writers in the United States, who has written about 20 books. She is particularly interested in dog and cat behavior. Her dog Chipper was there last year. He is an animal assisted therapy dog. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

(8) JUDGING PROGRAM.

Judging Program Chair Rachel Anger presented the following report and made all standing motions with the right to vote no:

Committee Chair: *Rachel Anger – Letters of Complaint; Board of Directors Meeting Reports; General Communication and Oversight*

List of Committee Members: *Norman Auspitz – Representative on the CFA Protest Committee; Judging Program Rules and Updates; File Administrator; Judges’ Education (Breed Awareness and Orientation School)*

Carla Bizzell – File Administrator

Ellyn Honey – Mentor Program Administrator

Becky Orlando – Japan and International Division Trainee and File Administrator; guest judges (CFA judges in approved foreign associations, licensed judges from approved foreign associations in CFA)

Neil Quigley – New Applicants (inquiries, queries, follow ups, counseling); Teach Judging Application Process at Breed Awareness & Orientation School

Donna Jean Thompson – Domestic Trainee Administrator

Annette Wilson – File Administrator

Bob Zenda – Judges’ Education (Workshops, Judges’ Test)

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Deaths: *We are sorry to report the loss of one of our fellow judges, Georgette (Wawrzyn) Melotik, on July 6, 2006. She will be missed as both a judge with over 25 years of service, and as an exhibitor. Her resignation was accepted at the February, 2005 CFA Board Meeting, at which time she was elevated to the status of Judge Emeritus.*

Resignations: *The Judging Program has accepted with regret the resignation of Susan Johnson as an Approved Shorthair judge.*

Thank You Messages: *The Judging Program Committee has received notes of appreciation from Marsha Ammons, Kathy Black, Kathy Calhoun, Marge Collier, Ed Davis, Dennis Ganoë, Barbara Jaeger, Jeff Janzen, Teresa Keiger, Jo Ann Miksa-Blackwell, Sharon Powell, Jan Rogers, Iris Tanner, John Webster and Beverly Wood for their recent advancements.*

Current Happenings of Committee:

International/Guest Judging Assignments: *Permission has been granted for the following:*

CFA Judges to Judge International Assignments:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>Sponsor</u>	<u>City/Country</u>	<u>Date</u>
-------------	--------------------	----------------	---------------------	-------------

Jody Garrison	CCCA	CCCA National Show	Sydney, Australia	7/16/06
Kenji Takano	CCCA	CCCA National Show	Sydney, Australia	7/16/06
Yaeko Takano	CCCA	CCCA National Show	Sydney, Australia	7/16/06
Jody Garrison	CCCA	Feline Control Council Victoria, Inc	Melbourne, Australia	7/23/06
Kenji Takano	CCCA	OSH Cat Club, Inc.	Perth, Australia	7/23/06
Yaeko Takano	CCCA	OSH Cat Club, Inc.	Perth, Australia	7/23/06
Jody Garrison	CCCA	Launceston Allbreeds CC	Launceston, Tasmania	7/29/06
Kenji Takano	CCCA	Sophisticats AB CC, Inc.	Brisbane, Australia	7/29/06
Yaeko Takano	CCCA	Sophisticats AB CC, Inc.	Brisbane, Australia	7/29/06
Kenji Takano	CCCA	Foreign SH CC, Inc.	Adelaide, Australia	8/6/06
Yaeko Takano	CCCA	Foreign SH CC, Inc.	Adelaide, Australia	8/6/06
David Mare	CCCA	Royal Sacred Siamese	Melbourne, Australia	10/7/07
George Cherrie	Fife	Ostkatten	Norrkoping, Sweden	10/6-7/06
Gary Veach	Fife	Stockholms Kattklubb	Stockholm, Sweden	11/11-12/06
Larry Adkison	Fife	Stockholms Kattklubb	Stockholm, Sweden	11/11-12/06
Arie Groenewegen	Fife	Ostkatten	Norrkoping, Sweden	1/6-7/07
Pam DelaBar	WCF	Int Cat Show	Dortmund, Germany	3/31-4/1/07
Vicki Nye	FIFE	Pirok	Tampere, Finland	5/19/2007

Non-CFA Judges requesting permission to guest judge CFA shows:

<u>Judge</u>	<u>ASSN</u>	<u>CFA Show</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Date</u>
Cheryl U'Ren	CCCA	Singapore CC	Singapore	8/27/06
Cheryl U'Ren	CCCA	Shanghai Cat Love CC	Shanghai, China	10/14/06
Rod U'Ren	CCCA	China Cat Fanciers	Beijing, China	10/28/06
Teresa Imboden Johnson	CCCA	China Cat Fanciers	Beijing, China	10/28/06
Irina Kharchenko	RUI	Gala CC	Moscow, Russia	12/3/06
Irina Tokmakova	RUI	Gala CC	Moscow, Russia	12/3/06
Maj-Britt Stein	FIFE	Poppy State CC	Woodland, Ca	1/20/07

Action Item: The following individuals are presented to the Board for acceptance/advancement:

Accept as Trainee:

Makoto Murofushi (LH – 1st Specialty) 18 yes; 1 abstain (Janosik)

Advance to Approval Pending Allbreed:

Vicki Abelson 18 yes; 1 abstain (Janosik)
Carolyn Lyons 18 yes; 1 abstain (Janosik)

Advance to Approved Allbreed:

Carla Bizzell 19 yes
Richard Hoskinson 19 yes

Action Item: Adopt revised Mentor Program Guidelines.

Withdrawn.

Action Item: *Adopt the following proposed Judging Program Rule changes:*

Rule #	Old Wording	New Wording	Rationale
II.A.4.	An applicant must hold, at a minimum, a certified ring clerk license for at least three months prior to application. In addition to this, the applicant is required to complete three master clerk or assistant master clerk assignments. ...	An applicant must hold, at a minimum, a certified ring clerk license for at least three months prior to application. In addition to this, the applicant is required to complete three master clerk or assistant master clerk assignments. <u>The Clerking Program requirement of performing six additional solo ring clerk assignments before performing as an assistant master clerk shall be waived. ...</u>	The assistant master clerk experience is intended to give the applicant an understanding of the relationship between judge and master clerk (rather than force the applicant to become a master clerk). At present, there is a divergence between the Clerking Program Rules, and the assistant master clerking requirement in the Judging Program Rules. The Clerking Program believes that Judging Program applicants are bypassing the Clerking Program Rules. The Judging Program feels that this will cause broken focus. Should the applicant's focus be on performing 6 more ring clerking assignments, or on learning the standards? This proposal will clarify that the rules of each Program are separate and distinct as to this issue.

Anger: Withdrawn.

II.A.4.	An applicant must hold, at a minimum, a certified ring clerk license for at least three months	An applicant must hold, at a minimum, a certified ring clerk license for at least three months	If the above proposal fails, this proposal tracks the Clerking
---------	--	--	--

	prior to application. In addition to this, the applicant is required to complete three master clerk or assistant master clerk assignments. ...	prior to application. In addition to this, the applicant is required to complete three master clerk or assistant master clerk assignments. <u>The Clerking Program requirement of performing six additional solo ring clerk assignments before performing as an assistant master clerk shall be complied with.</u> ...	Program Rules.
--	--	---	----------------

Anger: A clarification is needed. **Eigenhauser:** Ring clerking is an important part of the preparation of becoming a judge. This is a skill that directly relates to the Judging Program. **Shaffer:** I am opposed to minimizing the Clerking Program for anyone interested in going into the Judging Program. They've got 7 years to meet the requirements. **Anger:** The question is, are these six additional assignments going to make the person a better judge? **DelaBar:** The most important thing is to be well versed in the ring. **Kallmeyer:** Interfacing with people and seeing the way different exhibitors act is good training. **Kusy:** It can do nothing but help you. We have lessened so many other requirements, I would hate to see this one lessened. **Baugh:** To become a certified ring clerk, they are exposed to clerking 12 times, and then 3 master clerk assignments on top of that. I don't consider the Clerking Program a stepping stone to the Judging Program. We're making it harder at a time when they should be concentrating on the standards, being a better judge and what they are actually applying the standards to. **Calhoun:** This takes focus away from the task at hand – focusing on the standards. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Calhoun, Angell, Newkirk, Barnaby, Cummings, Baugh voting no.

II.A.8.c.1.	Cattery Visits. ... The owner of the visited cattery must belong to the Breed Council of the breed to be examined.	Cattery Visits. ... The owner of the visited cattery must belong to the Breed Council of the breed to be examined. <u>Photographs are recommended, showing the applicant handling the cats visited.</u>	The use of photographs rounds out the applicant's Cattery Visitation section of their application. Shots showing the applicant's handling acumen would be very helpful to the board in evaluating the applicant's handling potential.
-------------	--	--	---

Anger: The board likes to see photographs. Pictures give us more security and are helpful in evaluating the applicant's potential. **Newkirk:** Why not just make it mandatory? **Eigenhauser:** I can see a situation where the cattery owner doesn't want pictures taken around the house. It isn't just the applicant who has to consent to pictures. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

II.A.8.c.2.	Agenting. ... If possible, the agent should also be responsible for	Agenting. ... If possible, the agent should also be responsible for	Same as above.
-------------	---	---	----------------

	before-the-show bathing and grooming of the cat.	before-the-show bathing and grooming of the cat. <u>Photographs are recommended, showing the applicant's care and custody of the agented cat in the applicant's home and at the shows.</u>	
--	--	---	--

Miller: I don't see why people have to show photographs of them bathing cats. How do you know which cat it is? **DelaBar:** We are trusting them to be honorable. **Anger:** The bathing and grooming of the cat is a rule that has existed for some time. **Eigenhauser:** This is only a recommendation. This isn't putting in an obsessive burden on people. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

II.A.13.	<p>An applicant who is a member of, or in any way connected with, an organization organized for purposes similar to those of CFA, will be expected to furnish proof of severance from such within fifteen (15) days of his/her admission to the CFA Judging Program. Henceforth, he/she may not join any such organization while a part of the CFA Judging Program.</p> <p>Applicants who are or were judges with other associations will be considered individually based on their background experience in their prior association with regards to club membership, breeding experience and wins that are comparable to those required by the CFA Judging Program. Meeting CFA clerking requirements as stated in Section II, paragraph A.4, is necessary before application can be made by those judges applying to the CFA Judging Program from other associations.</p> <p>Allbreed judges coming from Associations similar to CFA must meet color class requirements currently in place for second specialty trainees as a MINIMUM. Additional classes may be required based on performance.</p>	<p>An applicant who is a member of, or in any way connected with, an organization organized for purposes similar to those of CFA, will be expected to furnish proof of severance from such within fifteen (15) days of his/her admission to the CFA Judging Program. Henceforth, he/she may not join any such organization while a part of the CFA Judging Program.</p> <p>Applicants who are or were judges with other associations will be considered individually based on their background experience in their prior association with regards to club membership, breeding experience and wins that are comparable to those required by the CFA Judging Program. Meeting CFA clerking requirements as stated in Section II, paragraph A.4, is necessary before application can be made by those judges applying to the CFA Judging Program from other associations.</p> <p>Allbreed judges coming from Associations similar to CFA must meet color class requirements currently in place for second specialty trainees as a MINIMUM. Additional classes may be required based on performance.</p>	<p>CFA holds itself out as the best organization in the world, yet we accept judges' credentials on par from other associations, when we don't know what those credentials mean. New, regular applicants to the CFA Judging Program are expected to have impressive credentials, to more than fulfill our difficult requirements, and are expected to be "so well known and so highly respected" through years of helping to build CFA. Why, then, do we sometimes accept cross-over applicants without knowing who they are or what their accomplishments mean? However, when a judge coming over from another association has shown a commitment and loyalty to CFA by registering their cats with CFA and showing them to grand (or to Cat of the Year) at CFA shows, we have an opportunity to get to</p>
----------	---	---	---

		<p><u>REQUIREMENTS FOR APPLYING TO THE JUDGING PROGRAM FROM OTHER DOMESTIC ASSOCIATIONS.</u> <u>Following is a list of requirements for judges from other associations who wish to enter the CFA Judging Program:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. Seven (7) consecutive years of breeding experience with a registered cattery. Cattery must have been registered with CFA at least four (4) of the seven (7) years. A copy of the cattery registration must be provided.</u> <u>2. Licensed as a CFA Certified Clerk (important for mechanics).</u> <u>3. Bred and exhibited five (5) CFA Grand Champions/Grand Premiers.</u> <u>4. Attended a CFA Breed Awareness and Orientation School for the appropriate specialty.</u> <u>5. Apply as a trainee.</u> <u>6. After advancing to apprentice, a sixth (6th) CFA Grand Champion/Grand Premier must be bred and exhibited (in addition to the required number of evaluations) to advance to approval pending.</u> <u>7. To advance to approved, a seventh (7th) CFA Grand Champion/Grand Premier must be bred and exhibited in addition to the required number of evaluations.</u> <u>8. Attend a CFA Judges' Workshop during their first year of evaluation training.</u> 	<p>know them and they have an opportunity to get to know us. We need to know who we are accepting, and a minimum investment in CFA should be made.</p> <p>This proposal is an attempt to meet the cross-over judge half way and tracks the current requirements for International Division judges. The reduced time commitment, reduced number of grands and elimination of the Scorecard requirements are an exchange for judging experience which could be a valuable asset to CFA.</p>
--	--	---	---

Anger: This proposes that applicants establish a reputation in CFA. If they fulfill these requirements, they have done significant work in CFA and we will know each other. **DelaBar:** Was this done in expectation of something? **Anger:** Absolutely not. Rather, it was modeled after a previous applicant from another association who we initially turned down. She then went back, worked very hard and established a very positive reputation in CFA. **Newkirk:** We currently

consider applicants on an individual basis and make our decision on that. I prefer continuing to do that. **Johnson:** If we're really going to look at applicants individually, we need to learn to vote no. **Miller:** Each situation is different. A judge that has been judging for many years in another association may no longer have a breeding program. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Failed.**

II.15.8.a. & b.	<p>a. Licensed as a CFA Certified Clerk.</p> <p>b. Attendance at a CFA Judging Breed Awareness and Orientation School for the appropriate specialty/ specialties.</p>	<p>a. Licensed as a CFA Certified Clerk.</p> <p>b. Attendance at a CFA Judging Breed Awareness and Orientation School for the appropriate specialty/ specialties.</p>	Delete as duplicative. The current a. and b. seem to be disconnected. They are already covered in II.15.8.2. and II.15.8.4, respectively.
-----------------	---	---	---

DelaBar called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

II.15. (end)	[See above proposal and insert this proposal at that point]	<p><u>ADDITIONAL EXPERIENCE.</u></p> <p><u>a. Cattery Visits. Longhair applicants must submit a minimum of five (5) longhair cattery visitation reports. Shorthair applicants must submit a minimum of seven (7) shorthair cattery visitation reports. In all cases, a variety of breeds is recommended. Photographs are recommended, showing the applicant handling the cats visited.</u></p> <p><u>b. Agenting. Agenting forms (signed by the owner of the agented exhibit) will be part of the Exhibitor's Resume. Complete care and custody of the cat is required by taking the cat to the show, grooming the cat at the show, having the cat in your care the nights at the show, taking it to and from the rings. If possible, the agent should also be responsible for before-the-show bathing and grooming of the cat. Photographs are recommended, showing the applicant's care and</u></p>	The International Division Chair has asked that we address cattery visitations and agenting for International Division judge applicants. The additional language tracks the requirements of domestic applicants, as amended above with the addition of "photographs recommended".
--------------	---	--	---

		<u>custody of the agented cat in the applicant's home and at the shows.</u>	
--	--	---	--

Anger: This addresses cattery visits and agenting for new International Division applicants. **Newkirk:** It's difficult to find enough shorthair breeders in Europe who are breed council members, even though I encourage them to join the breed council so that they will have a voice. **Anger** amended the proposal to remove the sentence that says, "The owner of the visited cattery must belong to the Breed Council of the breed to be examined." **DelaBar** called the motion (as amended). **Motion Carried.**

III. – Second Specialty Application, Additional Experience, Paragraph A	... The owner of the visited cattery must belong to the Breed Council of the breed to be examined. ... If possible, the agent should also be responsible for before-the-show bathing and grooming of the cat.	... The owner of the visited cattery must belong to the Breed Council of the breed to be examined. <u>Photographs are recommended, showing the applicant handling the cats visited.</u> ... If possible, the agent should also be responsible for before-the-show bathing and grooming of the cat. <u>Photographs are recommended, showing the applicant's care and custody of the agented cat in the applicant's home and at the shows.</u>	Same as above in II.A.8.c.1. and 2.
---	---	--	-------------------------------------

DelaBar called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

IV.C.	Applications from persons who are or have been judges in similar associations within any CFA region will be considered for initial application by the Judging Program Committee. All such applicants, whatever their background, will be required to prove their proficiency by completing breed/division color class evaluations when accepted to the CFA Judging Program by vote of the Executive Board at a regularly scheduled Executive Board meeting. Although judging experience in a similar organization does not entitle an applicant to any preferential treatment, the requirement for five (5) years CFA club membership, ten (10) consecutive years of breeding	Applications from persons who are or have been judges in similar associations within any CFA region will be considered for initial application by the Judging Program Committee. All such applicants, whatever their background, will be required to prove their proficiency by completing breed/division color class evaluations when accepted to the CFA Judging Program by vote of the Executive Board at a regularly scheduled Executive Board meeting. Although judging experience in a similar organization does not entitle an applicant to any preferential treatment, the requirement for five (5) years CFA club membership, ten (10) consecutive years of breeding	Same rationale as II.A.13. These original provisions are in conflict with the existing II.A.13 and are incorrect in their requirements with recently adopted changes. The incorrect language is deleted and replaced with a simple reference to that which was hopefully changed above in II.A.13.
-------	---	--	---

	<p>experience, exhibiting ten (10) grand champions/grand premiers of their own breeding and breeding and/or showing cats in the appropriate specialty to the extent that fifteen (15) points are accumulated (as outlined in Section II.A., Paragraph 8.b.) may be satisfied by similar achievements in a prior association. Consecutive steps from apprentice, approval pending to fully approved must be met by all applicants accepted into the Judging Program, regardless of how much experience they had in judging in a similar association.</p>	<p>experience, exhibiting ten (10) grand champions/grand premiers of their own breeding and breeding and/or showing cats in the appropriate specialty to the extent that fifteen (15) points are accumulated (as outlined in Section II.A., Paragraph 8.b.) may be satisfied by similar achievements in a prior association. <u>The requirements set forth in Section II.A., Paragraph 13 must be met prior to acceptance into the CFA Judging Program.</u> Consecutive steps from apprentice, approval pending to fully approved must be met by all applicants accepted into the Judging Program, regardless of how much experience they had in judging in a similar association.</p>	
--	---	--	--

Withdrawn.

V.F.	[insert new]	<p><u>F. MENTOR PROGRAM.</u></p> <p><u>1. Upon acceptance to the CFA Judging Program, it is mandatory that Trainees select a Mentor to assist them.</u></p> <p><u>2. All judges, regardless of status, until Approval Pending Allbreed has been attained, will be required to have and work with a mentor.</u></p> <p><u>3. All new trainees will notify the Mentor Program Chairman, within 30 days of their acceptance to the program of the name of their Mentor, with contact information, and complete the Protégé Acceptance Form, sending it to the Mentor Program Chair.</u></p>	Add requirement that new judges work with a mentor.
------	--------------	--	---

Withdrawn.

V.E.	First specialty trainees will have two years to complete the required breed/division color class evaluation training. An extension may be granted by the Executive Board for medical reasons or extenuating circumstances. Those trainees not completing color classes in two years may re-apply to the judging program after a two year period. Second specialty trainees can move through the training program as appropriate. ...	First specialty trainees <u>Trainees</u> will have two years to complete the required breed/division color class evaluation training. An extension may be granted by the Executive Board for medical reasons or extenuating circumstances. Those trainees not completing color classes in two years may re-apply to the judging program after a two year period. <u>Trainees in the Judging Program as of October 2006 are grandfathered for up to two years.</u> Second specialty trainees can move through the training program as appropriate. ...	Currently, second specialty trainees have no time limit to complete their training. What can a trainee absorb and remember, when hypothetically years could transpire between training sessions? Two years is a reasonable amount of time to complete five satisfactory training sessions.
------	--	--	--

Anger: First specialty trainees have two years to complete their training, and we propose the same for all trainees. **Newkirk:** What do you do about someone currently in the program? **Anger** amended the proposal by adding the underlined sentence. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

VI.F. (renumber G.)	F. All contracts signed by an apprentice judge while in the apprentice status must be paid at the applicable fee for apprentice judges regardless of the status of the judge on the date of the shows.	F. All contracts signed by an apprentice judge while in the apprentice status must be paid at the applicable fee for apprentice judges regardless of the status of the judge on the date of the shows.	Delete. Conflicts with Show Rule 26.05: “The applicable fee is determined by the status of the judge on the opening day of the show.”
------------------------	--	---	---

DelaBar called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

VIII.H.	Attendance at a CFA Judge’s Workshop or Breed Awareness and Orientation School at least once every seven years is a requirement for relicensing.	Attendance at a CFA Judges’ Workshop or Breed Awareness and Orientation School at least once every seven years <u>(commencing 2002)</u> is a requirement for relicensing.	A clarification will be helpful to those judges who intend to fulfill this requirement.
---------	--	---	---

Anger: In 2002 this was passed, and we are putting it in the Judging Rules for reference. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

VIII.I. (reletter existing I.-	[insert]	<u>Satisfactory completion of the bi-annual Judges’ Test, with a score of 85 or higher, is a requirement for relicensing. Tests postmarked</u>	Currently, the judges’ test has no pass or fail, no time deadline or even a requirement that
-----------------------------------	----------	--	--

N.)		<u>after the deadline will be subject to a late fee equal to the licensing fee, and is due with the licensing fee. A judge who has failed to return his/her test shall not be relicensed.</u>	it be turned in. Minimum guidelines should be set forth.
-----	--	---	--

Anger: This proposal addresses minimums for the judges’ test. **Eigenhauser:** This has been a long time coming and is something we really need. **Newkirk:** There’s no remedial here. This is too cut and dried. **Baugh:** The purpose of the judges’ test is educational. We should provide a retake. They should certainly be able to pass the second time and we have accomplished the purpose of the test. **Janosik:** 85 is required to pass the clerk’s test. **Johnson:** Only a few judges didn’t make 85. It would be a chance for a couple folks to freshen up on standards or get some help. Discussion ensued regarding retesting, relicensing, late fees, and having an accurate testing instrument. **Newkirk** moved to table. **DelaBar** called the motion (to table). **Motion Carried.**

VIII.M. (as relettered)	Each applicant for admission to the Judging Program, each trainee, and each member of the CFA panel of judges must sign the following statement before being considered for admission or advancement, or permitted to function under this program as a CFA judge: CFA Judging Program Rules.”	Each applicant for admission to the Judging Program, each trainee, and each member of the CFA panel of judges must sign <u>agree to</u> the following statement before being considered for admission or advancement, or permitted to function under this program as a CFA judge: CFA Judging Program Rules.” <u>In addition, each applicant, trainee or member of the CFA panel of judges agrees to abide by the Judges’ Code of Ethics currently in effect. Payment of the application fee or the annual license fee is considered as agreement to abide by all terms of this paragraph.</u>	The statement which is asked to be signed is on the initial application, and no where else. Once in the Program, judges are unaware of this clause and have never been asked to sign it. A mechanism of acknowledgment of the recently-adopted Judges’ Code of Ethics is necessary. Payment of dues is a satisfactory mechanism to formalize the agreement to be bound by the Code of Ethics.
-------------------------	--	---	--

DelaBar called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

IX.B.2.	Invitations from clubs affiliated with foreign cat associations are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program Committee/CFA	Invitations from clubs affiliated with foreign cat associations are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program Committee/CFA-	This responsibility was turned over to the Judging Program in June, 2004: “ Newkirk
---------	--	---	--

	Executive Board and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed, or Approved Specialty Judges. ...	Executive Board and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed, or Approved Specialty Judges. ...	moved that the Judging Program Committee grant permission for these assignments in the future, unless they don't feel comfortable with it. Williams called the motion. Motion Carried. "
--	---	--	--

DelaBar called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

IX.B.2.	... A judge may judge only the specialty(ies) in which he/she is approved. <i>NOTE: Such assignments will not be counted toward advancement requirements (see Section VII, ADVANCEMENT, Paragraph A.2.).</i>	... A judge may judge only the specialty(ies) in which he/she is approved. <i>NOTE: Such assignments will not be counted toward advancement requirements (see Section VII, ADVANCEMENT, Paragraph A.2.).</i>	Housekeeping. Section VII.A.1.a. was amended last year to include assignments from international shows toward advancement.
---------	--	---	--

DelaBar called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Anger: A Japanese judge has been invited to guest judge an independent show for organized Japanese pet traders, and hold an educational meeting. They are not affiliated with any association. **Satoh:** The club is a domestic club of pet traders. Household pets will be shown. Before the Japanese judge was involved in CFA, he judged for this organization, 25 years ago. This is an old group. **DelaBar:** I would like more information on this organization. **Eigenhauser:** I don't have enough information to make an informed opinion on what kind of organization this is or what they're doing, so my default assumption is no. **Satoh:** This is a new group that was established last year. I am unfamiliar with them. **Anger** moved to grant permission for the Japanese judge to officiate. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Failed.**

Anger requested a clarification that our CFA shows, Agility and Junior Showmanship are three separate events. **Shaffer:** A Junior Showmanship cat can be (but it doesn't have to be) entered in the show. **DelaBar:** I don't see anything wrong with someone in the judge's own family running a cat in Agility, if it's not entered in the conformation competition. Does that clarify enough? **Anger:** Yes, thank you.

(9) **PROTEST COMMITTEE.**

Protest Committee Chair George Eigenhauser gave the Protest Committee report containing recommendations for disposition of pending matters (see item #30).

Chair: *George Eigenhauser, Jr.*
Committee Members: *Chuck Reich; Betsy Arnold and Norman Auspitz (member and Judging liaison) (Japan liaison: Kayoko Koizumi; European liaison: Peter Vanwonterghem; Animal Welfare: Linda Berg; Legal Counsel: Fred Jacobberger)*

Proposal For Late Fees and Tracking of Matters:

Current CFA policy states that where there are credible allegations of animal abuse or neglect, and a party refuses to submit to a voluntary CFA cattery environment inspection after requested by the Animal Welfare Committee, CFA services may be suspended until the party compiles.

In many instances the person(s) may obtain (and pass) their inspection promptly. In some instances the inspection is satisfactory but submitted late, after the suspension of CFA services. This results in extra time and expense to CFA in the form of staff time, etc. At present their services are reinstated without penalty. It has been noted that many late cattery environment inspections are completed within 150 days of the demand (120 days after the original deadline.) This sometimes appears to be in response to the individual's desire to register a litter or use some other CFA service, which prompts them to act.

Recently the Board approved publication of suspensions (other than temporary suspensions) online. Persons suspended for failure to obtain a cattery environment inspection may go before the Board for confirmation as an "administrative hell" suspension, which results in more time and expense. At present there is no formal timetable for presenting these matters to the Board.

Over the past several months there have been discussions between the Protest Committee Chair and the Animal Welfare Committee chair regarding how to process these matters. On the one hand, we want a good paper trail and prompt resolution of cases. On the other hand, we don't want to waste Board or staff time on matters which will ultimately resolve themselves with the additional passage of time.

Current CFA show rules impose a \$100.00 processing fee on exhibitors whose CFA services are suspended for failure to pay a show entry fee. The amount of CFA staff time necessary to impose these suspensions is similar to the amount necessary to impose a suspension for failure to obtain a cattery environment inspection. However, additional staff time and expense will ultimately be required to present the cattery inspection matters to the CFA Board.

Action Items:

Approve the following timetable for suspensions for failure to obtain a cattery environment inspection along with the proposed new processing fees.

- 1. The Animal Welfare Committee investigates the matter and sends the person(s) a demand for a CFA Cattery environment inspection when appropriate. Depending on the results, the AW chair may or may not elect to proceed with the matter.*
- 2. If the inspection is not completed within 30 days (or such additional time as the AW chair may grant) a formal protest file is opened with a partial docket number. The evidence on which the demand for inspection was based is placed in the file at CFA1. The CFA docket number is included on the letter informing the party are suspended and is also included on subsequent correspondence from CFA.*
- 3. If the inspection is not completed within the original 30 days but it is completed within 90 days (up to 60 days late) and the results are deemed satisfactory by the AW chair, their services may be restored upon payment to CFA of a \$100.00 processing fee.*
- 4. If the inspection is not completed within 90 days, but it is completed within 150 days (120 days late) and the results are deemed satisfactory, their services may be restored upon payment to CFA of a \$200.00 processing fee.*
- 5. If the inspection is not completed within 150 days of the demand (120 days late) the matter will be presented to the CFA Board at their next meeting and, if confirmed by the Board, published in the Almanac and on the CFA web site.*

Time frame: To begin immediately on all new matters if approved by the Board.

Follow up: None requiring Board action. Form letters will be updated to reflect the new rules.

*Respectfully Submitted,
George J. Eigenhauser, Jr.
Protest Committee Chairman*

After discussion of the action items, **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

¹ Note: obviously there would be no need to file evidence with CFA when the inspections are based on the “75 kitten rule” since the information came from CFA's own records.

(10) CFA LEGISLATION COMMITTEE.

Legislation Committee Chair Joan Miller gave the following report:

Committee Chair: *Joan Miller*
List of Committee Members: *George Eigenhauser, Fred Jacobberger,
Phil Lindsley, Dawn Shiley-Danzeisen*
CFA Legislative Group: *Joan Miller, Sharon Coleman, George Eigenhauser*

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

The following information is reported to make the Board and cat fanciers aware of some issues that impact our ability to breed pedigreed cats in the future. The CFA Legislative Group has concentrated on guiding cat fanciers in many parts of the country to successfully defeat or stall local ordinances over the last months.

Federal:

***Pet Animal Welfare Statute of 2005 (“PAWS”)** As I reported in June, things have been very quiet concerning any attempt to advance the PAWS bill either in the Senate Agriculture Committee or in the Assembly. This is due to our strong opposition and also the disagreement among supporting organizations as well as Senator Rick Santorum’s political fight for his re-election that might be harmed by the controversy surrounding this Bill. The Doris Day Animal League changed to an “oppose” position because they object to the inspection program proposed by the AKC that would allow an alternative entity to inspect those who would have to be USDA licensed breeder dealers. The AVMA has backed off from their announced collaboration with HSUS on legislative initiatives in general because of objections from the farm animal veterinarian members. The AKC has continued to experience a damaging splintering among those dog fanciers who support or oppose PAWS.*

***HSUS merge with DDAL** In September the Humane Society of the United States announced their merge with the Doris Day Animal League. From the HSUS press release, September 1, 2006:*

“The HSUS has pursued an aggressive growth strategy since (Wayne) Pacelle took over as president and CEO of The HSUS in 2004. The combination with the Fund for Animals led to the creation of a campaigns department that focuses on four major areas – animal cruelty, fur, factory farming and abusive sport hunting practices. The HSUS has also created an in-house litigation team that has more than 40 active cases in state and federal courts. The group’s list of on-line animal advocates has also developed dramatically. The HSUS’ 2006 budget is \$103 million, more than double the 1996 annual budget of \$42 million. The organization employs more than 400 people a 60 percent increase from 2000.”

HSUS is now perceived by lawmakers as the primary authority on animal issues.

- *The Humane Society Press publishes books, such as the State of the Animals III, which are part of a public policy series to provide their perspective on issues for lawmakers, animal advocates, the academic community and media.*
- *HSUS now has over 10 million members with field representatives and offices across the country.*

HSUS portrays itself as a “mainstream voice for animals” with programs in many different animal areas including companion animals and disaster response. Several individuals with whom we have had good relationships have left the organization. CFA does work with individuals within this organization as a fellow member of the National Council on Pet Population Study and Policy and on matters concerned with feral/unowned cats. However, we do have serious concerns about the organization’s legislative positions related to pedigreed cat breeding. It is apparent that HSUS has been behind some of the detrimental mandatory spay/neuter and anti-breeding ordinances we are facing in parts of the country.

National Animal Identification System (NAIS) – *the USDA has a plan now underway to microchip every farm animal and track every farm animal premises in the United States, with security stated as the main purpose. This is currently voluntary but is expected to become mandatory by 2008.*

On September 7, 2006 new federal legislation was introduced by U.S. Senator Jim Talent (R-MO) and U. S. Representative Jo Ann Emerson (R-MO) to make the NAIS system strictly voluntary. There is also growing opposition from small farmers in the country who are concerned with the cost and bureaucracy. The legislation would not only prohibit mandating livestock owner participation this federal ID scheme, but would address privacy concerns stemming from the collection of data in a voluntary program. We have not yet seen the bill language, but this may be a model for an alternative to the mandatory microchipping laws being considered on the local and state level for dogs and cats.

The CFA Legislative Group continues to promote and support voluntary microchipping of cats, but we oppose mandatory microchip ID, because this is a misuse of the technology for law enforcement purposes rather than to protect the animals. Mandating microchips with a government run database involves privacy issues for pet owners and is a cost deterrent to the care of free-roaming stray cats or feral cats. Animal Control Agencies are not able to provide the same 24 hour recovery service that is possible from an organization dedicated solely to reuniting animals with their owners. Tracking millions of cats and cat owners in the U.S. is not an appropriate function of the federal government or state governments in our opinion. We also contend that rabies protection for cats at risk can be best assured through promotion of proper veterinary care without the need for mandated microchipping.

Microchip standardization

Congress directed the USDA to come up with regulations to standardize microchipping of animals that would be required for all USDA licensees as well as to consider a possible a microchip system for all pets in the U.S. George and I attended an all day USDA meeting on this topic last May.

It is apparent that the microchipping of pets in the U.S. is complicated and confusing. Many support the existing microchips of 125 kHz that can be read by scanners in the shelters, but others want to impose the European ISO 134.2 kHz chips as the standard in the US even though they currently cannot be read by the scanners in use in almost all shelters. The Coalition for Reuniting Pets and Families” behind this move toward ISO microchips consists of HSUS, AHA, AAHA, AVMA, ASPCA and SAWA (Society for Animal Welfare Administrators). AKC, which has their affiliate organization, Companion Animal Recovery (CAR) was a founding member of this coalition but has since dropped out.

CFA has remained neutral on this matter as it seems most appropriate for the microchip and scanner manufacturers to resolve the technical issues first. Our greatest concern is a future government-run system and database that may eventually mandate microchips for all pets. This is not on the horizon yet but we are continuing to stay informed and involved.

Legislative page – CFA Fanc-e-Mews recent articles –

- *July/August 2006 issue – “Quick Recipe for A Grassroots Letter”, by Sharon Coleman. This article outlines an approach that makes sending effective grassroots letters to lawmakers easy. It is now on the CFA website.*
- *September/October 2006 issue – “CFA Breeders Assistance”, by George Eigenhauser. This article, previously sent to all Board members, answers the question of what CFA is doing to help assure that our breeders are not contributing to the problem of homeless cats in shelters.*

I want to thank Karen Lawrence for her continued cooperation with our many changes and additions to the CFA Website legislative page to update alerts and status.

Current Happenings of Committee/Legislative Group:

The Third National Feral Cat Forum – San Francisco, CA. September 9, 2006. Sharon Coleman and Kathryn Amann will be attending this important gathering to hear the latest techniques in the management of feral cats through TNR, trap/neuter/return programs. Among the speakers will be Bryan Kortis of Neighborhood Cats in New York and Nancy Peterson, HSUS Director of Feral Cat Issues. Topics include feral/unowned cats and the law.

Pet Night on Capitol Hill – Washington, DC, September 12, 2006. CFA is again among the affiliate sponsors for the 10th Pet Night event thanks to donations from the Washington, DC, Virginia and Delaware cat clubs who have provided donations to the Sy Howard Legislative Fund for this activity.

Pet Night is put on by The Animal Health Institute (members are Bayer, Fort Dodge, Merial, Nestle Purina, Novartis, Pfizer, Schering-Plough, Hartz Mountain, and others). The Affiliate Sponsors are AAHA, American Feed/Pet Food Council, AKC, APPMA, AVMA, Assoc. American Vet Med Colleges, CFA/Winn Feline Foundation, Pet Food Institute and PIJAC.

This elegant reception is a chance to meet many congressional leaders, agency officials, staff, spouses, veterinarians, pharmaceutical and pet industry leaders, AKC staff, etc. CFA will have

our own toys in the gift bags for all attendees. Thanks to Roeann Fulkerson, 900 toys produced by 4Kids Entertainment were donated for this event. Eight CFA fanciers in the Virginia/Washington area will be there with me.

The pet celebrity guests will be Nile, an EGYPTIAN MAU, who starred in the blockbuster hit “Catwoman” and Twister who played the Jailhouse Dog in “Pirates of the Caribbean”.

Legislative coalition meeting – September 13, 2006 following Pet Night. *Traditionally all of the Pet Night Affiliate Sponsors meet with the AHI members the day after Pet Night to discuss animal rights issues that are of mutual concern to our organizations including non-economic damages legislation and the microchip proposals. I will attend this meeting with Dawn Shiley-Danzeisen.*

Legislation – State Bills and Local Ordinances:

Almost all of the State legislative sessions have now ended. We are always grateful to the Pet Industry Joint Advisory Council (PIJAC) for providing our state bill tracking system, notices of hearings and ongoing advice.

The fall is the time when we usually update our legislative guidance materials.

I will prepare a list of bills and ordinances around the country that we are actively working on closer to the Board meeting so this information is more current.

Future Projections for Committee:

Networking with the sheltering community, veterinarians and lawmakers *is ongoing in order to understand the problems and trends motivating legislation. We continue to find ways to provide perspective on the cat fancy views to those in animal related fields and government.*

I will be attending several meetings and conferences before the February Board meeting.

- *The American Humane Association Symposium, September 28-30, 2006 in Schaumburg, Illinois*
- *The National Council on Pet Population Study and Policy meeting, November 7-8 Alexandria, Virginia, in conjunction with the Alliance for Contraception in Cats and Dogs Third International Symposium, November 9-12, 2006.*
- *Cat Writers Association meeting and social events – November 17-19; in conjunction with the CFA International Show, Foster City, CA*

Action Items:

None at this time.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

We will present updates and pending legislative matters.

Respectfully Submitted,
Joan Miller, Chair

Legislative Update
October 5, 2006

Federal

PAWS Bill

The status of the Pet Animal Welfare Statute, PAWS (SB 1139/HR 2669) was reported by AKC on their website on Wednesday, October 4th. At the time the US Congress recessed on September 30 the bill's principal sponsors, Sen. Rick Santorum (R-PA) and Sen. Dick Durbin (D-IL), had still not announced whether they would introduce a revised bill, based on the "discussion draft" previously released in March. This would have to be either during the post election short "lame duck" session of Congress in November or they could hold the bill over for introduction in the new Congress in January. According to AKC's announcement "the sponsors want to resolve all remaining issues of concern among supporters of the legislation before trying to move it." CFA believes these issues of concern present further reasons for objections to this legislation. It appears that PAWS is now behind us - at least in its present form and at least until January.

Opposition to this bill has involved an enormous amount of time on the part of both dog fanciers and cat fanciers with guidance from the CFA Legislative Group ever since its introduction in May of 2005. The grassroots efforts were broad and ongoing. Our constituency was kept informed and stayed resolved. CFA worked with several individuals and organized dog fancier groups in the face of strong lobbying for this legislation by the AKC, Humane Society of the United States (HSUS), Doris Day Animal League (DDAL), the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) and other groups.

Thank you to the dog and cat clubs and individuals who contributed to the Sy Howard Legislative Fund for our full page "Roll Call" publication ad. Thank you to members of The International Cat Association (TICA) and CFA fanciers who got packets out to every Senator and Representative, which helped to inform and deter co-sponsors from signing on. Much appreciation goes to the thousands of individuals who wrote excellent letters, made sure their clubs were put on the "Opposition List" and supported this incredible struggle to prevent government inspection and licensing of dog and cat hobby breeders. The PAWS bill would have affected many moderate sized home catteries. Our strategy was not to give in or try to increase the regulation thresholds for cats but to remain steadfast in opposition to all regulation of home based private hobby breeders.

Microchip – USDA Advanced Notice of Rulemaking:

The USDA held a series of meetings on the need for a standard for microchipping and registering companion animals. The USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) can only make and enforce rules for animals protected under the Animal Welfare Act, however whatever is enforced by APHIS could affect owned pets eventually. The comment period ended

September 6, 2006. The “Coalition for Reuniting Pets and Families” submitted a letter outlining four actions that the member organizations (HSUS, AVMA, ASPCA and others) want to see implemented .

*Requiring the use of global scanners;
Standardizing the microchip frequency;
Creating a centralized registration database; and
Broadly educating stakeholders (including animal care and control agencies, the veterinary community, the microchipping industry, the public, etc.).*

The standardization of microchip frequencies and global scanners is a controversial and confusing situation that will be best resolved by those in the industry. CFA’s concern is that they may want an eventual government run database for all cats and dogs. We are keeping informed in case the USDA decides to announce new regulations based on the comments received.

Highlights of pending legislation:

State Bills:

New Jersey

AB 3401 – Assemblyman Neil Cohen’s bill defines a “breeder” as anyone who sells or offers for sale more than 5 dogs or cats per year and requires breeders to comply with restrictive regulations for care. Due to strong opposition the bill was put on hold, but Cohen remains committed to sponsoring a breeder regulation bill in the next session.

AB 1827 – Assemblywoman Linda Greenstein’s bill requires all cats and dogs released from shelters to be sterilized when reclaimed by owners, even on first impound, unless they submit an exemption application and can provide documentation from CFA or AKC within 3 days showing the animal is registered and has been shown in the last 12 months. We and a New Jersey CFA Legislative Liaison have been communicating with Assemblywoman Greenstein.

County and City Ordinances:

Johnston, Iowa – Thanks to one cat fancier who is working with the CFA Legislative Group this City outside of Des Moines is in the process of reconsidering new zoning restrictions. They originally planned to limit the number of cats and dogs allowed in a home to 4. Currently there is discussion of amending the proposal to allow a “fanciers” permit requiring notice to neighbors and a hearing process to own more than the limit. Surrounding communities have similar limits. If in Johnston we can persuade the City to reject any limit or permit this would be precedent setting.

Indianapolis, Indiana – A Mandatory spay/neuter ordinance requiring all animals to be sterilized unless a “show, breeder, or competition” animal. Mandatory microchipping of each cat and dog over the age of three months. The public hearing is October 10th. Council vote will be October 30th. An Alert has gone out to local cat fanciers. We are communicating with dog fanciers.

San Antonio, Texas – Mandatory spay/neuter and breeder permits passed the Animal Care Services Advisory Board in June. A 5-year Strategic Plan that included the mandatory spay/neuter proposal was then sent to city council. Thanks to opposition efforts by cat fanciers, Pam DelaBar and the Responsible Pet Owners Alliance (RPOA), the City Council on August 31st decided that Year One of the Plan will not have the MSN or breeder permits or the “Bill of Rights” for San Antonio animals. This gives more time to promote trap/neuter/return programs and other parts of the plan that are positive and to continue opposition to the MSN and breeder permit proposal.

Louisville, Kentucky – Draft 8 (Revised) of an ordinance with over 100 pages has several provisions detrimental to cat breeding. A Class A kennel/cattery license will apply to 5 dogs/cats and will require an additional license for every increment of up to 5 dogs/cats with a permit fee of \$150 for each 5 animals. Kittens/puppies must have a temporary license at one month of age. It will be unlawful to sell or purchase an animal in violation of licensing or rabies certificates. Any animal not under restraint without a license will be presumed to be abandoned and “shall” be seized. This is a death sentence for feral/unowned cats or any cat who gets out without her collar. Catteries/kennels with indoor enclosures must be of impervious materials. The Louisville Kennel Club and many dog fanciers are working hard to oppose provisions, especially those affecting “pit bulls”. Cat fanciers have been non-responsive to CFA Alerts so far.

Albuquerque, New Mexico – The “Humane and Ethical Animal Rules and Treatment” (HEART) ordinance was signed into law in May and went into effect in August. Mandatory spay/neuter, increased breeder license, per-litter license and veterinarians required to provide the city with personal information about their clients are all included. Two cat fanciers tried their best and The Rio Grande Kennel Club was active in opposition. A petition to rescind the law did not get enough signatures and therefore was not submitted. The RGKC filed an injunctive relief suit in August to void what they asserted is an unconstitutional law. On Monday, October 2, 2006 the District Judge ruled that two of the provisions violated civil rights – mandated construction requirements for radiant-floor heating and fire suppression system and allowing the city to inspect permitted dog owners’ property. The rest of the claims were rejected. The RGKC intends to carry the case further. Others are working on another petition.

California –

Sacramento County, CA – Mandatory spay/neuter by 4 months unless a cat/dog owner pays \$150 for each unaltered animal each year. Veterinarians must turn in personal information to animal control when cats/dogs are vaccinated for rabies. Violations are serious. Cat and dog fanciers have aggressively opposed. They have attended joint meetings, communicated with the CFA Legislative Group to plan strategy, spoke out at hearings and have written letters. The CFA Legislative Group prepared “Cat Facts” folders for each member of the Board of Supervisors. After 4 hours of testimony at the Supervisors meeting August 15th they were disturbed by the polarization among the animal rights advocates and breeders. They voted to establish a mediation/facilitated process for resolution with January as the time to come back to the Board. So far the procedure has not been established, but there are now experienced and capable cat fanciers to take part in this.

Riverside County, CA – Mandatory spay/neuter by 4 months of age unless the cat/dog is registered with an approved registry and the owner can prove the animal is a “competition dog or cat” and can meet at least one of four other requirements, all of which are impractical or impossible for most breeders of pedigreed cats. A second ordinance requires microchipping of all cats/dogs over 4 months. The last of 4 county forums for public input is October 5, 2006. We then expect the Mandatory Microchip Ordinance (with animal services keeping all data) to go before the County Board of Supervisors. The Mandatory spay/neuter ordinance will be amended and presented to the Board later. Cat and dog fanciers have been responsive to Alerts, have attended the forums and will be ready to oppose this. Regina Shaffer and I had a 4 hour meeting with the Riverside Director of Animal Services and department heads and will continue to urge them to establish programs that will bring positive results.

San Jose, CA – Mandatory spay/neuter except “an owner may have one unspayed animal per dwelling and this unspayed animal may breed once each calendar year”. The owner of a dog/cat that produces a litter is to be considered a “commercial breeder” and must meet specific permit requirements including inspection by animal control. Recently a clarification by Animal Services indicated that, with a \$50 fee per dog/cat per year, and proof of an animal being of a recognized breed, involved in sanctioned shows or has won titles, members of breed clubs with standards for breeding, would be able to keep unaltered animals. Currently the City limit law is 5. The Animal Advisory Committee for public input is on October 5, 2006. They plan to present the final ordinance to the City Council for public comment on November 14th. Cat fanciers will attend both meetings. Data from a pet population survey in San Jose and Santa Clara County, partially funded by the CFA Sy Howard Legislative Fund is being prepared for presentation.

Other counties and cities in California are watching for any of these ordinances to pass. Kern County (Bakersfield) has announced a similar mandatory spay/neuter ordinance. The City of Los Angeles has announced intentions of adopting the Los Angeles County mandatory spay/neuter law (for dogs) along with several other cities in LA county.

Miller moved to approve wording for a letter from CFA to the Alliance for Contraception in Cats & Dogs (ACC&D) that would express our support of the Alliance’s goals to advance research and development of non-surgical methods of sterilization, as follows:

We recognize that managing dog and cat populations is of the utmost importance. Consequently, we support spay/neuter programs and the advances such as high-volume techniques and preadolescent sterilization that have helped these surgeries become more effective. CFA realizes that there are cats producing unwanted litters, both in the United States and abroad. We are particularly concerned with the numbers of unowned and feral cat populations worldwide. Surgical sterilization is a valuable tool for population control, but a permanent, non-surgical, injectable or oral treatment which humanely, safely and effectively sterilizes dogs and cats, and is significantly less expensive than providing sterilization surgery would be a dramatic advance for cat population management programs. We realize that scientists have been working for some time on options to sterilize cats and dogs with non-surgical methods; however, we understand that typical funders of drug development; i.e. government, pharmaceutical companies, non-profits, have provided minimal funding or focus in

this area. CFA supports the Alliance for Contraception in Cats and Dogs' efforts to expedite the successful introduction of methods to non-surgically sterilize dogs and cats. We encourage ACC&D to work towards these goals by facilitating research, developing technologies and supporting the appropriate distribution and promotion of products suitable for the humane control of cat and dog populations. This will help cats and dogs everywhere and will reduce the number of animals in shelters, and needs financial support to realize the potential. Through this letter, the CFA Board intends to publicly acknowledge our support of ACC&D's mission and work. By expressing our support for ACC&D, we are joining many others who feel as we do that we need truly new tools to see significant advances in cat and dog welfare. DelaBar called the motion. Motion Carried.

(11) **BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE.**

Business Development Team Chair Kitty Angell presented the following report with a standing motion and the right to vote no:

Committee Chair: *Kitty Angell*
Committee Members: *Roeann Fulkerson (Director of Corporate Marketing and Public Relations), Donna Jean Thompson, James Watson, Yayoi Satoh, Bob Johnston*

Immediate Past and Ongoing Committee Activities:

As a preface to this report I would like to say that it has always been my pleasure to bring you good news with this report. Unfortunately, this time there will be good news and bad news combined. It is evident to all of us that our economy is going through some corrective measures and it would be unrealistic to state that this does not affect the revenue picture for CFA.

4-Sight (new “sub-company name” launched by 4-Kids Ent. to better reflect their adult properties). *Good news! Our products are starting to show up in the market place. These are the dates and stores where you can find our products:*

- *PetCo (already in selected stores)*
- *PetSmart (already in selected stores)*
- *WalMart in nine specific test market areas 2,500 stores October 06*
- *K-Mart wing panel test launch late September 06*
- *Plan-O-Gram late September 06*
- *Zellers launching late August 06*
- *Cherrybrook & Northpoint Trading Co*
- *Central Garden & Pet*
- *Kroger, Stop & Shop, Publix grocery markets Concord Pet Ocean State*

A sample kit of toys and teases was sent to CFA for our judges for their perusal. Pam DelaBar and Kitty Angell will be taking samples of our toys when they judge in China this month. 4-Sight has expressed a desire to market the toys in that country.

A sample of the CFA toys was included as a gift in the 900 goody bags for "Pet Night on the Capital." One of our committee members, Donna Jean Thompson, was among the group representing CFA at this event.

Miller: On the toys that came to Pet Night, I liked the card that explained about CFA. It makes the toys almost educational. The cards should also have our website and Fanc-e-Mews.

Fulkerson: The website is included now.

New product licensing contracts are being negotiated weekly. Some which are already under Deal Memo or Final Contract are:

- **SharedBook** <http://sharedbook.com/>
- **Danbury Mint**
<http://www.danburymint.com/jewelry/product.asp?code=7069%2D0011&home=1> A customized letter introducing themselves to the 15,000 CFA breeder/exhibitors and the approximately 75,000+ CFA individually owned cats registered with CFA.
 - Specialized CFA Branded products marketed and distributed by Danbury Mint
 - Danbury Mint jewelry/plates etc. endorsed with Seal of Approval from CFA.
- **Commonwealth Toys products Deal Memo:**
Articles: soft/plush and bean filled toys with or without sound and or electronic, articulated and interactive/mechanical or light up features; includes all sizes and includes but is not limited to key chains, backpack clips, suction cup toys and jumbo toys.
Advance: payable upon signing of license agreement
Guarantee: payable at the end of the term if not previously paid in royalties
Royalty: 8% of net wholesale sales, 10% of FOB sales
Term: Through 12/31/09
Territory: U.S., its territories, possessions and Canada

The **second installment** from 4/Kids Entertainment, reflecting contracted guarantees, was received September 1, 2006.

CatBank: Now for some bad news. Bio-Arts Research went into breach of contract when they were unable to finalize our data base under the time restraints of our contract. However, we have a good news situation in the works as we have a new lab to run our DNA data base. The new lab is DNA Veterinarian Diagnostic Lab. This is an Ohio-based laboratory highly regarded by all major Universities and diagnostic labs throughout the country. Roemann visited the facility in Fairfield, OH on Thursday, August 24th. Their diagnostic capabilities are at the same forensic level as those of the past lab, and they do work for the FBI. Their proposal is as follows:

- PKD Testing
- DNA Profiling
- /Parentage evaluation
- DNA Shorthair gene determination
- DDC Veterinary is excited about adding several other DNA tests as they become available. Unlike the lab we were working with previously, DDC is very aggressive about obtaining and offering new DNA tests.
- No charges incurred for samples that fail to amplify (resubmission rate averages 5% to 10%)
- Samples received in poor condition will be returned to the breeder for resubmission at no charge
- DDC Veterinary will design, host and maintain CatBank's website on their server at a secure location in Fairfield, Ohio.
- DDC Veterinary will serve as CatBank's DNA service laboratory providing the highest quality standards available.

- *CFA will market CatBank services through their website (www.cfa.org) and as part of CFA affiliated shows, DNA clinics, print publications and other opportunities as they present themselves.*
- *DDC is able to retain and market services privately as they have under the DDC brand.*
- *DDC will prepare and cover the cost of specially designed collection envelopes/packaging which displays the CFA CatBank logo and information. These envelopes/packages will be distributed to all clients requesting any DNA testing.*
- *A contract was received October 4th which will name DDC Veterinarian Diagnostic Lab as CFA's selected partner for CatBank.*

Miller: Is this Ohio-based lab compatible with other laboratories around the world who are using ISAG? **Fulkerson:** This lab has the ability to run the Meowplex, as well as the ISAG, and then when greater depth of verification may come up, they will have the ability to add deeper markers for better identification. **Miller:** That's marvelous, but along with testing has to be genetic counseling. **Fulkerson:** They are very well qualified. **Eigenhauser:** Maine Coon breeders are spending a lot of money on HCM DNA testing. It would be a good test for them to get up and running as soon as possible. **Fulkerson:** We would love to get it. The researchers who have developed the test will not share it. **DelaBar:** We have Oriental breeders upset. We have Burmese breeders upset.

CFA Pet Healthcare: *Here we have relatively good news. Pet Partners Insurance has given us promising numbers that are on a constant rise with the change of management in Central Office. The figures include as a direct quote from an email on June 21, 2006 from Pet Partners:*

"CFA numbers are dramatically changing since your leadership changed. The CFA registrations and e-mail numbers have both more than doubled and have never been higher. "

- *Some breeders do not pre-register their kittens but would like to purchase and provide the 60 Day Trial Plan for only \$20.00. This plan covers up to fifteen hundred dollars of medical coverage for kittens in their new homes. It was negotiated and the Pet Partners CFA brand policy now allows kittens to be enrolled into the trial plan without requiring an individual registration number prior to doing so. This change will be very beneficial to our breeders in placing a HealthCare Insurance policy on a kitten immediately as they leave to go to their new homes.*
- *We are hoping to implement the additional areas:*
 - *Explore areas to place PPI Web banners, especially breed pages. Once target pages have been identified, Karen can help arrange access for hit counts.*
 - *Arrange registration to capture cat owners' contact information; prompt breeders to inform owners about PetPartners.*

Elsey's Precious Cat Litter:

- *The Business Development Committee announced at the June annual, that Dr. Elsey made a decision the first of June to move his very large annual budget previously allocated to advertising in Good Housekeeping magazine, to The Cat Fanciers' Association. This program involves the distribution of free product and/or coupons for*

free product to CFA Breeders/Exhibitors and Spectator Gate at twenty selected shows across the U.S. To date, this project has been implemented at four of the larger CFA shows.

- *Dr. Elsey's has developed and will launch a new litter for baby cats and they intend to market a product line "Litter for Life campaign" - "from 12 weeks old to twenty years old". Because of the ongoing partnering with Dr. Elsey's, the new packaging for all bags of litter within this new "Litter for Life campaign" **will have the CFA LogoName and the CFA Web site address included on every bag.** We are very pleased to see one more way of getting the CFA name/logo and marketing out there.*

Pet Oxygen Mask Distribution to Fire Departments across the US: *The Disaster Relief Fund, headed by Pam DelaBar, was aided by the Business Development Committee in having "300 Surgivet's Animal Oxygen Masks" funded. This action was reported at the June Board Meeting. The masks have now been purchased and are in the process of being distributed to Fire Departments throughout the United States. The CFA Disaster Relief Fund underwrote the project and CFA continues to work closely with "H.E.L.P. Animals", a Daytona, based 501(c)3 tax deductible organization which is handling directly the distribution for CFA. To see these masks in use go to: <http://helpanimaisinc.org/media/dogrescue.wmv>.*

DelaBar: *It's a package of three masks of different sizes. For so long, CFA gave things away. We were good people. We were going into the shelters. We were washing the cats so they could be presented well at our cat shows and put up for adoption. We've done this for years quietly. We need to get PR by donating these to fire stations, to help give us credibility at every opportunity we can.*

AKC CAR Microchipping and Partnering: *AKC CAR (Companion Animal Recovery) has offered to create a unique and specific Web Page for CFA where people can be directed to sign up for CAR's services/program. CFA will be assigned a specific PIN number to be associated with tracking the paper/online enrollments to CAR. As previously agreed, CFA will receive \$2.00 on each new enrollment to CAR generated through this unique page. Handling it in this way, CFA can focus on some major promoting of participation.*

Miller: *It would help the legislative effort if we could offer an alternative to mandated microchipping by saying that CFA is in favor of this, and this is what we would urge you to do.*

Pet Checks: *This is an offer we have had on our CFA Web Site for some time. Central Office recently followed up on this program to verify in fact and confirmed CFA continues to receive revenue, however small, it is a nice offer for those who wish to take advantage of it.*

Commemorative CFA 100 year Centennial Show Shelters: *The Commemorative Sturdi Special CFA Project has been underway for several months. The Business Development Committee had hoped to have the details finalized prior to the Annual so it could have been announced at that time. Sturdi finally confirmed their commitment to this proposal and CFA is now able to go forward with the offer.*

- *Sturdi Products will be offering a specially designed Commemorative CFA 100 Year Centennial show shelter (retail \$179.95) and a Sturdi Bag™ carrier (retail \$100.00).*

There will be 100 of the show shelters available for sale. CFA will receive 25% which provides \$45.00 each from the sale of the show shelters. The Sturdi Bag™ will net 25% as well and equal to \$25.00 per carrier sold, going directly to CFA.

- *These commemorative products will only be available from Sturdi Products or CFA. Independent Sturdi distributors will not have availability to sell these special product.*
- *There will be two CFA 100 Year Logos, embroidered in Gold and placed; one on each front door of the show shelter and one on the carrier which will be placed on the back pocket.*
- *Sturdi will manufacture and store the products and drop ship for all CFA website orders for their current 5.00 per order fee*
- *All of these will be manufactured in Black and Bone White 600 denier polyester, the same fabric as the limited editions, but will have the bone color inside through and through. We believe this color choice will greatly enhance sales.*

Web Site front page Banner linking to “CFA Special Opportunities” can be seen at:

<http://cfa.org/special-offers.html>

- *Pet Partners Insurance*
- *Windsor Vineyards*
- *Red Roof Inn*
- *Pet Checks*
- *CFA branded items*
- *CFA Logo jewelry*
- *CAR (Companion Animal Recovery)*

Wilson: For \$99, you can get a mixed case from Windsor Vineyards with your own label that you design. **Miller:** It’s a good wine.

Current Committee Projects:

New Business Development Committee Member: The CFA Business Development Committee has a new member. Bob Johnston, of the Kansas City area, has graciously accepted the position of Liaison to the CFA Clubs regarding national corporate sponsorship. In the future, he will be the person to contact regarding questions about applying for sponsorship, demographics needed to qualify, and he will be able to answer questions about what funds are currently available.

For those clubs already slated for national corporate sponsorship, Bob will work with club show committee members, answering their questions and concerns about upcoming shows.

Although our Director of Marketing and Public Relations, Roeann Fulkerson, will still be directly responsible for obtaining these national funds, Bob’s involvement will allow Roeann to concentrate on new revenue for CFA.

As of this date, all calls or emails concerning major corporate sponsorship should be directed to Bob at rvjohnston@hotmail.com or telephone: 913-345-1814.

With two undergraduate degrees (Economics and Business) and two Master Degrees (Health Services and Management Information Systems), Bob comes to us with a tremendous background in business. Bob has already contributed significantly to the Business Development Committee by sharing his ideas on fund raising. He supports the Midwest Region and calls Mo-Kan Cat Club his primary club.

Bob's message to CFA: "I have noted and been very pleased with the direction of the Cat Fanciers' Association during these last few years. I feel there are many fancier members, like myself, that could contribute their skills, experience and knowledge to further the development of CFA. With times being so difficult for clubs all over the country, both large and small, I truly feel that coordinating efforts especially with financial sponsorship are critically important – I trust that I can in some way add to improving the process."

Angell: We have a lot of people in CFA that are very talented and would offer us their volunteer services. We have some incredibly energetic, intelligent and talented people. All we need to do is ask.

Show Sponsorship:

- **CFA/Iams Cat Championships**

This show will take place next weekend and we are hoping for a well-attended, hugely successful show. The clip/advertisement for the CICC has been up and running on the Madison Square Garden marquee sign since Wednesday, September 27, 2006. This is an extraordinary fifteen second video clip, prepared by Video Resources from Southern California. It has wonderful pictures of several beautiful CFA breeds and at the end displays the CFA 100 year logo and the Iams logo. 7th Avenue will be seeing cats until October 16th!

Also, thanks to 4Kids Entertainment, CFA and Iams were both honored to be on stage for the "Ring of the Bell" at the closing of the New York Stock Exchange on Thursday, October 5th. Pam DeLaBar and Kitty Angell, along with two of our beautiful pedigreed cats, represented CFA. Representatives from the Iams Company were also present.

Both the marquee advertising and the NYSE event add to the media exposure for our show.

The Iams Brand from Proctor & Gamble Company has stepped forward in 2006 by significantly increasing their contribution to the CFA-Iams Cat Championship. In addition to the Iams Company's generous sponsorship, we have added new sponsors such as major pharmaceutical companies, litter manufacturers, and other non-pet food companies. We currently have corporate sponsorship in the amount of \$148,250.00 for this event. This figure is slightly lower than previously reported due to the loss of sponsorship from Pet Ecology Brand and CatsRule.

- **CFA International Show.** To date, we have excellent sponsorship paid for The CFA International Show. We still have almost two months of fund-raising before the show

takes place. As reported in June, the Game Show Network will televise and produce a show featuring our International.

- ***Corporate Sponsors.*** *Unfortunately we have lost **three corporate sponsors.** They are PetCo, Cats Rule and Pet Ecology Brand/Scientific Professional for the CFA-Iams Cat Championship and Pet Ecology for the International show.*

As reported in June, the Game Show Network will televise and produce a show featuring our International.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kitty Angell, Chair

Roeann Fulkerson, Director of Marketing and Public Relations

(12) **SHOW RULES.**

Show Rules Chair Loretta Baugh presented the following Show Rule changes with a standing motion and the right to vote no:

Anger: We have never before included the resolutions from the annual that failed.

Eigenhauser: It was important enough for somebody to bring it up at the annual. The vote at the annual is advisory. We could choose to adopt something that failed if we think it's a good idea that we have a better way of wording.

Rule #	Old Wording	New Wording	Rationale
1.05	AN ENTRY is a cat or kitten for which an entry form or facsimile thereof has been submitted to the show entry clerk and accepted for competition. An entry is also a cat or kitten entered in the Miscellaneous (Non-Competitive) Class. AN ENTRY, as used in these rules, is also the process of submitting an entry to the entry clerk. AN EXHIBITOR, as used in these rules, is the owner or agent of single or multiple entries in a show as designated on the entry form.	AN ENTRY is a cat or kitten for which an entry form or facsimile thereof has been submitted to the show entry clerk.. An entry is also a cat or kitten entered in the Miscellaneous (Non-Competitive) Class. <u>A confirmed entry is a cat or kitten for which an entry form or facsimile thereof has been submitted to the show entry clerk and accepted for competition.</u> AN ENTRY, as used in these rules, is also the process of submitting an entry to the entry clerk. AN EXHIBITOR, as used in these rules, is the owner or agent of single or multiple entries in a show as designated on the entry form	This additional definition helps clarify entry status for clubs, entry clerks and exhibitors. Presented by: Show Rules Committee Presented for discussion.

Baugh: We wanted to define what a confirmed entry was. **Shaffer:** If I receive an entry and I haven't closed, it's basically confirmed if I tell you that I've received it and I haven't filled or closed the show. **Johnson:** I agree. I think it's redundant. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Failed.**

1.18.c	c. The AOV (Any Other Variety) CLASS is for any registered cat or registered kitten, the ancestry of which entitles it to Championship or Premiership competition, but which does not (colorwise; coatwise; sexwise; as in the	c. The AOV (Any Other Variety) CLASS is for any registered cat or registered kitten, the ancestry of which entitles it to Championship or Premiership competition, but which does not (colorwise; coatwise; sexwise; as in	Correction. The wrong rule is referenced. Presented by: Show Rules Committee – Housekeeping
--------	--	--	---

	<p>case of naturally tailless or naturally partially tailless breeds, tailwise; or earwise) conform to the accepted show standard. A cat with a disqualifying trait(s) as listed in the breed standard is not eligible for competition in the AOV class. An AOV entry is eligible only for awards in the AOV class of its own breed. AOV's compete separately as kittens, adult whole cats or altered cats within their breed for First, Second, Third (separately by sex), Best of Color Class and 2nd Best of Color Class. One Color Class per breed. For a list of those breeds which have AOV classes, see 31.02.</p>	<p>the case of naturally tailless or naturally partially tailless breeds, tailwise; or earwise) conform to the accepted show standard. A cat with a disqualifying trait(s) as listed in the breed standard is not eligible for competition in the AOV class. An AOV entry is eligible only for awards in the AOV class of its own breed. AOV's compete separately as kittens, adult whole cats or altered cats within their breed for First, Second, Third (separately by sex), Best of Color Class and 2nd Best of Color Class. One Color Class per breed. For a list of those breeds which have AOV classes, see <u>32.01</u></p>	
--	---	---	--

DelaBar called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

1.19a	<p>The NOVICE CLASS is for altered or unaltered “listed” (unregistered) cats, of either sex, altered or unaltered, 8 calendar months old or over on the opening day of the show whose color is CFA registerable. Winners’ ribbons are awarded in the Novice class. Upon the cat’s registration with CFA, the winners’ ribbons will be posted to the cat’s record towards its Champion/Premier title. Cats competing in the Novice class may not go on to compete as Champions/ Premiers on the second day of a two day show or in subsequent shows until the cat is registered with CFA. Novice class cats are not eligible for National/Regional points. This class is for licensed shows in the International Division and Maritime Provinces of Canada.</p>	<p>The NOVICE CLASS is for altered or unaltered “listed” (unregistered) cats, of either sex, altered or unaltered, 8 calendar months old or over on the opening day of the show whose color is CFA registerable. Winners’ ribbons are awarded in the Novice class. Upon the cat’s registration with CFA, the winners’ ribbons will be posted to the cat’s record towards its Champion/ Premier title. Cats competing in the Novice class may not go on to compete as Champions/Premiers on the second day of a two day show or in subsequent shows until the cat is registered with CFA. Offspring from <u>two CFA registered parents are not eligible for this class and must compete in the open class.</u> Novice class cats are</p>	<p>This rule addresses the practice of showing litter mates, from registered parents, in each class, thereby attaining winners ribbons for each.</p> <p>Presented by: International Division Meeting at Annual. Presented for discussion.</p>
-------	--	---	--

		not eligible for National/Regional points. This class is for licensed shows in the International Division and Maritime Provinces of Canada.	
--	--	---	--

Baugh: People are using this rule to show cats of the same color that belong to the same individual, to get both championships at one show. **Tartaglia:** When we get the catalog in the office, how will we know they are from two CFA registered parents? **Eigenhauser:** Somebody will complain. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

2.12	The show committee may permit cats or kittens, 4 months old or older, to be present for display or sale. Only cats or kittens eligible for CFA registration may be sold. No kitten under the age of 4 months shall be permitted in the show hall. This does not apply to animals being adopted from humane organizations. Proof of age must be presented upon the request of the show manager (see paragraph 15.12). Non-entered cats and kittens may be present in the show hall subject to such conditions as the show committee may require. (See paragraph 4.09.)	The show committee may permit cats or kittens, 4 months old or older, to be present for display or sale. Only cats or kittens eligible for CFA registration may be sold. No kitten under the age of 4 months shall be permitted in the show hall. This does not apply to animals being adopted from humane organizations. Proof of age must be presented upon the request of the show manager (see paragraph 15.12). Non-entered cats and kittens may be present in the show hall subject to such conditions as the show committee may require. (See paragraph 4.09.) <u>2.12.1 Exhibitors bringing additional cats, not entered in the show, to act as ‘company’ for their show cats, or cats the exhibitors terms ‘special needs cats’, must be placed in benching cages, not kept in carriers under the table. Additional cage space must be purchased so cats are benched in accordance with Show Rule 2.13 (No more than two kittens or one cat may be benched in a single cage.)</u>	Exhibitors occasionally bring companion cats to shows. This provision will require benching requirements to be met. Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for discussion.
------	---	---	--

Eigenhauser: I have trouble with the words “must be purchased”. We don’t require people to pay for exhibition cages. Service animals, generally speaking, have to be kept with the owner. A service animal separated from the owner has no real service. **Shaffer:** A special needs cat is a cat that may need to be medicated. **Eigenhauser:** I would respect the intent as being cats that fulfill a special need, not cats that have a special need, which is even worse if people are reading it both ways. **Withdrawn.**

8.03	<p>Six (6) Winners Ribbons won under at least four (4) different judges are required for Championship or Premiership confirmation. For cats residing and competing in Hawaii, Mexico, Central America, South America, the Maritime Provinces of Canada (New Brunswick, Newfoundland, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island) and United Kingdom (England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland) four (4) Winners Ribbons won under at least three (3) different judges are required for Championship or Premiership confirmation. For cats residing and competing in Russia and Asia (except Japan) four (4) Winners Ribbons won under at least two (2) different judges are required for Championship or Premiership confirmation.</p>	<p>Six (6) Winners Ribbons won under at least four (4) different judges are required for Championship or Premiership confirmation. For cats residing and competing in Hawaii, Mexico, Central America, South America, the Maritime Provinces of Canada (New Brunswick, Newfoundland, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island) and United Kingdom (England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland) four (4) Winners Ribbons won under at least three (3) different judges are required for Championship or Premiership confirmation. For cats residing and competing in Russia, Ukraine and Asia (except Japan) four (4) Winners Ribbons won under at least two (2) different judges are required for Championship or Premiership confirmation. Cats who receive their <u>first 4 winners ribbons</u> on day 1 of a 2 day or back-to-back show are ineligible to transfer to champion/premier for the second day of judging.</p>	<p>The issue at hand is not increasing the number of points to make a grand champion. The issue is the number of winners ribbons that are required to make a champion/premier. The CFA show rules has exempted many areas of the International Division of the requirement for advancement to champion/premier of 6 winners ribbons to a requirement of 4 winners ribbons. This exemption is to allow cats to complete those requirements when the number of judging rings have traditionally been less than 6 rings. Many of our clubs in the International Division sponsor 1-4 rings of competition. This would require many of the opens to compete at several shows before earning enough winners ribbons to complete the requirements for championship/premiership.</p> <p>When a club sponsors a show with 8 rings back to back, this sets the stage for a scenario that was not anticipated</p>
------	---	---	---

			<p>when the show rules exempted the clubs in remote areas with a limited number of judging rings. What has happened is that many opens completed their requirement for 4 winners ribbons on Saturday, were transferred to champion on Sunday and as there were many opens on Saturday in both shows, several cats completed their Grand Championship on Sunday.</p> <p>Presented by: International Committee</p>
--	--	--	---

Newkirk explained that in isolated areas with a requirement of four winners ribbons, a cat can come into the show as an open on Saturday, earn four winners ribbons, transfer to champion, and subsequently grand on Sunday. Our rules were not intended for a cat to go from an open to a grand in the same weekend. After extensive discussion aimed at fairness to all and no confusion on anybody's part, **Baugh** moved to table the motion and bring back refined wording. **DelaBar** called the motion (to table). **Motion Carried.**

DelaBar: This addresses their first four winners ribbons. The first four is really the key to the whole thing. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

10.01 Entire Proposal Failed	Insert as a new Article X	A cat eligible for and shown in the Kitten, Championship or Premiership Class will compete for Achievement Winner (AW) points in any type of ring, e.g. Allbreed, Longhair/Shorthair or Breed Specialty.	<p>For showing a championship or premiership cat, there is no seasonless title achievable after granding. Limited seasonal campaigns are for a Regional or National win; or the Breed Winner title which is awarded.</p> <p>Presented by: Cat Club of the Palm Beaches, National Alliance of Birman</p>
--	---------------------------	--	--

			Breeders, Cornish Rex
10.02		<p>Determination of show points:</p> <p>a. Points will be allotted the same as Regional/National points.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Best cat/kitten – one point for each cat/kitten defeated 2. 2nd Best cat/kitten – 95% of the points awarded to Best cat / kitten, 3rd Best cat/kitten 90%, 4th Best cat/kitten 85%, 5th Best cat/kitten 80%, etc. 3. Best of Breed/Division – one point for each cat/kitten/premier defeated within the breed/division. 4. 2nd Best of Breed/Division – 95% of the points awarded to Best of Breed/Division. 5. Points achieved in individual rings are added to determine an entry’s total points for the show <p>b. In all cases an entry will receive the points from only one award per ring – that which carried more points.</p>	<p>To one cat. For kittens, there is no achievement or title available except the seasonal campaign for a Regional or National win, no matter how exceptional the kitten. The AW title recognizes not a win, but a seasonless title of achievement by the cat.</p> <p>Presented by: Breed Club, Oil Capital Cat Club, Canada’s Capital Cat Club, Pounce “N” Paw Cat Fanciers, Treasure Coast Cat Club, Fyfe and Drum Himalayan Club</p>
10.03		<p>Any registered kitten placing in the Finals – or receiving Best or Second Best BOB/BOD will receive points toward Achievement Winner.</p> <p>a. 500 points are required for Achievement Winner in Mainland US, Canada, Japan, and the International Division. 200 points are required in Alaska, Hawaii, Russia, Asia (except Japan), Mexico, Central America, South America, and United Kingdom (England, Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland).</p>	
10.04		<p>Any cat in the Championship class achieving the title of Grand Champion will receive points toward the Achievement Winner</p> <p>a. 1000 points are required for</p>	

		Achievement Winner in mainland US, Canada, Japan and the International Division. 400 points are required in Alaska, Hawaii, Russia, Asia (except Japan), Mexico, Central America, South America and United Kingdom (England, Scotland, Wales Northern Ireland).	
10.05		Any cat in the Premiership class achieving the title of Grand Premier will receive points toward the Achievement Winner a. 500 points are required for Achievement Winner in mainland US, Canada, Japan and the International Division. 400 points are required in Alaska, Hawaii, Russia, Asia (except Japan), Mexico, Central America, South America and United Kingdom (England, Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland).	
10.06		Wins made in kitten competition may not be transferred to Championship or Premiership records. Wins made in Championship competition may not be transferred to Premiership records. However, titles won in Kitten or Championship are retained.	
10.07		Points must be won under at least three (3) different Judges.	
10.08		Cats that have completed the point requirement for Achievement Winner will automatically be confirmed by the Central Office. Certificate of confirmation will be mailed as soon as possible after show records are received and wins have been recorded.*	
10.09		Kittens, Grand Champions	

		and Grand Premiers that have received the AW title will continue to be shown in the same Class.	
10.10		For C.O. computer cat name prefix space purposes, the title of AW will be superseded only by the titles of RW and NW. *A scoring fee of \$0.50 per entry/per show is currently in place. ARTICLE III REGISTRATION Section 4 – Cat Names also needs modification.	

No Action.

11.03.1	It is the responsibility of the exhibitor to ensure, prior to his arrival at the show, that an entry form for each of his cats/kittens entered in the show has been received by the entry clerk prior to the close of entries. Cats/kittens whose entry information is not printed in the catalog, but is included as an addendum, will not receive credit for any awards achieved at the show unless approved by the CFA Central Office (see paragraphs 15.01.1 and 20.06).	It is the responsibility of the exhibitor to ensure, prior to his arrival at the show, that an entry form for each of his cats/kittens entered in the show has been <u>confirmed</u> by the entry clerk prior to the close of entries. Cats/kittens whose entry information is not printed in the catalog, but s included as an addendum, will not receive credit for any awards achieved at the show unless approved by the CFA Central Office (see paragraphs 15.01.1 and 20.06).	Different wording better reflects what should happen with show entries. Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for discussion.
---------	--	---	--

Withdrawn.

11.04 b	Correction of entry information: b. If any of the entry information as printed in the catalog is in error, or a kitten registration number has not been printed in the catalog, it is the exhibitor's responsibility to provide corrections of the information printed in error and/or the lacking kitten	Correction of entry information: b. If any of the entry information as printed in the catalog is in error, or a kitten registration number has not been printed in the catalog, it is the exhibitor's responsibility to provide corrections of the information printed in error and/or the	There seems to be confusion as to how corrections are made and what corrections need to be made. This clarifies which ones need to be corrected by the master clerk. Presented by: Show Rules Committee.
---------	---	--	--

	<p>registration number to the master clerk at the show. An official catalog correction request form must be used and the exhibitor submitting the form must obtain a copy of the catalog correction form signed by the master clerk, or designated representative, showing the correct information has been supplied. This receipt should be retained by the exhibitor in the event any question might arise at a future date regarding an entry.</p>	<p>lacking kitten registration number to the master clerk at the show. An official catalog correction request form must be used and the exhibitor submitting the form must obtain a copy of the catalog correction form signed by the master clerk, or designated representative, showing the correct information has been supplied. This receipt should be retained by the exhibitor in the event any question might arise at a future date regarding an entry. <u>Correctable errors include region or owner changes, spelling of the entry's name, and addition/correction of registration number.</u></p>	<p>Presented for discussion.</p>
--	---	--	----------------------------------

Eigenhauser: It's not up to the master clerk to decide which catalog errors are worthy of their time and which ones aren't. The rules say that, if any of the information is in error, they have to take it. Any means any. I don't think we're clearer by giving a partial list. **Withdrawn.**

11.06	<p>For each entry, the exhibitor must submit a properly completed entry in the form of an official CFA entry form, a facsimile of an official CFA entry form or an entry sent by email following the form of an official CFA entry form. All information required on the entry form, with the exception of the owner's signature, must be typed or hand-printed. For email entries the email address may be accepted in lieu of a signature. The entry form must be submitted to the entry clerk no later than close of entries.</p>	<p>For each entry, the exhibitor must submit a properly completed entry in the form of an official CFA entry form, a facsimile of an official CFA entry form or an entry sent by email following the form of an official CFA entry form. All information required on the entry form, with the exception of the owner's signature, must be typed or hand-printed. For email entries the email address may be accepted in lieu of a signature. The entry form must be submitted to the entry clerk no later than close of entries. <u>It is the exhibitor's responsibility to ensure entries are confirmed for competition by the entry clerk as specified in Show Rule 17.04.</u></p>	<p>Clarification of procedures. Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for Discussion.</p>
-------	--	--	---

Withdrawn.

11.08a OR	Each entry must be accompanied by the stipulated entry fee. If the club opts to accept fax or email entries, such entries must be paid in full within 10 days of receipt or prior to the start of the show, whichever is first.	Each entry must be accompanied by the stipulated entry fee. <u>Entries must be paid in full within 5 days of receipt or prior to the start of the show whichever is first.</u>	Shortens the time to 5 days (does anyone know why it's 10?) Clubs are finding it increasingly difficult to obtain money for up front costs. This is an attempt to help, albeit slightly, with the problem. Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for Discussion.
11.08a		Each entry must be accompanied by the stipulated entry fee. Entries <i>must</i> be paid in full within 10 days of receipt or prior to the start of the show, whichever is first.	Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for Discussion.

Eigenhauser: It says, entries must be paid within 5 days, which makes the rule less clear and doesn't apply to regular entries. **Withdrawn.**

11.08b	If the club receives the fees plus any applicable bank penalties and postage within the 30 day period, the club will immediately notify the exhibitor and CFA Central Office by certified, return receipt mail that the exhibitor is now in good standing and no action shall be taken.	If the club receives the fees plus any applicable bank penalties and postage within the 30 day period, the club will immediately notify the exhibitor and CFA Central Office that the exhibitor is now in good standing and no action shall be taken.	Simplifies the procedure for clubs, and lessens the cost to the exhibitor, by not requiring a 2 nd certified letter, Notification can be by phone, fax, e-mail or regular letter. Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for Discussion.
--------	---	---	---

Baugh: If the money has been paid, the current show rules require the letter to the Central Office to be certified. Is that really necessary? **Shaffer:** The faster we can let Central Office know the exhibitor has paid, the better. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

11.08.b.3	If the full payment (as prescribed in section 2) is not received within the 30 day	If the full payment (as prescribed in section 2) is not received within the 30 day	Allows Central Office to take the next step without delay.
-----------	--	--	--

	<p>period, the club will notify the exhibitor and CFA Central Office of that fact within seven (7) days by certified, return receipt mail. CFA Central Office will then rescind all wins earned by the exhibitor's cat(s) for that show and suspend all CFA services and inform the exhibitor by certified, return receipt mail. A \$100.00 processing fee shall be payable to CFA by the exhibitor. All CFA services will be suspended to the exhibitor until the processing fee and the debt to the club are paid. Once points are rescinded they will not be reinstated if the exhibitor ultimately pays the outstanding fees.</p>	<p>period, CFA Central Office will rescind all wins earned by the exhibitor's cat(s) for that show and suspend all CFA services and inform the exhibitor by certified, return receipt mail. A \$100.00 processing fee shall be payable to CFA by the exhibitor. All CFA services will be suspended to the exhibitor until the processing fee and the debt to the club are paid. Once points are rescinded they will not be reinstated if the exhibitor ultimately pays the outstanding fees</p>	<p>Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for Discussion.</p>
--	---	---	---

Withdrawn.

<p>12.07a</p> <p>If passed this would probably be better handled by modifying 2.14 (see below)</p>	<p>The CFA Central Office will issue a license for the following types of shows:</p> <p>a. A one day show which permits: a one-day show format consisting of six rings held on Saturday or Sunday with an entry limit of 225 cats. This format will permit up to six judgings per entry in any combination of Allbreed or Specialty rings. A minimum of 12 judging cages per ring is required for a single specialty ring; 16 judging cages per ring is required for an allbreed or double specialty ring. Veteran Classes may not be held in conjunction with a six ring, one day show.</p>	<p>Cats/kittens entered in a one day show on Saturday and a one day show on Sunday of the same weekend shall not be considered in violation of the provisions in Paragraph 2.14. Veterans classes may not be held in conjunction with a six ring, one day show.</p>	<p>The board has recently removed the restrictions that previously had prevented clubs from producing a one day 6 ring show on any Sunday that was not followed by a Holiday which significantly increase the opportunities for clubs to secure a show hall on a date that does not compete with other CFA clubs. The proposed resolution would allow exhibitors to choose to support one or two, one day 6 ring shows on the same weekend. Exhibitors will have more choices of the formats of the shows that they choose to</p>
--	--	---	---

			<p>support with their entry fees.</p> <p>If two shows were held on the same weekend at the same location or near each other, an exhibitor choosing to enter both shows could get more judging rings for their travel and lodging dollars.</p> <p>If two clubs produce two shows on the same weekend at the same location, they could share the expenses of producing the show, including show hall setup, equipment rental, exhibitor transportation, concession services, advertising and show supplies.</p> <p>Presented by: Front Range Cat Fanciers, High Plains Cat Club, Wild Blue Yonder Cat Fanciers.</p>
2.14	<p>No cat or kitten shall be benched within four calendar days of any previous benching. If a cat/kitten is scheduled to be judged on only one day of a two day show, it will be considered to be benched only on that day. Cats benched in violation of this rule will receive no credit for the awards/points achieved in the latter show.</p>	<p>No cat or kitten shall be benched within four calendar days of any previous benching. If a cat/kitten is scheduled to be judged on only one day of a two day show, it will be considered to be benched only on that day. Cats benched in violation of this rule will receive no credit for the awards/points achieved in the latter show. <u>Cats/kittens entered in a one day show on Saturday and a one day show on Sunday of the same weekend shall not be considered in violation of the provisions in Paragraph 2.14.</u></p>	<p>Same rationale.</p>

No Action.

12.07.a	Veteran Classes may not be held in conjunction with a six ring, one day show.	<u>Eliminate this sentence</u>	<p>There has been some discussion regarding allowing veterans at 6-ring one-day shows. They would be part of the count, (225) in the same way as HHP's.</p> <p>Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for discussion.</p>
---------	---	--------------------------------	---

DelaBar: The Veterans Class was intended to showcase these cats. We don't have time to showcase these cats at one day, 6 ring shows. **Johnson:** If I have an 8 year old cat and I want to be part of a one day show, I can just enter it. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Failed.** Eigenhauser voting yes.

12.07b Failed	<p>A two day show which permits up to eight judgments per entry over the two days of the show and a maximum of four judgments (five judgments per day for eight ring shows) per entry per day. It is recommended that a judge shall not be scheduled to judge more than 250 cats on either day. Two day shows offer a variety of formats:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. one day Specialty shows where Longhairs are present one day and Shorthairs are present the other day; 2. a show where non-championship and premiership classes are present one day and championship classes are present the other day; 3. a format where the entries are present for two days and the judge is present only for one day and is succeeded in the ring by another judge the second day (back-to-back show); 4. a show where the judge is present for two days and the entries are also present for two days (entries may be judged up to four times each day [five 	<p>A two day show which permits up to <u>ten</u> judgments per entry over the two days of the show and a maximum of <u>five</u> judgments (five judgments per day for eight, <u>nine and ten</u> ring shows) per entry per day. It is recommended that a judge shall not be scheduled to judge more than 250 cats on either day. Two day shows offer a variety of formats:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. one day Specialty shows where Longhairs are present one day and Shorthairs are present the other day; 2. a show where non-championship and premiership classes are present one day and championship classes are present the other day; 3. a format where the entries are present for two days and the judge is present only for one day and is succeeded in the ring by another judge the second day (back-to-back show); 4. a show where the judge is present for two days and the entries are also present for 	<p>The proposed change would allow clubs to produce a two day show with 8, 9 o 10 rings on the same weekend.</p> <p>The proposed change would provide exhibitors the opportunity to get more judging rings for their travel, lodging and entry dollars.</p> <p>The proposed change would allow show producing clubs with limited budgets to jointly produce a show and to share the expenses of producing the show including show hall setup, equipment rental, exhibitor transportation, concession services, advertising and show supplies. In addition, the appeal of the format, may help clubs attract more exhibitors and entries to their</p>
-------------------------	--	---	--

	times a day in eight ring shows], eight times over the two days). 5. The above #2, #3 and #4 described shows may have any combination of Allbreed or Specialty rings.	two days entries may be judged up to <u>five times in one</u> day in eight <u>nine or ten</u> ring shows, eight, <u>nine or ten</u> times over the two days). 5. The above #2, #3 and #4 described shows may have any combination of Allbreed or Specialty rings.	shows, and help clubs generate additional income. Presented by: Front Range Cat Fanciers, High Plains Cat Club, Wild Blue Yonder Cat Fanciers
--	--	--	---

No Action.

12.07.c	c. A Best of the Best ring may be added to any type of Specialty format show described above. A Best of the Best ring may not be held if a show format contains any Allbreed rings. Participation in the Best of the Best competition shall not be considered a violation of the provisions in Paragraphs 12.05 and 12.06.	c. A Best of the Best ring may be added to any format show described above. Participation in the Best of the Best competition shall not be considered a violation of the provisions in Paragraphs 12.05 and 12.07a. and b.	Is there a reason it is limited? Presented by: CFA Central Office (Allene Tartaglia). Presented for discussion.
---------	---	---	---

Tartaglia: Is there a reason why best of the best can't be done in allbreed rings? **Wilson:** This was done because it was an all-specialty format and people wanted to have someone select best allbreed cat in show. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

13.05d Passed Annual Delegation by 2/3	The CFA licensed certified clerk performing the function of master clerk shall be compensated at the rate of seven (7) cents for each catalog entry in each judging ring. A CFA master clerk shall be compensated at eight (8) cents for each catalog entry in each judging ring.	The CFA licensed certified clerk performing the function of master clerk shall be compensated at the rate of <u>eight (8)</u> cents for each catalog entry in each judging ring. A CFA master clerk shall be compensated at <u>ten (10)</u> cents for each catalog entry in each judging ring.	Since the last increase in pay to Master Clerks, additional work-load has been added in the form of additional breed win sheets and additional Final positions to verify for mechanics, the collection of transfers from open to champion/premier with accompanying payment to be sent in the CFA packet and Sunday champion/premier to grand transfers and open to champion/premier transfers. The increase
--	---	--	--

			<p>for a licensed Master Clerk amounts to \$12.00 per 100 cats entered for a 6 ring show.</p> <p>Presented by: Cleveland Persian Society, Champagne Cat Club, Mid-Ohio Cat Fanciers, Sternwheel Cat Fanciers, Western Pennsylvania Cat Fanciers.</p>
--	--	--	--

Baugh: This passed the delegation by 2/3. **DelaBar:** All we have to do is ratify it. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

13.05d Failed	<p>The CFA licensed certified clerk performing the function of master clerk shall be compensated at the rate of seven (7) cents for each catalog entry in each judging ring. A CFA master clerk shall be compensated at eight (8) cents for each catalog entry in each judging ring. Previous part passed – see previous rule. Remainder Failed.</p> <p>It is strongly recommended that for a six ring, one day show a club engage either two licensed master clerks or one licensed master clerk and one certified clerk who is working towards their master clerk license, assisting the licensed master clerk in charge. The minimum compensation for each person working as a master clerk shall be sixty (60) dollars per weekend or forty (40) dollars for a 4 ring, one day show. (A Best of the Bests ring is not considered as an additional ring requiring compensation.) Payment schedule shall be based on the</p>	<p>It is strongly recommended that for a six ring, one-day show a club engage either two licensed master clerks or one licensed master clerk and one certified clerk who is working towards their master clerk license, assisting the licensed master clerk in charge. The minimum compensation for each person working as a master clerk shall be <u>fifty (50) dollars per weekend or <u>seventy five (75) dollars</u> for a 4 ring, one day show. (A Best of the Bests ring is not considered as an additional ring requiring compensation.)</u> Payment schedule shall be based on the status of the clerk on the date a contract is signed. If no contract exists, payment schedule shall be based on the status of the clerk on the first day of the show. CFA licensed certified clerks performing the chief ring clerk function shall be compensated according to entries as follows: Under 150 entries \$30.00 per</p>	<p>While both judges and licensed ring clerks have received raises in recent years, licensed master clerks have not. At the same time, the amount of work required of master clerks has increased, especially with the introduction of six-ring one day shows and breed sheets for both premierships and kitten classes. Master clerks bear responsibility for the accuracy of the results in all judging rings; their work is multiplied by the number of rings in a show. Available licensed master clerks have dwindled in number along with available licensed ring clerks. There must be enough difference in the amount of compensation paid to licensed ring clerks,</p>
-----------------------------	---	---	---

	<p>status of the clerk on the date a contract is signed. If no contract exists, payment schedule shall be based on the status of the clerk on the first day of the show. CFA licensed certified clerks performing the chief ring clerk function shall be compensated according to entries as follows:</p> <p>Under 150 entries \$30.00 per weekend 151-250 entries \$45.00 per weekend 251-350 entries \$60.00 per weekend 351-450 entries \$75.00 per weekend 1 day shows with 1, 2, 3, 4 rings \$35.00 225 back to back \$40.00 per day shows 1 day, 6 ring shows \$45.00</p>	<p>weekend 151-250 entries \$45.00 per weekend 251-350 entries \$60.00 per weekend 351-450 entries \$75.00 per weekend 1 day shows with 1, 2, 3, 4 rings \$35.00 225 back to back \$40.00 per day shows 1 day, 6 ring shows \$45.00</p>	<p>ring clerks assisting master clerks and licensed master clerks to reflect the additional responsibilities of master clerking and to encourage ring clerks to seek advancement. Licensed master clerks are the most versatile of all clerks – they have the experience and the certification to perform any clerking role, and should be compensated accordingly. At the proposed ten (10) cents per cat per ring, the three minimum compensations ensure that the master clerk is paid for the equivalent of a 125-cat entry.</p> <p>Presented by: Responsible Cat Fanciers of the Northwest, North Pacific Siamese Fanciers, Underground Gourmet Cat Fanciers, Scottish Fold Allbreed Alliance.</p>
--	--	---	--

Withdrawn.

<p>13.05d House-keeping.</p>	<p>It is strongly recommended that for a six ring, one day show a club engage either two licensed master clerks or one licensed master clerk and one certified clerk who is working towards their master clerk license, assisting the licensed master clerk in charge. The minimum compensation for each person working as a master clerk shall be sixty (60) dollars per weekend or forty</p>	<p>It is strongly recommended that for a one day show a club engage either two licensed master clerks or one licensed master clerk and one certified clerk who is working towards their master clerk license, assisting the licensed master clerk in charge. The minimum compensation for each person working as a master clerk shall be sixty (60) dollars per weekend or forty (40) dollars</p>	<p>Corrects the rule to reflect 6 ring, one day show.</p> <p>Presented by: Show Rules Committee (Housekeeping)</p>
---	--	---	---

	(40) dollars for a 4 ring, one day show.	for a one day show.	
--	--	---------------------	--

DelaBar called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

13.11 Failed	Number of entries permissible: a. No club shall accept more than 450 entries to be judged by any one judge over a full two-day period. For shows where the judge will not have a ring or the entries available for more than one day, no club shall accept more than 225 entries. No allowance shall be made for absentees. All one-day Sunday shows MUST ...	Number of entries permissible: a. No club shall accept more than 450 entries to be judged by any one judge over a full two-day period. For shows where the judge will not have a ring or the entries available for more than one day, no club shall accept more than 250 entries. No allowance shall be made for absentees. All one-day Sunday shows MUST.....additional judging rings must be provided. <u>b. The 250 entry limit specified in paragraph above is further limited as follows:</u> <u>1-225 any combination of championship or non-championship entries. 226-250 and combination of AOV, Miscellaneous, Provisional or HHP entries.</u> a one-day show format consisting of six rings held on Saturday or Sunday with an entry limit of <u>250</u> cats.	There were many clubs that stopped allowing HHP entries when they went to a one-day format, although some still do, in order to provide more numbers for the competitive class. When you talk to exhibitors, there are still a lot of people who started showing in HHP and hen moved on to pedigreed cats. That is the ‘door’ this proposal is trying to open back up. As far as judging 250 in one day – Show Rule 12.07b allows 250 cats to be judged in one day in a two day 450 limit show anyway, so this rule is not ‘really’; asking them to do anything the current show rules allow for in some instances.
12.07a	a one-day show format consisting of six rings held on Saturday or Sunday with an entry limit of 225 cats.	<u>AOV, Miscellaneous, Provisional or HHP entries.</u> a one-day show format consisting of six rings held on Saturday or Sunday with an entry limit of <u>250</u> cats.	
13.05d	225 back to back \$40.00 per day shows	<u>250</u> back to back \$40.00 per day shows	Presented by: Midwest Enthusiasts of Wonderful Sphinx, Cat ‘n on the Fox Cat Club, Packerland Cat Fanciers

No Action.

13.12.c	a. The show management shall determine the amount of the entry fee, which must be at least \$1.00 per ring. A club shall not refuse an entry fee(s) paid in the “coin of the realm.” b. Clubs must maintain all fees	a. The show management shall determine the amount of the entry fee, which must be at least \$1.00 per ring. A club shall not refuse an entry fee(s) paid in the “coin of the realm.”	This clarifies that clubs/show management may determine how entries will be handled for any show, and that procedure will be
---------	---	---	---

	<p>as quoted in the show announcement and, except as otherwise provided in these Show Rules, may not charge fees to exhibitors which are not quoted in the show announcement.</p>	<p>b. Clubs must maintain all fees as quoted in the show announcement and, except as otherwise provided in these Show Rules, may not charge fees to exhibitors which are not quoted in the show announcement.</p> <p>c. <u>Show management will decide the preferred methods through which exhibitors may submit entries (for example, but not limited to postal/delivery service, fax or email) and which priority, if desired, that entries will be accepted for competition. Preferences and priorities, if any, must be reflected in the show announcement.</u></p>	<p>printed in the show flyer. (Note: This could also be added to the show announcement - Article XIX).</p> <p>Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for Discussion.</p>
--	---	---	---

Shaffer: Clubs already have the option with fax and email. They've got 10 days to receive payment. It's already addressed. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Failed.**

15.01.1	<p>Should the show manager permit a cat/kitten whose entry information is not printed in the catalog to compete, the show manager shall provide a written statement of explanation, for the review of the CFA Central Office, which shall determine if the entry was eligible for competition. The show manager's statement and all documents pertaining to his/her decision must be submitted with the show package to the Central Office. Entries deemed not eligible by the Central Office will not receive credit for any award(s) achieved at the show (see paragraph 20.06). The show manager must prepare an addendum to include all information for any entry not included in the show catalog, which is to be posted with the master clerk and included with</p>	<p>Should the show manager permit a cat/kitten whose entry information is not printed in the catalog to compete, the show manager shall provide a written statement of explanation, for the review of the CFA Central Office, which shall determine if the entry was eligible for competition. The show manager's statement and all documents pertaining to his/her decision must be submitted with the show package to the Central Office. Entries deemed not eligible by the Central Office will not receive credit for any award(s) achieved at the show (see paragraph 20.06). The show manager must prepare an addendum to include all information for any entry not included in the show catalog, which is to be posted with the</p>	<p>We have an ongoing problem with show catalog addendums. We average maybe two a week which may not sound like a lot but each one entails quite a bit of attention and decisions, usually to the unhappiness of the exhibitor and/or show committee. We score them and there is no question. The fee should be high enough to discourage clubs from taking an addendum without a great deal of thought.</p> <p>Original thought was \$50 per addendum – please suggest.</p> <p>Presented by: CFA Central Office (Allene</p>
---------	---	--	---

	the official show records submitted to the Central Office.	master clerk and included with the official show records submitted to the Central Office. <u>A fee of \$50 per addendum will be charged to the club/entry clerk/show service and must be submitted with the show packet, with a maximum fee of \$150 per exhibitor's entries.</u>	Tartaglia). Presented for discussion.
--	--	---	---------------------------------------

Tartaglia: It's always an unpleasant situation with addendums. We are trying to come up with a way to make it a win/win situation for everybody. **Eigenhauser:** Our current rule on addenda is that if the entry clerk falls on their sword, we generally take it. How many times do we allow the same entry clerk to fall on their sword before Central Office notifies Protests? This should be a deterrent. The only way to make this work is if the club can't pass the fee through to the exhibitor. If it was the club's fault, they are the ones paying the fee, not the exhibitor who is the victim. **Kusy:** If you have a habitual entry clerk who does this on a regular basis, they are going to pass this fee along. They will go right on abusing it and there are exhibitors who are happy to pay \$50. **Johnson:** What causes the extra work? **Tartaglia:** Researching whether it's a legitimate addendum or not. **Johnson:** What if addendums were just accepted and you didn't have any work at all? **Tartaglia:** If we have addendums for every show, then we are going to have additional work to score the shows. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Johnson, DelaBar, Calhoun, L. Watson, Barnaby and Kusy voting no.

Shaffer: We need to vote on the fee. **Eigenhauser:** I would like it to be per entry, but with a cap per exhibitor. I'll make a motion that it be \$50 per cat, but no more than \$150 per exhibitor. It's an exhibitor's entries that get lost, even if the club is paying for it. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Failed.** Eigenhauser voting yes.

J. Watson moved to accept \$50 per addendum. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** DelaBar, Calhoun, Johnson, L. Watson, Barnaby, Kusy voting no.

16.13 Failed	Add another part to Article XVI Responsibilities of Show Secretary	The show secretary or a designated representative must provide a count of entries in the show to the CFA Central Office within 24 after the close of entries or if the show is not closed 4 hours prior to the scheduled starting time of the show, the count at that time and the final count as soon as the show is closed. This count must be available to exhibitors by phone. For purposes of this rule, count is defined to be the number of	This is already done by the vast majority of clubs. With the rising cost of travel and other show expenses, it is time that clubs be required to provide this information. This allows the exhibitors to make an informed decision on whether to attend the show or not. Presented by: Tonkinese East
------------------------	---	--	--

		entries in the following categories: Longhair Kittens, Shorthair Kittens, Longhair Championship, Shorthair Championship, Longhair Champions, Shorthair Champions, Longhair Premiership, Shorthair Premiership, Longhair Premiers, Shorthair Premiers, Provisional, Miscellaneous, and Household Pets.	
--	--	---	--

No Action.

17.02	The entry clerk shall be responsible for reviewing each entry form received to verify that all necessary information is included. The entry clerk is prohibited from accepting Championship, Premiership, Provisional (kittens or adults) and AOV entries (kittens or adults) whose entry form does not contain a registration number.	The entry clerk shall be responsible for reviewing each entry form received to verify that all necessary <u>requirements have been met, including payment.</u> The entry clerk is prohibited from accepting Championship, Premiership, Provisional (kittens or adults) and AOV entries (kittens or adults) whose entry form does not contain a registration number	Clarifies that each entry is to be accompanied by the entry fee. Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for Discussion.
-------	--	--	---

No Action.

19.01 Failed	Add	t. The phone number where exhibitors can access the count when available. (See Paragraph 16.13).	Presented by: Tonkinese East
------------------------	-----	--	--

No Action.

17.07 Failed	New section	After the close of the show, the entry clerk cannot refuse to disclose a preliminary count to any exhibitor that has entered that show. A preliminary count is the entry for the show in the same format as the Master Clerk's unofficial count. The entry clerk is not requires to release any count information other than a preliminary count.	Most entry clerks automatically disclose the count to anyone who asks, but a few have withheld counts based on club policy. Exhibitors that have already entered a show have the right to know the count. This information can affect whether or not they
------------------------	-------------	---	---

			<p>attend the show, sometimes at considerable expense. And while it is not the club's responsibility to assist exhibitors in their travel decisions, it is the club's responsibility to be fair to all exhibitors that have entered their shows. Some clubs have withheld the count from some exhibitors while disclosing it to others solely to affect competition by keeping campaigners away, sometimes so that a local campaigner can benefit from a stuffed show. This gives an unfair advantage to some exhibitors. Our scoring system was designed with the intent that anybody can enter and attend any show and all exhibitors can equally benefit from the points in a particular show. Preventing exhibitors who have entered a show from having full knowledge of the count, while allowing other exhibitors to have that knowledge effectively destroys that design. There is no good reason to withhold the preliminary count after the show has closed from someone who has already entered the show.</p>
--	--	--	--

			Presented by: Lilac Point Fanciers
--	--	--	---

No Action.

17.08 Failed	New Section	Within 48 hours after the close of a show, the entry clerk, show manager, or any club member shall send the preliminary count to the CFA webmaster by e-mail to post on the CFA website. The preliminary count is the entry for the show in the same format as the Master Clerk's unofficial count. The entry clerk is not required to release any count information other than a preliminary count.	<p>The rationale is the same for this resolution as the previous resolution requiring entry clerks to disclose the count to exhibitors who have entered the show. This resolution goes further by requiring the entry clerk to make the count available over the internet. Using the CFA website to host the preliminary counts means there is a central place where all exhibitors can go to find the counts without bothering the entry clerk. The CFA website already includes a page for preliminary counts and the webmistress already posts those counts when she receives them. Our scoring system is only fair when all people have access to the same information. This resolution would require that information to be posted in the same place and accessible by everyone.</p> <p>Presented by: Lilac Point Fanciers</p>
------------------------	-------------	--	--

No Action.

18.09.1	New section	The chief ring clerk in each	Clerks are performing a
---------	-------------	------------------------------	-------------------------

With drawn		ring will make sure that their fellow clerk's cats are given the opportunity to participate in the judge's ring by informing the clerk in another ring that their cat is to be judged if the exhibit's clerk does not hear the call. Failure to inform their fellow clerk of their exhibit being called to a judging ring will result in that clerk's ability to have their cat's class reopened.	job for the club and should not be penalized for not hearing their exhibit's number called to judging or a final. Presented by: Wild Blue Yonder Cat Fanciers
-------------------	--	---	---

No Action.

ARTICLE XIX Re-organize	<p>There must be a printed show announcement and it must include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The names of all judges and their assignments (e.g. allbreed or specialty). b. The specified entry fees(s). c. It is strongly advised that all cats or kittens entered or present in the show hall be inoculated before entry by a licensed veterinarian against feline panleukopenia (formerly known as feline distemper or feline infectious enteritis), feline rhinotracheitis, calici viruses and rabies. It is also strongly recommended that cats and kittens be tested and found negative for FeLV before entry. d. All claws of all entries must be clipped prior to benching. e. All Championship, Premiership, and registered Kitten entries will be scored for CFA National and Regional awards. f. The hours of veterinarian inspection or entry presentation to the show secretary, the hour judging will begin, and the advertised hour of closing. g. For shows with veterinarian 	<p>There must be a printed show announcement and it must include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The names of all judges and their assignments (e.g. allbreed or specialty). b. The specified entry fees(s). c. It is strongly advised that all cats or kittens entered or present in the show hall be inoculated before entry by a licensed veterinarian against feline panleukopenia (formerly known as feline distemper or feline infectious enteritis), feline rhinotracheitis, calici viruses and rabies. It is also strongly recommended that cats and kittens be tested and found negative for FeLV before entry. d. All claws of all entries must be clipped prior to benching. e. All Championship, Premiership, and registered Kitten entries will be scored for CFA National and Regional awards. f. The hours of veterinarian inspection or entry presentation to the show secretary, the hour judging 	<p>Rationale: Housekeeping change. Separates out a second section as 19.02.</p> <p>Indicating claws should be clipped prior to benching reminds exhibitors to clip nails just prior to the show – not 1-2 weeks before. Judges all too often find nail(s) that are sharp, long and obviously not clipped at any time close to the show,</p>
----------------------------	---	---	---

	<p>inspection a statement that veterinarian inspection prior to benching will be required.</p> <p>h. Specific climate control facilities that will and will not be provided at the show hall (e.g., heat, fans, air conditioning, etc.) must be stated. The term “climate–controlled” or “other climate–controlled system” is NOT to be used as it is confusing and non specific.</p> <p>i. The size of benching cages.</p> <p>j. If available, a telephone number within the show hall should be printed in the show announcement and/or confirmation of entry.</p> <p>k. The CFA logo or statement that this is a CFA licensed show must appear on the first page or panel of the show announcement.</p> <p>l. The specific closing date and the entry limit(s). The show announcement must also identify any special circumstances or unusual problems such as the following:</p> <p>m. Accurate information on handicapped accessibility, including specific limitations, e.g. lack of ramps, handicap equipped restrooms, etc. Handicapped benching requests shall be accommodated whenever possible, at no extra charge to the exhibitor.</p> <p>n. Wild animals or hybrid crosses between wild cats and domestic cats that will be on exhibition in conjunction with the show. (See Paragraph 13.15.)</p> <p>o. Smoking is not permitted where cats are benched or judged (this information must</p>	<p>will begin, and the advertised hour of closing.</p> <p>g. For shows with veterinarian inspection a statement that veterinarian inspection prior to benching will be required.</p> <p>h. Specific climate control facilities that will and will not be provided at the show hall (e.g., heat, fans, air conditioning, etc.) must be stated. The term “climate–controlled” or “other climate–controlled system” is NOT to be used as it is confusing and non specific.</p> <p>i. The size of benching cages.</p> <p>j. If available, a telephone number within the show hall should be printed in the show announcement and/or confirmation of entry.</p> <p>k. The CFA logo or statement that this is a CFA licensed show must appear on the first page or panel of the show announcement.</p> <p>l. The specific closing date and the entry limit(s).</p> <p><u>19.02 The show announcement must also identify any special circumstances or unusual problems such as the following:</u></p> <p><u>a. Accurate information on handicapped accessibility, including specific limitations, e.g. lack of ramps, handicap equipped restrooms, etc. Handicapped benching requests shall be accommodated whenever possible, at no extra charge to the exhibitor.</u></p> <p><u>b. Wild animals or hybrid crosses between wild cats and domestic cats that will be on</u></p>	<p>Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for Discussion.</p>
--	---	---	---

	<p>be indicated on the first page).</p> <p>p. No chairs, or only rental chairs for exhibitors.</p> <p>q. If no food or drink is allowed in the benching area.</p> <p>r. If benching and/or judging areas cannot be contained so that it can be secured in the case of a “cat loose” situation.</p> <p>s. Special state regulations that require health certificates or rabies shots</p>	<p><u>exhibition in conjunction with the show. (See Paragraph 13.15.)</u></p> <p><u>c. Smoking is not permitted where cats are benched or judged (this information must be indicated on the first page).</u></p> <p><u>d. No chairs, or only rental chairs for exhibitors.</u></p> <p><u>e.. If no food or drink is allowed in the benching area.</u></p> <p><u>f. If benching and/or judging areas cannot be contained so that it can be secured in the case of a “cat loose” situation.</u></p> <p><u>g.. Special state regulations that require health certificates or rabies shots.</u></p>	
--	---	---	--

Baugh: The change is about clipping a cat’s claws prior to benching. There’s nothing that says how close to benching. I was asked by several judges to bring it up. **DelaBar:** Judges have the ability to disqualify. They have to start enforcing our show rules. **Johnson:** Leave the wording as it is. We are getting into common sense legislation. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

<p>18.11.1</p> <p>Failed</p>	<p>New section</p>	<p>The master clerk will provide to all chief ring clerks a list of the other clerk’s exhibit numbers.</p>	<p>Clerks are performing a job for the club and should not be penalized for not hearing their exhibit’s number called to judging or a final</p> <p>Presented by: Wild Blue Yonder Cat Fanciers</p>
-------------------------------------	--------------------	--	---

No Action.

<p>28.04.1</p> <p>Failed</p>	<p>New section</p> <p>Note: Should this pass, the better course of action would be to remove the rule requiring cats to be present for finals (28.18.b 1&2)</p>	<p>For any finals held after the posted hours of any one day or two day show, all exhibits placed in those finals will be granted their finals awards and scored for points regardless of their presence.</p>	<p>Show hours are given on the show flyer for the exhibitors’ benefit so as to plan for transportation home. A show that goes past the written show hours places the exhibitor at risk of missing flights, shuttle services, and other modes of</p>
-------------------------------------	---	---	---

			<p>transportation. An exhibitor should not be penalized for a missed final if the final is held after posted show hours.</p> <p>Presented by: Wild Blue Yonder Cat Fanciers</p>
--	--	--	--

No Action.

28.12.i Failed	New section	When reasonable, as in a regular weekend show as opposed to the International or some large show, it is expected that judges will attempt to play with all kittens, preferably on the judging table, but in the judging cages if the kitten is excessively frightened.	<p>The judging table is the training board for future show cats. This is where they learn that showing can be fun. Some judges attempt to play with almost all kittens and others do not. From the exhibitor's side of the table, we might wonder why the judge did not attempt to play with our kitten and maybe did with others. We also wonder if encouraging our kitten to play would make a difference for our show cat in the future.</p> <p>Presented by: Mo-Kan Cat Club, Santa Fe Shorthair Cat Club, Topeka Cat Fanciers, Wichita Cat Fanciers</p>
------------------------------	-------------	--	---

No Action.

32.01	The following breeds and colors are recognized as entitled to win Championship or Premiership honors. (NOTE: Male cats of any of the following colors are never eligible for Kitten, Championship or Premiership	The following breeds and colors are recognized as entitled to win Championship or Premiership honors. (NOTE: <u>Tortoiseshell or Calico male cats in any of the following colors: Black, Blue, Brown, Chocolate, Chestnut,</u>	Rationale: The intent is clear, but the list is incomplete. This sums up that any version of a tortoiseshell male is only eligible for an AOV class in a CFA show.
-------	--	--	--

	<p>competition, but are eligible for an AOV class as provided in Article XXXIII): Shell Tortoiseshell, Shaded Tortoiseshell, Tortoiseshell Smoke, Shell Blue-Cream, Shaded Blue-Cream, Blue-Cream Smoke, Silver Patched Tabby (Tortie), Blue-Silver Patched Tabby, Brown Patched Tabby (Tortie), Blue Patched Tabby (Tortie), Tortoiseshell, Calico or Dilute Calico, Van Calico or Dilute Van Calico, Tortoiseshell Smoke & White, Blue-Cream Smoke & White, Blue-Cream, Tortie Point, Blue-Cream Point.)</p>	<p><u>Lavender, Lilac, Cinnamon, Fawn.</u>, of any <u>breed</u> are never eligible for Kitten, Championship or Premiership competition, but are eligible for an AOV class as provided in Article XXXIII):</p>	<p>Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented for Discussion.</p>
--	--	---	---

Eigenhauser: It appears that the revisions fail to address the patched tabbies. Your revision is actually less inclusive than the original. **Withdrawn.**

33.01		<p>AMERICAN CURL Longhair Division <u>AOV.....8198....8199</u> Shorthair Division <u>AOV.....8298....8299</u></p> <p>BIRMAN <u>AOV.....2198....2199</u></p> <p>EXOTIC <u>AOV.....7798....7799</u></p> <p>NORWEGIAN FOREST CAT <u>AOV.....none....none</u></p> <p>PERSIAN Solid Color Division <u>AOV.....0918....0199</u> Silver & Golden Division <u>AOV.....1198....1199</u> Smoke & Shaded Division <u>AOV.....1394....1395</u> Tabby Division <u>AOV.....1498....1499</u> Particolor Division <u>AOV.....1496....1497</u> Calico & Bi-Color Division <u>AOV.....1396....1397</u></p>	<p>Add AOV classes to those who allow them to correlate with standards.</p> <p>Note: Those listed are the breeds that list numbers or ‘none’ in their breed standards. Note that not all breeds are listed since some make no reference to AOV in their standard. To be consistent, it is suggested that all breeds should be listed, and those with no AOV should so state or eliminate the ‘none’ from the standards/show rules.</p> <p>Presented by: Show Rules Committee. Presented by request from Breed Council Secretaries meeting.</p>
-------	--	--	--

	<p>Himalayan Division <u>AOV.....3298....3299</u></p> <p>RAGDOLL <u>AOV.....0498....0499</u></p> <p>TURKISH ANGORA <u>AOV.....1898....1899</u></p> <p>ABYSSINIAN <u>AOV.....none....none</u></p> <p>AMERICAN SHORTHAIR <u>AOV.....0798....0799</u></p> <p>AMERICAN WIREHAIR <u>AOV.....9998....9999</u></p> <p>BALINESE <u>AOV.....1298....1299</u></p> <p>BOMBAY <u>AOV.....0412....0413</u></p> <p>BURMESE <u>AOV.....none....none</u></p> <p>CHARTREUX <u>AOV.....none....none</u></p> <p>COLORPOINT SHORTHAIR <u>AOV.....2298....2299</u></p> <p>CORNISH REX <u>AOV.....0998....0999</u></p> <p>DEVON REX <u>AOV.....2298....2299</u></p> <p>EGYPTIAN MAU <u>AOV.....0848....0849</u></p> <p>EUROPEAN BURMESE <u>AOV.....none....none</u></p> <p>EXOTIC <u>AOV.....7798....7799</u></p> <p>HAVANA BROWN <u>AOV.....0418....0419</u></p>	
--	--	--

		<p>JAVANESE <u>AOV.....2098...2099</u></p> <p>KORAT <u>AOV.....none...none</u></p> <p>MANX <u>AOV.....0698....0699</u></p> <p>OCICAT <u>AOV.....0248....0249</u></p> <p>ORIENTAL Longhair Division <u>AOV.....4398....4399</u> Shorthair Division <u>AOV.....2398....2399</u></p> <p>RUSSIAN BLUE <u>AOV.....none...none</u></p> <p>SCOTTISH FOLD Longhair Division <u>AOV.....8498....8499</u> Shorthair Division <u>AOV.....8898....8899</u></p> <p>SELKIRK REX Longhair Division <u>AOV.....5798....5799</u> Shorthair Division <u>AOV.....4798....4799</u></p> <p>SINGAPURA <u>AOV.....none...none</u></p> <p>SOMALI <u>AOV.....1398...1399</u></p> <p>TONKINESE <u>AOV.....2698....2699</u></p>	
--	--	---	--

DelaBar: We are adding all 41 breeds into Article XXXIII, saying whether they have an AOV class or not. **Newkirk:** That’s redundant. We’ve got a list of breeds that allow AOV’s. If they’re not listed here, there’s no AOV class. **Eigenhauser:** I’m not an advocate of repeating information, but I don’t see the harm in putting which breeds don’t have AOV in the show rules.

Maybe the simpler thing is, just put a note at the bottom: “If no AOV number is listed, there isn’t one for that breed.” **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Failed.** Janosik voting yes.

<p>Art XXXVII Nat/Reg Awards</p> <p>Failed</p>	<p>SCORING At the completion of the show season, a cat/kitten will be credited with the points from its highest 100 individual rings (40 for kittens).</p>	<p>SCORING At the completion of the show season, a cat/kitten will be credited with the points from its highest 100 individual rings. <u>A kitten will be credited with the points from it’s highest 40 individual rings. Kittens may be scored in their initial show season, or the subsequent show season if the kitten has been shown at least once in the subsequent show season. To score a kitten in the subsequent show season, the Central Office requires notification by the owner, by letter or fax, and received by April 1st of the initial show season. Furthermore, it is the responsibility of the owner to ascertain the request has been received in the CO.</u></p>	<p>Kittens are currently penalized by their date of birth versus show season recognition dates. Many of these kittens are not shown, or they are shown only briefly for ring experience. This proposal allows kittens their full fourth months to be shown, with probable increase in entries for shows.</p> <p>Presented by: Cat Club of the Palm Beaches, National Alliance of Birman Breeders, Cornish Rex Breed Club, Oil Capital Cat Club, Canada’s Capital Cat Club, Pounce ‘n Paw Cat Fanciers, Treasure Coast Cat Club, Foothills Felines, Fyfe and Drum Himalayan Club</p>
---	---	--	--

No Action.

<p>Art XXXVII Regional Assignment</p> <p>Failed</p>	<p>2. The region listed in the catalog must be the site of the residence of the owner or any one of the co-owners. Transfers of ownership which affect regional assignment must be received in the Central Office a.) before the show at which a new region is listed AND b.) before the last show in which the cat earns points prior to the first full weekend in January. It is not necessary that the region listed in the catalog match the address</p>	<p>2. The region listed in the catalog must be the site if the <u>cat/kitten’s custodial residence of the owner or the one of the co-owners who houses the cat/kitten in-between travel to/from shows during the majority of the shows for each competitive category.</u> It is not necessary that the region listed in the catalog match the address contained in the official show records.</p>	<p>Clarifies the region of residence for RW consideration to always be the custodial residence of the cat/kitten during the weekdays in-between travel to/from shows, of the owner, or co-owner with whom the kitten/cat actually lives, for the majority of the shows during any competitive category (i.e. kitten,</p>
--	--	---	--

	<p>contained in the official show records.</p> <p>4. No change to the regional assignment of a cat/kitten will be permitted after the first full show weekend in January. Transfers of ownership which would cause a change in regional assignment must be received in the Central Office prior to the first full show weekend in January. A cat/kitten whose ownership has changed after the first full show weekend in January may continue to compete and earn points, however, any awards achieved will be received by the owner(s) on CFA records as of the first full show weekend in January. Owner(s) who maintain residences in more than one region must identify by the first full show weekend in January the region in which the cat/kitten is to be assigned by listing the desired region of residence in the catalog of the last show in which the cat/kitten earns points prior to or on the first full show weekend in January. A cat/kitten whose owners' residence moves from one region to another after the first full show weekend in January, will be assigned to the region where its owner(s) maintained a residence as of the first full show weekend in January evidenced by the region number listed in the last show in which the cat/kitten earned points prior to or on the first full show weekend in January.</p>	<p>4. No change to the regional assignment of a cat/kitten will be permitted after the first full show weekend in January. Transfers of ownership which would cause a change in regional assignment must be received in the Central Office prior to the first full show weekend in January. A cat/kitten whose ownership has changed after the first full show weekend in January may continue to compete and earn points, however, any awards achieved will be received by the <u>custodial</u> owner(s) on CFA records as of the first full show weekend in January. Owner(s) who maintain residences in more than one region must identify <u>the region of custodial residence of the cat/kitten</u> by the first full show weekend in January <u>as</u> the region in which the cat/kitten is to be assigned by listing the <u>custodial</u> region of residence in the catalog of the last show in which the cat/kitten earns points prior to or on the first full show weekend in January. A cat/kitten whose owners' residence moves from one region to another after the first full show weekend in January, will be assigned to the region where its owner(s) maintained <u>custodial residence of the cat/kitten</u> as of the first full show weekend in January evidenced by the region number listed in the last show in which the cat/kitten earned points prior to or on the first</p>	<p>championship or premiership).</p> <p>Presented by: Land of Oz Cat Club, Fort Worth Cat Club, Ozark Cat Fanciers, International Havana Brown Society</p>
--	---	--	---

		full show weekend in January.	
--	--	-------------------------------	--

No Action.

XXXVII Regional Assignment Para 3	3. To be eligible for a regional award, a cat/kitten must be shown at least once in the competitive category in the region of final assignment. Exhibiting at the CFA International Cat Show does not satisfy this requirement.	3. To be eligible for a regional award, a cat/kitten must be shown at least <u>twice</u> in the competitive category in the region of final assignment. Exhibiting at the CFA International Cat Show does not satisfy this requirement	Raises the requirement for in-region shows in the region of final assignment from one to two shows. Presented by: Land of Oz Cat Club, Fort Worth Cat Club, Ozark Cat Fanciers, International Havana Brown Society
--	--	---	--

No Action.

ART XXXVII Regional Assignment Para 3	3. To be eligible for a regional award, a cat/kitten must be shown at least once in the competitive category in the region of final assignment. Exhibiting at the CFA International Cat Show does not satisfy this requirement.	3. To be eligible for a regional award, a cat must be shown at least once in the competitive category in the region of final assignment. Exhibiting at the CFA International Cat Show does not satisfy this requirement.	Paragraph 3 places a minimum limit of a single show to establish the region of assignment for cats and kittens. The limit for kittens is increased in the resolution and the references to kittens should be stricken from the paragraph.
---	--	---	---

No Action.

Para 4 Entire Proposal (2,4,6,9) Failed	4. No change to the regional assignment of a cat/kitten will be permitted after the first full show weekend in January. Transfers of ownership which would cause a change in regional assignment must be received in the Central Office prior to the first full show weekend in January. A cat/kitten whose ownership has changed after the first full show weekend in January may continue to compete and earn points, however, any awards achieved will be received by	4. No change to the regional assignment of a cat will be permitted after the first full show weekend in January. Transfers of ownership which would cause a change in regional assignment must be received in the Central Office prior to the first full show weekend in January. A cat whose ownership has changed after the first full show weekend in January may continue to compete and earn points, however, any awards achieved will be received by	Paragraph 4 defines rules and limits for transfer of region and cats and kittens. Transfer for kittens is not allowed in the resolution and the references fir kittens should be stricken from this paragraph.
---	--	--	--

	<p>the owner(s) on CFA records as of the first full show weekend in January. Owner(s) who maintain residences in more than one region must identify by the first full show weekend in January the region in which the cat/kitten is to be assigned by listing the desired region of residence in the catalog of the last show in which the cat/kitten earns points prior to or on the first full show weekend in January. A cat/kitten whose owners' residence moves from one region to another after the first full show weekend in January, will be assigned to the region where its owner(s) maintained a residence as of the first full show weekend in January evidenced by the region number listed in the last show in which the cat/kitten earned points prior to or on the first full show weekend in January.</p>	<p>the owner(s) on CFA records as of the first full show weekend in January. Owner(s) who maintain residences in more than one region must identify by the first full show weekend in January the region in which the cat is to be assigned by listing the desired region of residence in the catalog of the last show in which the cat earns points prior to or on the first full show weekend in January. A cat whose owners' residence moves from one region to another after the first full show weekend in January, will be assigned to the region where its owner(s) maintained a residence as of the first full show weekend in January evidenced by the region number listed in the last show in which the cat earned points prior to or on the first full show weekend in January.</p>	
--	---	---	--

No Action.

<p>Para 6</p>	<p>6. A kitten is assigned to the region which is listed in the catalog of either a.) the last show in which it earns points as a kitten, or b.) the last show in which it earns points as a kitten prior to and including the first full show weekend in January, whichever show (a. or b.) occurs first.</p>	<p>6. A kitten is assigned to the region <u>of the permanent residence of the owner(s) of record on the date that the kitten reaches 4 months of age. In the event that there are two or more owners of the kitten on the date that the kitten reaches 4 months of age, and the owners reside in or maintain residences in multiple regions, the owners must choose the region of one of the owners. In each case, the assigned region for any kitten is reported to the Central Office by listing the assigned/selected region in the catalog in the first (and</u></p>	<p>Paragraph 6 assigns the region of competition for regional awards based on the owner's selection of the last show that the kitten competes in before the first full show weekend in January versus the region that the kitten has competed in for a significant number of judging rings.</p>
---------------	---	---	---

		<u>subsequent) shows in which the kitten is entered. To be eligible to receive a regional award, a kitten with owners residing in multiple regions must have competed as a kitten in a minimum of four shows in the selected region. The assigned or selected region is also referred to as the final assignment and as used in the other paragraphs in these rules.</u>	
--	--	--	--

No Action.

Para 9	<p>9. The regional assignment for any cat/kitten which first scores points within a competitive category at a show occurring after the first full show weekend in January, will be the region listed in the catalog of the first show at which the cat/kitten earns points.</p>	<p>9. The regional assignment for any cat which first scores points within a competitive category at a show occurring after the first full show weekend in January, will be the region listed in the catalog of the first show at which the cat earns points.</p>	<p>Paragraph 9 assigns the region of competition for regional awards based on the owner's selection of the first show that the kitten competes in after the first full show weekend in January versus the region that the kitten has competed in for a significant number of judging rings. The resolution addresses the regional assignment for kittens before and after the first fill show weekend in January in Paragraph 6, and the references to kittens should be stricken from this paragraph. The best measure of merit for a kitten is that obtained by considering many competitions against the kittens in the region where the kitten is primarily shown and not in the region where one competition was conducted. Most exhibitors know this</p>
--------	---	---	--

			<p>and voluntarily choose to compete for regional awards in their region of residence.</p> <p>Unfortunately, some exhibitors choose to exploit the rules and choose to show their kitten one time in a region where the points they have earned elsewhere will allow them to obtain a win they could not achieve in their own region at the expense of the exhibitors of another region. This allows exhibitors from regions with many shows and large counts to displace regional wins earned by exhibitors in regions with fewer shows and small counts.</p> <p>Requiring that a kitten with owners in multiple regions be shown at least 4 times in the selected region will help verify that the kitten is competitive against the local competition in the selected region and will require that the owners provide some level of financial support to the clubs of the region in which they are competing for the regional win.</p> <p>Kittens have a shorter show season than cats in championship and premiership and in the majority of cases, the kittens that had competed prior to the transfer of a new kitten</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>into the region will have passed the age limit for the kitten class and will not be able to increase their accumulated points, in defense of their regional standing. For these reasons and in the interest of fairness, residency of the owner (and the associated region of competition) is the best method to assign the region of competition for regional awards.</p>
--	--	--	---

No Action.

NON-SHOW RULE RESOLUTION			
<p>Art II Registration Section 6</p> <p>Failed</p>	<p>New addition</p>	<p><u>OUTSTANDING CATTERY(OC):</u> <u>A cattery (whether as breeder or owner) whose cats have achieved the minimum of five Distinguished Merit awards may display the Outstanding Cattery suffix. This suffix is not to be used in conjunction with a cat's name, but may be used after the cattery name.</u> <u>Catteries with five of more DM's may submit a form, with an appropriate fee, to the Central Office</u></p>	<p>This cattery title recognizes the achievements of the CFA exhibitor in their promotion of pedigreed cats, and furthering the perfection of the breed according to the breed standard. Recognizing these achievements with a cattery title shows the dedication of the breeder/exhibitor and adds status to a registered CFA cattery name.</p> <p>Presented by: Cat Club of the Palm Beaches, National Alliance of Birman Breeders, Cornish Rex Breed Club, Oil Capital Cat Club, Canada's Capital Cat Club, Pounce 'n Paw Cat Fanciers, Treasure Coast Cat Club, Foothills Felines, Fyfe</p>

			and Drum Himalayan Club
			Land of Oz Cat Club, Fort Worth Cat Club, Ozark Cat Fanciers, International Havana Brown Society

No Action.

Rule #	Old Wording	New Wording
ARTICLE V 5.01	<p>Procedures for shows without veterinarian inspection.</p> <p>a. Show management shall reserve the right to hold a show without the provision of veterinarian inspection prior to benching.</p> <p>b. The show secretary or a designated representative shall mark all those entries that are absent, or that require transfer to another competitive category, class or color class.</p>	<p>Procedures for shows without veterinarian inspection.</p> <p>.a The show secretary or a designated representative shall mark all those entries that are absent, or that require transfer to another competitive category, class or color class.</p>

Withdrawn.

17.04.d	<p>Insert new paragraph, current (d) becomes (e)</p>	<p>An entry clerk may not refuse an entry without just cause. If an entry is improperly refused a protest may be filed against the entry clerk, the club and any show official involved in the refusal. In a protest for failure or refusal to accept an entry received on time (before closing date and before the show fills) the burden of proof shall be on the accused to show that the refusal is justified.</p>	<p>“Just cause” sounds like it means something, but still allows the “wiggle room” to say that the refusing entries is OK if it’s justified. Putting the burden of proof on the club is to avoid frivolous refusals. Adding among justification for refusal of entries “... because of imminent circumstances, protection of public safety...” The term “imminent” covers sudden and unexpected things, like when there isn’t time to file a protest. This would cover the situation about an exhibitor who</p>
---------	--	--	---

			has become a problem recently, and there isn't time to react. Protecting public safety always seems like a good excuse.
--	--	--	---

Eigenhauser: The existing rule simply says, if I return an entry I have to tell you why. It doesn't have to be a good reason, a valid reason or a reason allowable under CFA show rules or under CFA's constitution. We're getting problems with entry clerks refusing entries for reasons that are not authorized under our show rules. If you solve a problem by not taking a person's entry and they enter somebody else's show, you have made them somebody else's problem. You haven't fixed it. **Newkirk:** This puts it in the rules and it puts the onus on the entry clerk. **Jacobberger:** Every time it has come up so far, in balancing the interests of the club as against protecting the individual, the board has said, let's go ahead and support the club. This will be a change. We are shifting the burden dramatically from the club to the individual, to prove that their actions were correct. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Johnson, Janosik, Shaffer, Barnaby, Wilson and Kallmeyer voting no.

(13) **ANIMAL WELFARE/PUREBRED RESCUE.**

Animal Welfare Liaison Kay Janosik gave the following report:

Committee Chair: Linda Berg
Liaison to Board: Kay Janosik

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

The months since June have been relatively quiet except for breeder surrenders. Somehow the education of these people has not been accomplished. I feel it is education because if they were trying to hide what they were doing they wouldn't be leaving all the paperwork with the 15 cats they drop off. I'm hoping with the new Breeder's Assistance program we can help with this situation and educate along the way.

Current Happenings of Committee:

I am currently working to remove some of the outstanding Partial Dockets on Diane Vetterls list. Some of these go back to when Pam was Animal Welfare, they were given a partial docket number and then they disappeared and we have been waiting for them to surface and obviously it might not ever happen. Diane continues to present them as an open file when they probably never will be again. Not sure if we should go ahead and permanently suspend based on the age of the case or put them into administrative limbo. I have four to present at this time and will do so under Action Items.

I have also received my 75+ kitten report for February thru August. This report is usually two pages long. I usually send out about 30 inspection letters. At this time there are 6 names on the list and they are all up to date on their inspections. Not sure what kind of importance we should put on the low numbers and the fact they are all up to date except that they know the importance of it and get it done immediately when it is up instead of waiting for my next letter.

Future Projections for Committee:

Continue to decrease the list of partial dockets updated.

Committee Action Items:

These are the partial dockets. The first three are from Pam's time and are 2001. They have not surfaced in 5 years and if they do I will still have the file in my possession.

01-044 Joan Stewart, 01-065 Amanda Jane Guthrie, 01-071 Daniel Mehling

The last one is Diane Milam Miller 02-030 who passed away in 2005.

Time Frame:

None

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Updates on partial dockets.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Linda Berg, Chair*

Purebred Rescue Liaison Kay Janosik gave the following report:

Committee Chair: *Linda Pollack Mercer, M.D.*
Liaison to the Board *Kay Janosik*
List of Committee Members: *Linda Pollack Mercer, MD, President & Rescue Coordinator of PCBR*
Linda Berg, Secretary of PCBR
Leslie Falteisek, Treasurer of PCBR
Wanda Roe, President, Persian and Himalayan Rescue
DeLynne Satimore, The International Cat Association, Inc. (TICA) Liaison and Chair of the TICA Rescue Committee
Warren Cox, Retired - previously Director, SPCA of Texas (Dallas, TX); Montgomery Co. Animal Shelter (Dayton, OH); Director of Animal Protection for the American Humane Association (Denver, CO); now at SunCoast Humane (FL) on an interim basis
Linda Brackett, President Nemasket Orphaned Animal Haven, Inc.
Susan Sarason, Retired - 2002-2005 Board of Directors of St Francis Animal Sanctuary; 1997-2001 President, Sarason and Associates (Marketing & Public Relations Corporation); 1979-1988 US Environmental Protection Agency

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Approximately 650 cats rescued despite a three week moratorium and many referrals to breed rescues. CFA Breeds included: Persian, Siamese, Somali, Scottish Fold, Devon Rex, Sphynx, American Shorthair, Ragdoll, Manx, Maine Coon, Exotic Shorthair, Havana Brown, Birman, Oriental Shorthair, Tonkinese, Turkish Van, British Shorthair.

Current Happenings of Committee:

With the departure of Diana Engelbart, we needed to find a new Committee Chair. Linda Mercer was appointed.

Leslie Falteisek joined the Board as Treasurer.

Linda Brackett and Susan Sarason joined our Board. Susan has extensive experience in applying for grants but was prevented from pursuing our PetsMart Leader of the Pack grant by the missing financial data. Leslie has finished reconstructing our records and Susan can now proceed. However, we really need some help and some ideas to bring donations in to cover the large influx of cats. We have had to call moratoriums on new intake twice this year due to shortfalls of funds.

We are still wondering if we couldn't be in line for one of the big shows like Winn is. The MSG show would be ideal for this purpose. The SouthWest Region, under Regina's direction, has offered \$500 in matching funds this month and had pledges of an equivalent amount in a matter of days on the CFA SouthWest Email List. Perhaps other Regional Directors could follow suit?

We need Regional Directors to ask the regional clubs to help us out. It would take minimal effort for those collecting admission fees from spectators at shows to display our donation cans at the table and keep track of the cans, sending them back to us after the show. At the Lincoln State Cat show, \$200 was collected by this simple action.

Future Projections for Committee:

- *To submit grants and find outside ways of funding PBCR/CFA Purebred Rescue, Inc.*
- *Obtain funding for hoarder and foster pamphlets, which have already been drafted.*
- *Find money to pay Liability insurance to cover the Board of Directors of*
- *Recruit clubs to raise funds for PBCR/CFA Purebred Rescue, Inc.*
- *Recruit clubs to hand out flyers for Operation Noble Foster and help us sign up new fosters. It has been some time since volunteers for this program were recruited. Many of the names or contact information are out-of-date and the need for foster homes continues with approximately 30 military requests for foster homes a month, though in July of this year there apparently was a call up with a surge to 58 requests in a month.*

The Board of PBCR/CFA Purebred Rescue, Inc. is working hard to stay afloat at this time. Like I said earlier we are maxed. The numbers have continued to grow this year, despite the addition of the CFA Breeder Assistance program which has decreased our load considerably. We do not want to have to leave Purebreds to be euthanized in shelters - all of these cats deserve to live!

*Sincerely,
Linda Pollack Mercer, MD*

Kusy: The North Atlantic Region is giving half the proceeds from the regional show to Purebred Rescue. **Janosik:** Purebred Rescue is important to CFA, and we want to elevate the importance of it. **DelaBar:** I will help them where I can, especially when we're dealing with cats left over from Katrina and Rita. I would like people to really look at the Breeder Assistance Program.

Breeder Assistance Program Liaison Kay Janosik gave the following report:

Committee Chair: Linda Berg

Liaison to Board: Kay Janosik

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

We have put together the committee with the Regional Directors, Chairs from each Region and some other people who really wanted to be in on the development process of this committee.

George Eigenhauser just put out a nice article talking about this committee in relationship to Legislation. I've gotten some very good feedback on it already and the article is getting people to talk about this program and what we can offer.

Paul Patton has put together a website for us and we are working on how it will be used, if we will use it for the whole or if each Region will put up their own website linked to the main one. It will be used to place older cats/kittens when we do assistance of that nature. We want it to remain confidential so working out those issues as well.

We have completed our Mission Statement:

"The CFA Breeders Assistance Program is here to help CFA breeders and catteries in a time of need for a variety of reasons beyond their control. This assistance will be provided in confidence and with compassion to the individuals involved and with the well being of their cats foremost".

We are having some issues because I am involved in both Animal Welfare, Breeders Assistance and Purebred Rescue. People are afraid they will be suspended etc. We are stressing the difference between Assistance and Animal Welfare. We would rather help than see Animal Control step in to take the cats away.

I think this program will be a great asset to CFA and its breeders. We just need to get it up and running to remove fears that there are hidden agendas!

Current Happenings of Committee:

We are at this time working on the guidelines, fleshing out what our depth of assistance will be.

Future Projections for Committee:

To get the program completed and then each Regional Coordinator compile a list of people within the Region that can help in situations like this.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

The guidelines fleshed out and completed and program up and running.

Respectfully Submitted,

Linda Berg, Chair

Janosik: This program is being established to intervene and hopefully help prevent cats going into Purebred Rescue. I see this as an intervention. The guidelines have come out, so this will help the regional coordinators. In our region, we had a need for food, so we established a food pantry. The support that was received is overwhelming. **Angell:** We need to have some type of measure where surrendering parties are held to some accountability. **Janosik:** We are trying to keep the cats in their current environment. The current owner would be responsible for spaying and neutering. A website has been designed to list the cats for sale or for adoption. **Barnaby:** We're putting on a show. If there is a profit, we would like to send a check to some worthy cause. Who do we make it out to? **Janosik:** Breeder Assistance Program and send it to Linda Berg. This is entirely a CFA program. **Miller:** I want to say how much I support this. In the shelter world, they call this type of approach a "safety net" – try to keep the cats out of the shelter by intervening.

(14) **WINN FOUNDATION.**

Winn Foundation Liaison George Eigenhauser presented the following report:

President: *Dr. Susan Little*
Liaison to CFA Board: *George Eigenhauser*
Executive Director: *Janet Wolf*
Board Members: *George Eigenhauser, Betsy Gaither, Hilary Helmrich,
Fred Jacobberger, Betty White*

Brief Summary of Immediate Past Activities:

Betsy Gaither joined the Winn board as Treasurer at our June meeting, and she has been working diligently to establish new procedures for handling Winn's business. She has completed a review of Winn's accounting procedures and our investments, and has made the changes necessary to serve as Winn's Treasurer. Betsy has purchased new accounting software for Winn that is being evaluated on a trial basis.

The board approved changes to Winn policies governing breed-related studies in June. These changes were necessitated by the increased demand for funding genetic studies of breed health issues. In order to ensure funds are available to fulfill the needs of the many breed groups seeking help and still have enough funds available for research into other important feline health issues, Winn will now match at least the first \$5,000 (up to a maximum of \$7,500) for each breed-related project. Winn has also removed the cap of \$15,000 for breed-related studies, making it possible for these special projects to receive accelerated funding. Winn is currently working with at least 5 breed groups on specific health projects.

Our Executive Director has been working hard to ensure regular solicitations for donations occur. In late June, our second direct mail solicitation to pet owners in New Jersey (approximately 7000 pieces) was mailed, although later than planned due to the workload at Central Office. We also solicited donations to honor national winning cats in June. The announcement for the 2007 Winn grants was issued with a deadline for grant proposals of December 4, 2006. Grant Applications were received and processed for the Miller Grant Funding.

The Winn exhibit was present at the Garden State Cat Show in July, thanks to Janet and Otto Wolf. Nutro Products generously donated cat treats to raise donations for Winn.

Janet Wolf has been working hard with Central Office staff to re-design Winn's forms and publications to incorporate our new logo, which was unveiled at the CFA Annual Meeting in June. This entails a great deal of effort, as everything from brochures to business cards must be re-designed. We appreciate the support of Carol Krzanowski and her staff.

Winn has been accepted into the next Combined Federal Campaign. We must reapply every year to be listed as one of the organizations that Federal and Military Employees may donate to. Every year, our donations from the CFC have been growing (66% increase in 2006).

Winn-supported researchers in Dr. Leslie Lyons' lab at U.C. Davis recently announced they have successfully mapped the Tabby genetic locus to a specific feline chromosome. Winn issued a press release to our media contact list about this breakthrough in feline genetics, and the announcement was also featured in our newsroom on GoodNewsForPets.com.

The Third International Advances in Canine and Feline Genomics Conference was held August 2-5 at U.C. Davis. Winn was proud to be a supporting organization, along with the AKC Canine Health Foundation, and major sponsor NestlePurina. Winn has supported this series of conferences since its inception. About 185 participants from 21 different countries attended. Over the three days, 42 diverse presentations were featured, as well as 60 scientific posters. Winn-funded researchers presenting their findings included Dr. Kathryn Meurs (Washington State University), Dr. Bill Murphy (Texas A&M University), and Dr. Leslie Lyons and her colleagues (U.C. Davis). Dr. Susan Little attended at her own expense as Winn's representative, along with a small exhibit.

Current & Upcoming Activities:

Winn board members, along with our Veterinary Consultants (Dr. Al Legendre, Dr. Steve Chu) and our veterinary advisors (Dr. Brian Holub, Dr. Bill Folger) will meet via telephone conference on Sept. 26 to review the 16 proposals submitted for funding under the Miller Trust. A press release will be issued once the successful grant recipients are notified.

The publication of Royal Canin's, A Practical Guide to Cat Breeding, has provided several opportunities for promotion of Winn to breeders, both in North America and overseas. Dr. Little will be speaking at a Royal Canin Feline Breeding seminar in Toronto, Canada in October as well as another seminar in the Netherlands that is in the planning stages. This is an opportunity for Dr. Little to represent and promote Winn at each of these meetings, with expenses generously paid by Royal Canin. Dr. Little will also be promoting Winn and gathering donations at seminars for breeders this fall in Sweden, and Long Island, NY.

Betsy Gaither, Janet Wolf and Dr. Little will meet with Central Office staff the week of Sept. 11 in order to streamline Winn's donor management and attempt to lessen the workload of CO staff on Winn's behalf. During that week, we will also be interviewing for a new accountant, with the goal of improving our financial reporting.

Our Executive Director has two solicitations to donors planned for the fall: a holiday season solicitation to existing North American donors, and a first-time tailored solicitation to Winn's overseas donors. Janet has supported our mail solicitation campaigns through her hard work, and also the donation of both funds and equipment.

The Winn exhibit will be present at both the CFA-Iams Cat Championship show in October (with Betty White) and the CFA International Cat Show in November (with Betsy Gaither). Both Betsy and Betty are generously paying their own expenses to attend on Winn's behalf.

Winn board members have various projects and goals they continue to work on, such as developing guidelines for breed related projects (Hilary Helmrich), writing articles and newsletters (Betty White), estate planning (George Eigenhauser), and Winn's legal issues (Fred Jacobberger).

Finally, we are hard at work at developing a new website for the fall. The Veterinary Information Network generously donated server space and tech support. A totally new look is being designed, and Winn will have its own e-commerce capabilities for the first time. A press release will be issued once the new site is ready to go live.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

At our next board meeting, we have a featured speaker. Steve Dale, of Pet Central on WGN Radio in Chicago, will make a presentation to board members about how Winn can use the media to reach the pet-owning public more effectively. We will also have a guest, Susan Gingrich, the founder of the Bria Fund for FIP Research. Susan will be speaking to us about fund-raising ideas. Other issues on the agenda include finalizing the fact sheet for breed-related health studies so it can be distributed, policy changes regarding the Treasurer's functions and board member responsibilities, and evaluation of and future planning for our direct mail efforts.

*Respectfully submitted,
Dr. Susan Little
President, Winn Feline Foundation*

Eigenhauser: The Winn Foundation is one of three advisors on the Miller Trust Grant Review. This year, the Trust funded drug protocols for FIP antibodies, and a genetic screening test for blood incompatibility issues. It also funded a shelter population index study for base line shelter statistics.

(15) **TECHNOLOGY/WEB SITE COMMITTEE.**

Technology Committee Chair Dick Kallmeyer gave the following report:

Committee Chair: Dick Kallmeyer
Members: Karen Lawrence, Connie Selitto, Allene Tartaglia,
Peg Johnson

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

The CFA web site had 9.6 million page loads for May to September. The page loads for June to August were up 28% over a year ago.

Current Happenings of Committee:

WEB

PAGE LOADS:

- May 1,914,197 (stat counter down one day)
- June 1,755,200
- July 1,976,783
- August 2,080,562
- September 1,886,262

FANC-E-MEWS

The July/August and September/October editions of **Fanc-e-Mews**, CFA's free online magazine, were released. Highlights include the Hong Kong Cat Lovers' Society Gift to CFA, a preview of the upcoming CFA-IAMS Cat Championship Show in New York City, and Top Ten Ways to love your cat. The November/December edition will include articles on:

- CFA International Cat Show is Coming to San Mateo, CA!
- A Report on the CFA-Iams Cat Championship
- Christmas Gifts for Cat Lovers
- Holiday Foods Can Upset Your Pet
- Hazard Free Holidays for your Pets
- Neutering Your Pet Can Improve Health and Behavior

FOR KIDS ... ABOUT CATS

FOR KIDS had 175,553 page loads in the May through September time frame.

ONLINE CATALOG SALES (includes Winn donations)

- May - up \$4,979 over 2005 (includes annual meeting)
- June - down \$1,520 over 2005
- July - up \$7,701 over 2005
- August - up \$1,487 over 2005

- *September - down \$6,230 over 2005*

NEW ON THE SITE:

Added:

- *Press release for National Awards*
- *Breed Profile photos*
- *NW photos*
- *International Show area*
- *Declared candidates for BC secretary*
- *Legislative Alerts*
- *Fanc-e-Mews issues Jul/Aug, Sept, Oct*

Updates:

- *May - 76 page updates, 12 new*
- *June - 111 page updates, 4 new*
- *July - 228 page updates, 8 new*
- *August - 144 page updates, 17 new*
- *September - 162 page updates, 11 new*

User Comments:

It is my great pleasure to read your fantastic website and it has been listed in my most favorite website.

- David

Your website is very well done, and features content that appeals to and is of interest to fellow animal lovers.

- CJ

Breakthrough Strategies

On behalf of People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals

I really liked your site. Definitely agree with your position in regards to pet "licensing".

- Olga Ortmann

Future Projections for Committee:

The current plan is provide an on-line registration prototype for beta testing by a limited number of breeders in the next couple months. User feedback will help to determine the actual roll-out of the production version.

Action Items:

None

Respectfully Submitted,

Dick Kallmeyer, Chair

(16) CLERKING PROGRAM.

Clerking Program Chair Regina Shaffer gave the following report:

Committee Chair: Regina Shaffer
List of Committee Members: Rob Loot, Yoshiko Moriya

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Biennial Clerking Test: This year was the year for the biennial clerking test. We had 309 clerks of various levels returning their tests, 23 Master Clerk Instructors (MCI), 107 Master Clerks (MC), 153 Certified Ring Clerks (RC), and 26 working towards their license. Of the tests received and scored, 49 individuals (15.9%) scored 100% on the test!! Our 100% folks include 7 MCI, 19 MC, 19 RC, and 4 working on their licenses. 74% of all clerks tested scored 95% and above; an additional 20% scored between 90-94%; only 3% of those tested scored between 85-89%. The minimum passing score for RC is 85% and for MC & MCI is 90%. Of those returning their tests, only 1 MC and 3 RC did not pass the test at their required level. Based on these facts, we can consider this another successful testing year!

The current number of licensed clerks thru this report period had a net decreased of 59 clerks (17% reduction). Part of this reduction is due to: (1) Clerks choosing to not renew their license, (2) Clerks returning their tests late. While the overall effect is less clerks, we have added newly licensed clerks as well. We had an actual increase of 18 clerks (8 in the ID) and actual decrease of 66 clerks (10 of which are in the Judging Program). During a testing/licensing year, it is common for the number of clerks to decrease dramatically as new licenses are renewed. As folks begin to realize that they haven't submitted their test or paid their dues, they will be added back into the Clerking Program. Our current clerking status is 290 clerks at various levels (23 MCI, 108 MC, and 159 RC).

Shaffer: Historically, when we do the testing, we have a drop in clerks and then it goes back up.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Clerking Schools: We continue to see interest in the Clerking Program as evidenced by the number of Clerking Schools recently held. From May through August 2006, there were eleven clerking schools conducted. These schools were held in California, Florida, Illinois, Maryland, and New Hampshire, as well as 3 schools in Japan and 3 International schools (all held in Asia). Of these eleven schools, six were taught by MCs working towards their MCI license; three by MCIs, and two schools were taught by Judge Bob Zenda (both in Asia). Seven clerking schools have been scheduled from September through November 2006, which includes one in conjunction with the CFA 2006 International Show (where President Pam DelaBar and NW RD Dick Kallmeyer will be instructing) and two in the International Division (China & Korea). Additionally, we have scheduled yet another Entry Clerking School also in conjunction with this year's International Show.

New Japanese Liaison: Due to family concerns and commitments, Yoshiko Moriya, has resigned as Japan's Clerking Program Liaison. This was not an easy decision for her, but as she has stated to me, the best decision for CFA and the Japanese Clerking Program, as she must now devote her full attention to family. Yoshiko has done an outstanding job in her performance over the years and will be greatly missed. Based on the high recommendations from both Yoshiko and Yayoi Satoh, Japan's Regional Director, it is my pleasure to announce the appointment of Masayuki Okada. Masayuki is currently a licensed MC working towards his MCI license, he has an excellent understanding of English and will be able to perform any necessary translations as required, as well as assist the Japanese Fanciers' progressing through the Clerking Program. Masayuki Okada's contact information is: 7-12-22-1201 Ojima Koto-ku, Tokyo, Japan 136-0072; ph/fax: 81-3-3638-5978; E-mail: marchare@credo.jp

Shaffer: We welcome Masayuki Okada as our new liaison.

Future Projections for Committee:

Review of Entry Clerk and Ring Clerk Schools to be held in conjunction with the CFA International Show as well as continued status of the Clerking Program.

Action Items:

None

*Respectfully Submitted,
Regina Shaffer, Chair*

(17) **JUNIOR SHOWMANSHIP.**

Junior Showmanship Liaison Kitty Angell: Roeann has sent out sponsorship proposals to various companies for the Young CFA program, which should be an incredible plus for CFA. **Janosik** suggested a scholarship program, which was discussed.

(18) **AWARDS AND HONORS COMMITTEE.**

Awards and Honors Chair Rachel Anger: We have a new vendor for the Breed Awards, which will be similar to our upright plaque. We're awarding the crystal etched plaque with the cat laser inscribed for the 2006-2007 National Awards.

(19) **FELINE AGILITY.**

Agility Committee Liaison Kay Janosik presented the following report:

Committee Chair: *Carol Osborne*
Committee Members: *Bonnie Smith, Coordinator for Certified Ringmasters, Training and Scoring*
Scorers: *Region 1- Doreann Nasin*
Region 2-Jay Collins
Region 4-Bonnie Smith
Region 5-Barbara Reimer
Region 6-Andrea Dorn
Region 7-Steve Baylus
Jay Collins, Agility Equipment Coordinator
Kim Everett-Hirsch, Publicity, Public Relations and Awards Coordinator
Linda Shaffer, Junior Handler Program
Wanda Martin, Webmaster, Photography and Video Coordinator

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

CFA Feline Agility is growing and has increased all of its numbers since the beginning. The CRM (Certified Ringmasters) have grown to 29 in number and are located across the country and in Japan. Soon we hope to have a CRM close enough to each club that wants to include this format, so that travel expenses will be eliminated. The number of shows listed for this season is 21 so far. We hope better reporting and more participation will bring this number up.

The standard course has been set by Jay Collins as having 10 obstacles, consisting of 4 hurdles (1 bar, 2 bar, 3 bar, and 4), 2 hoops, 2 tunnels, stairs and weave poles. We will be working on a beginner (easier) course and an advanced course.

We will also be working on advertising and obtaining sponsorships for Agility, as costs have been found to be a hurdle for clubs wishing to have FAC. Those clubs who have advertised, have found an increase in gate. Local sponsorships help offset the costs and even help to turn a profit.

Also on the agenda for the coming quarter is the Junior Handler Program. Linda will get her committee together and have some proposals soon.

Our website has been streamlined (with thanks to Wanda and Bonnie) and we are hoping for a link from the CFA.org site soon.

Visit us at: www.cfafelineagility.741.com

We have no action items at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

Carol Osborne, Chair

Janosik: Chairperson Carol Osborne has been very visible with agility and promoting it. We have scorers in every region. We have coordinators in the development of a junior handler program. We have certified ringmasters who are getting everything in line so that agility can be recognized for competition and awards in CFA on a national level. **Kusy:** Is this being scored nationally? Do clubs have to have an official scorer? **Janosik:** Not at this point. The ultimate goal is to get people to go through the training to become a certified ringmaster. You can complete the training in one weekend. **Baugh:** There hasn't been a lot of attention given to agility at the shows I have attended. We are missing the boat. **Janosik:** The committee is preparing a PR package. It's up to the club to promote it, but the committee will provide it to you. **Baugh:** My concern isn't so much the advance publicity as the actually activity at the show. There doesn't seem to be a lot of attention given in announcements and information to the gate. We should be doing more of that. **Johnson:** The certified ringmasters, which the clubs are paying for, could be the focal point for promoting it during the show. **DelaBar:** When we established the Agility Program, one of the goals was to bring the public in and get them involved in agility. We need to push that more.

Agility Course Design Liaison Kay Janosik presented the following report:

Committee Chair: Wayne Mull
Committee Members: Mary Newmarch, Dave Peet, Sue Riley, Charlene Smith

What is the function of CFA agility?

Entertainment. To entertain our cats, exhibitors and spectators. Secondary gain: to pull new people in as spectators and possibly as participants. Agility is also a sport. As breeding of animals is considered a sport, so is Equestrian jumping, dog agility, cat agility and the extremely popular hedgehog agility. Having a strong theatre background, it starts with a stage and a set or in this case, the enclosure and the obstacles.

The enclosures: there are nine different enclosures made by nine different people. We have one framed with black painted steel, one with unpainted round aluminum, one with white PVC and five with black painted square aluminum. The Japanese enclosure is unknown to me. Several types of mesh are being utilized. Most flooring being utilized is anti-fatigue interlocking mats which is participant friendly and gives foot traction safety. There is one agility set-up utilizing a tarp and one set-up completely without flooring. All but one enclosure has a safety top.

Recommendation: all enclosures must have a safety top as a standard. Rationale: should a cat leave via going over the top, this untoward event could have a negative action to the owner of the enclosure, the club sponsoring the agility ring, and CFA. It is what is expected by our participants and it is reasonable care (two words often heard in court).

Recommendation: all enclosures must have flooring. The safer, the better. Rationale: Better traction for the participant, possible prevention of an untoward event, it is what is expected by our participants and it is reasonable care.

Originally it was thought that a 30ft X 30ft enclosure was needed. But with experience, we have discovered that a '30ft wide by a 20ft deep' or even down to a '25ft wide by a 15ft deep' is better. Dave Peet has discovered that a smaller enclosure assists with the participating cat's concentration. A smaller sized enclosure is easier to fit into show halls and gives the host clubs better options.

One enclosure has panels (all enclosures are made up of panels) that block the cat's vision to around two feet high. Better concentration for the cat. It will be recommended that newly built enclosures follow this example.

The Courses: Baby Cat (would Royal Canin sponsor?), Standard, Phase II, Phase III. The Standard course is presently being used. Each Standard course set of obstacles have been made by 9 different individuals. Each set of obstacles look somewhat different from one another. In order to assimilate or unify each these sets of obstacles, there will have to be adjustments.

There are two problems in a few of the agility set-ups. There is carpeting on a few of the Steps obstacles. Some agility participants will not bring their cats into the agility ring due to this carpet. Second problem is that there are areas in obstacles that allow the cats to squeeze through instead of doing the obstacle properly. This discourages the participant whose cat is not doing the course properly. Some providers of the agility set-up patch these obstacles with silk flowers or greenery.

Recommendation: Obstacles must not allow for cats to squeeze through/penetrate opposed doing the obstacle properly. Rationale: If the cat cannot penetrate the obstacle, there will be a cleaner and easier run. These obstacles were not thought through very well. However with an addition of some extra PVC, all can be corrected.

Recommendation: All carpeting and silk flowers need to be eliminated from the agility ring. Rationale: These materials cannot be properly cleaned. Carpeting is not utilized in the judging ring, why should it be allowed in the agility ring. Silk flowers are distracting to the cats. Running a cat through agility can be difficult, why allow anything distracting in the agility ring?

The individual obstacles to be ratified by description:

(1) The Steps- 8-10 inches high each, 3 steps up & 3 steps down. Sides of the steps need to be solid, therefore no access to underneath as this distracts the cats.

(2-3) The Hoops- 8-10 inches high for jump. Impenetrable bases. Preference to have 2 Hoops of different heights.

(4-5) The Hurdles- 1st set is 7-8 inches (jump height) and 9-10 inches. 2nd set is 9-10 inches and 11-12 inches. Impenetrable. No less than 2 ft wide. However, the wider, the better.

Recommended only, 32-34 inches wide. Point of interest and request for reinstatement. A single hurdle is now considered a single obstacle. This obstacle was originally designed to be two hurdles as one obstacle. To place them other than in pairs upsets the rhythm of the agility run. The original design needs to be followed.

(6) Weave Poles- the only concern is the distance between the FOUR poles. The largest distance between poles in any of the agility set-ups is 23 inches and there were complaints that this was too narrow. Therefore recommendation is 20-24 inches between poles.

(7-8) Tunnels- If the tunnel is round, it needs a cradle.

(9) Hopscotch- This is new! A series of 7 connected 'boxes'. 1st box, 2nd & 3rd box side by side, 4th box, 5th & 6th box side by side, 7th box. The jump is 4 inches high and object of the obstacle is for the cat to go from 1st to 7th in order.

Baby Cat course: particularly for 4-6 month old cats but also for older cats who have never tried agility.

Obstacles: Steps, two up, two down; two shorter round tunnels; one set of hurdles at 3-4 inches (jump height) & 5-6 inches; 5 inch (jump height) hoop; and possibly a 12 inch high plank walk.

Phase II course: Steps (from) standard with a Plank; two wide tube Hoops; the two sets of hurdles become two Jumps; two round tunnels are gathered together and put together to make an "S" tunnel; an "A"-frame; SIX weave poles; and the teeter-totter. Nine total obstacles.

Thank you for your consideration.

*Wayne Mull
Cat Agility Course Design Chair*

Janosik: The greatest benefit to CFA is entertainment. Chair Wayne Mull polled participants throughout the country to see what their concerns were, and he took that into consideration in his recommendation for course design. He spoke with most of the current agility equipment providers to see what they could come up with as far as standardizing the existing obstacles. In polling the providers, he has come up with several different options which could help clubs with different space requirements. In most cases, a smaller area is better for the cats. It keeps their attention focused, and opens up the possibility of agility for clubs that previously thought it was out, due to room size. Wayne is working on four different courses, taking agility to different levels. The specifications are all broad enough where providers can adjust the equipment they already have to meet the recommendations.

Miller moved to acknowledge that the board is entirely supportive of the agility competition outline and the course design. **Motion Carried.** Newkirk, Janosik and Barnaby abstained.

(20) **ETHICS COMMITTEE AND MARKETING RESEARCH COMMITTEE.**

Ethics Committee Chair Peg Johnson gave the following report:

Committee Chair: Peg Johnson
Liaison to Board: N/A
List of Committee Members: Rachel Anger, Willa Hawke

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Gathered input on Exhibitor Code of Ethics.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Committee is recommending that CFA Judge's Code of Ethics be affirmed by judges for 2007 re-licensing.

Future Projections for Committee:

CFA Breeder and Board of Director Code of Ethics

- 1. Publish code on CFA website – November 2006*

CFA Judges Code of Ethics

- 1. Judges affirmation of code – Prior to February Re-licensing*
- 2. Publish code on CFA website – November 2006*

CFA Employee Code of Ethics

- 1. Establish committee for writing code of ethics – November 2006*
- 2. Distribute draft to Board for Review – February 2006*

CFA Exhibitor and Club Code of Ethics

- 1. Establish committee for writing code of ethics – October 2006*
- 2. Provide CFA Board with Initial Drafts – December 2006*
- 3. Approve and Publish Codes – By February 2007*

Action Items:

None

Time Frame:

NA

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

- 1. Exhibitor Code of Ethics*
- 2. Employee Code of Ethics*

*Respectfully Submitted,
Peg Johnson
Marketing Statistics Committee Chair*

Johnson: I'm pleased to see that the judging rules were changed to incorporate the Judges' Code of Ethics as part of the relicensing process. I will partner with Allene to come up with a code of ethics for employees. **DelaBar:** When the board is seated at the Annual on Sundays, by being seating, we agree to abide by the Code of Ethics for the Board. **Johnson:** We affirmed it when we approved the Board Code of Ethics.

Marketing Research Chair Peg Johnson gave the following report:

*Committee Chair: Peg Johnson
Liaison to Board: N/A
List of Committee Members: Jay Lehman*

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

- 1. Documented cat registrations 1988 – 2005. See attached spreadsheet.*
- 2. Analyzed 2006 season show counts through 9/23 against 2005 show counts for the same period. 2006 shows have declined an average of 31 cats per show or 16% since last year. See attached spreadsheet.*
- 3. Asked Jay Lehman to join the marketing statistics committee. Jay and I reviewed available mapping software that could be used to provide pictorial analysis of clubs and show locations. This would help identify areas where there is little CFA activity. Mapping software costs from \$100 and up. Southern Region would be willing to buy a copy of software for CFA use.*

Current Happenings of Committee:

Committee is reviewing list of statistics to help provide accurate and meaningful data to the CFA Board for decision making, goal setting, and progress measurement.

Currently, CFA has no data base of CFA club members. The statistics committee would like to establish a sub-committee of volunteers to build a data base of the club members of all CFA clubs. This data base could be used to understand the number of people participating in CFA clubs and begin to provide new contact points with CFA. Volunteers would be organized to manually input club member names and contact information into a spreadsheet or database from copies of club member lists. This would require that CO provide copies of club membership lists to the committee.

The committee is also working on locating breed registrations from other associations to analyze how CFA is doing comparing to competition. See attached information on TICA.

Future Projections for Committee:

Plot CFA Club and Show locations on maps to help analyze CFA footprint.

Build CFA club member data base.

Define strategy for collecting additional data from shows to help understand CFA customer behavior. Information could include exhibitors, show entry, and show reports. This would help us understand where people show, how often, how many cats they show, etc.

Action Items:

1. *Board approval to move forward with mapping project and for CO to provide club data and show information to committee.*
2. *Board approval to form sub-committee to build club member data base and for CO to provide copies of Club member lists to committee.*

Time Frame:

This meeting.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

1. *Map of US Club and Show Locations*
2. *Update on progress on building club member data base*
3. *Strategy for additional statistical reporting.*

Respectfully Submitted,

Peg Johnson

Marketing Statistics Committee Chair

Johnson presented a 1988-2005 CFA breed comparison and a comparison of show counts from May 2005 to present. Show counts are down about 16%. The committee will look at purchasing mapping software to get a pictorial view to analyze CFA activities. I will establish an Excel database to give us statistical information about the demographics of our clubs and club members. I would like to get strategies together for collecting data from shows to help understand customer behavior. **Miller:** Are you considering that part of the committee will be to generate surveys in order to find out about attitudes? An excellent survey was done in 2005, but we never analyzed the data. I wonder if this is the committee that should be finding out why things are happening. **DelaBar:** I formed this committee because we have no statistical basis to see where we've been and to outline where we need to go. I want to see where we have opportunities for growth, geographically and by population. I also want to see where we are in our market area. That is the initial goal of information that this committee has been gathering. We have to think of retention of our breeders. The Breeder Assistance Program was one of the ways to help people stay in the fancy. We are at base one because we just formed the committee. **Eigenhauser:** The club member data base that we're building is for our internal use. We're not

anticipating selling this to advertisers. **DelaBar:** It's for the internal use of the board. **Johnson:** All the data bases we're collecting are for internal board use only. I love the idea of surveys. We've done a few small surveys in our region. It would be interesting to do spot surveys for exhibitors to give us input. I want to focus on empirical data first, but that's a good future focus for analysis, for marketing and board information. **DelaBar:** We're looking at growth, but we've got to see what we can do to stop the attrition at the other end.

(21) **PUBLICATIONS.**

Publications Liaison Kitty Angell presented the following report:

Committee Chair: Donna Brawner
Liaison to Board: Kitty Angell
List of Committee Members: Donna Day

Angell: Donna Brawner and the new member, Donna Day, have had two day-long planning sessions working on the 2008 projects, trying to take this media situation from a money-losing proposition to hopefully a money-making proposition.

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

1. *Bids were submitted for printing the Almanac from TriStar Web Graphics, Bayside Printing and American Plus Printers. A decision on a printer was postponed until recommendations were solicited for each company.*
2. *A bid is being sought from Canine Chronicles by the Director of Corporate Marketing and Public Relations (DCMPR) for the Almanac.*

Current Happenings of Committee:

1. *Recommendations were obtained for two of the three printers. TriStar Web Graphics was not included due to their lack of interest in submitting a complete bid.*
2. *Publishers for the national book are still being solicited.*
3. *A tentative first year plan for the national book was attached as an addendum.*

Future Projections for Committee:

1. *Once a printer for the Almanac and the national book are selected, revision of contracts, advertising templates, standards for photo submission, and software recommendations can proceed. Pricing for the yearbook and the Almanac will also be determined at this time in addition to combining subscriptions to the online Almanac and print Almanac.*
2. *Upon receipt of bids from publishers for the national book, the DCMPR will be contacted to review proposals for the most advantageous offer. Proposals will then be submitted to the board for their perusal, input, and final approval.*
3. *After reviewing book proposals and Almanac format, the Publication Committee will write a new job description with James Watson's assistance. It is strongly recommended that a job description be written requiring sole responsibility of the two publications (Almanac and National Book) to rest with the Publications Editor. A change of position name might be considered by the Management Committee or whoever is given this responsibility at Central Office. This person would ensure that both publications would*

be produced in a timely manner and become solvent. Also, additional staffing should be considered if the board approves a national book.

4. *The responsibility of the Publications Chair and the Central Office coordinator is of utmost importance. An immediate and ongoing communication and cooperation regarding the media produced by CFA should be handled on a daily basis with both parties agreeing to work together in an ethical and professional manner.*
5. *A checks and balances situation needs to be established to ensure that both publications are meeting their deadlines. This will be accomplished by the Central Office coordinator sending the Publications Chair a weekly report of the progress of each publication. The Publications Chair will notify the CFA President if any concerns arise.*

The Almanac:

Proposals:

1. *Select a printer for the Almanac after reviewing recommendations for Bayside Printing, American Plus Printers, and Canine Chronicles.*
2. *Combine subscriptions to the online Almanac and the Almanac. One flat rate should cover all production costs and allow access to both publications.*
3. *Reorganize the content of each publication and implement by May 2007.*

Committee Action Items:

1. *Board approval of recommended printer.*
2. *Board approval to study combining subscriptions to the online and print Almanac.*
3. *Board approval to determine what topics should be included in the almanacs with input from the Associate Director and DCMPR.*

Benefits:

1. *Reduced printing costs will lessen Almanac debt.*
2. *Maintaining a print Almanac will allow DCMPR to use it as a marketing tool.*
3. *Combining subscriptions to both types of the Almanac will keep both publications solvent. Members of the Fancy receive the best of both worlds—print and electronic media.*
4. *Specifying what topics and information will be included in the print Almanac will prevent redundancy in all publications. It will save duplicate effort of moving some information from one medium to another. Each publication will have its own personalized content in certain areas.*

Implementation:

1. *After board approval of a printer, the May 2007 edition will begin the transition of the printed Almanac and the online Almanac.*
2. *The Publications Committee, the Webmaster or a member of the web staff, the DCMPR, and the Associate Director will determine the cost of the combined subscriptions and the content of the almanacs.*

Time Frame:

The time frame is based upon CFA's commitment to these proposals.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

1. *Recommendations for combined subscription price for online and print Almanac.*
2. *Recommendations for topics to be covered in either the online Almanac or the print Almanac.*

The Yearbook:

Proposals:

1. *Advertising contracts should be retooled to meet the requirements for a national book and to facilitate meeting deadlines.*
2. *With input from the publisher of the national book and prior to the sale of advertising, a user-friendly template should be designed. This should be made available to all advertisers through mailings and on the CFA website.*
3. *Standards for photo submission for the national book should be established to facilitate meeting deadlines and to save staff time in preparing and proofing ads for publication.*
4. *A study of the different types of software should be conducted to determine which software would be the most appropriate for our needs in publishing a national book and the Almanac.*
5. *CFA should purchase its own ISBN and bar code for the national book from either Bar Code Graphics or Make Bar Code. The cost is approximately \$55.*

Shaffer: With a bar code, the publishers can negotiate with distributors. **Fulkerson:** They gave us the distinct impression that they wanted the bar code first. You've got to have a bar code to make a proper presentation before they will entertain giving us a quote. **Newkirk:** So moved. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Action Items:

1. *Board approval for the Publication's Committee to review bids for national book with DCMPR to determine the viability of the proposals. The most promising proposals will be submitted to the board for selection.*
2. *Retool advertising contracts to meet requirements and deadlines of a national book with a projected November 2008 publication.*
3. *Publication Committee to design a user-friendly template for advertisers.*
4. *Publications Committee to review and establish standards for photo submissions.*
5. *Publications Committee to suggest appropriate software for national book.*
6. *CFA to purchase barcode/ISBN number for national book.*

Benefits:

1. *Once the Board approves the bid for the national book, the Publication Committee, with input from the DCMPR and Associate Director, can move forward on finalizing details for publication.*
2. *Updated advertising contracts with specific requirements will facilitate meeting deadlines.*
3. *Creation of an online template for advertisers will facilitate meeting deadlines and save the staff production time.*
4. *With standards for photo submission, cat color and other issues will be addressed to save staff time and meet deadlines.*
5. *With the appropriate software, the staff will save time.*
6. *With CFA's own ISBN number and barcode, publishers will be able to negotiate with distributors.*

IMPLEMENTATION:

1. *The Publications Committee will review bids and finalize the package with the input of the DCMPR.*
2. *After reviewing the current contract and soliciting suggestions from Associate Director, the Publications Committee, and the DCMPR, new advertising contracts will be written.*
3. *The Associate Director, the Webmaster, and the chairman of the Yearbook Committee will oversee the creation of an ad template.*
4. *Based upon publisher's recommendations, standards for photo submission will be established.*

5. *Suggestions, solicited from publishers/printers, will aid in exploration of advantages/disadvantages of software programs. Findings and recommendations will be presented to the Board.*

Time Frame:

The time frame is based upon CFA's commitment to these proposals.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Based upon the above approval, the following will be provided:

- *publishing contracts for yearbook*
- *revised advertising contracts*
- *advertising templates*
- *software recommendations*

*Respectfully Submitted,
Donna R. Brawner, Chairperson Publications Committee*

FIRST YEAR PLAN FOR NATIONAL CFA BOOK 2008

Deadlines:

October 1, 2007: Articles, pictures and any graphics due to be edited
December 1, 2007: Edited articles, pictures and graphics sent to layout and design
March 2, 2008: Corporate ads and early bird breeder ads due
June 1, 2008: All ads, national winner pictures, and national breed winners due
August 1, 2008: Completed book goes to press
November 1, 2008: Books in-store for Christmas sales

Content:

President's Message

National Winners

(The book will be divided into sections with chapters as needed)

Section I: The History of Cats—author Kitty Angell

Section II: Celebrity Pets (Roeann would be a good resource here, as well as CFA members. Try for endorsements for book)

Section III: Feline Agility

Section IV: Breeds (Chapters: Recognized CFA breeds with articles and photos of top three best of breed, grands, dm's and breed ads)

Section V: FEMA (Feline Emergency Management Assistance)

Chapters: Feline Toxicology

Evacuations—possible author Karen Crook

*Shelters- possible author Joan Miller
CFA rescue*

Section VI: The Right Cat for You

Chapters: Personality, lifestyle, and dwelling

Basic Medical Care, husbandry and home remedies

Grooming

Random or pedigreed cats with questions to ask breeders or owners

Bringing kitty home—nurturing at home, etc.

In this section, the authors will include many anecdotes, factoids, and other devices to make the articles interesting and fresh.

Section VII: The Winn Foundation

Section VIII: The Cat Fanciers' Association

Chapters: Feature explaining CFA and its influence

CFA Board of Directors and Central Office Staff

Medal of Honor Recipients

International section

“Let’s go to a cat show!” (Explanation of show etiquette, etc.)

Cat Shows around the World (with action photos, not posed and boring)

Candids of participants in the CFA family

Judges listed

Constitution

CFA sites for further information about CFA

Section IX: Cats and the Law

Chapters: Neighborhood pet covenants (possible author George Eigenhauser)

Wills and Codicils for cat care after death

Cats and Divorce

Section X: Veterinary Articles

Dr .Roger Brown—on Pet Assisted Therapy and Animal Assistants

Dr. David Maggs @UC Davis: L-lysine in cat food (This might amount to a reprint with his permission)

List of potential authors:

Anita J. White

Mark Hannon

Lorraine Saunders

Donna Brawner

Artists:

Sheila Haskins

Kathy Trelawny

Angell: Contributors will do this work as volunteers of CFA. **DelaBar:** We ought to get as many of our 501(c)(3)'s as possible to contribute to the book. Also, I brought back from South Africa a book that is more breed specific for kids. It's something that we could tie in, for the kids to sign up and have a membership to keep getting the book.

Almanac

Although bids and references will be presented at the next board meeting, it is the recommendation of the Publication Committee that the Almanac be discontinued and the information included therein be moved to the Online Almanac.

Eigenhauser: I'm getting a mixed message from this report. On the one hand, they talk about the advantages of keeping a print version, and then conclude by proposing to discontinue it. I don't feel that all the information is ready for us to make a decision yet. After much discussion, **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Failed.**

(22) **CFA FOUNDATION REPORT.**

Liaison Stan Barnaby presented the following report:

Committee Chair: *David Mare*
List of Committee Members: *Rachel Anger, Jane Barletta, Hilary Helmrich, Karen Lawrence, Phil Lindsley, Jan Rogers, Lorraine Shelton, Don Williams*

Brief Summary of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

A Reorganization Meeting of the Board of Directors of the CFA FOUNDATION, INC. was held in Reno, Nevada on June 17, 2006.

In attendance were Advisory Committee Members:

*David Mare
Hilary Helmrich
Rachel Anger
Jan Rogers
Philip P. Lindsley
Karen Lawrence*

Invited but not present at the meeting were:

*Jane Barletta
Don Williams*

It was RESOLVED that previous actions taken by the CFA Foundation Committee be ratified, approved and confirmed.

Philip P. Lindsley was appointed legal counsel for the Foundation for a period of one (1) year.

Officers were elected as follows:

<i>David Mare</i>	-	<i>President</i>
<i>Hilary Helmrich</i>	-	<i>Vice President</i>
<i>Rachel Anger</i>	-	<i>Secretary</i>
<i>Jan Rogers</i>	-	<i>Treasurer</i>

Hilary Helmrich and Karen Lawrence visited the Central Office in August and have nearly completed a physical inventory of the remaining items contained therein.

A scroll was donated to CFA in honor of its 100 year history which was presented to Darrell Newkirk and David Mare by the Hong Kong Cat Lovers' Society at their recent show in Hong

Kong. Arrangements to display the scroll at the CFA International are being made by the International Show Committee.

Current Happenings of Committee

Plans for a traveling museum to display Foundation items are being developed.

Respectfully submitted:

David L. Mare, Chair

DelaBar: The scroll was presented to CFA in honor of CFA's 100 year anniversary. It will be going on to the CFA Foundation at the end of this year. Right now, it is part of our 100 year centennial. **Miller:** Who pays for people to come to Central Office to take inventory?

DelaBar: They were judging in the area. **Cummings:** Quite a few ladies and gentlemen in CFA have had pieces of jewelry designed. They can donate these items now, take a tax write-off, but it does not come to the Foundation until you die. [NOTE: contact your tax adviser to confirm.]

(23) **CFA MENTOR PROGRAM.**

Mentor Program Liaison Liz Watson presented the following report:

Committee Chair: *Mary J. Sietsema*
Liaison to Board: *Liz Watson*
List of Committee Members: *Willa Hawke, Marva Marrow, Eric Won, Karen Helmold*

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

At the CFA 2006 Annual Pat Jacobberger stepped down as the Chair of the CFA Mentor Program and I was appointed the new Chair at the Sunday, June 18, 2006 Board Meeting. Pat has willingly agreed to mentor me and assist as needed. I was honored that Pat recommended me and I hope I can continue to lead the Mentor Program as well as she has done in the past.

On August 13th, 2006 Mandi Wooldridge resigned from her position as Mentor/Protégé Coordinator from the CFA Mentor Program. I am handling the position until the Mentor Core Committee finds a suitable replacement.

Current Happenings of Committee:

The CFA Mentor Program continues to grow and thrive! Since June 18, 2006 we have had 16 new Mentors, 26 new Protégés, and 10 new pairings signed and approved in the program!

Future Projections for Committee:

The CFA Mentor Core Committee will have their annual Planning Retreat in Ontario, CA on September 29th – October 1st, 2006

We will be addressing the report and request to the Hartz Mountain Corporation for funding from June 2007-June 2010. Pat Jacobberger has agreed to present the Mentor Program funding request to Hartz Mountain Corporation and a full report will be given to Roeann Fulkerson.

The CFA Mentor Program hopes to expand to the International Division and have a greater presence in Japan.

Marva Marrow, editor for the CFA Mentor Program, will assist in reviewing and updating the CFA Mentor Program Manual. The Manual is directed to those participating in the CFA Mentor Program and is intended to be used by Mentors and Protégés. It is currently available in 2 year subscription on the CFA website at <http://www.cfa.org/catalog/pubs.html#mentormanual>.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

A full report of the September 29th – October 1st 2006 Planning Retreat, including the Hartz Mountain Corporation report, will be presented at the February 2007 Board Meeting.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mary J. Sietsema, Chair
CFA Mentor Program

Newkirk: The Mentor Program has been expanded to Europe. The Committee was specifically interested in Asia, and so I put them in contact with the Hong Kong Cat Lovers' Society, and they have already started over in Hong Kong and expanded to the rest of the Asian clubs.

Ambassador Program Liaison Liz Watson presented the following report:

Committee Chair: Willa Hawke
Liaison to Board: Liz Watson
Team Leader: Jodell Raymond

The CFA Ambassador Program has been very busy during this time since our June meeting in Reno. Jodell Raymond, our new Team Leader, chaired the well-attended meeting where many ideas, concerns, and accomplishments were discussed. We had guests, Joann Cordes-Brown and Debbie Kusy, International Show Managers who stopped by and invited the Ambassadors to again help man a Mentor/Ambassador Booth and provide guided tours for the 2006 Intl Show scheduled for November in San Mateo. (We accepted the invitation and are utilizing the hands on participation of Lee Genet. We are in the process of getting that effort up and running). Following the meeting, the guests were treated to refreshments and camaraderie. A good time was had by all.

Enthusiasm for this program is apparent and has spread throughout the CFA. Consequently we have enjoyed a considerable expansion of membership. During this period we have enlisted 50 new ambassadors. We welcome them all aboard.

Four of our Regional Coordinators have changed:

- 1. Gere Martin, Region 2 resigned and has been replaced by Lee Genet.*
- 2. Alene Shafinsky Region 6 has stepped in to replace Sue Gleason.*
- 3. Linda Petersen, Region 7 (DC Area) has resigned (we are still searching for a permanent replacement for her).*
- 4. Meanwhile, Jay Lehman who replaced Ann Strople, Region 7 (Mid section) is kindly acting as interim coordinator for both areas.*
- 5. We have updated the Ambassador website and now have available for Ambassadors to download and print on demand, all of the Collateral Materials such as Feedback Forms and the Spectator Guides. Jodell has also submitted a revision to Allene for the next edition of the Ambassador Handbook.*

Good participation during many of our domestic shows has transpired. Of particular note has been the Region 5 activity. For example, Santa Monica show was simply a sea with "Ask Me Buttons." I even noticed a couple of out-of-region Ambassadors working at this show. Very gratifying. Garden State, Region 1 also had a large turnout of Ambassadors to assist with their usual impressive gate. All of our coordinators and Ambassadors are working hard and we appreciate their efforts. I feel sure that other regions have had equal successes but alas some of the coordinators seem hesitant to file their reports.

We hope to see more activity in Japan and in the International Division. Japan's activity is expected to continue and increase under the leadership of Yayoi Satoh, new Regional Director.. Japan is very important to the ultimate success of this program. As you all know, our corporate sponsor, Hartz is now a Japanese owned company.

Jodell and I have begun actively working to get the International Division-Asia up and running. Our first effort has been with the Hong Kong group. Phebe Lowe and Rarteo Lo are our point people for this area. We expect to have all the materials translated into Chinese prior to December 30. Phebe is working on getting everything translated including brochures, handbook, cage topper and handouts. Phebe reports that she has contacted the HKCFC President who manages the 2006 December show. Phebe has briefed her about the program and introduced her to our Ambassador website for her further reference. Phebe's Hong Kong club Hong Kong Cat Lovers, already has five of their fanciers who have agreed to take part in the program and it is expected there will be many more when the program is officially announced. Jodell, Phebe, Allene Tartaglia, and I have agreed that since most of those who are expressing interest speak and write both Chinese and English, that we will proceed with Hong Kong using the same process we now use for Japan and Europe; e.g., they will sign up on the Web site through CFA Central Office and receive their supplies from there..

Phebe tells us that the earliest upcoming show in Hong Kong will be in December and she may exhibit there and can then get our program started with a team effort at that time.

Jodell has done her usual fantastic job with this Asia endeavor. She started facilitating a discussion with Phebe beginning with a series of back and forth questions so that they could mutually understand one another's situation. I couldn't be more pleased with this initial effort. We are a work in progress.

*In closing I leave you with this thought: "Ambassadors are **the face of CFA** and the embodiment of all that is good and noble of our great fancy. More than sources of information about CFA and the cat fancy, Ambassadors are **role models** whose actions, attitudes, and kindness' are to be emulated and praised. Whether in the show hall, at a disaster site, in nursing homes, before legislative forums, or in our schools, the impressions left by Ambassadors are **pivotal images** that assist people in deciding whether the cat fancy is something that they, too, would enjoy and support."*

We look forward to the continued success of this program as we strive to spotlight--through this worthwhile program--all of the positive things that CFA has to offer.

*Respectfully submitted,
Willa K. Hawke, Chair
CFA Ambassador Program*

L. Watson: They are moving forward and really pushing the Ambassador Program. It was exciting to see so many people show up as Ambassadors.

(24) **CFA COMMUNITY OUTREACH/EDUCATION.**

CFA Community Outreach/Education Chair Joan Miller gave the following report:

Committee Chair: Joan Miller
List of Committee Members: Pending

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

This Committee is new and in the conceptual stage. The idea was first discussed by me with Allene Tartaglia and Roeann Fulkerson as I believe CFA should explore ways to more actively reach out to various segments of the public that currently know something about random bred cats but have limited knowledge of pedigreed cats or pet population issues. This Committee would promote cat-friendly attitudes to further emphasize the portion of the existing CFA mission - "...to enhance the well-being of ALL cats". I am pleased that Pam DelaBar supports this direction and has appointed me to get it started.

The goal is to reach out and involve a greater public audience so that they will look to CFA as their primary information resource on cats. At this time there are separate organizations involved in cat health, feral cat management, animal welfare, children's education or various other aspects of general feline information distribution. But the wealth of knowledge and history contained within the cat fancy culture and CFA have not been made widely available to outsiders. We need a structure and process for broader expression of what CFA has to offer.

Some of the goals of this Committee will be to implement the strategies for expansion and attraction of newcomers that were brought forward at the CFA Board Planning Session, June 16, 2005.

First steps:

- *Evaluate the effectiveness of knowledge-dissemination techniques for the various selected audiences we want to reach. For instance, in spite of all the books available about cats and websites about cats/cat breeds the general public seems especially responsive to talks with actual cats present combined with handouts.*
- *The media (TV, print, educational DVD production) needs experts to talk about domestic cats.*
- *Visual aids for targeted audiences; handout materials for use with live talks, Public Service Announcements with content and subjects that CFA can uniquely offer.*
- *Establish the core committee and network of trained high quality speakers who would travel to meetings, pet fairs or other venues on invitation. At least one member of the committee should be experienced in adult education and communication.*
- *Retain cat fanciers/exhibitors who, after breeding cats for many years tend to fade away unless they have entered the judging or clerking programs. We now have mentoring and other opportunities and I hope this will be another area of interest for some.*

Because of the scope of the envisioned plan it needs corporate support to be realistically accomplished. Activities are currently limited to basic planning until this is possible. The goals will probably require small steps with gradual expansion.

Current Happenings of Committee:

I am refining the Committee outline and scope.

Outreach and Education will promote the ways in which cats and preserving the pedigreed cat breeds enrich every community. The activities will augment or compliment other established programs in CFA like Public Relations, Legislation/Public Affairs, Mentoring, Fanc-e-Mews e-zine, Ambassador Program, Breed Awareness, Educational Products, Publications and the Winn Foundation.

Four audiences would be targeted.

- **General public/cat owners/potential cat owners** – Educational programs for large public audiences including presentations with cats present; pet fair programs, professional DVDs and graphic materials. Developing and funding pet owner surveys in communities to establish basic information on pet owner attitudes and cat ownership trends; cat population dynamics including feral cat issues.
- **Veterinary community** – Provide resource information beyond the health study focus of the Winn Feline Foundation. Student and practitioner education on pet population issues; the value of breeding cats; breed recognition and personality characteristics, multi-cat environment, feline color/patterns, and other topics.
- **Shelter community** – Shelter staff and volunteer training; shelter medicine program student education. Expand on topics already being presented, such as the handling of cats in the shelter environment. Management of cats in shelters, communal housing considerations; methods for cat color/pattern identification; consultation and product development.
- **New cat fanciers and breeders** – Attract and orient newcomers on the value of pedigreed cat preservation and breed origins; getting started with foundation cats, developing a bloodline, cattery design/management, husbandry, partnerships, working within legislative constraints. Promoting the social aspects of the cat fancy culture.

Future Projections for Committee:

Identify a core group for the Committee. Begin to develop a speakers' network and identify their topic interests. Give some pilot presentations.

Gradually establish a library of resources that would be accessible to the public. Interaction with the Technology/Website Committee will be started.

Graphic materials, training DVDs, handouts relevant to target audiences would be developed when funding is available.

Assist Roemann in interesting sponsors for this program by preparing a package of materials.

Action Items:

None at this time.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Updates

*Respectfully Submitted,
Joan Miller, Chair*

(25) **SHOW SCHEDULE COORDINATION.**

Show Schedule Coordination Liaison Debbie Kusy: The committee is working well. It has resolved many conflicts. **Janosik:** I think it's an excellent tool, and a long time in coming.

Johnson: I have worked with clubs that are looking for new show halls and have come up with new guidelines to help in our region, to let the region be a little more involved.

(26) **OMBUDSMAN REPORT.**

Liaison Pam DelaBar presented the following report:

Committee Chair: Pam Huggins
Liaison to Board: Pam DelaBar

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

The new Committee Chairperson, Pam Huggins, was named following the June 2006 Board Meeting at the annual and would like to thank the CFA President and Board for the opportunity. I have several years of experience serving in a liaison or ombudsman capacity and am pleased to be serving in this capacity for CFA.

Current Happenings of Committee:

- A. *Almost immediately following the annual, the Ombudsman received the first of three issues for resolution. Two were received via email and one was received via phone. All three concerned allegations against other CFA persons regarding judges or breeder contract conflicts. After numerous email exchanges and accompanying phone calls, it was clear all three allegations were made without documentation of the issues. Two complainants were offered the opportunity to provide documentation for consideration towards further CFA activity by the Ombudsman or to determine if a protest was in order, however, none was received. One was referred to civil authorities since it was a matter of personal property being returned to the complainant.*
- B. *Specific details of these incidents are not included in this report. Until the role of the Ombudsman is defined, parameters determined, and the process approved, I believe it is prudent for the Ombudsman and CFA Board Liaison to maintain confidentiality regarding the specifics in consideration of privacy concerns of the individuals involved. In all three instances, the issues were referred to the Ombudsman by CFA board members who were aware of the particular issue as well as the resolution.*
- C. *Draft charter defining role and methodology of the CFA Ombudsman, to include purpose, goal, responsibilities, composition, parameters, and process.*
 - ✓ *Charter document is completed.*
 - *Accompanying process document is almost completed.*

Future Projections for Committee:

Continue to handle any Ombudsman issues presented in an objective and fair manner, keeping CFA Board Liaison apprised.

Action Items:

- *Complete Process document*
- *Submit Charter and Process documents to Board Liaison for review and approval.*
- *When approved by Board Liaison, submit documents to Board for approval.*

Time Frame:

- *Anticipate completion and submission to Board Liaison by 15 December.*

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

- *Charter and Process documents to Board for approval.*
- *Information on any Ombudsman issues handled in the interim.*

*Respectfully Submitted,
Pam Huggins, CFA Ombudsman*

(27) **FUTURE BOARD MEETING SITES.**

DelaBar: The October 2007 board meeting is at the Doubletree Hotel in Newark, New Jersey.

(28) **ANNUAL MEETING – 2007.**

Gulf Shore Regional Director James Watson presented an update on the 2007 Annual. **J. Watson:** Terry Bratfish designed art work for the Walk of Stars that we have been using as a regional promotion. It will be part of the package handed out at the International Show, welcoming you to the Walk of Stars and sponsorship opportunities for the annual. The committee met at the Hilton in Austin to look at the rooms, the lay-out, what kind of decoration materials they have, etc. We've got hospitality for Wednesday and Thursday night, and Friday night will be the big hospitality room with a DJ and dancing. It will be followed up Saturday night with the banquet. There's a tremendous amount of entertainment and outstanding restaurants within a couple blocks' walking distance of the hotel. The parking garage is a little steep. **DelaBar:** We can sit on the balconies and watch the bats. This really is unique, because Bracken is the largest single colony of bats in North America. You've never seen anything like this before. It has been written up in National Geographic.

(29) **MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE.**

Management Committee Chair James Watson presented the following report:

Committee Chair: James Watson
Committee Members: Peg Johnson, Annette Wilson, Gloria Hoover, and Anne McCulloch

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

1. *At the June Board Meeting it was decided to keep the title of Executive Director.*
2. *A preliminary copy of the job wanted ad was given to the board for personal contacts that they might want to have considered for the position.*
3. *After revision by the Personnel Committee an official "Job Wanted" ad was developed and given to the Personnel Committee for further action. Pam DelaBar suggested several publications that she would like the ad published.*

Current Happenings of Committee:

The Management Committee has received 3 resumes as of this date and they have been forwarded to the Personnel Committee. The committee is working on Committee job descriptions.

J. Watson: There has not been a general offering for the job position.

Future Projections for Committee:

A review of Central Office was done over two years ago and recommendations were made to have a professional company review and implement good business practices at Central Office. It is time to complete what was started. No budget request was received by the Finance Committee Chair for this year's budget. The request was re-sent and received by the Finance Committee Chair for consideration in next year's budget.

Action Items:

1. *Establish a time frame to replace the ED. I would like to see a replacement confirmed no later than the Feb. 2007 board meeting. If this is not possible, it is recommended that an Office Manager title be created and that position filled as soon as possible. Central Office should not be expected to operate without daily leadership and supervision. [withdrawn]*
2. *Revised Page 8 of the CFA Directors Guidebook is attached. Reflects change to \$30 per diem for meals, except for Annual banquet. Request approval and insertion into the book.*

Time Frame:

This meeting.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Hopefully our new ED.

Depending on budget approval, the selection of a company, or individual, to proceed with the implementation of good business practices at Central Office.

Addendums to the Board Members Guidebook as they develop.

*Respectfully Submitted,
James Watson, Chair*

DelaBar: For the sake of clarity, we'll vote on action item #2. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

(30) DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS AND SUSPENSIONS.

Cases that have been reviewed by the Protest Committee and for which a recommendation was presented to the Board. The following cases were heard, a tentative decision was rendered, timely notice was given to the parties, and no appeal and/or appeal fee was filed. Therefore, final disposition is as follows:

05-020-0504 CFA v. Masso, Ron and Lois

Violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV Section 4 (a & g)

GUILTY on both counts. Sentence of life suspension of all CFA Services.

Motion Carried (vote sealed).

06-025-0504 CFA v. Rasmussen, Janet

Violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV Section 4 (a & g)

GUILTY on both counts. Sentence of life suspension of all CFA Services.

Motion Carried (vote sealed).

06-027-0509 CFA v. Mie Takahashi, Keiko Tadokoro and Hiroko Totsuka

Violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV Section 4 (b & g)

As to Mie Takahashi: GUILTY on both counts. Sentence of one year suspension of all CFA Services and a \$1,000 fine.

As to Keiko Tadokoro: GUILTY under CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section 4(g) but dismiss 4(b). Sentence of six months suspension of all CFA Services and a \$500 fine.

As to Hiroko Totsuka: GUILTY under CFA Constitution, Article XV, Section 4(g) but dismiss 4(b). Sentence of six months suspension of all CFA Services and a \$500 fine.

Motion Carried (vote sealed).

06-028-0515 CFA v. Crandall-Seibert, Nikki; Duthler, Melissa and Furever Friends Feline Fanciers

Violation of CFA Constitution, Article XV Sections 2(c) and 4 (f & g)

Violation of CFA Show Rules 2.11, 4.07, 13.04, 14.06, 15.01 and 17.04(c)

GUILTY on all counts, including "conduct detrimental" based on a pattern and practice of misconduct. Sentence of each party being barred from show production in any manner (including but not limited to: entry clerking, ring clerking, master clerking, participating on a show committee, licensing a show, etc.) for a period of one year commencing January 7, 2007¹ and a fine of \$500 each.

Motion Carried (vote sealed).

¹ It is noted from the CFA web site that Illinois Feline Fanciers show October 21-22, 2006 and the December 2-3, 2006 United Persian Society both list Crandall-Seibert as entry clerk. The delay in start of the suspension is intended to reduce harm to innocent parties.

Eigenhauser moved to adjourn. **DelaBar** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

*Respectfully submitted,
Rachel Anger, CFA Secretary*